Boundary Review Committee

Meeting Minutes #4
October 20, 2026, 3-5 p.m.
Glacier View Junior High Library – 12807 184th St. E, Puyallup 98374

Members:

Present: Cynthia Balzarini, Dr. Gordon Brobbey, Brian Devereux, Katie Diaz, Brian Eagen, Jennifer Estroff, Brian Fosnick, Bristol Grab, Chad Halverson, Julie Hunter, Jacinda Lee, Misha Liu, Michael McCanna, Jessica Sandvigen, Quavion Swazer, Cecilia Tamayo, Brad Thayer, Victoria Treffry

Absent: LaShawnda Baldwin, Stephanie Clarno, Caroline Ichura, Cindy Poysnick, Jessica Ryan, Bentley Walker

Welcome

Dr. Brobbey started off the meeting by welcoming back members from the two-week break. The community agreements were briefly reviewed as well. Before diving into the community sessions, Dr. Brobbey asked if there were any edits proposed for the Meeting 3 Minutes. The minutes were approved with no changes.

Community Feedback

The community sessions and the feedback were reviewed. The first session was at Hunt Elementary on October 13th, and the second session was at Glacier View Junior High on October 15th. Committee members that attended either meeting shared their comments and observations.

Member Comments:

- Surprised at the lower turnout at these community events (four non-committee attendees at Hunt, six at GVJH including one current GVJH student).
- I thought it went well with the biggest sentiment was more focused on the transitional period for the 7th and 8th graders not so much focus on the tract reassignments.
- Hearing from a junior high student and hearing their perspective on the impact of the boundary change.
- Comments related to the quality of education between the two schools.
    - This highlights the need to balance the socio-economic balance between the two schools to the extent possible.

Next, data from the digital community survey was reviewed. The survey had a total of 12 responses. Responses came from a mix of current Hunt Elementary, FJH and GVJH families. It seems on average the two main concerns families were passionate about are: the possible imbalance of free and reduced lunch population, as it affects the culture of those schools and keeping their children at their current school due to the connections they made during their time in that school.

The number of waivers was also a topic of conversation during the community meetings. After checking with district leadership, it was confirmed that waivers would continue to be managed but not eliminated. It was discussed that waivers provide a necessary management tool to mitigate higher than projected enrollment, minimizing the need for future boundary changes.

Review Plan Options

The meeting goal is to select the top three plan options for final consideration for a committee recommendation to be made in Meeting 5. All plans were reviewed before opening for table discussions.

- Plan A: This is the only plan that would align the junior high boundary with the elementary feeder school boundaries. However, the number of students is well above the identified target of 110-120 GVJH students.
- Plan B:
    - Member comment: Map wise it looks nice and clean but, on the street, it seems unnecessary to possibly send two buses down the same road for them to split off in two different directions.
    - This plan is already accounting for the new apartment complex at 144th
    - Student member comment: Why are the Ferrucci 7th and 8th graders returning first after winter break before 9th graders?
        - Response – Due to the 9th graders being ingrained with the bell schedule at Emerald Ridge, if they were to come back at the same time the building would have to change and realign over 200+ schedules within a month.
- Plan C: The 142-students proposed to be moved in this plan is above the student target range of 110-120 GVJH students.
- Plan D: Does not reassign enough GVJH students to FJH, wouldn't resolve the overcrowding at GVJH.
- Plan E: This plan has a lower student count than Plan F. For the free and Reduce lunch numbers this is the top plan for a balance between the two schools.
- Plan F: This plan meets the student reassign range with 109 students within the reassigned area. It is similar to Plan E that it lowers the F/R lunch population % at FJH.
- Plan G – Is a plan being introduced in Meeting 4 to honor the work done by Table 2 in Meeting 2. This plan is also within the student target range.

Transportation Director Quavion Swazer was asked to address a few questions that were asked during the community meetings.

- How do the plan options impact transportation time/time on buses for impacted students?
    - Response: Any of the plans would have a minimal impact to student transportation time travel (2–3-minute difference in some cases). It will not have the impact some may imagine, and in some cases may reduce travel time.
- From a school bus transportation lens solely, does Transportation have a short list of preferred plan options? Short list of plan options with concerns or known operations challenges?
    - Response: Plan G seems to be the best one from a pure transportation perspective. Resource-wise, it is easier to source a bus for this plan as it is more of a straight shot.
- How would a phased approach impact Transportation (i.e. current Glacier View 8th graders grandfathered for 9th grade at Glacier View?) Would additional resources be needed to accommodate a phased approach to the boundary change?
    - Response: The Transportation department is still working on it as of right now. We would unfortunately have two junior high buses stopped behind each other.
- Additional Comments from Transportation:
    - We already have the number of buses needed servicing the area, it is just a matter of relocation which buses go to Ferrucci.
    - Current Bus capacity is 56 Junior High students.

- FJH bus unload/loading area was recently improved with the FJH addition and provides plenty of space for additional buses, if needed.

Once the plans were reviewed, members were given time to review each of the plans at their table for further analysis and discussion. Members were then instructed that they had two votes to cast from all plan options. There are 18 voting members present.

The Voting Results:

- Plan A – 0 votes
- Plan B – 6 votes
- Plan C – 0 votes
- Plan D – 0 votes
- Plan E – 3 Votes
- Plan F – 12 votes
- Plan G – 15 votes

Once the voting results were tallied, Brian called for any last objections to the three plans with the most votes. No objections were raised. The committee will focus on Plans B, F, and G for their final recommendation in Meeting 5.

Minor Boundary Change #2

Brian presented a second minor boundary change proposed between the Maplewood and Fruitland Elementary boundary brought forward by transportation staff. Minor boundary changes are not voted upon by the membership but will be included in our package presented to the school board. District staff will contact the impacted family.

Closing

Members were reminded of the Meeting 5 date, time and location. Members were thanked for their participation and commitment to this process.

The meeting adjourned at 4:36 PM

Next Meeting:

Meeting #5

October 27, 2026

Glacier View Junior High Library, 3-5 PM