

Oldham County Board of Education

6165 W. Hwy. 146 • Crestwood, KY 40014 • (502) 241-3500

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Interactive Classroom Display Systems

Supply, Installation, and Training

RFP #2026-0914

Date Issued: **October 5, 2026**

District Contact: Trey Greenwell, Chief Information Officer • trey.greenwell@oldham.kyschools.us

Section 1. Purpose and Incorporation

This Addendum No. 1 is issued by the Oldham County Board of Education ("District") to amend RFP #2026-0914, Interactive Classroom Display Systems, and to provide the District's written responses to questions submitted by prospective offerors by the questions and clarification deadline.

This Addendum is incorporated into and made a part of RFP #2026-0914. All terms and conditions of the RFP not expressly amended by this Addendum remain in full force and effect.

The following documents are reissued in amended form with this Addendum and supersede the versions previously posted:

- Attachment A: RFP Timeline and Proposal Submission Checklist (Amended)
- Attachment B: Pledge of Non-Discrimination (Amended)
- Attachment C: Vendor Response Template (Amended)

The following new document is issued with this Addendum:

- Attachment D: Technical Disclosures

The body of RFP #2026-0914 is not reissued. Amendments to the RFP body are set forth in Section 5 of this Addendum and are binding as stated.

The amendments issued September 18, 2026, revising the questions and clarification deadline and the proposal closing date, were incorporated directly into the RFP and its attachments as reissued on that date and were not numbered. This document is the first numbered addendum to RFP #2026-0914.

For purposes of RFP #2026-0914, its attachments, and this Addendum, the terms "offeror," "vendor," "company," "proposing company," and "respondent" are used interchangeably and refer to any person or entity submitting a proposal. Following award, "vendor" also refers to the awarded contractor.

Section 2. Acknowledgment of Addendum

Each offeror must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by completing and signing the acknowledgment in Section 11 and including the signed page with its proposal. The signed acknowledgment is a required submission item and is listed in the amended Attachment A, Proposal Submission Checklist. A proposal that does not include the signed acknowledgment will be deemed non-responsive. An offeror submitting on a previously posted version of Attachment A must still include the signed Section 11 page.

Section 3. Order of Precedence

In the event of any conflict or inconsistency among the documents comprising this solicitation, the following order of precedence applies, from highest to lowest:

1. Section 5 through Section 9 of this Addendum (Amendments and New Provisions);
2. The body of RFP #2026-0914, as amended;
3. Attachments A, B, C and D, as amended;
4. Section 10 of this Addendum (Responses to Vendor Questions).

Section 10 of this Addendum is provided as the District's explanatory response to offeror questions. Where the language of Section 10 differs from the operative amendments in Sections 5 through 9, the amendments control. Section 10 is explanatory only and does not create, expand, or waive any requirement. All requirements, terms, and evaluation considerations are stated in Sections 5 through 9.

Where the body of the RFP and an attachment conflict, the RFP body governs as to substantive requirements, and the attachment is deemed amended to conform. Attachment C remains the required response format, and proposals must be submitted using it.

Section 4. Summary of Changes

The following table summarizes the changes made by this Addendum. The table is provided for convenience only; the operative language appears in Sections 5 through 9.

AMDT.	Document / Location	Nature of Change	Related Question
Amendment 5.1	Cover / Schedule	Revised schedule: proposal closing date extended to Friday, October 30, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time; anticipated award revised to Monday, November 16, 2026; supplemental question period established for Addendum No. 1 content only, with questions due Tuesday, October 20, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time and responses issued by addendum on or before Friday, October 23, 2026	—
Amendment 5.2	All documents	All references to "EST" amended to "Eastern Time"	Q14
Amendment 5.3	Footers / package pagination	Page-count reference in RFP body footer ("of 20") superseded; amended attachments reflect a nineteen-page package; "May 2026" footer date has no effect; Attachment D paginated separately	Q14
Amendment 5.4	Required and Optional Features	Stricken: "Requirements are organized by school level."	Q13
Amendment 5.5	Required Features (Google Workspace)	Clarified to require wireless screen sharing from a teacher-operated Chrome OS or Windows device; adds browser version and security patching requirements; disclosures made in Attachment D	Q4, Q5
Amendment 5.6	Required Features (OTA management)	Expanded to require District-configurable automatic or approval-based updates, staged deployment, and disclosure of force-deployed updates	Q8

Amendment 5.7	Required Features	Adds limited exception to Balance Box mounting and Sentinel audio requirements for the larger-than-86" common-area category	Q15
Amendment 5.8	Installation Requirements	Turnkey installation bullet revised: scope is connection to an existing functioning receptacle and network infrastructure at or within standard cord reach of the mounting location	Q12
Amendment 5.9	Installation Requirements	Strikes the introductory sentence regarding District facilities staff and the duplicate e-waste disposal bullet; adds District responsibility for electrical, network, and structural remediation	Q12
Amendment 5.10	Installation Requirements	Confirms site survey and post-award site visit are a single visit; adds billing, frequency, and sequence terms	Q11
Amendment 5.11	Warranty Requirements	Adds requirement for OS and security updates for the duration of the warranty term; defines obligations for network-connected and non-networked panels	Q2
Amendment 5.12	Pricing Structure	Adds definition of "proposed solution"; each manufacturer's solution must be submitted as a separate, complete proposal and is scored independently	Q7
Amendment 5.13	Pricing Structure	Larger than 86" (Common Areas) category to be quoted at approximately 98" to 110"; actual size stated in Notes; touch capability not required	Q15
Amendment 5.14	Pricing Structure	"Price Adjustments" paragraph stricken and replaced: prices firm for first 12 months; one request per 12-month period; 60-day notice; capped at lesser of documented change or 5%; labor, survey, and training rates not eligible; price reductions passed through	—

Amendment 5.15	Pricing Structure	Adds new Product Substitution and End-of-Life provision	Q16
Amendment 5.16	Evaluation and Award	Notes column clarified for the 20% and 10% warranty-related criteria; Notes added for the 25% Hardware Functional Requirements and 10% Installation Capacity criteria	Q1, Q2, Q3
Amendment 5.17	Proposal Submission Requirements	Required signed documents updated to include Attachment D and the Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 1; separate signed set required for each proposal	Q7
Amendment 5.18	Pricing Structure	Adds two mobile cart line items (65"/75" and 86"); standards for carts; reuse of existing carts; remounting labor billed at hourly rate	Q26
Amendment 5.19	Pricing Structure	Labor, site survey, and training rates must be all-inclusive of travel and expenses; firm for the contract term	Q27, Q28
Amendment 5.20	Pricing Structure	OPS/compute modules not required; may be quoted optionally under "Any additional fees"; not scored	Q18
Amendment 5.21	Installation Requirements	Delivery to Administrative Annex; District distributes to sites; use of District dumpsters; removed equipment packed on skids for District pickup	Q20, Q24
Amendment 6.1	Timeline	Anticipated Award changed from October 25, 2026 (a Sunday) to Monday, November 16, 2026; Bids Due changed to Friday, October 30, 2026; Supplemental Questions row added (Amendment 5.1)	Q14
Amendment 6.2	Required at Submission	Google Admin Console MDM attestation item stricken and replaced; management console and cost disclosures made in Attachment D	Q5

Amendment 6.3	Required at Submission	New items added: Attachment D: Technical Disclosures (completed and signed); Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 1 (completed and signed)	—
Amendment 6.4	Timeline and footer	"EST" amended to "Eastern Time"; footer RFP number cleaned to RFP #2026-0914	Q14
Amendment 7.1	Footer	"RFP #2026-XX" corrected to "RFP #2026-0914"; Page count corrected from "12 of 19" to "11 of 19"	Q14
Amendment 8.1	Cover and Section 10	Due date stated as Friday, October 30, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time; superseded dates removed; supplemental questions deadline added to the Section 10 questions line (Amendment 5.1)	Q14
Amendment 8.2	Throughout	Explanatory note added regarding non-sequential section numbering; footers cleaned to RFP #2026-0914; "EST" amended to "Eastern Time"	Q14
Amendment 8.3	Section 2A	Instruction added for Larger than 86" category: Balance Box and 3.5mm audio rows marked "N/A (AMDT. 5.7)", with mounting and audio described in Notes	Q15
Amendment 8.4	Section 2A	"Google Workplace" corrected to "Google Workspace"	—
Amendment 8.5	Section 2A	Row "Screen annotation from student and/or teacher devices" stricken	Q9
Amendment 8.6	Section 2A	Row "Remove viewing / support for classroom tech support" stricken	Q6, Q9
Amendment 8.7	Section 2A	New row added for disclosure of supported external-device connection standards	Q4

Amendment 8.8	Section 2B	Row added: "Passwordless sign-in, either by NFC badge or other method"	Q9
Amendment 8.9	Section 2B	Row added: "Remote viewing / support for classroom tech support"	Q6, Q9
Amendment 8.10	Section 4	Instruction added limiting Section 4 to one manufacturer per proposal; Larger than 86" row added	Q7, Q15
Amendment 8.11	Section 8A	Firm-pricing sentence revised to "firm, subject only to the Price Adjustments provision" (Amendment 5.14)	—
Amendment 8.12	Section 8A	Rows may be added only in Sections 4, 8A, and 9; Sections 2A and 2B may not be altered; differences by SKU explained in Notes	Q10
Amendment 8.13	Section 8A	"3-Yr Warranty Included?" column retitled as an attestation; "Y" required; a line item marked "N" treated as Not Offered	Q1
Amendment 8.14	Section 8A	"Wall mount / balance box arm hardware (per unit)" stricken and replaced with three mount line items; adds standards for each mount type; components priced under "Any additional fees"	Q10, Q17
Amendment 8.15	Section 8B	Section 8B (Estimated 3-Year Cost Summary) stricken in its entirety	Q10
Amendment 8.16	Section 8	Three-year contract term acknowledgment retained and relocated	Q10
Amendment 8.17	Section 8A	Two mobile cart line items added	Q26

Section 5. Amendments to the RFP

Amendment 5.1. Revised Schedule. The schedule for RFP #2026-0914 is amended as follows. These dates supersede all prior dates stated in the RFP and its attachments.

Event	Date / Time
Supplemental questions deadline (Addendum No. 1 content only)	Tuesday, October 20, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time
Responses to supplemental questions (Addendum No. 2)	On or before Friday, October 23, 2026
Proposal closing date and time	Friday, October 30, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time
Anticipated Award	Monday, November 16, 2026

Supplemental Question Period. Written questions concerning only the amendments and new provisions in Sections 5 through 8 of this Addendum and Attachment D may be submitted to trey.greenwell@oldham.kyschools.us by the supplemental questions deadline. Questions on any other subject will not be answered. The District will issue responses by written addendum. If no qualifying questions are received, the District will post a notice stating so.

Amendment 5.2. Time Zone References. All references to "EST" in RFP #2026-0914 and its attachments are **amended** to read "Eastern Time," meaning local prevailing Eastern Time in effect on the date in question.

Amendment 5.3. Pagination and Footers. Following the deletion of Section 8B, the amended Attachment C is shorter than the version it replaces, and the amended Attachment A is one page rather than two. Page numbering in the amended attachments reflects a nineteen-page package. The page-count reference in the footer of the RFP body ("of 20") is superseded. The date "May 2026" appearing in the footer of each page of the RFP body is a drafting artifact and has no effect. RFP #2026-0914 was released September 14, 2026. Attachment D is paginated separately and is not included in the nineteen-page count.

Amendment 5.4. School-Level Reference Stricken. In the section titled *Required and Optional Features*, the sentence "Requirements are organized by school level." is **stricken in its entirety**. The remainder of that passage, reading "Vendors must clearly indicate which requirements apply to which SKU(s) in their proposal," remains in effect and is unchanged.

Amendment 5.5. Screen Sharing and On-Board Browser. In *Required Features*, the bullet titled "Google Workspace for Education compatibility" is **supplemented** by the addition of the following:

Screen Sharing. For clarity, the required screen sharing capability must support wireless screen sharing to the display from a teacher-operated Chrome OS or Windows device. Student-initiated screen sharing is an Optional Feature. Support for macOS, iOS, and Android casting is desirable but not required. Vendors must disclose in Attachment D, Item D.4, which of these platforms are supported and by what method, and such support will be considered under the Optional Features criterion. This requirement applies to all proposed displays, touch and non-touch alike.

Vendors must disclose in Attachment D, Item D.4, any casting or screen sharing feature that requires a separate management console, subscription, licensing, or recurring fee. Any such item must be priced as a separate line item under "Any additional fees not listed above."

On-Board Browser. Where the proposed solution includes an on-board web browser, that browser must:

- a. be built on a currently supported upstream browser engine;
- b. at time of delivery, be no more than three (3) major versions behind the current stable release of that engine;
- c. receive updates addressing security vulnerabilities rated Critical or High by the upstream project within sixty (60) days of the upstream fix, for the duration of the warranty term in effect; and
- d. be updatable over the air through the centralized management console.

Vendors must identify the browser, engine, and version shipped on each proposed SKU in Attachment D, Part 1. They must also describe the update mechanism and typical update cadence in Attachment D, Item D.1.

Amendment 5.6. Update Controls. In *Required Features*, the bullet titled "Operational support without frequent manual OS updates" is **supplemented** by the addition of the following:

The centralized management console must permit the District, at its election, to either (a) deploy available software and firmware updates automatically, or (b) review, approve, and schedule updates centrally following internal validation and testing, including the ability to defer or withhold an update pending District approval. The console must also permit the District to stage updates to a subset of devices, by building, group, or pilot set, before broader deployment. District administrators must be able to configure the choice among these approaches; the manufacturer may not have sole configuration capabilities.

Vendors must describe the update control options available in their management console in Attachment D, Item D.2. The description must state whether the District can defer or decline updates, the maximum deferral period if any, and whether rollback to a prior version is supported. Vendors must also disclose any update category the manufacturer deploys without District approval.

Amendment 5.7. Common-Area Display Exceptions. In *Required Features*, the following is **added** at the end of the Required Features list:

Application of Required Features to the larger-than-86" common-area category. The following two Required Features are not required for displays proposed in the "Larger than 86" (Common Areas)" category: (a) the adjustable mounting system compatible with Balance Box hardware; and (b) 3.5mm audio output compatible with the District's existing Audio Enhancement Sentinel classroom amplifiers. For that category, vendors must instead propose appropriate commercial mounting hardware rated for the size and weight of the display, and must identify available audio output options. All other Required Features apply in full to that category, including Google Workspace for Education compatibility, centralized over-the-air management, and wired and 5GHz wireless network connectivity.

Except as stated above, all Required Features apply uniformly to every unit proposed under this RFP.

For panels proposed under the external compute model, the wired and 5GHz wireless network connectivity Required Feature may be satisfied by the District-supplied external compute device.

Amendment 5.8. Installation Scope. In *Installation Requirements*, the first bullet, currently reading "Full turnkey installation including removal of existing display hardware, physical wall mounting, cable management, and electrical/AV connections, billed at the vendor's quoted hourly labor rate (see Pricing Structure)," is **stricken** and **replaced** with the following:

Full turnkey installation including removal of existing display hardware, physical wall mounting, cable management, and connection to an existing functioning receptacle and network infrastructure at or within standard cord reach of the mounting location, billed at the vendor's quoted hourly labor rate (see Pricing Structure). Vendor scope includes low-voltage AV cabling between the display and District-supplied compute or peripheral devices, cable management and concealment, and safe disconnection of existing equipment being removed.

Amendment 5.9. Infrastructure Responsibility. In *Installation Requirements*, the following is **added**:

The introductory sentence of Installation Requirements, "The District's facilities staff will not perform mounting or wiring work as part of this contract," is **stricken and replaced** with: "The selected vendor is responsible for all installation work within the vendor scope defined in this section." The bullet reading "Vendor is responsible for disposal of removed equipment in accordance with applicable e-waste regulations" is **stricken**; disposal is governed by the "Removal and disposal of existing equipment (District's option)" bullet.

Limits on vendor scope; District responsibility for infrastructure. The following are outside the vendor's scope of work under this RFP and will not be billed by the vendor at the contracted hourly rate:

- Installation of new electrical receptacles;
- Relocation or modification of existing receptacles;

- New, extended, or modified branch circuits;
- Conduit, raceway, panel, or breaker work;
- Any electrical work requiring a licensed electrician, permit, or inspection;
- Installation of new network cabling or data drops;
- Structural remediation, including wall backing, blocking, reinforcement, or repair required to support the mounting system.

Such work is the District's responsibility and will be performed by District staff or by a District-contracted provider.

Amendment 5.10. Site Survey. In *Installation Requirements*, the bullet requiring a pre-installation site survey and the bullet titled "Post-award site visits and labor estimate approval" are **supplemented** by the addition of the following:

Rooms with inadequate infrastructure. Identifying these conditions is one of the primary purposes of the site survey. Where the survey determines that power, network connectivity, or an adequate mounting surface is not available at a proposed mounting location, the vendor must document the condition in its survey findings and either exclude that room from the written labor estimate or identify it separately as contingent. The District will coordinate with the vendor to remediate the required infrastructure work prior to installation of the equipment. Vendors will not be held to installation timelines for rooms documented and agreed upon by the vendor and District that are not ready, and no installation labor will be billed for a room the vendor is unable to complete due to inadequate power, network, or structural infrastructure.

Single site visit. The pre-installation site survey and the post-award site visit are the same visit. The vendor is not expected to conduct two separate visits to the same school for these purposes. Both occur following contract award; no site survey is expected, required or allowed prior to award.

Billing. The site survey is billable under the "Pre-installation site survey (per school)" line item, at the vendor's quoted per-school price. The survey is not separately billable as installation labor hours, and preparation of the written labor estimate is included in the survey line item at no additional charge. Vendors who elect to provide site surveys at no cost may quote \$0.00 for this line item.

Frequency. A school may receive installations in more than one year of the deployment. The survey line item is billable once per school for each occasion on which the District schedules installations at that school and covers only the rooms scheduled on that occasion. A vendor may not bill the survey line item more than once for the same set of rooms. Each survey must be authorized by the District in advance. Where the quoted survey price is more than \$0.00, the District will issue a Purchase Order for the survey as it does for installation labor; where the quoted price is \$0.00, the District will request the survey in writing.

Sequence. The process at each site is:

1. the District identifies the rooms scheduled;
2. the District authorizes the site survey, by Purchase Order where the quoted survey price is more than \$0.00 or by written request where it is \$0.00;

3. the vendor conducts the survey;
4. the vendor submits the written labor estimate at the contracted hourly rate;
5. the District reviews and approves the estimate;
6. the District issues a Purchase Order for installation labor;
7. installation proceeds. No installation labor will be billed without a prior approved estimate and issued Purchase Order.

Amendment 5.11. OS and Security Updates. In *Warranty Requirements*, the following bullet is added:

The proposed solution must continue to receive operating system and security updates for the duration of the warranty term in effect. That term is a minimum of three (3) years from the date of installation under the baseline warranty, extending to five (5) years for any unit on which the District exercises the 5-year extended warranty option. These updates must be delivered over the air through a centralized management console without manual per-device intervention and are subject to the update controls in Amendment 5.6. Vendors must state the committed operating system and security support end date for each proposed SKU in Attachment D, Part 1, either as a fixed date or as a period measured from installation.

Under the external compute model, operating system and security updates for the District-supplied compute device are the District's responsibility. For the panel itself, the following applies regardless of compute model:

- a. **Network-connected panels.** Any panel that connects to the District network by wired or wireless means, or that depends on network connectivity to deliver any Required or Optional Feature (including casting, screen sharing, or remote viewing and support), must receive firmware and security updates for the duration of the warranty term in effect. These updates must be delivered over the air through a centralized management console without manual per-device intervention and are subject to the update controls in Amendment 5.6.
- b. **Non-networked panels.** A panel that does not connect to the District network and does not depend on network connectivity for any Required or Optional Feature, is not subject to the centralized management requirement. The vendor must provide any firmware update needed to correct a defect or security vulnerability in such a panel under warranty, at no additional cost to the District.

Vendors must identify in Attachment D, Part 1, whether each proposed SKU is network-connected as described in (a). They must complete Attachment D, Item D.5, for any SKU that is not.

Amendment 5.12. Proposed Solutions. In *Pricing Structure*, the following is added:

Definition: Proposed solutions. For purposes of this RFP, a "proposed solution" means a complete interactive display platform from a single manufacturer, covering the 65", 75", and 86" interactive display line items. Within a single proposed solution, vendors may not substitute a different manufacturer for individual display sizes or configurations. Where the manufacturer of a proposed solution does not offer a given size or configuration, the vendor

must mark that line item "Not Offered" rather than substituting another manufacturer's product on that line.

Multiple manufacturers. A different manufacturer may be proposed for the following, provided the vendor identifies the manufacturer and the reason:

- a. displays in the "Larger than 86" (Common Areas)" category, where the manufacturer of the proposed solution does not offer a product in that size class;
- b. mounting hardware, including Balance Box-compatible hardware; and
- c. document cameras, stylus and pen products, and other accessories.

Multiple proposed solutions. An offeror may propose more than one complete solution. Each proposed solution must be submitted as a separate, complete proposal consisting of its own Attachment A, Attachment B, Attachment C, Attachment D, signed Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 1, and written attestation of Google Workspace for Education compatibility and centralized MDM support. Each proposal must be labeled on its cover and in its file name with the offeror's name and the manufacturer (for example, "[Offeror] – [Manufacturer] – RFP #2026-0914"). Separate proposals may be delivered in the same transmission but must be separate PDF files. Requirements responses and pricing may not cross-reference or be blended across proposals.

Each proposal will be evaluated and scored independently. An offeror will not receive a higher or lower score for submitting more or fewer proposals, and the District may award any one proposal regardless of whether the offeror submitted others. The District intends to standardize its classroom display platform across the deployment and anticipates awarding a single standardized platform for classroom installations. The District does not anticipate awarding a contract permitting substitution among manufacturers after award. Proof of manufacturer authorization or authorized reseller status is required prior to award for the manufacturer of the proposal ultimately selected.

Amendment 5.13. Common-Area Display Sizes. In *Pricing Structure*, the following is **added**:

Displays in the "Larger than 86" (Common Areas)" category should be quoted in the range of approximately 98" to 110". The actual size offered must be stated in the Notes column, and additional rows may be added for each size offered. Touch capability is not required in this category. This range is for planning purposes and does not obligate the District to order any particular size.

Amendment 5.14. Price Adjustments. In *Pricing Structure*, the paragraph titled "Price Adjustments" is **stricken and replaced** with the following:

Price Adjustments. Unit prices are firm for the first twelve (12) months following contract execution. Thereafter, the vendor may request a price adjustment no more than once in any twelve (12) month period. A request must be submitted in writing at least sixty (60) days before its proposed effective date and must include documentation of a manufacturer or market price change for the specific line items affected. An adjustment may not exceed the lesser of the documented cost change or five percent (5%) per line item per request. Approved adjustments apply only to orders placed after the effective date and never to Purchase Orders already issued. Installation labor, site survey, and training rates are not

eligible for adjustment. Any manufacturer price reduction on a contracted line item must be passed through to the District. The District may accept or reject any request at its sole discretion. If the District rejects a request, contracted prices remain in effect, and the District may terminate the contract without penalty.

Amendment 5.15. Product Substitution and End of Life. In *Pricing Structure*, the following new provision is **added** immediately following the "Price Adjustments" paragraph:

Product Substitution and End of Life. This contract is awarded on a unit-price basis against the line items in the pricing schedule and not against a permanently fixed model or SKU. The District recognizes that manufacturers will revise, replace, or discontinue models during the contract term.

End-of-life notification. The awarded vendor must provide written notice to the District as soon as the vendor becomes aware that a contracted model is being discontinued or is reaching end of production, and in no event fewer than ninety (90) days before the last date on which the District may place an order for that model. The notice must identify the last-time-buy date and the proposed successor model.

Substitution requires prior written approval. No model substitution may be made without the District's prior written approval. To request a substitution, the vendor must submit the successor model's specifications alongside those of the model being replaced; a written statement identifying any difference in specification, feature, or capability; confirmation that the successor satisfies every Required Feature of this RFP; and the proposed unit price.

Conditions of approval. The District may, at its sole discretion, approve a substitution only where the successor model:

- a. meets or exceeds all Required Features and the specifications of the model it replaces;
- b. is managed through the same centralized management console as the units already installed, or through a console the District has approved in writing;
- c. for classroom display line items, is compatible with Balance Box mounting hardware and with mounts already installed; or, for the Larger than 86" (Common Areas) category, is compatible with the commercial mounting hardware already installed under this contract or is supplied with compatible mounting hardware at no additional cost to the District;
- d. carries warranty terms and service commitments equal to or better than those awarded; and
- e. is offered at a unit price no higher than the contracted price for the model it replaces.

Pricing. A model substitution may not be used to increase contracted unit prices. Where a successor model is available at a lower price, the lower price applies. Any request for a price increase must be made separately under the Price Adjustments provision, see Amendment 5.14.

Support for discontinued models. Discontinuation of a model does not reduce the vendor's obligations for units already delivered. The vendor must continue to provide warranty service, replacement parts, and software and security updates for all installed units for the full duration of the warranty term applicable to those units.

Products that are not functional equivalents. A new model that is not a functional equivalent of a contracted line item, a different product category, a materially different feature set or a size class not included in the pricing schedule is not eligible for substitution under this contract. The District may, at its sole discretion, purchase such products under the Future Purchases via Cooperative Contract provision where applicable, or through a new competitive solicitation as required by KRS 45A and Board Policy 03.23251.

Amendment 5.16. Evaluation Criteria Notes. In *Evaluation and Award*, the Notes column of the criteria table is amended as follows.

For the criterion “Hardware functional requirements compliance” (25%), the following is **added** to the Notes column:

Proposals must meet all Required Features to be evaluated. This criterion scores the degree and quality with which the proposed solution meets them, including update controls (Amendment 5.6), operating system and security support duration (Amendment 5.11), consistency of hardware platform, management console, warranty channel, and training model across the proposed line-up, and any defined compute upgrade path.

For the criterion "Total cost of ownership hardware, installation, training, warranty (3-year)" (20%), the following is **added** to the Notes column:

With respect to warranty, this criterion evaluates price only: the cost of the baseline three-year warranty as included in the display unit prices, and the quoted price of the Extended Warranty Upgrade line item, alongside hardware, installation, and training costs. The substance and quality of warranty coverage are evaluated solely under the Warranty Terms and Service Model criteria.

For the criterion “Installation capacity, project management, deployment plan” (10%), the following is **added** to the Notes column:

Includes the offeror's site survey approach and its process for collaborative configuration planning with building-level staff.

For the criterion "Warranty terms and service model" (10%), the following is **added** to the Notes column:

This criterion evaluates the substance and quality of warranty coverage without regard to price, including scope of coverage, on-site response time commitments, advanced replacement or loaner programs, identity of the warranty service provider, and the escalation process for unresolved claims.

Amendment 5.17. Required Signed Documents. In *Proposal Submission Requirements*, the bullet reading "Proposals must include completed and signed copies of Attachment A and Attachment B." is stricken and replaced with the following:

Proposals must include completed and signed copies of Attachment A (Proposal Submission Checklist), Attachment B (Pledge of Non-Discrimination), and Attachment D (Technical Disclosures), and the signed Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 1. An offeror submitting more than one proposal under Amendment 5.12 must include a complete, separately signed set of these documents with each proposal.

Amendment 5.18. Mobile Carts. In *Pricing Structure*, the following is **added**:

Mobile carts. The District operates existing electric, height-adjustable mobile display carts, primarily carrying 86" displays with some 65" displays. The District intends to reuse existing mobile carts where they are serviceable and rated for the display being installed. Two mobile cart line items are added to the Required Pricing Line Items under Accessories and Services:

- Mobile cart – 65" / 75" display (per unit)
- Mobile cart – 86" display (per unit)

Each mobile cart offered must be rated for the size and weight of the display it carries, compatible with the VESA pattern of the proposed displays, equipped with locking casters and include integrated cable management. Each mobile cart offered must provide electric height adjustment; describe the adjustment range in the Notes column. Where a cart is not offered for a given size, the offeror should mark that line item "Not Offered".

Mobile cart line items are ordered à la carte. The District makes no representation as to the make, model, quantity, or condition of existing mobile carts. Where an existing cart is reused, labor to remove the existing display and mount the new display on the cart is billed at the contracted hourly rate under the written labor estimate described in Amendment 5.10. Where a component rather than a complete cart is required, it will be priced under "Any additional fees not listed above (describe)." The site survey will identify carts that are not rated for, or not compatible with, the display being installed, and the District will determine whether to reuse, modify, or replace each cart.

Amendment 5.19. All-Inclusive Service Rates. In *Pricing Structure*, the following is **added**:

The Installation labor hourly rate, Pre-installation site survey (per school), and Onsite training (per school / per session) line items must be all-inclusive. Each rate must include all travel time, mileage, vehicle, lodging, per diem, tools, equipment, and incidental expenses. No separate travel, trip, mobilization, or expense charges will be paid, and such charges may not be quoted under "Any additional fees not listed above." Consistent with Amendment 5.14, these rates are firm for the full contract term and are not eligible for price adjustment.

Amendment 5.20. Optional Compute Modules. In *Pricing Structure*, the following is **added**:

For panels proposed under the external compute model, the external compute device is supplied by the District. Offerors are not required to quote OPS (Open Pluggable Specification) or other compute modules. An offeror may, at its option, quote OPS-

compatible Windows or ChromeOS compute modules under "Any additional fees not listed above (describe)," identifying the module, operating system, and management console. Optional compute modules are informational only and will not be scored.

Amendment 5.21. Delivery, Debris and Removed Equipment. In *Installation Requirements*, the following is **added**:

Delivery. Bulk shipments and any equipment requiring a loading dock must be delivered to the District's Administrative Annex warehouse, 1900 Button Lane, La Grange, KY 40031. The Annex has a loading dock; lift-gate delivery is not required. Unit prices must include delivery to the Administrative Annex. The District will distribute equipment from the Annex to the installation sites. The vendor must coordinate delivery dates with the District in advance.

Debris. The vendor may use District dumpsters at each site for non-recyclable packaging and installation debris.

Removed equipment retained by the District. Where the District elects option (b) under "Removal and disposal of existing equipment (District's option)," the vendor must pack removed equipment on skids suitable for pickup by District Facilities staff, who will return it to the Administrative Annex for recycling. Removed equipment may not be placed in District dumpsters.

Section 6. Amendments to Attachment A

Amendment 6.1. Timeline. In the Timeline table, the Anticipated Award date, currently reading "Monday, October 25, 2026," is **stricken** and **replaced** with "**Monday, November 16, 2026.**" Bids Due is **amended** to read Friday, October 30, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time, and a row is **added** reading "Supplemental Questions Deadline: Tuesday, October 20, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time."

The anticipated award date is an estimate for planning purposes only. As stated in the Evaluation and Award section of the RFP, the final contract is subject to negotiation and must be approved by the Board of Education. The District may award earlier or later than the anticipated date without further amending this RFP, and no rights accrue to any offeror from the anticipated award date.

Amendment 6.2. Google Workspace and MDM Attestation. In the "Required at Submission" table, the item currently reading "Written attestation of Google Workspace compatibility and Google Admin Console MDM support" is **stricken** and **replaced** with:

☐ Written attestation of Google Workspace for Education compatibility and centralized MDM support

For purposes of this attestation, as set forth in this Addendum:

Google Workspace for Education compatibility means the proposed solution supports authentication with District-issued Google accounts and full access to Google Workspace

applications, Google Drive, Google Meet, and Google Cast or equivalent screen sharing, as used in a K–12 environment. Where the proposed solution includes an on-board web browser, that browser must meet the requirements of Amendment 5.5.

Centralized MDM support means the proposed solution integrates with a centralized management console supporting over-the-air software and firmware updates with no manual per-device intervention. **The District does not require management through Google Admin Console specifically, and does not require that the panel be enrollable as a managed device in Google Admin Console.** Vendors must identify the applicable management console for each proposed SKU in Attachment D, Part 1. That console may be manufacturer-provided or OEM, or, under the external compute model, the District's existing Chrome, Windows, or JAMF-style MDM. Vendors must disclose any licensing, subscription, or recurring cost associated with that console in Attachment D, Item D.3.

Amendment 6.3. Required Submission Items. In the "Required at Submission" table, the following items are **added**:

- ☐ Attachment D: Technical Disclosures (completed and signed)
- ☐ Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 1 (completed and signed)

Amendment 6.4. Footers / Footer Corrections. In the Timeline table, both instances of "EST" are **amended** to read "Eastern Time." In the page footer, the RFP number is **amended** to read "RFP #2026-0914" with no residual placeholder text.

Section 7. Amendments to Attachment B

Amendment 7.1. Footers / Footer Corrections. In the page footer:

- "RFP #2026-XX" is **stricken** and **replaced** with "RFP #2026-0914."
- The page count "12 of 19" is **stricken** and **replaced** with "11 of 19."

Offerors should enter "2026-0914" in the "RFP/BID #" field on Attachment B. An offeror that submits on a previously posted attachment displaying "2026-XX," or that enters "2026-XX" in that field, will not be deemed non-responsive on that basis, provided the submission is otherwise identifiable as a response to this solicitation.

Section 8. Amendments to Attachment C

Amendment 8.1. Due Date and Questions Deadline. On the cover page, the due date is **amended** to read "Due: Friday, October 30, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time." The superseded date is **removed**. In Section 10, a Supplemental Questions Deadline line is **added**: Tuesday, October 20, 2026, by 3:00 PM Eastern Time.

Amendment 8.2. Footers / Footer Corrections. Throughout Attachment C: all page footers are **amended** to read "RFP #2026-0914" with no residual placeholder text; all references to

"EST" are **amended** to read "Eastern Time"; and the following note is **added** to the Instructions on the cover page:

Note: section numbering in this Attachment is non-sequential. No section or content has been omitted.

Amendment 8.3. Common-Area Display Instructions. In Section 2A, the following instruction is **added**:

For displays proposed in the Larger than 86" (Common Areas) category, the Balance Box mounting and 3.5mm Sentinel audio rows do not apply (Amendment 5.7). For those rows, enter "N/A (AMDT. 5.7)" and describe the proposed commercial mounting hardware and available audio outputs in the Notes column.

Amendment 8.4. Typographical Correction. In Section 2A, in the first row, "Google Workplace for Education compatibility" is **corrected** to read "Google Workspace for Education compatibility."

Amendment 8.5. Screen Annotation Removed from 2A. In Section 2A (Required Features Response) the row reading "Screen annotation from student and/or teacher devices" is **stricken**. This item is an Optional Feature and its inclusion in the Required Features table was an error.

Amendment 8.6. Misspelled "Remove Viewing" Row Removed from 2A. In Section 2A (Required Features Response) the row reading "Remove viewing / support for classroom tech support" is **stricken**. This item is an Optional Feature; its corrected wording appears in Section 2B as amended by Amendment 8.9.

Amendment 8.7. External-Device Connection Standards. In Section 2A, the following row is **added**:

Supported external-device connection standards (e.g., USB-C with DisplayPort Alt Mode, OPS slot compatibility, HDMI plus separate USB for touch). Vendors proposing panels without an on-board operating system must specify supported standards in the Notes column and state which capabilities reside in the panel and which depend on the connected device.

Amendment 8.8. Optional Feature Added. In Section 2B (Optional Features Response), the following row is **added**: "Passwordless sign-in, either by NFC badge or other method."

Amendment 8.9. Optional Feature Added. In Section 2B (Optional Features Response), the following row is **added**: "Remote viewing / support for classroom tech support."

As amended, Section 2B reads as follows, matching the Optional Features list in the RFP:

- Split screen support for simultaneous display of multiple sources
- Screen sharing from student and/or teacher devices
- Screen annotation from student and/or teacher devices
- Whiteboard and collaboration software, either built-in or OEM supported
- Multi-user sign-in with per-user profile separation

- Passwordless sign-in, either by NFC badge or other method
- Remote viewing / support for classroom tech support

An offeror whose proposed solution does not offer any item listed in Section 2B will not be deemed non-responsive on that basis. Optional features are evaluated under the Optional Features criterion.

Amendment 8.10. Proposed Products. In Section 4, the following instruction is **added**:

List only products of the manufacturer for this proposal, except as permitted by Amendment 5.12(a)–(c). Identify any such other manufacturer in the Manufacturer column. An offeror proposing more than one manufacturer's solution must submit a separate proposal for each (Amendment 5.12).

A row for the Larger than 86" (Common Areas) category is **added** to the Section 4 table.

Amendment 8.11. Firm Pricing. In Section 8A, the sentence "All prices must be firm for the initial 3-year contract term." is **stricken and replaced** with "All prices must be firm, subject only to the Price Adjustments provision of the RFP (Amendment 5.14)."

Amendment 8.12. Adding Rows. In Section 8A, the following clarification is **added** to the section instructions:

Offerors may add rows only within Section 4 (Proposed Products), Section 8A (Unit Prices), and Section 9 (References), for additional SKUs, mount types, sizes, configurations, or references. Offerors who add rows must label them clearly. Rows may not be added to, deleted from, reworded, or reordered in Section 2A (Required Features Response) or Section 2B (Optional Features Response). Where a response in Section 2A or 2B differs by SKU, the offeror must explain the difference in the Notes / Explanation column. Otherwise, the instruction not to alter the template structure means offerors may not delete, reorder, renumber, or reformat the sections of this Attachment, or substitute their own response format.

Amendment 8.13. Warranty Attestation. In Section 8A, the column titled "3-Yr Warranty Included?" is **retitled** "3-Yr Warranty Included (Attestation)." The minimum three (3) year comprehensive warranty is a baseline requirement and must be included in the unit price of every display and document camera line item offered. This column is an attestation; offerors must enter "Y" for each line item offered. A line item marked "N" does not satisfy the Warranty Requirements and will be treated as Not Offered.

Amendment 8.14. Mount Line Items. In Section 8A (Unit Prices), under "Accessories and Services," the line item reading "Wall mount / balance box arm hardware (per unit)" is **stricken and replaced** with the following three line items, conforming Attachment C to the RFP pricing schedule:

- Height adjustable wall mount
- Over the whiteboard mount
- Fixed wall mount

Offerors must price each mount type separately on a per-unit basis. Displays proposed for classroom installations must be compatible with the District's existing Balance Box mounting hardware (Required Features). The "Height adjustable wall mount" line item must be a Balance Box unit or a height-adjustable mount of equal or greater adjustment range and load rating. Fixed wall mounts and over-the-whiteboard mounts must be rated for the size and weight of the display and compatible with the VESA pattern of the proposed displays. Where an offeror's proposed line-up does not include a given mount type, the offeror should mark that line item "Not Offered."

Where a component rather than a complete mount is required (for example, an arm, bracket, or hardware kit for existing mounting hardware), it will be priced under "Any additional fees not listed above (describe)." Offerors may quote such components there proactively.

Amendment 8.15. Section 8B Stricken. Section 8B (Estimated 3-Year Cost Summary) is **stricken in its entirety** and should not be completed. No blended, weighted-average, or 800-unit cost estimate will be requested, scored, or considered. Offerors will not be deemed non-responsive for omitting Section 8B.

Amendment 8.16. Contract Term Acknowledgment. The acknowledgment reading "We acknowledge and agree to the three (3) year contract term, with no optional renewal periods, as stated in this RFP," which appeared immediately below Section 8B in the previously posted Attachment C, is **retained and remains required**. In the amended Attachment C, it appears immediately following Section 8A. The "Price adjustment during contract term" paragraph is likewise retained.

Amendment 8.17. Mobile Cart Line Items. In Section 8A (Unit Prices), under "Accessories and Services", the following two line items are **added** immediately after "Fixed wall mount", with "N/A" in the 3-Yr Warranty Included (Attestation) column:

- Mobile cart — 65" / 75" display (per unit)
- Mobile cart — 86" display (per unit)

Standards for these line items are stated in Amendment 5.18.

Section 9. Transition, Safe Harbor, and Provisions Not Changed

Transition to Amended Attachments

The amended Attachments A, B, and C issued with this Addendum supersede the versions previously posted. Offerors are strongly encouraged to use the amended attachments.

An offeror that submits on a previously posted version of an attachment will not be deemed non-responsive on that basis, provided that the offeror:

- a. Addresses the Optional Features items added by Amendment 8.8 and Amendment 8.9, either within Section 2B or on a clearly labeled attached page referencing Section 2B;
- b. Responds to the supported external-device connection standards item added by Amendment 8.7, on a clearly labeled attached page referencing Section 2A;
- c. Provides pricing for the three mount line items established by Amendment 8.14 and the two mobile cart line items established by Amendment 8.17, either as added rows in Section 8A or on a clearly labeled attached page referencing Section 8A;
- d. Includes the retained three-year contract term acknowledgment; and
- e. Includes the signed Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 1 (Section 11).

Rows stricken from Section 2A by Amendment 8.5 and Amendment 8.6 need not be completed; any response entered in those rows will be treated as a response to the corresponding Section 2B item.

Nothing in this subsection relieves an offeror of the obligation to comply with the substantive requirements of the RFP as amended.

Provisions Not Changed

The following are **not** changed by this Addendum:

- Questions and clarification deadline: The original deadline of Thursday, October 1, 2026, at 3:00 PM Eastern Time has passed. A limited supplemental question period is established by Amendment 5.1.
- Evaluation criteria and weights. The criteria and their percentage weights are unchanged. Amendment 5.16 clarifies the Notes column only.
- Contract term: three (3) years, with no optional renewal periods.
- Submission method and proposal validity period.
- All other terms, conditions, and requirements of RFP #2026-0914.

Section 10. Responses to Vendor Questions

The following are the District's responses to questions submitted by prospective offerors. Questions are reproduced substantially as submitted and are not attributed to the submitting offeror. These responses are explanatory. Where a response differs from the operative amendments in Sections 5 through 9, the amendments control.

Question 1

In the evaluation criteria, warranty appears to be referenced under both Total Cost of Ownership, Hardware, Installation, Training and Warranty (20%), and Warranty Terms and Service Model (10%). Can the District please clarify whether warranty is intended to be evaluated in both categories, or whether warranty considerations within the Total Cost of Ownership category are distinct from the separate Warranty Terms and Service Model evaluation?

Answer 1

Warranty is intentionally referenced in both criteria, but the two evaluate different things and do not overlap. See Amendment 5.16.

Total Cost of Ownership, Hardware, Installation, Training and Warranty (20%) evaluates the price of warranty coverage only: the cost of the baseline three-year warranty as included in the display unit prices, and the quoted cost of the "Extended warranty upgrade: 3-year to 5-year (per unit)" line item, alongside hardware, installation, and training costs. The "3-Yr Warranty Included (Attestation)" column is an attestation, not a scored item. See Amendment 5.16 and Amendment 8.13.

Warranty Terms and Service Model (10%) evaluates the *substance and quality* of the coverage, without regard to price. This includes scope of coverage (parts, labor, on-site service), on-site response time commitments, advanced replacement or loaner programs, identity of the service provider (in-house, authorized third party, or manufacturer depot), and the escalation process for unresolved claims.

Offerors are reminded that the minimum three (3) year comprehensive warranty covering parts, labor, and on-site service remains a baseline requirement under Warranty Requirements regardless of scoring.

Question 2

The District notes that its current interactive display infrastructure has reached end of life and is experiencing reliability, compatibility, and management challenges. Can the District clarify whether it is seeking ongoing operating system and security updates for the installed platform on the proposed display, a defined hardware or compute upgrade path during the proposed display lifecycle, or both? If both, please describe any minimum expectations regarding operating system support duration and mid-lifecycle upgradeability.

Answer 2

The reference in the Statement of Need to the District's current infrastructure having reached end of life is background context explaining the need for this refresh. This RFP does not solicit support, maintenance, or remediation of the District's existing displays. Vendor obligations apply only to the solution and services proposed in response to this RFP.

Operating system and security updates. Required. The proposed solution must continue to receive operating system and security updates for the duration of the warranty term in effect: a minimum of three (3) years from installation under the baseline warranty, extending to five (5) years for any unit on which the District exercises the 5-year extended warranty option. See Amendment 5.11.

Hardware or compute upgrade path. The District is not mandating modular or field-upgradeable compute. However, where a defined hardware or compute upgrade path exists and reflects the manufacturer's intended product direction, offerors should describe it in Attachment D, including how the compute element is replaced or upgraded, anticipated availability and pricing, and the manufacturer's end-of-life and end-of-support notification practices. If the manufacturer's direction is instead full panel replacement at end of lifecycle, offerors should state that plainly. See also Amendment 5.15 and Amendment 5.16.

Question 3

The RFP indicates an estimated purchase volume of approximately 267 units annually. Can the District provide an estimated annual breakdown by touch vs. non-touch displays; 65", 75", 86", and larger display sizes; and operating system versus non-operating system configurations?

Answer 3

The District cannot provide an estimated breakdown by configuration, and offerors should not assume one will be issued by addendum.

The mix in any given year will be determined at the time of order based on which schools are scheduled for that year's phase of the deployment and on the specific instructional needs identified at the building level. Instructional needs and appropriate configurations differ meaningfully across elementary, middle, and high school environments, as well as across specialty classrooms and common areas. Those determinations are made in consultation with each school rather than assigned centrally in advance. The District therefore has no configuration forecast to share and declines to publish estimates that offerors might reasonably rely upon in pricing.

This is the reason the pricing schedule is structured à la carte. Each line item must be priced on a standalone per-unit basis, no line item may be contingent on the purchase of another, and unit prices must remain firm, subject only to the Price Adjustments provision (Amendment 5.14), regardless of the quantity or mix ultimately ordered. Total Cost of Ownership will be evaluated directly from the submitted unit prices; no blended or fixed-quantity cost estimate is required or will be considered. See Amendment 8.15.

Offerors should also note that configuration decisions are anticipated to be resolved collaboratively after award. As described in the Installation Requirements, the awarded vendor will conduct site surveys at each school and provide a project manager as the single point of

contact for the deployment. The District anticipates that this pre-project and project planning process will include working with the vendor and with building-level staff to determine the appropriate display size, touch capability, and compute configuration for each classroom and common area, so that instructional needs are met at each site. Offerors are encouraged to describe how their project management and site survey process supports consultative configuration planning; see Amendment 5.16.

The approximately 800 total units and approximately 267 units per year referenced in the Statement of Need remain estimates only. The District makes no guarantee of minimum or maximum purchases in any year and reserves the right to order more or fewer units at the contracted unit prices.

Question 4

For non-touch display deployments, does the District require integrated wireless casting and screen sharing capabilities? If so, are there any specific requirements regarding supported device platforms (Windows, ChromeOS, macOS, iOS, Android) or management expectations?

Answer 4

Yes. Wireless casting and screen sharing are required on all proposed displays, touch and non-touch alike. The Required Features apply uniformly to every unit proposed under this RFP; there is no reduced feature set for non-touch configurations. The Google Workspace for Education compatibility requirement expressly includes support for Google Cast or equivalent screen sharing, and that requirement is not limited to touch panels. See Amendment 5.5.

Supported platforms. The capability must support wireless screen sharing from Chrome OS and Windows devices, which are the District's primary instructional platforms. Support for macOS, iOS, and Android casting is desirable but not required; offerors should disclose which of these platforms are supported and by what method, and such support will be considered under the Optional Features criterion.

External compute model. Panels proposed without an on-board operating system may satisfy this requirement in combination with the District-supplied external compute device. In that configuration, the panel must not introduce any limitation that would prevent casting and screen sharing from functioning, including input lag, lack of HDCP or Cast support over the connection, or USB pass-through restrictions. Offerors proposing this model must state clearly which capabilities reside in the panel and which depend on the connected device. See Amendment 8.7.

Management expectations. Any casting or screen sharing functionality residing on the panel must be manageable through the same centralized console required by the Required Features, with configuration and updates delivered over the air without per-device manual intervention. Where the functionality resides on the external compute device, management is expected through the District's existing Chrome, Windows, or JAMF-style MDM infrastructure. Offerors must disclose any casting or screen sharing feature that requires a separate management console, subscription, licensing, or recurring fee, and must price any such item as a separate line item under "Any additional fees not listed above."

Relationship to Optional Features. The Optional Features list includes screen sharing and screen annotation from student and teacher devices. To resolve any apparent overlap: casting and screen sharing from a teacher-controlled device is a Required Feature as described above. Student-initiated screen sharing, and screen annotation from either student or teacher devices, remain optional and are scored under the Optional Features criterion. See also Answer 9.

Question 5

Attachment A references a requirement for written attestation of Google Workspace compatibility and Google Admin Console MDM support. Can the District clarify whether this requirement is intended to refer to user authentication and Google Workspace integration; management of connected ChromeOS devices; device monitoring and reporting within Google Admin Console; or direct management of the interactive display itself through Google Admin Console?

Answer 5

The requirement is intended to address user authentication and Google Workspace integration only.

The District is **not** requiring management of connected ChromeOS devices through the display, device monitoring or reporting within Google Admin Console, or direct management of the interactive display itself through Google Admin Console. The reference to "Google Admin Console MDM support" in Attachment A was not intended to mandate Google Admin Console as the management platform for the proposed displays, and that item is stricken and replaced by Amendment 6.2.

Centralized management may be delivered through a manufacturer or OEM console, or, under the external compute model, through the District's existing Chrome, Windows, or JAMF-style MDM.

Google Workspace for Education compatibility means the proposed solution supports authentication with District-issued Google accounts and full access to Google Workspace applications, Google Drive, Google Meet, and Google Cast or equivalent screen sharing, as used in a K–12 environment. Any on-board web browser must meet the requirements of Amendment 5.5, and the required disclosures are made in Attachment D. See Amendment 5.5 and Amendment 6.2.

Question 6

Attachment C references "remove viewing/support for classroom tech support." Can the District confirm whether this is intended to refer to remote viewing and remote support capabilities for IT administrators?

Answer 6

Confirmed. The item is intended to read "Remote viewing / support for classroom tech support," and refers to the ability of District IT staff to remotely view a classroom display and perform support or troubleshooting tasks without physically visiting the room.

This item is an **optional** feature. It appears in the RFP under Optional Features but was inadvertently placed in the Required Features table in Attachment C, Section 2A. It is stricken from Section 2A by Amendment 8.6 and added to Section 2B, in corrected form, by Amendment 8.9.

An offeror whose proposed solution does not offer this capability will not be deemed non-responsive on that basis; the item will be evaluated under the Optional Features criterion.

Question 7

Can a reseller submit solutions from multiple manufacturers within a single proposal submission, or is the District expecting a single manufacturer response per proposal? If multiple manufacturers may be proposed, should they be submitted within the same response package or as alternate proposals?

Answer 7

Definition of a proposed solution. A "proposed solution" means a complete interactive display platform from a single manufacturer covering the 65", 75", and 86" interactive display line items. Within a single proposed solution, offerors may not substitute a different manufacturer for individual display sizes or configurations. Where a size or configuration is not offered, the line item must be marked "Not Offered." A different manufacturer may be proposed only for: (a) the Larger than 86" (Common Areas) category, where the solution's manufacturer does not offer that size class; (b) mounting hardware; and (c) document cameras, stylus and pen products, and other accessories. The offeror must identify that manufacturer and the reason.

Separate proposals. Each manufacturer's solution must be submitted as a separate, complete proposal, with its own Attachment A, Attachment B, Attachment C, Attachment D, signed Acknowledgment of Addendum No. 1, and written attestation of Google Workspace for Education compatibility and centralized MDM support. Each proposal must be labeled on its cover and in its file name with the offeror's name and the manufacturer. Separate proposals may be delivered in the same transmission but must be separate PDF files. Requirements responses and pricing may not cross-reference or be blended across proposals.

Evaluation. Each proposal will be evaluated and scored independently. An offeror will not receive a higher or lower score for submitting more or fewer proposals, and the District may award any one proposal regardless of whether the offeror submitted others. Offerors are not required to designate a primary solution.

Standardization. The District intends to standardize its classroom display platform across the deployment and anticipates awarding a single standardized platform for classroom installations. It does not anticipate awarding a contract that permits substitution among manufacturers after award. Proof of manufacturer authorization or authorized reseller status is required before award for the manufacturer of the proposal selected.

Question 8

The RFP references over-the-air (OTA) update capabilities. Can the District clarify whether it intends to automatically deploy available software updates; approve and schedule updates through centralized device management tools following internal validation and testing; or support either approach?

Answer 8

Both. The District requires that the proposed solution support either approach, at the District's election, and that the choice remain configurable by District administrators rather than fixed by the manufacturer. See Amendment 5.6.

Specifically, the centralized management console must allow the District to deploy available software and firmware updates automatically where the District elects to do so; alternatively, to review, approve, and schedule updates centrally following internal validation and testing, including the ability to defer or withhold an update pending District approval; and to stage updates to a subset of devices, by building, group, or pilot set, prior to broader deployment.

In all cases, updates must be deliverable over the air with no manual intervention at the device level. Offerors must describe the update control options available in their management console, including whether updates can be deferred or declined by the District, the maximum deferral period if any, and whether rollback to a prior version is supported. Offerors must disclose any update category that is force-deployed by the manufacturer without District approval.

Question 9

Attachment C Section 2A lists "Screen annotation from student and/or teacher devices" and "Remote viewing / support for classroom tech support" as Required Features. RFP pages 2–3 list both as Optional Features. Please confirm whether each is required or optional. Also, "Passwordless sign-in, either by NFC badge or other method" appears as an optional feature in the RFP but not in Attachment C Section 2B. Should vendors respond to it, and where?

Answer 9

The RFP body governs. Both items are **optional** features, and their appearance in Attachment C, Section 2A was an error.

Screen annotation from student and/or teacher devices. Optional, whether the annotation originates from a student device or a teacher device. The row is stricken from Section 2A by Amendment 8.5. The corresponding row already present in Section 2B is unchanged and is where offerors should respond. As stated in Answer 4, casting and screen sharing from a teacher-controlled device is a Required Feature; screen annotation is not.

Remote viewing / support for classroom tech support. Optional. See Answer 6, Amendment 8.6 and Amendment 8.9.

Passwordless sign-in. Yes, offerors should respond to it. The item was inadvertently omitted from Section 2B and is added by Amendment 8.8. Offerors should respond in Section 2B of the amended Attachment C, or, if submitting on the previously posted version, on a clearly labeled attached page referencing Section 2B.

The full amended Section 2B list appears following Amendment 8.9. An offeror whose proposed solution does not offer any item listed in Section 2B will not be deemed non-responsive on that basis.

Question 10

The RFP pricing table lists three mount line items (Height Adjustable wall mount, Over the whiteboard mount, Fixed wall mount). Attachment C Section 8A lists a single line (Wall mount / balance box arm hardware). Attachment C also states the template structure should not be altered. Which pricing table governs? If Attachment C governs, may vendors add the three mount types as additional rows under Section 8A? RFP page 7 states vendors are not required to submit a blended or fixed-quantity cost estimate, but Attachment C Section 8B includes an 800-unit estimate table. Should Section 8B be completed, left blank, or marked not applicable?

Answer 10

Governing document. Where the RFP body and an attachment conflict, the RFP body governs as to substantive requirements and the attachment is amended to conform. Attachment C remains the required response format. See Section 3 of this Addendum.

Mount line items. The three mount line items in the RFP pricing table govern. Attachment C, Section 8A is amended accordingly by Amendment 8.14. Offerors must price each mount type separately on a per-unit basis. Displays proposed for classroom installations must be compatible with the District's existing Balance Box mounting hardware. Standards for each mount type are stated in Amendment 8.14. Where a mount type is not offered, the line item should be marked "Not Offered."

Mounting hardware and existing mounts. All mount line items are ordered à la carte. Consistent with the Pricing Structure section, the District may order any quantity, including zero, of any mount line item independently of the others, and no line item may be contingent on the purchase of another. The District intends to retain and reuse existing adjustable mounting hardware in rooms where it is serviceable and compatible with the display being installed, and accordingly the District does not anticipate purchasing a new mount for every installation. Offerors should price each mount line item on a standalone per-unit basis and should not assume any particular quantity of mounts, or any correspondence between the number of displays ordered and the number of mounts ordered. Where a component rather than a complete mount is required — for example an arm, bracket, or hardware kit for existing mounting hardware — the District will request pricing under the "Any additional fees not listed above (describe)" line item, or offerors may quote such components proactively under that line item. Room-specific mounting requirements will be identified during the site survey and reflected in the written labor estimate for District approval, as described in Answer 11.

Adding rows. Yes. Section 8A expressly instructs offerors to "Add rows as needed for additional models or configurations." Adding rows within Section 8A is permitted and does not

constitute an alteration of the template structure. The instruction not to alter the template structure means offerors should not delete, reorder, renumber, or reformat the sections of Attachment C, or substitute their own response format. See Amendment 8.12.

Section 8B. Section 8B is stricken and should not be completed. See Amendment 8.15. Offerors will not be deemed non-responsive for omitting it, and no blended, weighted-average, or 800-unit cost estimate will be requested, scored, or considered. Offerors submitting on the previously posted Attachment C may leave Section 8B blank or mark it "Not Applicable."

Offerors are reminded that the three-year contract term acknowledgment, which appears immediately below Section 8B in the previously posted Attachment C, **is still required** and is retained. See Amendment 8.16.

Question 11

RFP page 3 describes both a pre-installation site survey at each school and post-award site visits to each school to determine installation time for the written labor estimate. Are these the same visit? Is the post-award site visit billed under the "Pre-installation site survey (per school)" line item, or is it expected at no charge?

Answer 11

These are the same visit. The RFP describes a single per-school site survey, referenced in two places for two purposes. The vendor is not expected to conduct two separate visits to the same school. Both occur following contract award; no site survey is expected or required prior to award. The governing terms are set out in Amendment 5.10.

Billing. The survey is billable under the "Pre-installation site survey (per school)" line item at the offeror's quoted per-school price. It is not separately billable as installation labor hours, and preparation of the written labor estimate is included at no additional charge. Offerors who elect to provide site surveys at no cost may quote \$0.00.

Frequency. A school may receive installations on more than one occasion during the deployment. The survey line item is billable once per school for each occasion on which the District schedules installations at that school, and covers only the rooms scheduled on that occasion. The line item may not be billed more than once for the same set of rooms. Each survey must be authorized by the District in advance. Where the offeror's quoted survey price is more than \$0.00, the District will issue a Purchase Order for the survey as it does for installation labor; where the quoted price is \$0.00, the District will request the survey in writing.

Sequence. The process at each site is: (1) the District identifies the rooms scheduled; (2) the District authorizes the site survey, by Purchase Order where the quoted survey price is more than \$0.00 or by written request where it is \$0.00; (3) the vendor conducts the survey; (4) the vendor submits the written labor estimate at the contracted hourly rate; (5) the District reviews and approves the estimate; (6) the District issues a Purchase Order for installation labor; (7) installation proceeds. No installation labor will be billed without a prior approved estimate and issued Purchase Order. See Amendment 5.10.

Question 12

Full turnkey installation includes "electrical/AV connections," and the site survey verifies "power availability." Please confirm that the vendor's electrical scope is limited to connecting to existing receptacles at the mounting location. If a new or relocated receptacle or circuit is required, will that work be performed by the District or billed separately by the vendor at the contracted hourly rate?

Answer 12

Confirmed. The vendor's electrical scope is limited to connecting the display and associated AV components to an existing, functioning receptacle at or within standard cord reach of the mounting location. Vendor scope includes low-voltage AV cabling between the display and District-supplied compute or peripheral devices, cable management and concealment, and safe disconnection of existing equipment being removed. See Amendment 5.8 and Amendment 5.9.

New receptacles, relocation or modification of existing receptacles, new or modified branch circuits, conduit and panel work, any electrical work requiring a licensed electrician or permit, new network cabling or data drops, and structural remediation of mounting surfaces are all outside the vendor's scope. Such work is the District's responsibility and will be performed by District staff or by a District-contracted provider. It will not be billed by the display vendor at the contracted hourly rate.

Where infrastructure is inadequate. Identifying these conditions is one of the primary purposes of the site survey. Where the survey determines that power, network connectivity, or an adequate mounting surface is not available at a proposed mounting location, the offeror must document the condition in its survey findings and either exclude that room from the written labor estimate or identify it separately as contingent. The District will then coordinate with the vendor to remediate the required infrastructure work prior to installation of the equipment in that room. Offerors will not be held to installation timelines for rooms that the vendor and the District have documented and agreed are not ready, and no installation labor will be billed for a room the offeror is unable to complete due to inadequate power, network, or structural infrastructure. See Amendment 5.10.

Question 13

RFP page 2 states "Requirements are organized by school level" and asks vendors to indicate which requirements apply to which SKU(s). No school-level breakdown appears in the RFP. Is a school-level breakdown (for example, elementary, middle, high) intended to be provided, and are any features required only at certain levels?

Answer 13

No school-level breakdown is intended, and none will be provided. The sentence "Requirements are organized by school level" is erroneous and is stricken by Amendment 5.4.

No features are required only at certain school levels. All Required Features apply uniformly to every unit proposed under this RFP, regardless of the school level at which a unit may ultimately be installed. There is no reduced or expanded feature set for elementary, middle, or high school buildings, and none for specialty classrooms. The sole exception is the larger-than-86" common-area category; see Answer 15 and Amendment 5.7.

The only reference to grade level in the Required Features is within the mounting requirement — "Adjustable mounting system compatible with Balance Box hardware, accommodating height variation for different grade levels and specialty classrooms." That requirement describes the adjustability the mounting system must provide; it is not a level-specific product requirement.

The SKU-mapping instruction is retained. The remainder of that passage — "Vendors must clearly indicate which requirements apply to which SKU(s) in their proposal" — remains in effect and is unchanged. Offerors should provide this mapping in Attachment C, Section 2A and Section 4, identifying the applicable SKU or SKUs for each requirement. List every proposed SKU in Attachment C, Section 4. A status entered in Section 2A applies to all SKUs listed in Section 4 unless the Notes column identifies the SKUs to which a different status applies.

Relationship to Answer 3. What varies by school level is the *configuration the District orders* — screen size, touch capability, and compute model — not the requirements a proposed product must meet. Those configuration decisions are made at time of order and are resolved collaboratively during post-award planning. Offerors should price and respond as though every Required Feature applies to every unit, subject only to the exception in Amendment 5.7.

Question 14

Attachment A lists the Anticipated Award as "Monday, October 25, 2026." October 25, 2026 falls on a Sunday. Please confirm the intended date. Please confirm that "3:00 PM EST" for the questions deadline and closing time refers to local Eastern time (EDT) in effect on those dates. Please confirm the RFP number to reference on submitted forms is RFP #2026-0914, since some pages and Attachment B still show "2026-XX."

Answer 14

Anticipated award date. The offeror is correct that October 25, 2026 falls on a Sunday. The intended date is now **Monday, November 16, 2026**. See Amendment 5.1. The anticipated award date is an estimate for planning purposes only; the final contract is subject to negotiation and must be approved by the Board of Education.

Time zone. Confirmed. All deadlines refer to local prevailing Eastern Time in effect on the date in question. Because daylight saving time remains in effect through November 1, 2026, the questions deadline of October 1, 2026 and the proposal closing time of October 30, 2026 are both 3:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time. All references to "EST" are amended to read "Eastern Time." See Amendment 5.2.

RFP number. Confirmed. The correct number is **RFP #2026-0914**. Offerors should reference RFP #2026-0914 on all submitted forms, including the blank RFP/BID number field on Attachment B. The footer of Attachment B was not updated in the 09/18/2026 amendment and continued to display the placeholder "RFP #2026-XX"; it is corrected by Amendment 7.1. An offeror that submits on a previously posted attachment displaying "2026-XX," or that enters "2026-XX" in the RFP/BID number field, will not be deemed non-responsive on that basis, provided the submission is otherwise identifiable as a response to this solicitation.

Question 15

What common areas do you foresee putting a "larger than 86"" display in? Do you have an ideal size or sizes for those displays? If things go according to plan, do you have an estimate on how many of these "larger than 86"" displays you would purchase over the course of these three (3) years?

Answer 15

Anticipated common areas. The District anticipates deploying larger-than-86" displays primarily in **cafeterias and multipurpose rooms** and in **libraries and media centers**, where viewing distances and group sizes exceed those of a standard classroom. The District is not at this time anticipating larger-format displays in gymnasiums, lobbies, or administrative spaces, though it reserves the right to order any line item in any quantity as provided in the Pricing Structure section.

Size. The District does not have a single fixed target size. Offerors should quote within the range of approximately **98" to 110"**, specifying the actual size offered in the Notes column of the pricing table as required. Where an offeror's line-up includes more than one size in this range, the offeror may add rows to Section 8A and quote each separately. This range is provided for planning purposes and does not obligate the District to order any particular size.

Touch capability. As reflected in the pricing table, the larger-than-86" line items are non-touch. Touch capability is not required in this size class.

Quantity. For the reasons stated in Answer 3, the District is unable to provide a quantity estimate for this category. The number ordered will depend on building-level needs identified during post-award planning. The District can confirm that larger-than-86" displays are expected to represent a small fraction of the approximately 800 total units over the three-year term, and that the substantial majority of units will be standard classroom displays in the 65" to 86" size classes. Offerors should not rely on any particular quantity, and the District makes no guarantee of minimum or maximum purchases in this or any category.

Manufacturer. As stated in Answer 7 and Amendment 5.12, where the manufacturer of an offeror's proposed solution does not offer a product above 86", the offeror may propose a different manufacturer for this category, provided the offeror identifies the manufacturer and the reason.

Application of Required Features to this category. Two Required Features apply to classroom installations and are not required for this category: (a) the adjustable mounting system compatible with Balance Box hardware, and (b) 3.5mm audio output compatible with the District's existing Audio Enhancement Sentinel classroom amplifiers. For this category, offerors must instead propose appropriate commercial mounting hardware rated for the size and weight of the display, and must identify available audio output options. All other Required Features apply in full, including Google Workspace for Education compatibility, centralized over-the-air management, and wired and 5GHz wireless connectivity. See Amendment 5.7.

Question 16

What is expected with product evolution and end of life? Will you accept new models?

Answer 16

Product evolution is anticipated. This contract is awarded on a unit-price basis against the line items in Section 8A, not against a permanently fixed SKU. The District recognizes that manufacturers will revise, replace, or discontinue models over a three-year term and does not expect the awarded vendor to supply a specific model indefinitely. The governing terms are set out in Amendment 5.15; the following summarizes them.

End-of-life notification. The awarded vendor must provide written notice as soon as it becomes aware that a contracted model is being discontinued or reaching end of production, and in no event fewer than ninety (90) days before the last date on which the District may place an order for that model. The notice must identify the last-time-buy date and the proposed successor model. Offerors should describe their end-of-life and end-of-support notification practices in Attachment D, as also requested in Answer 2.

New models may be accepted, subject to prior written District approval. The submission requirements and conditions of approval are stated in Amendment 5.15. The District may, at its sole discretion, approve a substitution only where the successor model meets or exceeds all Required Features and the specifications of the model it replaces; is managed through the same centralized management console as the units already installed, or a console the District has approved in writing; is compatible with Balance Box mounting hardware and with mounts already installed (for classroom line items), or with the commercial mounting hardware already installed under this contract (for the Larger than 86" category); carries warranty terms and service commitments equal to or better than those awarded; and is offered at a unit price no higher than the contracted price for the model it replaces.

Pricing. A model substitution may not be used to increase contracted unit prices. Where a successor model is available at a lower price, the lower price applies. Any request for a price increase must be made separately under the Price Adjustments provision.

Support for discontinued models. Discontinuation does not reduce the vendor's obligations for units already delivered. The vendor must continue to provide warranty service, replacement parts, and software and security updates for all installed units for the full duration of the applicable warranty term.

Products that are not functional equivalents. A new model that is not a functional equivalent of a contracted line item is not eligible for substitution under this contract. The District may, at its sole discretion, purchase such products under the Future Purchases via Cooperative Contract provision where applicable, or through a new competitive solicitation as required by KRS 45A and Board Policy 03.23251.

Question 17

The RFP references BalanceBox hardware compatibility. Does the organization intend to retain and reuse its existing BalanceBox hardware as part of this deployment? If so, please provide the quantity of currently installed BalanceBox units that will need to be supported.

Answer 17

Yes. The District intends to retain and reuse its existing BalanceBox mounting hardware wherever that hardware is serviceable and compatible with the display being installed. This is the reason BalanceBox compatibility appears as a Required Feature, and the reason the non-binding time estimate in the pricing schedule asks for the labor hours typically required to install a panel on BalanceBox mounting hardware.

Quantity. The District is not able to provide a verified count of currently installed BalanceBox units. The District's existing classroom mounting hardware is not uniform: it includes BalanceBox units of varying age and condition as well as fixed and over-the-whiteboard mounts, and the District has not completed a room-by-room inventory of mounting hardware. The District does not represent or warrant that BalanceBox hardware is present in any particular room, in any particular quantity, or in serviceable condition. Offerors should not rely on any assumed quantity of existing BalanceBox units in pricing or in their deployment plan.

Verification at site survey. Room-level mounting conditions will be verified during the site survey. Based on the offeror's survey findings, the District will determine for each room whether to reuse the existing mounting hardware, purchase replacement components, or purchase a complete new mount. See Amendment 5.10 and Answer 11.

Pricing implications. As stated in Answer 10, all mount line items are ordered à la carte. The District may order any quantity, including zero, of any mount line item, and there is no correspondence between the number of displays ordered and the number of mounts ordered. Where a component rather than a complete mount is required — for example an arm, bracket, or hardware kit for existing mounting hardware — the District will request pricing under the "Any additional fees not listed above (describe)" line item; offerors may also quote such components proactively under that line item.

Larger-than-86" category. BalanceBox compatibility is not required for displays in the larger-than-86" common-area category. Offerors must instead propose commercial mounting hardware rated for the size and weight of the display. See Amendment 5.7 and Answer 15.

Question 18

Does OCSD want a Windows or Chrome OPS quoted?

Answer 18

No. For panels proposed without an on-board operating system, the District supplies the external compute device. Offerors are not required to quote an OPS module. An offeror may, at its option, quote OPS-compatible Windows or Chrome OS modules under "Any additional fees not listed above." Optional compute modules are informational and will not be scored. Offerors should propose the solution they believe best meets the Required Features. See Amendment 5.20.

Question 19

Are teachers using Windows or Chrome Devices?

Answer 19

Both. See Answer 4 and Amendment 5.5.

Question 20

Will dumpsters be provided at each school by OCSD?

Answer 20

District dumpsters at each site may be used for non-recyclable packaging and installation debris. Removed equipment that the District retains for recycling must be packed on skids for pickup by District Facilities staff and may not be placed in dumpsters. See Amendment 5.21.

Question 21

Will we need to provide cabling for LAN connection?

Answer 21

No. The District provides all network cabling, including data drops and patch cables from the wall jack to the display. The vendor connects the display to the existing network connection during installation. See Amendment 5.8 and Amendment 5.9.

Question 22

For non-interactive displays & No OS, does the district want screen sharing capabilities from teachers and/or student devices?

Answer 22

See Answer 4 and Amendment 5.5.

Question 23

Display Non-Touch, No On-Board OS (external device input); Can you provide more details or definition on the external device?

Answer 23

See Answer 4, Amendment 5.5 and Amendment 8.7.

Question 24

Will all equipment be shipped to the annex building? If so, will OCSD or Vendor's installers be responsible for transferring the equipment to the schools prior to installation?

Answer 24

Bulk shipments and equipment requiring a loading dock will be delivered to the District's Administrative Annex warehouse. The District will distribute equipment from the Annex to the installation sites. Unit prices must include delivery to the Administrative Annex, without a lift gate. See Amendment 5.21.

Question 25

Balance Box replacement: Is OCSD planning on replacing every existing balance box? Or move to our suggestion of having some on hand and only replacing them with bad ones during refresh installations?

Answer 25

No, the District does not plan to replace every existing Balance Box. Room-level conditions will be verified during the site survey, after which the District will decide for each room whether to reuse the existing hardware, purchase replacement components, or purchase a new mount. See Answer 10, Answer 17 and Amendment 5.10.

Question 26

There is no mention of Mobile Carts. Does OCSD not intend to re-use any mobile systems?

Answer 26

The District operates existing mobile carts, primarily carrying 86" displays with some 65" displays and intends to reuse them where they are serviceable and rated for the new display. Two mobile cart line items are added to the pricing schedule for carts that must be replaced. Labor to remount a new display on an existing cart is billed at the contracted hourly rate under the site-survey labor estimate. See Amendment 5.18 and Amendment 8.17.

Question 27

Does the hourly rate for labor need to include "all in" numbers, i.e. travel?

Answer 27

Yes. The installation labor hourly rate, site survey price and onsite training price must each include all travel and incidental expenses. No separate travel or expense charges will be paid. See Amendment 5.19.

Question 28

Will OCSD take into consideration any future price increases for labor?

Answer 28

No. Installation labor, site survey, and training rates are firm for the full three-year contract term and are not eligible for price adjustment. See Amendment 5.14 and Amendment 5.19.

Question 29

What is the preferred format for the bidder's response form? Will OCSD accept an additional slide deck with the response form?

Answer 29

Proposals should be submitted in PDF format using Attachment C (Vendor Response Template) as the required response format. Offerors may include supplemental materials, such as a presentation or product brochures, in addition to manufacturer technical data sheets, provided they are submitted as clearly labeled attachments within the PDF. Supplemental materials do not replace any response required in Attachment C. See Section 3 of this Addendum and Amendment 8.12.

Question 30

One of our partners mentioned that Q&A will be answered on 9/28/26. Could you clarify if there is a Q&A document posted for this RFP?

Answer 30

September 28, 2026 was the original questions deadline. It was extended to October 1, 2026 by the amendment issued September 18, 2026. This Addendum No. 1 is the District's written response to all questions received by that deadline. Responses to any supplemental questions will be issued in Addendum No. 2. See Amendment 5.1.

Section 11. Acknowledgment

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of Addendum No. 1 to RFP #2026-0914 and confirms that the offeror's proposal is submitted in response to RFP #2026-0914 as amended by this Addendum.

Company Name:

Authorized Representative Signature:

Print Name and Title:

Date:

For questions regarding this Addendum, see Amendment 5.1. Offerors are reminded that it is the sole responsibility of the offeror to check for any addenda that may be issued.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1