

**MINUTES
TOWN COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY – SEPTEMBER 30, 2026
HYBRID REGULAR MEETING - 7:00 P.M.**

Item 1. Call to Order. Chairman Fellows called the regular meeting of the Scarborough Town Council to order at 7:00 p.m.

Item 2. Pledge of Allegiance.

Item 3. Roll Call. Roll was called by Yolande P. Justice, Clerk to the Council. Thomas J. Hall, Town Manager and Liam Gallagher, Assistant Town Manager, were present. Those Councilors present:

Councilor Scott W. Doherty - Absent	Councilor Jonathan E. Anderson
Councilor Lawrence W. Cain - Absent	Councilor William J. Donovan
Councilor Karin B. Shupe	Councilor Michelle T. Hayes
Chairman Cory R. Fellows	

Chairman Fellows noted that General public comment would be for remarks on items not on the agenda. Participants from a recent workshop on Scottow Hill Road were invited to share their thoughts. It was emphasized that comments were to be under three minutes, with a warning system for time, and speakers were asked to introduce themselves and direct their comments to the Chair while maintaining civility.

Item 4. General Public Comments.

- Rebecca Hatt from Maple Avenue voiced her concerns about proposed regulations by the Shellfish Conservation Commission that might ban full-time clamming for January and February without a public vote. She emphasized the adverse impact on workers amid scarce job availability, the cultural significance of clamming, and questioned the committee's composition since many members only clam part-time. Hatt urged the Town Council to rethink the ordinance to ensure adequate public input and adherence to the original goals of conservation laws.
- Molly LeCompte of Scarborough reported that the Scarborough Democratic Town Committee met with representatives from Senators Collins and King, as well as Congresswoman Pingree, to discuss community concerns after the killings of Johan Sebastian Duron Guerrero. They presented a petition with over 800 signatures, addressing issues with federal immigration enforcement at the Scarborough ICE facility, which they claimed affects community safety and transparency. The representatives committed to keeping the community updated and stressed the significance of advocating for safety and due process.
- John Raby of Estate Drive, inquired about the communication between local police and ICE, acknowledging the format is not suited for dialogue but permitting questions. He also requested clarification on an upcoming ordinance related to municipal policies regarding ICE before it appears on the agenda.
- Dan Shaw of Shaw Brothers Construction, noted that they submitted a bid for the Eastern Trail that was \$587,000 lower than competitors and finished 15 months early. A payment dispute arose with a subcontractor owed \$183,000 for authorized work, due to legal complications. Mr. Shaw asserts that the responsibility lies with the town, highlighting the need to honor contracts and allowing subcontractors to express their concerns.
- Greg Scott of Scott Construction Greg Scott, addressed the town council regarding a payment issue. He is owed nearly \$184,000 for repointing work on the Eastern Trail project, specifically on the bridge over the Nonsuch River. Despite having the lowest bid and completing the work satisfactorily, approval delays from the town have hindered payment. Mr. Scott requested that a letter he sent be included in the meeting minutes – see next page.

SCOTT

CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION

EXPERIENCE

95 McAlister Farm Rd, Portland, ME 04103
Phone: (207) 632-0521 Fax: (207) 206-8892

INNOVATION

INTEGRITY

September 24^h, 2026

Town of Scarborough
259 US Route One
Scarborough, ME 04038

Attention: Town Council Members

Re: Eastern Trail: Multi-Use Recreational Trail Development

WINs 19426.00 & 19426.10

Notice of failure to pay – Item 525.26 Repointing Granite Masonry

Scott Construction Corporation is owed \$183,800.00 by the Town of Scarborough for work satisfactorily completed on the Eastern Trail Project. The work was completed in October of 2025.

To provide pertinent background information Shaw Brother is the general contractor on the project and Scott Construction is their subcontractor for the bridge and structural work.

Shaw Brothers Contract Value \$5,484,574.00

Scott Construction Corporation Subcontract Value \$1,993,000.00

The Shaw Brothers/Scott Construction combined bid of \$5,484,754.00 was \$587,836.00 less than the second bidder, saving the town as much as \$587,836.00 on bid day (see attached Bid Tabulations). For Item 525.26 Repointing Granite Masonry, Scott Construction had the lowest unit price, \$100.00/SF of all six bidders, Shaw Brothers did not add any mark up to the Scott Construction's unit price for this item. The project was also completed 15 months ahead of the contractual completion date, saving the Town significant money in administration and inspection costs and delivering the long-awaited project to the trail users well ahead of schedule.

The general contract is the standard MaineDOT unit price contract used for MaineDOT projects and MaineDOT locally administered projects such as this one. This dispute concerning the town's nonpayment for work completed is over measurement for payment of the accepted work, Bid Item 525.26 Repointing Granite Masonry. The specification which is part of the contract states "the granite masonry is measured for payment by the square foot of exposed granite masonry". The town asserts the completed work is measured by the square foot of mortar joint. Nowhere in the contract documents is there ANY language stating payment is measured by the square foot area of the mortar joints. (See attached Specification 525 Repointing Granite Masonry).

In July 2025, prior to beginning repointing work, Scott Construction met with the Town of Scarborough's Resident Engineer, Pat Roma, to confirm the scope. Scott Construction advised that the two granite abutments totaled approximately 2,000 SF and that Item 525.26 was measured by gross square footage of Granite Masonry. The Resident Engineer indicated he would obtain Town approval because of the significant overrun in quantity and cost, after approximately a week's time, Scott Construction and the Resident Engineer met again and we were directed to repoint 100% of both abutments. At this time, Scott Construction was not advised that measurement would be limited to joint surface area or that the payable quantity would be less than approximately 2,000 SF as discussed. At this point we proceeded with the work.

Scott Construction has completed the same work on the same bid item on other MDOT projects using the same specification. On these other projects payment was made by measuring the square foot of exposed granite masonry without question. We have never performed repointing work and been paid by the square foot of the mortar joint. In speaking with other local masonry contractors, repointing is typically paid by the square foot of the exposed masonry and occasionally paid by the linear foot of mortar joint, but never by the square foot of the mortar joint.

In summary, it is undisputed by the Town that we were directed to repoint 100% of both abutments. It is also undisputed that all the work was completed satisfactorily and accepted by the Town. We have provided a competitive price for the work and completed the project 15 months ahead of the completion date providing significant savings to the Town. All required notices were provided, and the dispute fully documented. The Town was provided detailed and extensive evidence showing conclusively the sum of \$183,800 is due. We have been continuously stonewalled by the Town officials since November of 2025 when trying to resolve this issue. The Town has involved the Town's attorney since November 10th, 2025 further complicating a simple matter and adding significant legal cost to both parties. Scott Construction, a small Maine based family business, simply wants to be paid for the work we satisfactorily completed and for work that has been accepted by the Town. Accordingly, we will continue to pursue payment for the additional work, plus attorney fees, interest and costs as allowed by contract and statute.

Regards,

Gregory J. Scott

President

Scott Construction Corporation

CC: Dan Shaw – Shaw Brothers

RJ Kuni – Shaw Brothers

Attachments:

1. Bid Tabulations
2. Pictures Repointing Masonry at Nonsuch River Bridge
3. Repointing Granite Masonry Specifications

- Shantel Scott of Scott Construction, expressed concern over an outstanding payment of \$184,000 related to a \$210,000 contract for work done by her husband construction company. Despite the work being completed, the town claims they only need to pay for the joints in the walls, contrary to industry standards that dictate payment for the entire square footage. She commented on the financial strain this non-payment imposes on their small business, affecting employee bonuses and personal finances, reiterating that the funds were already spent on labor and materials for the project.
- Carol Gustafson of Scottow Hill Road, raised concerns about traffic safety and budget at a workshop, specifically highlighting a \$300,000 allocation for traffic mitigation. She called for resident input on measures and emphasized issues with two stop signs from fiscal 2026 that are often ignored, leading to near misses involving town vehicles. She urged for enforcement to ensure compliance and praised the council's fiscal responsibility regarding the Scottow Hill Road covert, particularly given a potential \$4 million grant that may not materialize.
- Denise Hamilton of Two Rod Road, raised concerns regarding a potentially unsent email regarding Scottow Hill Road. She seeks clarity on the estimate for a section of Homes Road, stressing the need for thorough reconstruction instead of mere resurfacing due to significant traffic wear. She underscored the importance of utilizing Local Road Assistance Program (LRAP) funds for substantial improvements to manage ongoing heavy truck traffic effectively. She requested detailed information on the project's scope and funding implications concerning taxpayer investments in road maintenance.
- Steve Zameiowski of Theresa Masse Lane, addressed the council regarding a vulnerability assessment following a workshop on Scottow Hill Road. He urged the council to consider recent developments in Gorham, like the FedEx facility and potential Amazon site, which may increase traffic. He emphasized the need for enhanced road capacity in light of construction on Route One and Payne Road, advocating for a resilient culvert to accommodate future traffic demands.
- Jim Pritchard of Maple Avenue, expressed the need for improved communication during council workshops. He highlighted that public comments often lack meaningful dialogue and advocated for revising rules to encourage interactive discussions. He went on to suggest organizing workshops during off weeks to enhance interaction between officials and taxpayers, thereby fostering community engagement.
- Jessica Sargent of Beech Ridge Road, noted the impact of closed routes on her daily life, particularly Scottow Hill Road. She emphasized the importance of prioritizing public safety and assessing future risks over personal convenience. She questioned the allocation of funds for temporary solutions and sought clarity on the risk factors associated with the affected streets, advocating for community safety as the main concern.

Item 5. Minutes: September 16, 2026 - Town Council Meeting. Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval of the minutes from September 16, 2026, Town Council meeting, as written.

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Item 6. Adjustment to the Agenda. None at this time.

Item 7. Items to be signed: a. Treasurer's Warrants. Treasurer's Warrants were signed prior to the meeting.

Item 8. Town Manager's Report. Thomas J. Hall, Town Manager, gave the following updates:

- **Data Center Moratorium**
 - Ordinance Committee considered and approved - September 9
 - Town Council Adoption Timeline:
 - First Reading - Sept. 16
 - Planning Board - Oct. 19
 - Second Reading/Adoption - Oct. 21
 - 180 day Moratorium ends on November 20, 2026
- **IF&W Update** - Proposal sent September 29, 2026
 - Additional language in Beach Management Agreement
 - Focus on enhanced efforts, zero-tolerance from April 1 to May 15
- **Portland West**
 - MDOT evaluating four alternative approaches
 - Concepts proposed for discussion and feedback
 - Well attended (150+) meeting on September 22
- **Metro Pilot Launch**
 - Soft Launch Underway
 - Project Kickoff Event - October 2 at 9:00 AM at PSB
- **Election Update**
 - Absentee Voting starts October 5 in Council Chambers
 - Relocate meetings to PSB Classroom 10/1 thru 11/2
 - Candidates Night held on September 29, 2026
- **Paving Update**
 - Pine Point Neighborhood - Week of October 5
 - Municipal Drive - October 4, night work
 - Requested estimate for a portion of Holmes Road – TBD
 - Section of Gorham Road – paving a stretch past the over-pass
- **Upcoming Workshops**
 - Oct. 21 - Climate Action Plan - Draft Plan Available for Review and Feedback
- **Wide-Load Transport**
 - Wide-load making its way through Town – there will be 9 loads total
 - 220 feet in length and 20 feet wide
 - From Jay to South Portland Water front
 - Movement would be done in the early morning hours
- **CMP Outage**
 - Pole Transfers will be conducted, which will affect some residents
 - CMP would be communicating directly with residents
- **Scott Construction Issue** -
 - This matter is in litigation and therefore cannot talk about it
 - We have had mediation, unfortunately not successful
 - Settlement could be reached as soon as tomorrow

Item 9. Standing and Special Committee Reports and Liaison Reports.

- Councilor Hayes noted that Conversation with Councilors would be held on the following dates: October 5th at Eight Corners, October 8th at Bluepoint, October 15th at Pleasant Hill School, October 19th at North Scarborough Fire Station, and October 24th (Saturday) at the public safety building. Details will be published in the town newsletter tomorrow.
- Councilor Anderson noted that Conversations with Councilors presents an informal venue for sharing feedback and information. Attendees are encouraged to discuss topics, such as Scottow Hill, to facilitate further dialogue during these sessions.
- Councilor Shupe noted the Conservation Commission is in the process of reviewing a Low Impact Development Ordinance mandated by the state. A public workshop is scheduled for October 13th to discuss this ordinance, which includes considerations like setbacks. Further information will be provided before the workshop.
- Chairman Fellows noted the Long Range Planning Committee would be meeting next week. MMA Legislative Policy Committee would finalize priorities for the upcoming state session: key discussions focus on opposing unfunded mandates affecting municipalities, particularly in housing. There is a unified concern across communities about these mandates, regardless of political affiliation. New state leadership is expected to foster collaboration with local governments. Candidates have expressed support for a regional option sales tax, suggesting possible legislative support, though its implementation and fairness remain uncertain.

Item 10. RESOLUTION/PROCLAMATIONS. None at this.

Item 11. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

Order No. 26-082. 7:00 p.m. Public Hearing and second reading on the proposed amendments to Chapter 1301 - Town of Scarborough General Assistance Ordinance. [Social Services Coordinator-General Assistance] Thomas J. Hall, Town Manager, gave a brief overview on this Order. Chairman Fellows opened the public hearing. As there were no comments either for or against, the hearing was closed at 7:50 p.m.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval of the second reading on the proposed amendments to Chapter 1301 – Town of Scarborough General Assistance Ordinance, as follows:

CHAPTER 1301 – the GENERAL ASSISTANCE ORDINANCE

BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED, by the Town Council of the Town of Scarborough, Maine, in Town Council assembled, that the following changes reflect that portion of Chapter 1301- the General Assistance Ordinance of the Town of Scarborough, Maine, is hereby amended, as follows:

Appendix A

Effective: 10/01/2026-09/30/2027

2026-2027 GA Overall Maximums

Metropolitan Areas

COUNTY	Persons in Household				
	1	2	3	4	5*
Bangor HMFA:	1,368	1,444	1,825	2,346	2,467

Bangor, Brewer, Eddington, Glenburn, Hampden, Hermon, Holden, Kenduskeag, Milford, Old Town, Orono, Orrington, Penobscot Indian Island Reservation, Veazie					
Cumberland County HMFA: Baldwin, Bridgton, Brunswick, Harpswell, Harrison, Naples, New Gloucester, Pownal, Sebago	1,390	1,537	2,016	2,618	3,342
Lewiston/Auburn MSA: Auburn, Durham, Greene, Leeds, Lewiston, Lisbon, Livermore, Livermore Falls, Mechanic Falls, Minot, Poland, Sabattus, Turner, Wales	1,254	1,340	1,745	2,255	2,536
Penobscot County HMFA: Alton, Argyle UT, Bradford, Bradley, Burlington, Carmel, Carroll plantation, Charleston, Chester, Clifton, Corinna, Corinth, Dexter, Dixmont, Drew plantation, East Central Penobscot UT, East Millinocket, Edinburg, Enfield, Etna, Exeter, Garland, Greenbush, Howland, Hudson, Kingman UT, Lagrange, Lakeville, Lee, Levant, Lincoln, Lowell town, Mattawamkeag, Maxfield, Medway, Millinocket, Mount Chase, Newburgh Newport, North Penobscot UT, Passadumkeag, Patten, Plymouth, Prentiss UT, Seboeis plantation, Springfield, Stacyville, Stetson, Twombly UT, Webster plantation, Whitney UT, Winn, Woodville	1,080	1,167	1,531	1,979	2,211
Portland HMFA: Cape Elizabeth, Casco, Chebeague Island, Cumberland, Falmouth, Freeport, Frye Island, Gorham, Gray, Long Island, North Yarmouth, Portland, Raymond, Scarborough, South Portland, Standish, Westbrook, Windham, Yarmouth; Buxton, Hollis, Limington, Old Orchard Beach	1,616	1,824	2,343	2,872	3,523
Sagadahoc HMFA: Arrowsic, Bath, Bowdoin, Bowdoinham, Georgetown, Perkins UT, Phippsburg, Richmond, Topsham, West Bath, Woolwich	1,216	1,390	1,735	2,412	2,910
York County HMFA: Acton, Alfred, Arundel, Biddeford, Cornish, Dayton, Kennebunk,	1,409	1,553	1,888	2,528	2,817

Kennebunkport, Lebanon, Limerick, Lyman, Newfield, North Berwick, Ogunquit, Parsonsfield, Saco, Sanford, Shapleigh, Waterboro, Wells					
York/Kittery/S.Berwick HMFA: Berwick, Eliot, Kittery, South Berwick, York	1,670	1,846	2,422	2,904	4,063

***Note: Add \$75 for each additional person.**

Non-Metropolitan Areas

Persons in Household

COUNTY	1	2	3	4	5*
Aroostook County	1,036	1,044	1,346	1,839	1,913
Franklin County	1,002	1,109	1,454	1,925	1,933
Hancock County	1,350	1,657	1,651	2,252	2,261
Kennebec County	1,190	1,199	1,558	2,001	2,347
Knox County	1,211	1,257	1,511	1,909	2,064
Lincoln County	1,344	1,408	1,543	2,130	2,278
Oxford County	982	1,088	1,425	1,981	2,389
Piscataquis County	1,076	1,189	1,561	1,871	2,067
Somerset County	1,117	1,191	1,393	1,858	1,993
Waldo County	1,272	1,279	1,582	2,052	2,346
Washington County	949	1,049	1,377	1,913	2,137

*** Please Note: Add \$75 for each additional person.**

2026-2027 FOOD MAXIMUMS

Please Note: The maximum amounts allowed for food are established in accordance with the [U.S.D.A. Thrifty Food Plan](#). As of October 1, 2026, those amounts are:

Number in Household	Weekly Maximum	Monthly Maximum
1	\$ 71.16	\$306.00
2	\$130.70	\$562.00
3	\$187.91	\$808.00
4	\$237.91	\$1,023.00
5	\$283.02	\$1,217.00
6	\$340.23	\$1,463.00
7	\$375.81	\$1,616.00
8	\$428.14	\$1,841.00

Note: For each additional person add \$225 per month.

2026-2027 GA HOUSING MAXIMUMS (Heated & Unheated Rents)

NOTE: NOT ALL MUNICIPALITIES SHOULD ADOPT THESE SUGGESTED HOUSING MAXIMUMS! Municipalities should ONLY **consider** adopting the following numbers, if these figures are consistent with local rent values. If not, a market survey should be conducted and the figures should be altered accordingly. The results of any such survey must be presented to DHHS prior to adoption. **Or**, no housing maximums should be adopted and eligibility should be analyzed in terms of the Overall Maximum—Appendix A. *(See Instruction Memo for further guidance.)*

Non-Metropolitan FMR Areas

<u>Aroostook County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	199	855	234	1,006
1	199	855	235	1,011
2	246	1,059	304	1,306
3	347	1,492	417	1,793
4	349	1,500	433	1,861
<u>Franklin County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	193	828	227	976
1	208	892	251	1,079
2	274	1,177	330	1,418
3	370	1,589	438	1,884
4	370	1,589	438	1,884

<u>Hancock County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	278	1,196	308	1,323
1	278	1,196	309	1,327
2	327	1,406	376	1,615
3	455	1,958	514	2,211
4	455	1,958	514	2,211
<u>Kennebec County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	241	1,037	271	1,164
1	241	1,307	272	1,170
2	306	1,315	354	1,524
3	397	1,709	456	1,961
4	470	2,021	541	2,325

Non-Metropolitan FMR Areas

<u>Knox County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	247	1,062	277	1,189
1	248	1,067	286	1,230
2	294	1,265	343	1,474
3	376	1,615	434	1,867
4	397	1,709	468	2,013
<u>Lincoln County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	278	1,195	307	1,322
1	283	1,218	321	1,381
2	302	1,297	350	1,506
3	427	1,836	486	2,088
4	447	1,923	518	2,227
<u>Oxford County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	193	829	222	956
1	208	896	246	1,059
2	275	1,182	323	1,391
3	393	1,689	451	1,941
4	474	2,036	544	2,340
<u>Piscataquis County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	208	896	244	1,051
1	229	983	270	1,159
2	301	1,293	354	1,521

3	359	1,544	423	1,820
4	388	1,668	466	2,002
<u>Somerset County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	219	943	254	1,091
1	227	974	270	1,161
2	260	1,116	315	1,357
3	354	1,522	422	1,815
4	370	1,589	452	1,944

Non-Metropolitan FMR Areas

<u>Waldo County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	260	1,119	290	1,246
1	260	1,119	291	1,250
2	311	1,339	360	1,548
3	409	1,760	468	2,012
4	464	1,993	534	2,297
<u>Washington County</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	185	795	214	922
1	199	856	237	1,019
2	263	1,132	312	1,341
3	377	1,619	435	1,872
4	414	1,782	435	2,086

Metropolitan FMR Areas

<u>Bangor HMFA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly⁹⁸	Weekly	Monthly
0	283	1,219	313	1,346
1	292	1,255	330	1,417
2	368	1,583	417	1,792
3	478	2,054	536	2,306
4	491	2,113	562	2,417
<u>Cumberland Cty. HMFA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	289	1,241	318	1,368
1	313	1,347	351	1,510
2	412	1,770	460	1,979
3	540	2,324	599	2,576
4	695	2,987	765	2,291
<u>Lewiston/Auburn MSA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	256	1,101	286	1,228

1	267	1,148	305	1,311
2	349	1,502	398	1,711
3	456	1,963	515	2,215
4	508	2,183	578	2,487

<u>Penobscot Cty. HMFA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	216	931	246	1,058
1	227	978	265	1,140
2	300	1,289	348	1,498
3	392	1,687	451	1,939
4	432	1,857	503	2,161

<u>Portland HMFA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	341	1,467	371	1,594
1	380	1,634	418	1,797
2	488	2,097	536	2,306
3	599	2,578	658	2,830
4	737	3,168	807	3,472

<u>Sagadahoc Cty. HMFA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	248	1,067	278	1,194
1	279	1,200	317	1,363
2	346	1,489	395	1,698
3	492	2,118	551	2,370
4	594	2,555	665	2,859

<u>York Cty. HMFA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	293	1,260	323	1,387
1	317	1,363	355	1,526
2	382	1,642	430	1,851
3	519	2,234	578	2,486
4	573	2,462	643	2,766

<u>York/Kittery/ S. Berwick HMFA</u>	<u>Unheated</u>		<u>Heated</u>	
Bedrooms	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
0	354	1,521	383	1,648
1	385	1,656	423	1,819
2	506	2,176	555	2,385
3	607	2,610	666	2,862
4	862	3,780	933	4,012

2026-2027 GA Housing Maximums Recovery Residences

The following Recovery Residence maximums are in effect from 10/01/2026-09/30/2027

Non-Metropolitan Areas	25 Beds or Less		26+ Beds	
Area	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
Aroostook County	\$176.25	\$758.25	\$123.38	\$530.78
Franklin County	\$188.25	\$809.25	\$131.78	\$566.48
Hancock County	\$231.75	\$995.25	\$162.23	\$696.68
Kennebec County	\$204.00	\$877.50	\$142.80	\$614.25
Knox County	\$214.50	\$922.50	\$150.15	\$645.75
Lincoln County	\$240.75	\$1,035.75	\$168.53	\$725.03
Oxford County	\$184.50	\$794.25	\$129.15	\$555.98
Piscataquis County	\$202.50	\$869.25	\$141.75	\$608.48
Somerset County	\$202.50	\$870.75	\$141.75	\$609.53
Waldo County	\$218.25	\$937.50	\$152.78	\$656.25
Washington County	\$177.75	\$764.25	\$124.43	\$534.98

Metropolitan FMR Areas	25 Beds or Less		26+ Beds	
Area	Weekly	Monthly	Weekly	Monthly
Bangor HMFA	\$247.50	\$1,062.75	\$173.25	\$743.93
Cumberland County HMFA	\$263.25	\$1,132.50	\$184.28	\$792.75
Lewiston/Auburn MSA	\$228.75	\$983.25	\$160.13	\$688.28
Penobscot County HMFA	\$198.75	\$855.00	\$139.13	\$598.50
Portland HMFA	\$313.50	\$1,347.75	\$219.45	\$943.43
Sagadahoc County HMFA	\$237.75	\$1,022.25	\$166.43	\$715.58
York County HMFA	\$266.25	\$1,144.50	\$186.38	\$801.15
York/Kittery/S. Berwick HMFA	\$317.25	\$1,364.25	\$222.08	\$954.98

These rates were calculated according to CMR 10-144, Ch. 323, Section V which requires:

- A. Recovery Residence are 75% of 1 bedroom heated rate.
- B. Recovery Residence with 26 or more beds is 70% of the <26 bed rate (A)

Appendix D - UTILITIES

ELECTRIC

NOTE: For an electrically heated dwelling also see “Heating Fuel” maximums below. But remember, an applicant is ***not automatically*** entitled to the “maximums” established-applicants must demonstrate need.

1) Electricity Maximums for Households Without Electric Hot Water: The maximum amounts allowed for utilities, for lights, cooking and other electric uses ***excluding*** electric hot water and heat:

<u>Number in Household</u>	<u>Weekly</u>	<u>Monthly</u>
1	\$19.95	\$85.50
2	\$22.52	\$96.50
3	\$24.97	\$107.00
4	\$27.53	\$118.00
5	\$29.88	\$128.50
6	\$32.55	\$139.50

NOTE: For each additional person add \$10.50 per month.

2) Electricity Maximums for Households With Electrically Heated Hot Water: The maximum amounts allowed for utilities, hot water, for lights, cooking and other electric uses *excluding* heat:

<u>Number in Household</u>	<u>Weekly</u>	<u>Monthly</u>
1	\$29.63	\$127.00
2	\$34.07	\$146.00
3	\$39.67	\$170.00
4	\$46.32	\$198.50
5	\$55.65	\$238.50
6	\$58.68	\$251.50

NOTE: For each additional person add \$14.50 per month.

NOTE: For electrically heated households, the maximum amount allowed for electrical utilities per month shall be the sum of the appropriate maximum amount under this subsection and the appropriate maximum for heating fuel as provided below.

Appendix E - HEATING FUEL

<u>Month</u>	<u>Gallons</u>	<u>Month</u>	<u>Gallons</u>
September	50	January	225
October	100	February	225
November	200	March	125
December	200	April	125
		May	50

NOTE: When the dwelling unit is heated electrically, the maximum amount allowed for heating purposes will be calculated by multiplying the number of gallons of fuel allowed for that month by the current price per gallon. When fuels such as wood, coal and/or natural gas are used for heating purposes, they will be budgeted at actual rates, if they are reasonable. No eligible applicant shall be considered to need more than 7 tons of coal per year, 8 cords of wood per year, 126,000 cubic feet of natural gas per year, or 1000 gallons of propane.

Appendix F -PERSONAL CARE & HOUSEHOLD SUPPLIES MAXIMUMS

<u>Number in Household</u>	<u>Weekly Amount</u>	<u>Monthly Amount</u>
1-2	\$10.50	\$45.00
3-4	\$11.60	\$50.00
5-6	\$12.80	\$55.00
7-8	\$14.00	\$60.00

NOTE: For each additional person add \$1.25 per week or \$5.00 per month.

SUPPLEMENT FOR HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN UNDER 5

When an applicant can verify expenditures for the following items, a special supplement will be budgeted as necessary for households with children under 5 years of age for items such as cloth or disposable diapers, laundry powder, oil, shampoo, and ointment up to the following amounts:

<u>Number of Children</u>	<u>Weekly Amount</u>	<u>Monthly Amount</u>
1	\$12.80	\$55.00
2	\$17.40	\$75.00
3	\$23.30	\$100.00
4	\$27.90	\$120.00

Appendix G

Effective: 10/01/2026-09/30/2027

2026-2027 Mileage Rate

This municipality adopts the State of Maine travel expense reimbursement rate as set by the Office of the State Comptroller. The current rate for approved employment and necessary medical travel, etc. is 56 cents (56¢) per mile.

Please refer to the Office of the State Controller for changes to this rate at 626-8402 or visit <http://www.state.me.us/osc/>

Appendix H

Effective: 10/01/2026-09/30/2027

Funeral Maximums

Burial Maximums

The maximum amount of general assistance granted for the purpose of burial is **\$1,620**. The municipality's obligation to provide funds for burial purposes is limited to a reasonable calculation of the funeral director's direct costs, not to exceed the maximum amounts of assistance described in this section. Allowable burial expenses are limited to:

- removal of the body from a local residence or institution
- a secured death certificate or obituary
- embalming
- a minimum casket
- a reasonable cost for necessary transportation
- other reasonable and necessary specified direct costs, as itemized by the funeral director and approved by the municipal administrator.

Additional costs may be allowed by the GA administrator, where there is an actual cost, for:

- the wholesale cost of a cement liner if the cemetery by-laws require one;
- the opening and closing of the grave site; and
- a lot in the least expensive section of the cemetery. If the municipality is able to provide a cemetery lot in a municipally owned cemetery or in a cemetery under

municipal control, the cost of the cemetery lot in any other cemetery will not be paid by the municipality.

Cremation Maximums

The maximum amount of assistance granted for a cremation shall be **\$1,125.**

The municipality's obligation to provide funds for cremation purposes is limited to a reasonable calculation of the funeral director's direct costs, not to exceed the maximum amounts of assistance described in this section. Allowable cremation expenses are limited to:

- removal and transportation of the body from a local residence or institution
- professional fees
- crematorium fees
- a secured death certificate or obituary
- other reasonable and necessary specified direct costs, as itemized by the funeral director and approved by the municipal administrator.

Additional costs may be allowed by the GA administrator where there is an actual cost, for:

- a cremation lot in the least expensive section of the cemetery
- a reasonable cost for a burial urn not to exceed \$55
- transportation costs borne by the funeral director at a reasonable rate per mile for transporting the remains to and from the cremation facility.

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Order No. 26-083. 7:00 p.m. Public Hearing and action on the new request for a Massage Therapist License from Allysha McSmith-Sellers, working at Oasis Wellness Center, located at 433 US Route One and for a Massage Establishment License Saltwood Inc. d/b/a Saltwood Wellness, located at 2 Stewart Drive, Unit 102. [Town Clerk] A brief overview was given on this Order. Chairman Fellows opened the public hearing. As there were no comments either for or against, the hearing was closed at 7:51 p.m.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval on the new request for a Massage Therapist License from Allysha McSmith-Sellers, working at Oasis Wellness Center, located at 433 US Route One and for a Massage Establishment License Saltwood Inc. d/b/a Saltwood Wellness, located at 2 Stewart Drive, Unit 102.

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Item 12. OLD BUSINESS: None at this time.

Item 13. NEW BUSINESS:

Order No. 26-084. First reading and schedule a second reading on the request to expenditure, in an amount not to exceed, \$380,000 from the Land Bond Fund to assist the Scarborough Land Trust in purchasing 65 acres located at 8 Blueberry Lane [also known as Blueberry Preserve] identified by the Scarborough Tax Assessors Map R003/Lot 011, as recommended by the Parks and Conservation Land Board, and authorize the Town Manager to execute any and all documents as are necessary to protect the Town's interest. [Parks and Conservation Land Board] Steve Zameiowski, Chair of the Parks and Conservation Land Board, gave a brief overview.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval of the first reading on the request to expenditure, in an amount not to exceed, \$380,000 from the Land Bond Fund to assist the Scarborough Land Trust in purchasing 65 acres located at 8 Blueberry Lane [also known as Blueberry

Preserve] identified by the Scarborough Tax Assessors Map R003/Lot 011, as recommended by the Parks and Conservation Land Board, and authorize the Town Manager to execute any and all documents as are necessary to protect the Town's interest and schedule the second reading for Wednesday, October 21, 2026.

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Order No. 26-085. First reading and schedule a public hearing and second reading to establish a new Ordinance entitled “*Municipal Polices Regarding Federal Immigration Enforcement.*” [Town Council] Chairman Fellows gave a brief overview on this Order. Councilor Anderson also commented on this Order. The following spoke on this Order:

- Jennifer Jortberg of Scarborough and the Community Coalition to Remove ICE, proposed a municipal ordinance to separate local law enforcement duties from federal immigration responsibilities. She argued that prioritizing community safety over ICE assistance makes residents less vulnerable and encouraged crime reporting among immigrants. She warned that collaboration with ICE may expose the town to legal risks. She insisted that municipal employees should prioritize community interests and that local tax funding should support community needs.
- Chris Smick of Drake Lane, highlighted changes in Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) procedures, noting a subtle approach and increased detentions since January. He opposes open borders, advocates for due process, and criticized the federal administration for undermining these rights. Emphasizing local governance's role in immigration issues and supports a proposed ordinance to protect due process in local immigration policies.
- Caitlin Blodgett of Portland, expressed gratitude to the town council for their humanity and went on to reads a sign she created, highlighting a serious incident involving ICE in Biddeford, Maine, on July 13, 2026, stating, "ICE shot an innocent man dead under our watch," and pleaded for divine intervention for her community.
- John Raby of Estate Drive, expressed gratitude for discussing an important topic and questioned whether the draft related to the agenda will be presented during the meeting. he emphasize the need to review the exact wording for evaluation and inquire about the draft's public availability, Chairman Fellows confirmed that the draft had been available online since last Friday.
- Eric Nathanson of Scarborough, endorsed the town council ordinance aimed at preventing local police from collaborating with ICE during off-duty hours, as this goes against directives from local authorities. He emphasized the importance of not wasting town resources on federal cooperation and highlighted that ICE is a recent agency, formed less than twenty years ago.
- Marvin Gates of Black Point Road, voiced his concerns to the Town Council regarding the definition of a town employee in draft section 2C, questioning his own eligibility. He stressed potential legal risks associated with official capacities noted in draft section five, fearing the draft might impose restrictions on volunteer actions that could result in legal challenges. He also sought information about insurance coverage for committee members to address these issues and concluded by asking whether the town provides protection for its volunteers.
- Nina McKee of Black Point Road, want to acknowledge how fortunate we are to have dedicated individuals making important decisions for our town. Your responsiveness to our concerns about ICE has led to commendable solutions. I particularly want to recognize Councilor Anderson's hard work—thank you all. I believe we are on a positive path with these discussions.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval of the first reading and schedule a public hearing and second reading to establish a new Ordinance entitled “*Municipal Polices Regarding Federal Immigration Enforcement,*” as follows:

BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED, by the Town Council of the Town of Scarborough, Maine, in Town Council assembled, that the following Chapter 314 Ordinance Establishing Municipal Policies Regarding Federal Immigration Enforcement, Town of Scarborough, Maine, is hereby established:

DRAFT CHAPTER 314

ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING MUNICIPAL POLICIES REGARDING FEDERAL IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT

Be it ordained by the Town Council of the Town of Scarborough as follows:

SECTION 1. PURPOSE AND INTENT

The Town of Scarborough recognizes that immigration enforcement is the responsibility of the federal government and that the Town's limited employees, facilities, equipment, funds and other resources should primarily be dedicated to municipal responsibilities, public safety and the needs of Scarborough residents.

The purpose of this ordinance is to:

1. Establish clear and consistent procedures for Town employees when interacting with immigration authorities;
2. Ensure that Town resources are not used for federal civil immigration enforcement except when required by state or federal law or judicial order;
3. Protect the privacy and due-process rights of persons interacting with Town government to the fullest extent permitted by law; and
4. Ensure that requests from immigration authorities are handled consistently and appropriately by designated Town officials.

Nothing in this ordinance shall be interpreted to interfere with the Town's obligation to comply with federal or state law, a valid judicial order, or lawful federal law-enforcement activity.

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS

For purposes of this ordinance:

- A. "Immigration authority" means a federal, state, or local officer, employee or other person performing immigration enforcement functions, including the Department of Homeland Security.
- B. "Immigration enforcement" means any effort to investigate, enforce or assist in the investigation or enforcement of any federal civil immigration law and include any effort to investigate, enforce or assist in the investigation or enforcement of any violations of Title 8 of the United States Code.
- C. "Town employee" means any employee, officer, official or agent of the Town of Scarborough acting in their official capacity.
- D. "Town resources" means Town employees, funds, vehicles, equipment, facilities, information systems, databases and other property owned, leased or controlled by the Town.

SECTION 3. USE OF TOWN RESOURCES

Except where required by state or federal law or judicial order, no Town employee or department shall knowingly use Town resources to assist, cooperate with or facilitate immigration enforcement.

This prohibition includes, but is not limited to:

- A. Providing Town employees solely to assist immigration enforcement;
- B. Using Town vehicles, equipment, technology or other property to facilitate immigration enforcement;
- C. Establishing traffic control or perimeters solely for the purpose of facilitating immigration enforcement; and
- D. Providing Town facilities or other Town resources for the purpose of conducting immigration enforcement.

Nothing in this section prohibits a Town employee from responding to an emergency, protecting public safety, investigating a criminal offense, or otherwise performing their ordinary municipal responsibilities.

SECTION 4. ACCESS TO NONPUBLIC TOWN PROPERTY

Immigration authorities shall not be granted voluntary access by a Town employee to nonpublic areas of Town-owned or Town-controlled property for purposes of immigration enforcement unless:

- A. The access is required by state or federal law;
- B. The immigration authority presents a valid judicial warrant or other legally sufficient judicial order; or
- C. The Town Attorney determines that access is otherwise legally required.

Town employees shall not independently determine the legal sufficiency of a subpoena, warrant, or immigration-enforcement document. Such requests shall be referred promptly to the Town Manager or the Town Attorney.

Nothing in this section limits access to portions of Town property that are lawfully open to the general public.

SECTION 5. INFORMATION REQUESTS

Except where required by state or federal law or judicial order, Town employees shall not knowingly collect or disclose information for the purpose of assisting immigration enforcement when that information is not otherwise collected or maintained as part of the Town's lawful municipal functions.

A request for information relating to immigration enforcement shall be referred to the Town Manager or the Town Attorney for review.

Nothing in this section prohibits:

- A. The collection or maintenance of information required for legitimate municipal purposes;
- B. The disclosure of information required by The Freedom of Access Act, other law, judicial subpoena or judicial order;
- C. The cooperation in the investigation of a criminal offense unrelated to a person's immigration status, or allowed by state law; or
- D. Any disclosure necessary to protect an individual's life or prevent an imminent threat to public safety.

SECTION 6. NO IMMIGRATION-ONLY ENFORCEMENT BY TOWN EMPLOYEES

No Town employee shall stop, arrest, detain or continue to detain a person solely for purposes of civil immigration enforcement, except to the extent expressly required by state or federal law.

Nothing in this section limits the authority or duty of Scarborough Police Officers to enforce Maine criminal law or otherwise perform their lawful public-safety duties.

Nothing in this ordinance shall be interpreted to restrict cooperation with federal, state or local law-enforcement agencies concerning criminal investigations where the primary purpose of the investigation is not immigration enforcement.

SECTION 7. AGREEMENTS WITH FEDERAL IMMIGRATION AUTHORITIES

Town departments and employees may not negotiate nor enter into any agreement, contract or other arrangement that authorizes an immigration authority to use Town employees or resources for immigration enforcement unless expressly authorized or required by state or federal law or judicial order.

Any proposed agreement involving immigration enforcement shall be referred to the Town Manager and Town Attorney before negotiation.

SECTION 8. DESIGNATED RESPONSE PROCEDURE

The Town Manager shall establish a written procedure for responding to requests from immigration authorities.

The procedure shall:

- A. Identify the Town officials authorized to receive and respond to such requests;
- B. Require Town employees to promptly refer requests for access to nonpublic property or confidential information to the designated official;
- C. Establish procedures for reviewing warrants, subpoenas, detainers and other legal documents relating to immigration;
- D. Provide Town employees with guidance concerning public and nonpublic areas of Town property; and
- E. Ensure that employees understand when they are legally required to cooperate and when cooperation is discretionary.

SECTION 9. DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING

When a Town employee or department receives a request from an immigration authority to assist in immigration enforcement, the employee shall, to the extent permitted by law, document the request and refer it to the employee's supervisor and the Town Manager. When documenting any verbal request, the Town employee shall not record the name, other personally identifiable information, or confidential information of the person of whom information is sought. The Town employee shall only record the following information if known:

- 1. The person or entity making the request;
- 2. The Town department receiving the request;
- 3. The general nature of the request; and

4. Whether the request was granted, denied or referred for legal review.

At least annually, the Town Manager shall provide the Town Council with a report identifying, to the extent permitted by law, the number of requests received and a summary of the four factors listed above. The report shall not contain confidential information or personally identifiable information when disclosure is prohibited by law. The Police Chief shall maintain records of requests to the Police Department and to provide sufficient details of the records consistent with federal and state law necessary to allow the Town Manager to create this report.

SECTION 10. EMPLOYEE TRAINING

The Town Manager shall provide appropriate training to Town employees regarding this ordinance.

Training shall include, as appropriate:

- A. The distinction between administrative immigration documents and judicial warrants;
- B. The distinction between public and nonpublic areas of Town property;
- C. Procedures for responding to immigration authorities;
- D. Procedures for handling information requests related to immigration or requests from an immigration authority;
- E. Procedures for distinguishing public records from confidential information; and,
- F. The employee's obligation to refer legal questions to the appropriate Town official.

SECTION 11. COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL LAW

Nothing in this ordinance shall:

- A. Prevent the Town or any employee from complying with federal or state law;
- B. Prevent compliance with a valid judicial warrant, judicial subpoena or court order;
- C. Interfere with a state or federal law enforcement officer's lawful authority;
- D. Restrict lawful cooperation concerning criminal activity; or
- E. Confer upon the Town authority to regulate or interfere with immigration enforcement.

SECTION 12. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this ordinance is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, that determination shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remaining provisions.

SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE

This ordinance shall take effect in accordance with the Charter and ordinances of the Town of Scarborough.

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Order No. 26-086. Act to authorize the Town Council Chair to send a letter on behalf of the Town Council to the Department of Homeland Security, requesting a tour for the purpose of gathering

information regarding activities at the ICE Facility, located at 40 Manson Libby Road. [Town Council] Chairman Fellows, gave a brief overview on this Order. The following spoke on this Order:

- Tom Nolan of Waldron Drive, thanked the council and all members, particularly Councilor Anderson, for their contributions. It's recognized as a team effort, and while progress has been made, the work is not finished. The commitment to continue working hard is acknowledged and appreciated.
- Eric Nathanson of Scarborough, expressed concerns about a proposed compliance strategy for a Scarborough facility, suggesting that a single visit might overlook ongoing issues. He called for more sustainable solutions to maintain lease agreements and adhere to local immigration laws, emphasizing detainee respect. Despite acknowledging the council's good intentions, he doubts the effectiveness of their correspondence. Another speaker highlighted legal limitations on the council's authority in code enforcement, which must depend on credible complaints rather than conjectures.
- John Raby of Estate Drive, expressed appreciation for a received letter and shares two personal predictions: first, that access to a facility will likely occur only on election day; second, that there may be a sanitization process to undergo before entry, advising caution moving forward.
- Jennifer Jortberg of Scarborough spoke on behalf of the Community Coalition to Remove ICE, and voiced concerns about federal immigration enforcement in Scarborough. She called for better communication between local officials and federal agencies regarding operations at Manson Libby Road, criticized the qualifications of agents involved in the death of Johan Sebastian Duron Guerrero, and pointed out ICE's lack of transparency and accountability. The Group urged local officials to question ICE's operations as a public safety and moral issue, while recognizing their limited power, and pledged to continue advocating for oversight and accountability.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval to authorize the Town Council Chair to send a letter on behalf of the Town Council to the Department of Homeland Security, requesting a tour for the purpose of gathering information regarding activities at the ICE Facility, located at 40 Manson Libby Road.

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Order No. 26-087. Act on the request set the date and time for the regular Municipal Elections and Referendum Election, for Tuesday, November 3, 2026, at the Scarborough High School – Alumni Gym; sign the Warrant; appoint the warden and warden clerk, set the hours for voter registration, and act on appointments of election clerks, pursuant to Chapter 200 – Article VIII. Nomination and Elections, Title 21-A,§503 and authorize the Town Clerk to make any additional appointments as necessary. [Town Clerk's Office] A brief overview was given on this Order.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval on the request set the date and time for the regular Municipal Elections and Referendum Election, for Tuesday, November 3, 2026, at the Scarborough High School – Alumni Gym; sign the Warrant; appoint the warden and warden clerk, set the hours for voter registration, and act on appointments of election clerks, pursuant to Chapter 200 – Article VIII. Nomination and Elections, Title 21-A,§503 and authorize the Town Clerk to make any additional appointments as necessary, as follows:

WARRANT
STATE REFERENDUM AND MUNICIPAL ELECTION
(20-A M.R.S.A. § 1486 and 2307)
TOWN OF SCARBOROUGH
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2026
DAY AND DATE OF ELECTION

Municipality: Scarborough

Voting District: Town Wide

Voting Place Name: Scarborough High School

Voting Location: 11 Municipal Drive

Polls Open at 7:00 A.M.

Polls Close at 8:00 P.M.

Absentee Ballot Processing (check all that apply):

Processed by: ☐ Clerk (Centrally) ☒ Clerk (At Polls)

Date/Time of Processing:

☒ During Election Day (11/03/2026) Processing Time(s): 8:30 a.m., 01:30 p.m. & 7:30 p.m.

☐ Only after 8:00 p.m. on Election Day (11/03/2026) _____

County of Cumberland, ss

State of Maine

To: Mark A. Holmquist, the Police Chief of Scarborough:

You are hereby required in the name of the State of Maine to notify the voters of the Town of Scarborough of the State Referendum and Municipal Election, as described in this warrant.

To the Voters of the Town of Scarborough:

You are hereby notified that an election for the purpose of a State Referendum and Municipal Election will be held on Tuesday, November 3, 2026 at the Scarborough High School Alumni Gymnasium, located at 11 Municipal Drive:

TOWN COUNCIL

THREE (3) Year Term
Vote for THREE (3)

Agarwal, Isha
Cain, Lawrence W.
Cofone, Samuel J.
Flaherty, Sean P.
Hamilton, Denise R.
Sargent, Jessica M.
Werts, Russell Wayne

**SPECIAL ELECTION FOR
BOARD OF EDUCATION**

to fill a vacancy created by Charlotte M.
Jacobs with a term to expire 2028
Vote for ONE (1)

Guillerault, Andrew N.
Zamierowski, Stephen Z.

SANITARY DISTRICT

THREE (3) Year Term
Vote for THREE (3)

Garrett, Matthew P.
Greenleaf, Jason A.
Lafreniere Jr., Denis J.
McDougal, Benjamin T.

Referendum Question No. 1:

Shall the Order entitled “**ORDER AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF UP TO \$6,000,000 IN BONDS OF THE TOWN TO FUND THE COST OF “TOWN RADIO PROJECT”**” be approved?

**FINANCIAL
STATEMENT**

1. Total Indebtedness as of November 1, 2026:

A.	Bonds outstanding and unpaid:	\$ 72,625,000
B.	Bond Anticipation Notes Outstanding:	\$ 8,245,000
C.	Bonds authorized and unissued:	\$162,273,036
D.	Bonds to be issued if this Article is approved:	\$ 6,000,000

2 Costs:

At an estimated maximum interest of 4.00%
for a maximum ten (10) year maturity,
the estimated costs of this bond issue will be:

Principal:	\$ 6,000,000
Interest:	<u>\$ 1,320,000</u>
Total Debt Service	\$ 7,320,000

3. Validity:

The validity of the bonds and of the voters' ratification of the bonds may not be affected by any errors in the above estimates. If the actual amount of the total debt service for the bond issue varies from the estimate, the ratification by the electors is nevertheless conclusive and the validity of the bond issue is not affected by reason of the variance.

/s/ _____
Town Treasurer

The polls shall be opened at 7:00 A.M. and closed at 8:00 P.M.

Absentee Ballots shall be processed as noted above.

The Registrar of Voters will hold office hours during regular business hours of the Town Hall and at the Polls to correct any error or change a name or address on the voting list, to accept the registration of any person eligible to vote, and to accept new enrollments.

A person who is not registered as a voter may not vote in any Scarborough election.

Given under our hand this 30th day of September, 2026, at Scarborough, Maine.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

A True Copy Attested:

Date:

ELECTION WORKERS FOR THE NOVEMBER 3, 2026 ELECTION:

Alyson Bristol	Elizabeth Grant	Lorraine LaChappelle
Bethany Hayn	Ellen Hinchee	Margaret Smetana
Blue Smith	Heather Hansen	Marie Eschner
Brian Harrington	James Horrigan	Marjorie DeSantis
Brittaney Jackson	Jane Foden	Mary Ellen Brown
Carol Call	Janet Chintz	Nancy Begert
Caroline Bracci	Janet Yusmin	Pam Densmore
Charlene Gillchrest	Janice Barker	Paul Wolf
Cheryl Plowman	Janice Breton	Peg Metzger

Christopher Smick
Darlene Greenfield
David Williams
Dee (Deanne) Millett
Donna Aspinall
Donna Daigneault
Donna Hinds
Doug Friedman
Cindy Kueck, Warden

Jaune Thornton
Jennifer Camire
John O'Neill
Joyce Brown
Karen Morrill
Kimberly Rand
Laurel Jackson
Linda Cohen
Chris Backmeyer, Deputy Warden

Rebecca Darling
Robert Wooten
Steve Palmer
Susan Griffin
Susan Hendrix
Tim Boardman
Valerie Wilson
Vera Dobbs

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Item 14. Non-Action Item. None at this time.

Item 15. Council Member Comments.

- Councilor Hayes noted that at a recent council meeting, a hundred-year-old Kaler Vail resident advocated for an extension of the transit route, underscoring the importance of community voices. The Route will now go to Kaler Vail and to the Piper Shores Community. She went on to provide an update on the approved Wood Bank in Scarborough, detailing its first workday and firewood distribution to local households. A fundraiser for the Wood Bank, organized by Jeremy Gronden and Project Grace, is set for November 14th at the Village Center, featuring activities and donation opportunities.
- Chairman Fellows addressed concerns regarding public participation, noting inherent structural challenges in communication between council and the public. While workshops aim to provide education and deliberation, public comment time is often limited and acknowledged the frustration this can cause but emphasized the council's openness to offline discussions. The next Town Council meeting is scheduled for October 21st, at the public safety building. He noted that the marsh cleanup event on Saturday, would start at the Audubon Center around 9:00 a.m.

Item 16. Adjournment. Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval to adjourn the regular meeting of the Scarborough Town Council.

Vote: 5 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Meeting adjourned at 9:06 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Yolande P. Justice
Clerk to the Council