

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Wilson High School	43-69674-4330247	April 21, 2026	June 11, 2026

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California’s ESSA State Plan supports the state’s approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state’s Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Wilson High School for meeting ESSA’s planning requirements for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page	1
Table of Contents.....	2
Plan Description.....	3
Educational Partner Involvement	3
Resource Inequities	3
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	3
California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators.....	4
Other Needs.....	4
School and Student Performance Data	5
Student Enrollment.....	5
CAASPP Results.....	8
ELPAC Results	14
Student Population.....	18
Overall Performance	20
Academic Performance	22
Academic Engagement	31
Conditions & Climate.....	34
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....	36
Goal 1.....	36
Goal 2.....	39
Goal 3.....	41
Goal 4.....	43
Goal 5.....	45
Budget Summary	47
Budget Summary	47
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	47
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan	48
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....	48
Expenditures by Funding Source	48
Expenditures by Budget Reference	48
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	48
Expenditures by Goal.....	49
School Site Council Membership	50
Recommendations and Assurances	51

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA's planning requirements for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

The school meets as needed with the SSC and the administrator is kept up to date with all requirements through the support of the district level administration. Administration works closely with district administration regarding CSI requirements and goals. Plans and goals are developed based on data analysis with input from various stakeholders. The school plan is in alignment with the district's LCAP goals to improve student achievement in support of underserved students. WHS utilizes a continuous cycle of inquiry to reflect annually and create improvements and modifications based on evolving student needs.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did your Wilson High School consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Staff Input is gathered through staff meetings, surveys, and discussions throughout the year. Staff give input as to where the targeted funds would be most valuable for our students to succeed based on data points reviewed monthly at Data/Collaboration Staff Meetings. The SPSA is reviewed as needed throughout the year through SSC (School Site Council) meetings for updates and approval. The school site leadership team meets monthly to review goals and progress. Parents and students are invited to SSC meetings for input however they rarely attend. Student voice is heard through surveys and our Spirit and Leadership group (SAL) on campus. SPSA was discussed at two SSC Meetings this school year: 10/15/2025 and 4/21/2026. Due to a low percentage of EL students, an ELAC committee is not a requirement for Wilson HS.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI only.

Wilson High School is currently identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) due to low graduation rates. Through our needs assessment, we identified several resource inequities that contribute to this outcome.

Students at Wilson often face significant social-emotional and mental health challenges, yet access to wellness and therapeutic services has historically been limited. Until recently, the school had only part-time therapeutic and wellness support, which impacted our ability to provide early, sustained interventions for students at risk of falling off track for graduation. Limited availability of a school therapist and reduced counseling staffing meant that some students did not receive the timely academic guidance or emotional support necessary to stay engaged and complete graduation requirements.

In addition, access to credit recovery and consistent academic interventions has been inconsistent over time, especially for students who transfer mid-year, have gaps in coursework, or face external challenges like housing instability or trauma. These students often experience delays in getting the academic and wraparound services they need.

Current CSI funding is helping to address some of these inequities by funding an on-site therapist, an additional two days of Wellness Coordinator support, supporting full time daycare opportunities for our parenting teens, and continuing the support of our Assistant Principal. However, these are critical services that should be part of the school's baseline support system, rather than dependent on temporary funding. As a school community, we are working to create long-term solutions that ensure every student has the support they need to graduate.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category.

Chronic Absenteeism was not available on our dashboard this year, despite usually being in the Red category. Red Categories: Graduation Rate at 56.7%, Science, and College/Career at 5.2% prepared. NO Performance Color due to insignificant data set (n=less than 20): ELA and Math.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance.

Due to a low number of all students on the dashboard, many subgroups are not quantified.

Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

The mental health support that Wilson students need is above average. This is difficult to quantify, however our wellness staff work with 100% of our students in some capacity and over 40% of our students receive regular sessions and check ins. 80% of our students are referred by a staff member for wellness support. 17% of our students have an Emotional Disturbance diagnosis; which requires additional support and attention. Over 70% of our students self-determine that they suffer with Anxiety while over 40% admit to issues with motivation and concentration. During counseling sessions students disclose that they are dealing with trauma (over 70%).

It is evident that additional mental health support is needed at Wilson and will be provided through soft funding (CSI). However, it should become a baseline level of support for staffing at Wilson and not reliant on soft-funding that could not be provided the following year.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Wilson High School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26	23-24	24-25	25-26
American Indian	1.27%	1.53%	0.90%	2	2	1
African American	3.16%	3.05%	3.60%	5	4	4
Asian	11.39%	12.21%	12.61%	18	16	14
Filipino	2.53%	2.29%	2.70%	4	3	3
Hispanic/Latino	53.80%	51.15%	49.55%	85	67	55
Pacific Islander	0.63%	%	%	1		
White	22.15%	22.90%	23.42%	35	30	26
Multiple/No Response	4.43%	6.11%	7.21%	7	8	8
Total Enrollment				158	131	111

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26
Grade 7	2		
Grade 8	4		
Grade 9	2	2	1
Grade 10	17	14	9
Grade 11	33	22	22
Grade 12	100	93	79
Total Enrollment	158	131	111

Conclusions based on this data:

1. First, our data that includes K-8th graders that does not impact the spending of these targeted funds. We are fully a 9-12 school only again.
2. Additionally, the K-5 program ended in 2023 and the 6-8 program closed at the end of the 2024 school year. The high enrollment numbers are due to the online learning platform that was offered due to the pandemic. The 24-25 data is the most accurate for Wilson HS with over 50% of the students enrolled being in the 12th grade. We continued to see a decrease of enrollment into the 25-26 school year.

3. The enrollment data also shows that we have a high number of 12th graders and that number has continued to be the largest enrollment number at Wilson as it also includes our 5th year students. In general the overall enrollment numbers have stayed relatively consistent with numbers and ethnicity (Latinx being the majority of our HS student population at over 60%).

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26	23-24	24-25	25-26
English Learners	32	28	12	20.9%	20.3%	10.8%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	37	28	31	20.2%	23.4%	27.9%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	34	27		17.2%	21.50%	

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The overall number of EL students has stayed consistent over the past 3 years. However, this data includes programs that do not include WHS, such as our Post Secondary program that currently has about 5 of the students in this count.
2. Wilson HS consistently has a lower percentage of EL students enrolled - approximately 10-12% - rather than the 20.3% provided through state data.
3. Overall, Wilson tends to not enroll EL (level 1) students due to the independent study nature of our school and the reliance on English language (reading and writing) for access to the curriculum. Primarily our EL students are enrolled in our YPC (Young Parents Center) due to their higher need for childcare and young parenting support with more limited EL supports. We anticipate that with the on-site daycare option concluding in the 25-26 school year, that our YPC students will attend a comprehensive HS to attain their EL needs.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*					
Grade 4	*			*			*					
Grade 5	*			*			*					
Grade 6	5			5			5			100.0		
Grade 7	*	5		*	4		*	4			80	
Grade 8	8	6		6	4		6	4		75.0	66.7	
Grade 11	49	39	29	29	24	19	29	24	19	59.2	61.5	65.5
All Grades	70	50	29	46	32	19	46	32	19	65.7	64	65.5

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	2572.	2535.	2555.	17.24	12.50	5.26	34.48	25.00	31.58	20.69	25.00	42.11	27.59	37.50	21.05
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.74	12.50	5.26	32.61	28.13	31.58	19.57	21.88	42.11	26.09	37.50	21.05

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	23.91	12.50	*	56.52	59.38	*	19.57	28.13	*

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	23.91	12.50	*	47.83	46.88	*	28.26	40.63	*

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	15.22	3.13	*	73.91	87.50	*	10.87	9.38	*

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	17.39	15.63	*	65.22	68.75	*	17.39	15.63	*

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Only 11th grade pertains to Wilson High School. K-8 programs are under Wilson's CDS code, but not under Wilson's direction/funding. A large number of Wilson students tested are Wilson students that come to Wilson because they are credit deficient. This means that their scores will be lower than expected since they may not have covered the grade level content required for the assessment. This data reflects the at -risk nature of our students.
2. There was a very slight decrease (less than 1%) in the percentage of 11th graders that met or exceeded the ELA test - this aligns with our SPSA and WASC goal to increase literacy in our students and continues to be a focus for our WASC goals as well. (however it was a very small sample size of students in the 24-25 school year)
3. Without consistent enrollment from years prior to the testing (they were not Wilson students typically before their Junior year), it is difficult to compare yearly results with fidelity. However, it is always a focus for WHS to meet the needs of the students currently attending our school. Literacy continues to be a focus and goals are created with student literacy in mind.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*					
Grade 4	*			*			*					
Grade 5	*			*			*					
Grade 6	5			5			5			100.0		
Grade 7	4	5		*	4		*	4			80	
Grade 8	7	6		5	4		5	4		71.4	66.7	
Grade 11	49	38	29	27	23	17	27	23	17	55.1	60.5	58.6
All Grades	70	49	29	43	31	17	43	31	17	61.4	63.3	58.6

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	2472.	2448.	2469.	0.00	4.35	0.00	11.11	0.00	5.88	14.81	21.74	11.76	74.07	73.91	82.35
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.98	12.90	0.00	13.95	0.00	5.88	13.95	19.35	11.76	65.12	67.74	82.35

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	9.30	6.45	*	27.91	35.48	*	62.79	58.06	*

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	6.98	12.90	*	53.49	48.39	*	39.53	38.71	*

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	*			*			*		
Grade 4	*			*			*		
Grade 5	*			*			*		
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 8	*	*		*	*		*	*	
Grade 11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	4.65	6.45	*	65.12	54.84	*	30.23	38.71	*

Conclusions based on this data:

- Only 11th grade pertains to Wilson High School. K-8 is under Wilson's CDS code, but not under Wilson's direction/funding. A large number of Wilson students tested are Wilson students come to Wilson because they are credit deficient. This means that their scores will be lower than expected since they may not have covered the grade level content required for the assessment. This data reflects the at-risk nature of our students.

2. We continue to have a majority of the students below standard on this assessment: this past year 5.88% met standards however 0% exceeded standards (a reversal from last year). Students continue to struggle in the area of mathematics and WHS has provided more tutoring and support sessions for our students to try to close this gap.
3. Math continues to be a focus on our WASC goals as students come to Wilson behind grade level and need remediation support to complete the required Algebra 1 coursework. The math adoption work occurring in the 25-26 school year will require implementation of new coursework starting in the 26-27 school year.

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's [English Language Proficiency Assessments for California \(ELPAC\)](https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/eng/elpac/) web page or the [ELPAC.org](https://elpac.org) website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	0
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	8	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5	*	5
All Grades										22	15	10

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	40.00	46.67	*	30.00	13.33	*	20.00	26.67	*	10.00	13.33	*	20	15	*

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	60.00	60.00	*	30.00	20.00	*	5.00	13.33	*	5.00	6.67	*	20	15	*

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	0.00	0.00	*	30.00	20.00	*	45.00	46.67	*	25.00	33.33	*	20	15	*

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	15.00	26.67	*	75.00	46.67	*	10.00	26.67	*	20	15	*

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	95.00	73.33	*	0.00	20.00	*	5.00	6.67	*	20	15	*

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	15.00	0.00	*	35.00	53.33	*	50.00	46.67	*	20	15	*

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
7	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
8	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
9	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	10.00	6.67	*	80.00	66.67	*	10.00	26.67	*	20	15	*

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There are a small number of students tested in the ELPAC, which makes a lot of the data omitted due to a small sample size with little data to compare. This tends to be consistent year to year.
2. This makes a lot of this data unusable due to the small sample size.
3. Historically, our EL Level 1 and 2 students tend to be students enrolled in the Young Parents Center program due to being pregnant or a parenting mother or father. This accounted for 5 of the students last year.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2024-25 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
131	43.5%	21.4%	0.0%
Total Number of Students enrolled in Wilson High School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2024-25 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	28	21.4%
Foster Youth	0	0.0%
Homeless	1	0.8%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57	43.5%
Students with Disabilities	73	55.7%

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	4	3.1%
American Indian	2	1.5%
Asian	16	12.2%
Filipino	3	2.3%
Hispanic	67	51.1%
Two or More Races	8	6.1%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
White	30	22.9%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This data includes our Post Secondary program (18-22 year olds with disabilities) but still overall depicts our school as a whole well. We see a high % SPED students compared to the comprehensive high schools (46 students are in

the PS program, that still leaves WHS with 27 students, approx 30%). This is a goal to work with the SPED department to provide additional supports for the students with IEP goals attending an independent study school.

2. Typically WHS has a high percentage of students that are socioeconomically disadvantaged at 50% or more. This means we have students with significant needs that we work hard to meet. This is consistent year to year.
3. Ethnic enrollment has been consistent yearly with the majority of the students being Hispanic at Wilson HS over 60%. Again, the PS data skews the data slightly and there are more Hispanic students at WHS than the PS.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



2025 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts  No Performance Color	Graduation Rate  Red	Suspension Rate  Blue
Mathematics  No Performance Color		
English Learner Progress  No Performance Color		
College/Career  Red		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Wilson High is very low in our suspension rates since we often do not suspend students (0% in 24-25 school year). We work hard to have restorative practices for our students that do break Ed. Codes. Also, being an independent study school, suspension is not an effective consequence.
2. Graduation Rates (56.7% in 2025) are a large focus for our school. We work hard to have our students succeed academically to achieve their HS diplomas, but often we need to work on their mental and physical health before (and while) we work on their academics. We often enroll students that are credit deficient and cannot graduate on time so they stay enrolled at Wilson for at least one more year to graduate. These students have counted negatively toward the graduation rate, but we often graduate them the next year as 5th year students.
3. See other sections regarding the low testing scores and the connection to students enrolled that are credit deficient and haven't passed the standards connected to the testing. Subgroup data is non-existent due to low numbers to provide statistically significant data.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  No Performance Color 160.9 points below standard Declined 10.7 points 18 Students	English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students	Long-Term English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 2 Students
Foster Youth  No Performance Color 0 Students	Homeless  No Performance Color 0 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 10 Students

Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students	African American  No Performance Color 0 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color 0 Students
Asian  No Performance Color 0 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 0 Students	Hispanic  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 10 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 8 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. We do not have Performance Levels in many areas due to a statistically insignificant number of students participating. This is consistent year to year.
2. Overall, we work closely with our students to increase their academic levels, however they often arrive to our school as Juniors already far below grade level, which greatly impacts their ability to score proficiently on these standardized tests.
3. While there was an overall decrease for all students (10.7points), it should be noted that there were only 18 students that participated in the assessment this school year.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  No Performance Color 268.1 points below standard Declined 46.4 points 19 Students	English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students	Long-Term English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 2 Students
Foster Youth  No Performance Color 0 Students	Homeless  No Performance Color 0 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  No Performance Color 315.2 points below standard Declined 67.1 points 11 Students

Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students	African American  No Performance Color 0 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color 0 Students
Asian  No Performance Color 0 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 0 Students	Hispanic  No Performance Color 285.5 points below standard Declined 36.9 points 11 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 8 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, we work closely with our students to increase their academic levels, however they often arrive to our school as Juniors already far below grade level, which greatly impacts their ability to score proficiently on these standardized tests. This is consistent year to year.
2. We do not have Performance Levels in many areas due to a statistically insignificant number of students participating.
3. Math is always a focus at Wilson for all of our students and it is noted that while only 19 students participated, there was a significant decrease of 46.4 points overall.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Science


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Science assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Science Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Red 0.6 science points Declined 7.2 points 31 Students	English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 9 Students	Long-Term English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 3 Students
Foster Youth  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Homeless  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  No Performance Color 0 points below standard Declined 5.8 points 13 Students

Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students	African American  No Performance Color 0 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Filipino  No Performance Color 0 Students	Hispanic  No Performance Color 0.9 science points Declined 9.8 points 22 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color 0 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Science had our most students participate, n=31, however there wasn't enough data to provide performance colors in our subgroups.
2. We work closely with our students to increase their academic levels, however they often arrive to our school as Juniors or Seniors already far below grade level, which greatly impacts their ability to score proficiently on these standardized tests. This is consistent year to year and is relevant in Science as well.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator	
English Learner Progress	Long-Term English Learner Progress
 No Performance Color 33.3 making progress. Number Students: 12 Students	 No Performance Color making progress. Number Students: 8 Students

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
11.1%	55.6%	0%	33.3%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. We often do not accept students in the Level 1 stage in EL due to the large amount of reading required in Independent Study.
2. It is hard to distinguish - from this data provided - which EL students are attending Wilson High School or are attending the Post Secondary. Additionally, which students are in our Young Parents Center program due to pregnancy or parenting.
3. We do know 5 students at Wilson were in the YPC program, as stated in a previous section, and our Post Secondary students with disabilities with an EL status are often making slight progress until they take the Alternate ELPAC for reclassification.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance College/Career Report

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.


The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



Explore information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2025 Fall Dashboard College/Career Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Red Prepared 5.2% Declined 7.3% 58 Students	English Learners  No Performance Color Prepared 0% Maintained 18 Students	Long-Term English Learners  No Performance Color Prepared 0% Maintained 14 Students
Foster Youth  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Homeless  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Red Prepared 0% Declined 8.7% 38 Students

Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color Prepared 0% Maintained 19 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	American Indian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 4 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color 0 Students	Hispanic  Red Prepared 2.6% Maintained -0.4% 38 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 3 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color Prepared 9.1% 11 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. We do not have Performance Levels in many areas due to a statistically insignificant number of students participating. This is consistent year to year.
2. This is a focus for Wilson within our WASC goals and SPSA goals. The additional day of school counseling focused on college and career preparedness will support this. It is disappointing to see a decrease of 7% despite the extra efforts of our school counseling team.
3. However, there are rigid expectations to be considered "prepared" on the CCI. This is difficult to attain for many students arriving at WHS credit deficient and focused on just completing HS to move on to their next steps - which often include community college. This will continue to be a work in progress for WHS staff and students.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red Orange Yellow Green Blue

Lowest Performance Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group

All Students

English Learners

Long-Term English Learners

Foster Youth

Homeless

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Students with Disabilities

African American

American Indian

Asian

Filipino

Hispanic

Two or More Races

Pacific Islander

White

Conclusions based on this data:

1. At Wilson High School our attendance is based on work productivity and not if they physically attend school.
2. WHS is actively working on our tiered interventions and supports to better meet the needs of our CA students. We often are the last placement for students and work diligently to get them back on track with their education.
3. While there is no data this year in this category, the above conclusions are still relevant to make.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2025 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students Red 56.7% graduated Increased 2.1% 60 Students	English Learners No Performance Color 52.6% graduated Declined 11.7% 19 Students	Long-Term English Learners No Performance Color 60% graduated Increased 1.7% 15 Students
Foster Youth No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Homeless No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Red 56.4% graduated Increased 5.3% 39 Students

Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color 35% graduated Increased 16% 20 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	American Indian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 4 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color 0 Students	Hispanic  Red 59% graduated Increased 4.7% 39 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 3 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color 58.3% graduated 12 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Graduation rates overall increased to 56.7% (increase of 2.1%) this past school year with increases within our Students with Disabilities, Hispanic, socioeconomically disadvantaged, and LTEL communities.
2. It should be noted that the graduation reporting should include 5th year students and students that graduate with an Adult Education Diploma into the calculated rates as well. Also, post secondary students should not be included in the overall count. Getting accurate graduation rates has proven to be consistently difficult.
3. Overall, we are striving for a 67% graduation rate each year and for 3 years consistently to be removed from being labeled a CSI school.

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2025 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students Blue 0% suspended at least one day Declined 1.9% 187 Students	English Learners Blue 0% suspended at least one day Declined 2.2% 33 Students	Long-Term English Learners No Performance Color 0% suspended at least one day Declined 2.8% 25 Students
Foster Youth No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 2 Students	Homeless No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 2 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Blue 0% suspended at least one day Declined 1.7% 90 Students

Students with Disabilities  Blue 0% suspended at least one day Declined 2.2% 89 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 2 Students
Asian  No Performance Color 0% suspended at least one day Maintained 0% 19 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 6 Students	Hispanic  Blue 0% suspended at least one day Declined 3.4% 104 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color 0% suspended at least one day Maintained 0% 13 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  Blue 0% suspended at least one day Maintained 0% 38 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. It's very rare for WHS to suspend students - only for serious offences. Due to the independent study nature of the program, it is not beneficial to suspend students - rather we work with restorative practices.
2. During the 24-25 school year, there were no needs to suspend students since alternatives to suspension were viable options. This will change year to year depending on the student population and specific incidents that occur.
3. Other suspension data may be attributed to other programs, not Wilson HS.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Attendance and Graduation Rates

School Goal and WASC Goal #1:

Systematically work towards increasing average productivity attendance rate by 5-7% monthly (yearly average increase by 10%) by fostering a culture of regular attendance and engagement among students while implementing targeted interventions and support systems to help students overcome barriers to attendance

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goals (updated in 2024-2025 school year):

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait
3. SCUSD will partner with family, business and community educational partners to ensure that all students make progress in elements of the Graduate Portrait.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Graduation rates continue to be below the state expectation of 67%.

Attendance and productivity rates continue to be below average. Staff continually discuss ways to engage students to attend school and complete work. Direct instruction courses were created and even more courses were approved to start utilizing in the 26-27 school year - the goal is by increasing elective offerings to better engage students, that more students will attend and be engaged with their school work.

We partner with the adult education garden community to build a garden to engage our students in hands on activities on campus. Additionally we partner with Donors Choose to provide supplies for our blossoming garden.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Graduation Rates	56% last year, 54% prior year, 38% two years ago	67% for the upcoming school year and maintained for 3 years consecutively
Monthly productivity attendance	Currently averaging between 70-75% school wide each month	Increase to 80% average monthly attendance

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	Extra school counseling is needed to support our students with their post high school planning along with attendance tracking. Our allocation is being cut from 1.4 to 1.0 due to rightsizing. Our current 1.0 counselor has been approved for a .2 leave for family bonding. This budget allocation would support	All Students, primarily those expected to graduate	65000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries

	hiring a 0.5 FTE counselor to support the needs of our students (supplement the .2 leave and pay for the additional .3).		CSI FUNDS: 30% counselor salary
1.2	Maintain and regularly analyze data in order to monitor the progress of our student target groups and to assist individual student success. Create a clear 3-tiered system of interventions to provide targeted supports for students. Utilize PBIS training and coach to create this model.	Targeted students within our Tier 2 and 3 guidelines/expectations.	2000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries CSI FUNDS: Teacher time (hourly paid) to create and produce the structure for attendance intervention (PBIS Tiers 2 and 3) 7000 Targeted Allocation 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Materials and supplies for the implementation of PBIS model (signage, posters, mural commission, etc)
1.3	Our Assistant Principal is being cut due to Rightsizing across the district. This is an invaluable position in supporting the students in need through partnerships and family conferences. Therefore we agreed to support this work through a School Support TOSA.	Targeted Students selected through decision rules in our Tier 2 and 3 meetings and other special populations	250000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries CSI Funds: School Support TOSA
1.4	The part-time on-site daycare is closing for the 26-27 school year. To continue to support parenting teens with school attendance, a full-time daycare option will be provided through the district's FCE program. To support the cost of this need, CSI funds will be allocated, as needed, for students to have access to daycare. This funding would be to provide daycare tuition, transportation, or other needs that may arise for this population.	Targeted students: Parenting HS students	125000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures CSI Funds: Daycare and/or Transportation costs

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We have seen an increase in our average monthly attendance rates from 60% to 70%, or higher. We are confident that our plan for the upcoming school year will be more thorough and robust to meet the needs of students more consistently.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Last year there was a plan to hire and Instructional Aide. After discussing with staff, we did not move forward with that personnel hire. This year the staff feels strongly that losing our Assistant Principal and the school counseling support would be detrimental. With the increase allocation of CSI funds, these are areas to fund for next year. Another area of change is the need to support our parenting teens through daycare tuition or transportation costs to support their ability to attend school.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Staff recently discussed changes to our bell schedule and direct instruction courses offered to better engage students, however this is still in progress of being finalized.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Proficiency in Reading/Language Arts

School Goal and WASC Goal #3:

For credit deficient students, increase credit completion from their previous semester through strategies such as: developing innovative curriculum, non a-g course creation, direct instruction options, and flexible scheduling.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goals (updated in 2024-2025 school year):

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Students arrive to WHS below grade level in reading and all areas of ELA and typically deficient in credits towards graduation.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Pre/Post STAR reading test scores and CAASPP ELA scores	changes yearly due to fluctuation of student enrollment, but consistently over 50% are below standard	Increase to 50% or more meeting or above standard in ELA scores. And, increase in post-STAR Reading scores from pre-test results.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	Research a reading intervention program for students to access for online and independent support.	Students below grade level on STAR Reading test will be assigned a reading assessment and platform.	1500 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries CSI Funds: Teacher time, training as needed on chosen platform 6500 Targeted Allocation 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

			purchase software as necessary (licensing agreements)
2.2	Ongoing review and assessment of existing curriculum for use of current technology and real-world application. Purchased Reading with Relevance at the end of the 25-26 school year to pilot for the 26-27 year. If positive for students and staff, more will need to be purchased.	Targeting students struggling with reading	10000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 4000-4999: Books And Supplies CSI Funds: Reading with Relevance materials
2.3	Provide PD for teaching staff on how to best support struggling readers/writers and students with trauma. Continue using KhanMigo and look for other ways to support students.	All students will benefit, but especially targeting those with trauma.	8500 Targeted Allocation 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures conferences, workshops, materials (books for staff reading)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

With a revolving door of students enrolled at Wilson it is difficult to find consistent and longitudinal data. However there is progress in this goal as the staff is now prepared to update curriculum in the upcoming school year.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Purchased Reading with Relevance last year, if goes well, will want to purchase more this year. The focus continues to be on curriculum updates and development this upcoming school year.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

nothing at this time.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Post-Secondary Plan for Every Student

School Goal and WASC Goal 3:

Work towards achieving a minimum 67% graduation rate annually, ensuring that a majority of students successfully complete their high school education and create post-secondary opportunities.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goals (updated in 2024-2025 school year):

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

WHS students need to be College and Career ready once graduating from our school. However it is difficult to reach the milestones expected in the CCI indicators. For our students, we work towards those indicators, but focus on their plans post high school graduation. That would include FAFSA completion, scholarship applications, college applications, or trade school research and applications.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CCI scores	Orange Performance color on the CA Dashboard for 2024, Red for 2025 (decrease of 7%)	Increase to minimum of 15% prepared and 15 % approaching prepared.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	Provide students with greater exposure to post-high school opportunities and facilitate the development and implementation of a post-secondary plan for every student prior to high school graduation using the district provided program. Partner with outside agencies for support for our students. Counselors provide workshops for students in all grade levels to support their post high school school planning,	All students, especially juniors and seniors	3124 Targeted Allocation None Specified Counselors to provide virtual or in person tours/sessions with students to create a post secondary plan and visit other colleges/places. Funds to purchase materials/technology needed, transportation needs, or food for special events (career day, speaker panels, etc).

3.2	Provide additional day of counseling support to provide time for counselors to meet with students 1:1 to create a post secondary plan.	all students	0 30% counselor (already noted in other SPSA goal)
-----	--	--------------	---

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

There was a decrease in this goal from last year, however there was a similar amount of students in the prepared and approaching categories as in year's past. It is uncertain to state that this goal and strategies were ineffective due to the constant change of student enrollment at our school. We need more time to determine effectiveness.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

See prior SPSA goal for the counseling structure change, and the plan to supplement the lack of school counseling support for the 26-27 year.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

CCI will be more looked at and discussed in the school counseling team as a focus and goal since there will be another change to our counseling structure from the year prior.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 4

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Student Engagement

School Goal : Student Engagement and Success

Improve means and methods of engaging students and parents in existing support services and increase student participation in those services that build emotional, social and academic strength.

WASC Goal 1:

Systematically work towards increasing average productivity attendance rate by 5-7% monthly (yearly average increase by 10%) by fostering a culture of regular attendance and engagement among students while implementing targeted interventions and support systems to help students overcome barriers to attendance.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goals (updated in 2024-2025 school year):

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Student attendance/productivity rates directly relate to their credit achievement - both need to increase

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Attendance and Productivity rates	Overall school average has been approximately 70% monthly; an increase from this past year at 65%.	75% overall average in the 24-25 school year - we are approaching that again in 25-26, and expecting 80% for the 26-27 school year

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
4.1	Continue to improve the school structure to increase student attendance, productivity, retention and access to the curriculum.	All students	0 Whole staff collaboration and review of schedule implementation
4.2	Refine and monitor the student attendance policy and awards certificate process and follow the	All students	5000 Targeted Allocation

	created PBIS flow chart/structure for rewards/recognition for students		4000-4999: Books And Supplies PBIS rewards/recognition supplies following matrix/structure
4.3	Pursue opportunities for staff development in Independent Study "Best Practices" by attending workshops and/or conferences. Also provide opportunities for learning more about supporting students with trauma.	All students, especially those that have been through trauma in their lives.	5000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries CSI and/or Targeted FUNDS: Teacher time, conferences, books for staff to read, professional development materials
4.4	Provide a Licensed therapist daily on campus for students needing 1:1 therapeutic support (substance abuse, domestic violence, gang affiliation, etc).	Students needing Therapy, socio-emotional support, academic support	100000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries CSI FUNDS: Licensed Therapist to be funded through CSI Funds for 24-25 school year
4.5	Provide an additional two days of Wellness Coordinator staffing (to get to 5 full days of WC staffing) to increase the ability to create student small groups and targeted sessions for specific topics of need (substance abuse, domestic violence, gang affiliation, etc).	Targeted students needing small group support and families needing resource	75000 Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries CSI FUNDS: Extend the days for our Wellness Coordinator to provide WC support for all 5 days of the school week (rather than only 3).

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

This has been an effective goal as we have seen an increase in engagement and attendance. The licensed therapist is fully utilized and an invaluable member of the WHS staff. The additional days of the Wellness Coordinator have proven invaluable during the 25-26 school year. More support was available and the OnBoarding class was able to implement Community Circles due to having a WC full time on campus.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There are no major differences at this time.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

No changes at this time.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 5

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Proficiency in Mathematics

School Goal:

Continue to study our core curriculum to ensure that Common Core Standards are embedded in the curriculum.

WASC Goal 2:

For credit deficient students, increase credit completion from their previous semester through strategies such as: developing innovative curriculum, non a-g course creation, direct instruction options, and flexible scheduling.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goals (updated in 2024-2025 school year):

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait
3. SCUSD will partner with family, business and community educational partners to ensure that all students make progress in elements of the Graduate Portrait.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Students arrive below grade level in mathematics and often without the math requirement met (often as juniors and seniors).

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP Math scores	over 80% below standard	50% below standard

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
5.1	Pilot and use Edmentum math assessments to see pre/post data in mathematics.	students entering WHS without their Alg 1 requirement met	1000 Targeted Carryover 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries teacher time, possible training needs for Edmentum usage (funding in conjunction with other SPSA goal)
5.2	Assign teachers to evaluate and update courses to include common core and more relevant	all students	1000 Targeted Carryover

	assignments. Expand materials, software and technology in curriculum and instruction.		5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Targeted Carryover + CSI FUNDS: materials, software, technology, teacher time, conference attendance as relevant/needed
5.3	expand the opportunities for students to receive 1:1 support based on their specific needs through certificated teacher courses	all students taking a mathematics course	0 0 Expand the subject specific lab times for Alg 1 to allow more staff to support more students (no budget expense)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Overall there is progress in this goal as more students completed their Alg 1 requirements towards graduation.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

State testing as a metric is difficult to use (statistically insignificant data sets) and credit completion will be an added metric as we navigate best practices to collecting and analyzing that data effectively (still a work in progress). Other than that, no major differences.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

See above and we continue to discuss ways to support our students with their math gaps of knowledge. An assessment is still a need for incoming students.

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$665,624.00
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program	\$633,500.00
rCalc_TotbyFSGrpFederal_50_FundSrc}	\$

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$633,500.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
	\$0.00
Targeted Allocation	\$30,124.00
Targeted Carryover	\$2,000.00
rCalc_TotbyFSGrpStateLocal_50_FundSrc}	\$

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$32,124.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$665,624.00

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
Targeted Allocation	30124	0.00
Targeted Carryover	2000	0.00
Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program	633500	0.00

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
	0.00
Targeted Allocation	30,124.00
Targeted Carryover	2,000.00
Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program	633,500.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	324,500.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	175,000.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	22,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	131,500.00
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	9,500.00
None Specified	3,124.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
		0.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Targeted Allocation	12,000.00

5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Targeted Allocation	6,500.00
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	Targeted Allocation	8,500.00
None Specified	Targeted Allocation	3,124.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Targeted Carryover	1,000.00
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	Targeted Carryover	1,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program	323,500.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program	175,000.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program	10,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Title I Part A: Targeted Assistance Program	125,000.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	449,000.00
Goal 2	26,500.00
Goal 3	3,124.00
Goal 4	185,000.00
Goal 5	2,000.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 2 Classroom Teachers
- 2 Other School Staff
- 2 Parent or Community Members
- 1 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Pamela Galano	Principal
April Jacobs-Arias	Other School Staff
Tim Pacheco	Classroom Teacher
Angie Scott	Other School Staff
Richard de Lorimier	Classroom Teacher
Heidi Knutson (not able to attend)	Parent or Community Member
student could not attend	Secondary Student
Rochelle Kelly	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on April 21, 2026.

Attested:

The image shows two handwritten signatures in blue ink. The top signature is 'P. Galano' and the bottom signature is 'Tim Pacheco'.

Principal, Pamela Galano, Ed.D. on 4/21/2026

SSC Chairperson, Tim Pacheco on 4/21/2026