Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

Public Comment: Closed Session: C) Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9) Laguna Beach Unified School District v. National Church Residences of Laguna Beach, et al. Case No. 30-2025-01518292-CU-OR-CJC

Name	Comment
Ketta Brown	3D: Employee Dismissal.... My spidey senses are tingling and if this is in regard to the long lost Manoj, please cut him loose. LBUSD deserves only the best and frankly, he is not it.
parent and community member	Critical that the districts financial interests be maintined and NCR adhere to original documents. This will be a valuable assest for the district in 2041. How many meetings this time will employee D/D/R be on Closed agenda with no report out when last spring this pattern resulted in the loss of Dr. Glass. No transparency at work here.

Recognition of Outgoing Board Members Dee Perry and James Kelly, Ph.D

Name	Comment
Peggy Wolff	Thank you Dr. Kelly for your professional and respectful service to our school district for the past eight years. I am grateful for your investment in our students, staff and schools. It was a privilege to serve with you when I was on the School Board. Thank you for always putting students first. Your expertise helped guide our goals and future plans for LBUSD. Laguna schools were lucky to have you investing your time as a public servant. Thank you!
Tammy Keces	Yes
Kayla Wiechert	Thank you for your service!
Gary Kasik	It's been an absolute please working with Dee Perry and her unwavering commitment to improving the educational experience for all stakeholders of the district. She exemplifies the experience needed for a trustee and used that experience to guide thoughtful student focused effforts. The time and efforts you volunteered on behalf of the community are deeply appreciated. You earned the right to move on with pride and have absolutely nothing to be ashamed of. "Thank you" falls far short of what you deserve.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

Ketta Brown	Dr. Kelly, I am sorry Jeb and I are missing this meeting. Know that it is for one of our children and that's the only thing that would keep up away! Thank you from the bottom of my heart for stepping up and taking on this dysfunctional group for the past 2 years. You could have thrown up your hands and bailed but you did the ethical thing and hung on. You also provided some much needed spiciness when things got too ridiculous. "Jim Unleashed" is what I was living for these past 24 months. Thank you so much and go enjoy your much deserved retirement!
Dawn Hunnicutt	Dr. Kelly, you have been such a strong supporter of both staff and students, and your presence on the board will be truly missed. You have been a steady force these past two years when you could have easily bailed, but you stayed true to your oath and battled mightily during the darkest period in LBUSD's board history. You will be remembered as a strong advocate for all students, and you have always been dearly beloved by the staff. It has been an honor to work alongside you, and I wish only the best for you in this next season of life. You have been a gift to this district, and your legacy will be remembered as such.
	Huge thank you to Dr. James Kelly 👏🏽
Leslie	Dee and Jim, As you finish up your time on the board tonight, I want to say to Dee, thank you for your time serving on the board. I wish you all the best in whatever comes next. Jim, a huge thank-you for bringing your expertise, asking the tough questions, and not letting “let’s move on” count as an actual answer. I appreciated you doing what you could to hold the majority’s feet to the fire, even when it seemed like they’d shown up in fireproof shoes. I know the 3-2 votes consistently their way but I appreciated your willingness to speak up, push back, and stand your ground. Your expertise and independent voice mattered. Enjoy having your evenings back…if you find yourself missing board meetings, you’re always welcome back to experience what the new and improved sane board meetings will feel like!
Amy Kramer	Thank you Dee for your service on our board and to our schools. Thank you for your courage and your leadership.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	Thank you for standing up for our students and for standing up for compassion, kindness, and bravery. It takes tremendous strength and fortitude to be the sole voice advocating for what is right, especially when doing so is not the easiest or most popular path. You have consistently demonstrated that strength throughout your tenure. You have been a beacon of light through the storms of ill-formed ideas, providing a steady and principled voice when it mattered most. You have helped keep our district grounded and pointed in the direction of what truly matters: our students, our shared humanity, and the values that should guide every decision we make. Your courage has made a difference, and your willingness to stand firm in the face of adversity has not gone unnoticed. Thank you for leading with integrity, for choosing compassion over division, and for reminding us that leadership is ultimately about doing what is right, even when it is difficult.
parent and community member	Dr. Kelly has been a steady, calm and wise board member serving for 8 years. LBUSD has a long history of higher education faculty serving on the board: Dr. Chilcote, Dr. Schwarz, Dr. Turner, and currently Dr. Kelly and Dr. Malczewski. Beyond that Dr. Kelly has many and varied career experiences and served at President of Menlo College. His commitment to social/emotional well-being of students and broader communication of district work are to be noted and commended. Thank you, Dr. Kelly. Ms. Perry are you interested in repaying the district for the hundreds of thousands of dollars that were spent to defend your lawsuit four peer board members and Superintendent? You have been costly.
M.Y. Verite	Jim, thanks for all the time and energy you've put into serving on the Laguna Beach school board and for doing your best to hold the line. We appreciated having two intellectuals on the dais, though given your intellect, the frustration must have been excruciating as you watched the majority confuse having the votes with having the answers. We truly appreciate your willingness to ask questions, push back, and be a voice of

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	reason. You couldn't always change the outcome, but it mattered that you kept speaking up. Thank you for giving the gift of your time and expertise to our kids!
Parent of the district	Thank you Dr. Kelly for all your honest services at the LBUSD over the years. you have endured some wild times at LBUSD, your efforts are recognized and appreciated!
Lisa R. Pettigrew	Thank you Dr. Kelly for your service as well as your steady voice & commitment during such a tumultuous time. Dee Perry, I have been so disappointed, to say the least, in your service. I am overjoyed to see you go!
local father	Dr. Kelly has been a very responsible board member and supporter of students well being and staff expertise. He will be missed.
TOW Parent	Dr. Kelly thank you for your four years of service. You have been the voice of calm over the last two years. We deeply appreciate your service to our schools and community.

Public Comment - Items not on the agenda, within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board

Name	Comment
Lisa Sadowski	I strongly oppose approving the Baker Tilly agreement. We've already spent hundreds of thousands of taxpayer dollars on three outside financial reviews, and I see no justification for spending another $125,000 plus unlimited expenses. More concerning is the timing. Three new Board members will be seated at the very next meeting. Why is the outgoing Board rushing to approve such a significant contract, before legal review is even complete, rather than allowing the incoming Board to make this decision? This is not a routine consent item. The public deserves an explanation for the urgency, the additional expense, and what this review would accomplish that the previous three did not. I urge the Board to remove this item from consent and defer the decision to the incoming Board.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

Tammy Keces	Yes
CSEA President	Sheri, Your repeated screaming at our former superintendent, current superintendent, and district staff is disgraceful. This community elected you to serve our schools. It did not elect you to intimidate employees, humiliate professionals, or unleash your anger on the people running this district. A closed door does not excuse your conduct. When your shouting reaches public areas, you undermine trust in the institution you were elected to serve. As Union President, I will not normalize this treatment. Employees do not owe you silence while you demean them. Disagreement and answers you dislike never justify verbal aggression. If you cannot question staff without shouting or exercise authority without intimidation, you are failing the basic responsibilities of your office. You demand accountability from everyone else. That standard applies to you. Your fellow trustees must also act. Silence allows this behavior to continue. Every employee deserves dignity and respect, regardless of who holds a board seat. An apology is overdue. Excuses and blame are unacceptable. I am calling for your resignation. In my judgment, your repeated conduct demonstrates that you are unwilling to meet the standards this office requires. This community gave you a position of trust, not permission to mistreat people. Our employees should not have to endure another outburst.
Leslie	I’m looking forward to a return to sanity next month, with three professional women putting our kids first, not three senior NIMBYs standing in the way.
Concerned Parent	President Morgan, on a recent Instagram post, you wrote, "The $7,500 [donated to Measure A] is our PTA's, and I'm

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	curious if our PTA membership knows that's where their money went? I know at the high school they make it clear you can donate and don't need to do anything to be involved. Does that mean they didn't involve people when they asked them how they'd like to spend the money?" These questions go beyond simply providing factual information. They clearly and directly insinuate that our PTAs did not follow protocol or did not involve their membership in financial decisions. It's unfortunate that a person in a leadership role would disparage an established volunteer organization with conspiracy theories and unfounded, leading questions. I know you were not re-elected to serve a second year as High School PTA President. Does this mean you are holding a grudge and using your position to "provide facts" as a pretext for past grievances?
parent and community member	So much could be said about the displays at last week's meeting. Suffice to say that Hills was an embarrassment and cemented that he comes unglued, is insecure and ego driven. How many times are you going to read and hear that you are a liability to good governance and a successful district and should resign before you continue put the district ahead of your own need for retaliation. Morgan thankfully your time at running the meetings is at a close. Maybe this gives you a clue of the reasoning behind having a board member who can do the work and be efficient and not continually get confused and misplace the order (even with a script)rather than letting everyone "have a turn" This is not preschool where everyone needs a turn. And Perry--last week you continued what you have done for years---compare LBUSD to other districts you have looked at and oddly enough Laguna always comes up lacking. Which has always been your whole point--negative about your home district!
local father	The display of losing his temper and going after Joan M again was a horrible demonstration of a person not fit to be an elected official. Through many levels of elected representation voters are very tired of people without integrity or ethics

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	managing to get elected. Mr. Hills has an agenda and it not what is best for students in LBUSD although he says it is. His actions and words (and there are a lot of words on everything)tell a different tale. He is vindicative, spiteful, contrary, seeks retaliation, egocentric---oh so many descriptors fit. And he presents as a grumpy old man who needs to repeat old stories and talk about his medical problems. Sheri Morgan is so mean spirited and vindicative. She needs to watch the meeting videos and look at how her facial expressions tell the real truth. A lot of sneering. A lot of doing something else when being addressed by the public. A lot of inability to keep track of the order of the agenda. Member Perry spent last weeks meeting still talking about the things LBUSD does not do well (ie Beckman science kits) and the transporting of 6 or 7 students to a CTE out of town program. (Now she can drive them herself) And Sheri trying to compare that to the few boys water polo students being transported during pool construction as if that is the same. And really Sheri did you ask do they just get taken one way? No after practice they walk back to Laguna.

Action Item: Adoption of Resolution No. 26-18: Reduction of Cafeteria Waste

Name	Comment
Ketta Brown	After the heartening report from Nutritional Services last week, this is a perfect coda. Thank you to the students who participated!
CSEA President	This is student driven and brought forth by the students who you are supposed to represent so please move this forward and Sheri dont try to take credit for it.
parent and community member	Exceptional work by the students. Prior boards emphasis on sustainability and global environmental awareness is working if students are coming forward with this resolution. This is what is needed if the impact on the environment is going to be positive. It takes years for bureaucracies to make significant change. Time we left it up to the students as they do not mess around.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

local father	Students have done an outstanding job with this vital topic. Guess they got some good education in LBUSD over the years we have taught about sustainability, the environment and global awareness. FLOW is one excellent program as our educational offerings K-8.

Information Item: FCMAT Extraordinary Audit Report for Laguna Beach Unified School District

Name	Comment
Tammy Keces	Yes
Kayla Wiechert	Why do we keep paying for investigations and audits? We know the error that was made, and it has been managed if people would let it be. Please move your energy on to supporting current issues that affect students!!
Gary Kasik	While I respect, and accept, the work of the FCMAT team it falls short of the detailed results I expected. While it confirmed that policies were not followed and public funds laws violated over multiple years it falls short in providing clarity on HOW that happened....critical to insuring corrective action is taken to prevent a recurrence. It's clear that for several years there were unresolved gifts of public funds, a serious finding. I'd prefer to see more explanation of HOW this happened rather than the easy path of retroactively papering over the errors. Changing contract terms to match what was improperly paid doesn’t feel like a valid fix.
Ketta Brown	Done and done. The results are in and what is needed is a board motion to sign an MOU or in some way recognize that at your December, 2025 meeting you approved moving forward and not to claw back the funds from employees. An ethical and honorable decision under the circumstances.
CSEA President	Howard and Sheri, You have repeatedly accused union leaders of being “in cahoots” with district leadership to conceal overpayments. FCMAT did not substantiate that accusation. Its finding is explicit: “FCMAT did not find evidence that district

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	personnel intentionally misused health benefit funds or concealed the employee health benefits overpayment." Our actions deserve acknowledgment. From the day the mistake was discovered, we have been willing to work with the district on solutions. Last year, we negotiated safeguards to prevent this from happening again. We worked through the insurance committee to find creative ways to preserve quality benefits while controlling costs. Our negotiated solution included 2% of compensation to help cover the cap. That is a record of cooperation and problem-solving. Continuing to insinuate a cover-up while ignoring that work is disingenuous. We acknowledge FCMAT's finding that a potential gift of public funds may have occurred and that unresolved overpayments require corrective action. We support resolving those issues through bargaining and appropriate board action. Your dislike of former district leadership does not justify attacking our integrity. Suspicion is not evidence, and repeating an allegation does not make it true. Correct the public record. Apologize for accusations you cannot substantiate. Stop discrediting the union leaders who have worked from day one to help solve this problem.
Dawn Hunnicutt	I am glad that former administrators, previous and current staff, and the unions have once and for all been absolved of any malfeasance. What we all knew was true had to be proved--again--with an unnecessary investigation.
Leslie	Please accept the findings of this audit and all past investigations. The majority seems addicted to searching for a problem that doesn't exist. Stop wasting money on witch hunts!
parent and community	Interesting how the critics posting on Next Door, etc are only focusing on the opinion that the payment of over the cap

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

member	health benefit costs perhaps could be gift of public funds. Even though that seems a stretch and that the definition is personal use and there are hundreds of "persons" in the total staff who might have needed to pay more to cover the over cap amount. The critics who by name are aligned with the still in control majority are in step with trying to find wrongdoing. There is no note by these critics that the consultants, AI tech program or outside work issues were absolved. Seems those three areas were added by the Hills, Morgan and Perry majority to the broadly understand FCMAT assignment of the over payment by the district. And of the options for remedy, I thought the board previously authorized the general fund to cover the cost and that the practices to set the health care plans and costs would be updated to better align with budgeting and when the employees make the choice of plan. Do not refer this to the DA as the problem has been identified, addressed and solutions should be shared publicly with how plans are identified and selected.
Parent of the district	Board Majority asked for an independent review, and FCMAT has answered. You need to accept the findings and choose a plan that is legally sound and fair to the employees and share it with the public before it goes to the county.
Garthe Knight	I for one applaud auditing the audit. You can never trust a bean counter. They are shifty. They manipulate numbers. They enjoy using excel and making spreadsheets in their spare time. So it makes sense to get more of them to account for the others. Especially when we all know the extreme malfeasance which has occurred during the past 10 years. I once saw Jason Villoria in a convertible Miata. How could he afford that? Bribes and kick backs, that’s how! So using more funds to hunt down misappropriation of past funds even when past reports say otherwise is good business. As the dearly departed Tsumbe would say, “If you don’t like an audit, get another!” That’s what he did until he was jailed for embezzlement.
local father	The conclusion and recommendation section at the end is not clear. Did the County Superintendent weigh in yet and if so where is that report to the board. Is the response required by the district to the County Superintendents comments or from

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	the board to the County Superintendent regarding the FCMAT report? Please clarify. And didn't this board already approve officially covering the over the cap expenditures already done through the budget in those years in question?
TOW Parent	What a massive waste of district funds. It’s so clear Perry, Morgan, and Hills are continuing their witch hunt. Focus your efforts on students and moving the district forward.

Action Item: Second Reading and Approval of Board Policies 0410, 1312.2, 1312.3, and 2110

Name	Comment
parent and community member	Please approve without further discussion

Action Item: First Reading of Board Bylaw 9150 - Student Board Members and Board Policy 3100 - Budget

Name	Comment
Kayla Wiechert	I support the well-prepared and thoughtful students we have and appreciate their proposal!
parent and community member	Hills has talked 9150 ad nauseum and the students have done as asked and they are ready to move on. Listen to their voices! BTW according to the code the prior ways student board members were selected, appointed, chosen is one of the two options provided in the code. Hills you may prefer the entire process done by students with no adult contact or input but stop intimating that it was never done in compliance with the code as that is not true. On the budget and Morgans continually harping on Fund 17 and how many millions of dollars to match with the resolution is absurd and a waste of everyone's time at the table. Just hear what Austin is telling you--the resolution served a very necessary approach at the time and is now with the size of district budgets unattainable and not needed. So do the smart thing revisit the resolution and CHANGE IT.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

Information Item: Purposeful and Intentional Technology Use in Classrooms

Name	Comment
Ketta Brown	Godspeed!
parent and community member	As to the events of the past week in this country and worldwide, be cognizant that tech and AI are growing, changing, morphing all the time and there are good uses and with that come vulnerable parts. As student board members last year pointed out when the board majority was leaning toward time restrictions on screen use, even at home, that the world they live in uses screen of various kinds all the time and they were very nervous that their ability to do their assignments would be impacted by board directed curfews. None of us can stop the tech world but we can modify time spent as this report recommends and teach about threats and dangers.
local father	The world is tech heavy and reliant--look at the companies and businesses and organizations that require online only information and payments. Be aware that is the world students will enter so limit screen use at the young ages but prepare by knowledge and experience how to use and how to self limit.

Action Item: Approval of Consent Calendar Items B - H

Name	Comment
Shari Kowalke	ITEM 12-H: The background information asks the Board to approve a contract based on a vote taken over a year ago. A 5-0 vote to pursue a forensic review is not approval of any scope, vendor, or price. This project is broader than the prior audit, which covered only the health-benefit overpayments. That makes it a new project, not a continuation. Staff cites no finding or control failure that justifies going further. The agreement has not cleared legal review. The Board should not vote on a contract its own counsel hasn't finished reviewing. Promising findings "before Board consideration" is

	too vague. The report also gives no fee cap, deliverables, timeline, or explanation of how Baker Tilly was selected. I ask the Board to table this item until counsel completes review, then require a defined scope, a not-to-exceed fee, and a phased structure with a Board checkpoint before any expansion. A short delay will cost nothing. An uncapped engagement under an unreviewed contract cannot be undone. While one or two board members feel this must to be done to find monies that cannot be found, it is really a continued waste of funds and resources. Stop wasting more of the LBUSD resources for one or two board members personal interests and not the interests of the district. Better yet, Members Hill & Morgan - truly understand the work of the board – which is not a constant witch hunt based on your unfounded beliefs. We need you to do better.
Concerned (Empathetic) Citizen	I am speaking in strong opposition to Agenda Item 12-H, the proposed Baker Tilly forensic accounting agreement. I support financial accountability and transparency. However, I question spending another $125,000+ of taxpayer money when our district has already undergone 4 taxpayer-funded audits, in addition to annual financial audits. The $125,000 professional-fee cap does not include additional expenses such as travel, data processing, and hosting. The proposed 10-year review will place a huge burden on our Business Department employees, who must locate historical records and assist investigators while maintaining daily operations. Also, the agreement does not clearly guarantee independent verification, completion of every scope item, or an all-inclusive spending limit. It also places restrictions on sharing the findings.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	Taxpayers deserve to know exactly what they are purchasing, what questions will be answered, and whether the results will be available to the community funding this investigation. Financial oversight without a clearly established purpose, defined deliverables, cost controls, and transparency is not responsible fiscal management. Every dollar spent on another investigation is a dollar unavailable for our students, classrooms, programs, and employees. Our staff deserves to work without repeated investigations driven by unanswered questions, and our taxpayers deserve accountability for every dollar. I urge you to VOTE NO on Agenda Item 12-H.
Randi Beckley	Item 12-H is a rip tide pulling this district out to sea, instead of focusing on what matters: our students. Imagine going to a doctor because you suspect something is wrong. They run every test, and you get a clean bill of health. You don't trust that answer, so you pay for a second opinion, which corroborates the first. You go to a third and fourth doctor, and every test comes back clean. At this point, you aren't looking for a diagnosis; you're just paying doctors until one tells you what you want to hear. LBUSD has already undergone four taxpayer-funded reviews, and none found malicious wrongdoing. Now, you want taxpayers to hand over another $125,000-plus, without a competitive bid, for a fifth review. You really need to accept the consistent, factual findings the audits have already provided, and quit wasting public funds on this witch hunt. Before authorizing a 10-year lookback, you may also want to consider that the FCMAT report found employees actually overpaid for health and welfare benefits by $150,000 in

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	2020-21 and $230,000 in 2021-22, totaling $380,000 straight out of staff pockets. Digging back to 2017 could prove employees overpaid for even more years, leaving the district on the hook to repay staff, inviting union grievances, and creating serious legal exposure.
Gary Kasik	Item H When the issue with the overpayment of health benefits first surfaced I made a public comment that if unsupported payments were made for multiple years in this instance, where else could proper financial controls be lacking. An investigation should have commenced immediately rather than waiting. A basic financial control is every payment needs supporting documents...a PO, an invoice, a contract, etc. If over a million dollars of health insurance payments were made without proper support what other payments lacked support? Salary increases, vendors, expense accounts, consultants, legal.....? The scope of work and contract terms could be tighten up but the project moving forward is critical.
Ketta Brown	12 H: Salient points: 10 years of all finances with our LBUSD staff expected to provide the manpower for such. $125,000 baseline cost with no expense cap. $25,000 upfront non-refundable retainer. As of yet, unvetted by LBUSD legal counsel. This is utterly preposterous and needs to be pulled ASAP. The equivalent action that could be taken by this board majority is to get a wheelbarrow full of $125,000, dump it in front of the TMS Library and set it on fire. That would have the same effect as this boneheaded contract. Throwing tax payer money away...
CSEA President	Item H: Pull it. Howard, Sheri, and Dee, This agreement allows up to $125,000 in professional fees, plus expenses, with no all-inclusive spending cap. Taxpayers deserve proof this is necessary. Four investigations and audits have not substantiated the intentional wrongdoing you allege. What unanswered question justifies another ten-year review?

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	This contract limits Baker Tilly's liability, restricts sharing its work product, and requires payment before releasing the report. Staff must support it while keeping the district running. Who negotiated these terms? Under what authority? Has district legal counsel reviewed this exact agreement? Dee, is this the legacy you want to leave—more expense and disruption in what looks like an effort to settle a score with a former superintendent? Be better than that. Sheri, you claimed a community mandate in 2024. In 2026, this community delivered an overwhelming mandate for different leadership. You cannot invoke voters' voices when they favor you and dismiss them when they demand change. Listen and respect it. This is a taxpayer-funded vendetta dressed up as oversight. Your dislike of former leadership is neither evidence nor a blank check. After two years, where is the proof that justifies this continued spending? Stop the reckless spending. Respect the community's demand for change and let our district move forward.
Fed up Community Member	Howard and Sheri where do you get off even bringing this forward? Do you ever work with staff to find out California, county, and district requirements? All you have to do is use an AI site OR TWO to get the real details of this item and it lays out all the problems…of which, there are MANY! The Board of Education should not approve the proposed Baker Tilly agreement in its current form because it violates California constitutional and statutory mandates for public school districts, exposes the District to uncapped financial liabilities, and undermines the stated purpose of the forensic review.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	The primary reasons against approval include: Unconstitutional Debt & One-Sided Indemnification Out-of-State Law & Arbitration Violations of Public Transparency Laws Data Privacy & Offshore Processing Risks Illusory Fee Cap & Unsecured $25,000 Advance No Guarantee of Finding Fraud or Errors Inapplicable Contract Template Before the Board considers approving this engagement, District legal counsel should require a California Public Agency Addendum to replace the vendor's standard terms and correct these legal and fiscal defects.
Dawn Hunnicutt	Item H: This is an unnecessary investigation that will, once again, reveal no malfeasance. The community, the staff, the teachers, the parents--all of the stakeholders--sent a clear and unassailable message in this last election cycle: Let's move forward and get back to good governance centered around what is best for students, not grievance-laden agendas that seek to punish former administrators and settle old scores. With the passage of this item, Sheri and Howard, you will prove that you have no intention of respecting the wishes of the voters (any support you had in 2024 is far gone) leaving the community with only one option left on the table: recall.
	Item H agenda item 12-Approval of Baker Tilly Forensic Audit; As a concerned staff member, I urge the Board to reject the Baker Tilly agreement. Why do we need to have another audit? Is it because the other 3 audits came back with no findings of malfeasance? What and who has evidence to justify spending more taxpayer dollars on another review? This is an unjustified expense. $125,000 is a lot of money we can use wisely on other things. Does this cover professional fees, data processing, travel, and other expenses or will these be added separately? As Taxpayers, we deserve an all-inclusive spending cap and a demonstrated need before the Board commits public money. Before approving another costly review, identify the

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

	unanswered questions, explain why existing audits are insufficient, and disclose the full financial and staffing costs. Three audits with no findings of malfeasance demand justification for additional spending. None has been provided.
parent and community member	Again, no legal charges from Peals firm. I would suggest the board direct the business office to ask the legal firms for timely submission of fees. Item H is just not necessary especially without adequate legal review. It is a clear attempt to push this through before the voting majority is finished. And this should have been a separate action item. In addition to being another financial forensic audit but covering more years the question to ask it why---our yearly audits while not being forensic have been clean for years. Your bigger issue majority three is what about Manoj and who is doing the CBO work? Really a lack of fiduciary responsibility to continue to ignore the staff and public requests to answer for this.
M.Y. Verite	Hey Dee! At 25k/6 kids to ride the bus for CTE classes, $125k could give 30 more kids a ride to Auto Shop, Culinary Arts, and EMT. But stick with your buddies and vote for revenge. Unlike all of the other audits and investigations, I am sure THIS one will exonerate you all. Not. Don’t do the right thing now, Dee. It would be so weird.
Parent of the district	Item 12H: I am opposed to approving another costly outside investigation. The proposed Baker Tilly agreement estimates $90,000 to $125,000 in professional fees, with additional data processing and expenses on top of that. We need to focus on correcting the problems, strengthening oversight, and protecting funding for our students and classrooms.
local father	The Baker Tilly proposal is absurd. Not needed and what is the real why. Hills and Morgan still desperate to find wrong doing of prior boards and Superintendents especially is it can be criminal level.

Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the November 19, 2026 Regular Board Meeting

Employee	12H - is an unneccessary request. Not a great way to support students and fiscal responsibility. A waste of taxpayers money.
Resident	12H - This Baker Tilly contract requires serious review. This contract will not serve the long term health of the district. It is ill-devised at best.