



ALASKA SURVEY RESEARCH PRESENTS THE

ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT SURVEY

REPORT

PREPARED FOR THE ANCHORAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

SURVEY DETAILS

FIELDING DATES: February 14 - 18, 2026

SAMPLE SIZE: 1052 Anchorage adults (18+), 1017 registered to vote

MARGIN OF ERROR: $\pm 3.5\%$ at 95% confidence level

WEIGHTING: Data was weighted to Anchorage population proportions by age, race, gender, region of Anchorage, education level, party affiliation, political ideology, and the 2024 runoff vote for Mayor.

FIELDING METHODOLOGY: Panel survey

ANALYSIS: SPSS

RESEARCH TEAM: Ivan Moore, Blake Bennett, Everett Masterman, Signe Stanton

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Questionnaire and Frequencies.....	1 - 14
------------------------------------	--------

Crosstabulation Tables

Areas of Alaska	
Column Percents.....	15 - 20

Age of Respondent	
Column Percents.....	21 - 26

Children in Household	
Column Percents.....	27 - 32

Political Ideology	
Column Percents.....	33 - 38

Party Affiliation	
Column Percents.....	39 - 44

Education Level	
Column Percents.....	45 - 50

Annual Household Income	
Column Percents.....	51 - 56

Ethnicity of Respondent	
Column Percents.....	57 - 62

Gender of Respondent	
Column Percents.....	63 - 68

Supervoter Status	
Column Percents.....	69 - 74

Overall feelings towards ASD	
Column Percents.....	75 - 80

Feelings towards ASD- Budget Management	
Column Percents.....	87 - 92

Appendices

Strongly Lean Towards Consolidating the Schools	
Free Response.....	93 - 112

Strongly Lean Towards Keeping Schools As They Are	
Free Response.....	113 - 116



Hello! Thanks for trusting the link and helping us with this survey today! ASR has been in business for 30 years in Alaska and we try very hard to do good work. Here's our contact info if you'd like to jot it down:

Alaska Survey Research
4141 B Street, Suite 204
Anchorage AK 99503
Tel: 907-931-8134
Website: www.alaskasurveyresearch.com

We'll repeat this info at the end of the survey. If anything gives you concern during your experience today, please feel free to contact us.

All the information you provide in this survey, both in response to survey questions and any contact information you provide, will be subject to a strict ASR confidentiality policy which can be found [here](#).

S1. What is your age?

	AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:	
	Count	%
Downtown/Spenard/ Turnagain	175	16.6%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	297	28.2%
Sandlake/Bayshore/ Oceanview	219	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	226	21.5%
Eagle River/Chugiak	135	12.9%

S2. What is the zip code where you live?

	AGE :	
	Count	%
18-24	53	5.1%
25-34	283	26.9%
35-44	204	19.4%
45-54	153	14.5%
55-64	155	14.8%
65+	204	19.4%

Mean = 46.7 years

1. Are you registered to vote in the Municipality of Anchorage?

	REGISTERED TO VOTE?	
	Count	%
Yes	964	91.6%
No	88	8.4%

IF NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE SKIP TO DEMOS

2A. To the best of your recollection, did you vote in the Municipal RUNOFF election for Anchorage Mayor back in May 2024, when incumbent mayor Dave Bronson ran against Suzanne LaFrance?

	DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?	
	Count	%
Yes	697	72.3%
No	169	17.5%
Don't recall	98	10.1%

2B. In that election, for whom did you vote for Mayor?

	2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?	
	Count	%
Dave Bronson	305	43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	354	50.8%
Don't recall	38	5.5%

THE FOLLOWING TABLE WAS CREATED USING THE PREVIOUS THREE, WHERE NO TO Q1 AND NO/DON'T RECALL TO Q2A AND DON'T RECALL TO Q2B ARE COMBINED

	2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?	
	Count	%
Dave Bronson	305	29.0%
Suzanne LaFrance	354	33.6%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	393	37.3%

3A. How often do you vote in Municipal elections in Anchorage, held in April every year?

	FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:	
	Count	%
Every time	513	53.2%
Most times	251	26.1%
50-50 of the time	78	8.1%
Not very often	83	8.6%
Never	39	4.1%

3B. How likely are you to vote in the Anchorage Municipal Election coming up in April this year?

	LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:	
	Count	%
Very likely	734	76.1%
Somewhat likely	156	16.2%
Not very likely	51	5.3%
Not at all likely	22	2.3%

THE FOLLOWING VARIABLE WAS CREATED USING THE PREVIOUS TWO QUESTIONS:

	SUPERVOTER STATUS:	
	Count	%
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	507	48.2%
Other likely voter	324	30.8%
Not likely voter	133	12.6%
Not registered	88	8.4%

Q4A TO Q4H ASKED TO THOSE WHO SELECTED EVERYTIME, MOST TIMES, 50-50 OF THE TIME TO Q3A AND VERY LIKELY OR SOMEWHAT LIKELY TO Q3B

On the April Municipal Election ballot, Anchorage voters will consider a series of municipal bond propositions and a one-time education levy. Together, these measures address routine capital needs across the community, including public safety, schools, parks, transportation, and core municipal facilities.

The propositions are listed below. Please take your time to review them as if you were voting, indicating for each one whether you would vote yes or no. They are all on one page, so you can change your votes on any of them if you wish, until they reflect your true intent.

NOTE: We have not included an "undecided" option on these bonds, just like in the election!

4A. Proposition A is a \$8,990,000 bond for area-wide public safety and transit. It would fund a range of capital improvements related to public safety and transit, including emergency vehicles, traffic safety upgrades, and transit facility improvements across Anchorage. Passage of this bond will result in an increase in property taxes of \$1.89 per \$100,000 of assessed property value within the Municipality area-wide, for 20 years, to cover debt service and annual maintenance. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:	
	Count	%
Yes	488	58.7%
No	343	41.3%

4B. Proposition B is a \$7,150,000 bond for community facilities capital improvements. It would fund a variety of projects, including facility safety and code upgrades, roof replacements at major municipal facilities, renovations at Loussac Library, replacement of boilers at Historic Old City Hall, and upgrades to the Performing Arts Center. Passage of this bond will result in an increase in property taxes of \$1.22 per \$100,000 of assessed property value with the Municipality areawide, for 20 years. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:	
	Count	%
Yes	455	54.7%
No	376	45.3%

4C. Proposition C is a \$6,050,000 bond for Anchorage parks, trails and recreation facilities. It would fund improvements to Campbell Creek Trail, Chester Creek Sports Complex, East Delaney Park, Eastchester Park, Far North Bicentennial Park, Fish Creek Trail, Forsythe Park, Hamilton Park, Mountain View Community Center, Nunaka Valley Park, Spenard Recreation Center, and Town Square Park. Passage of this bond will result in an increase in property taxes of \$2.35 per \$100,000 of assessed property value within the Anchorage Parks and Recreation Service Area, without cost to properties in Chugiak, Eagle River, Girdwood and other areas outside the Service Area, for 20 years, to cover debt service and annual maintenance. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:	
	Count	%
Yes	440	52.9%
No	391	47.1%

4D. Proposition D is a one-time tax levy of \$11,800,000 for the Anchorage School District. This levy amount will be dedicated solely for the purpose of retaining 80+ teacher positions across the district. Passage of this proposition will result in a one-time levy of \$27.40 per \$100,000 of assessed property value. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:	
	Count	%
Yes	407	49.0%
No	424	51.0%

4E. Proposition E is a \$79,460,000 bond for Anchorage schools. It would provide for major renovations and upgrades, planning and design and safety and security systems for several ASD schools and facilities, including Romig Middle School, Lake Otis, Klatt, Tudor and Ursa Major Elementary Schools, Bettye Davis High School and the Student Nutrition building. 50% of the cost of this bond will be eligible for reimbursement by the State of Alaska. Passage of this bond will result in an increase in property taxes of \$7.82 per \$100,000 of assessed property value within the Municipality area-wide, for 20 years. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:	
	Count	%
Yes	384	46.2%
No	447	53.8%

4F. Proposition F is a \$38,450,000 bond for Roads and Drainage improvements. It would fund capital projects to repair, upgrade, and improve roads and storm drainage infrastructure throughout the Anchorage Roads and Drainage Service Area. Passage of this bond will result in an increase in property taxes of \$8.76 per \$100,000 of assessed property value within the Anchorage Roads and Drainage Service Area, without cost to properties in Chugiak, Eagle River, Girdwood and other areas outside the Service Area, for 20 years, to cover debt service and annual maintenance. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:	
	Count	%
Yes	490	58.9%
No	341	41.1%

4G. Proposition G is a \$2,500,000 bond for fire protection capital improvements. It would provide for replacement fire engine trucks to ensure reliable response across the community. Passage of this bond will result in an increase in property taxes of \$0.46 per \$100,000 of assessed property value within the Anchorage Fire Service Area, without cost to properties in Chugiak, Girdwood and other areas outside the Fire Service Area, for 20 years. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:	
	Count	%
Yes	549	66.1%
No	282	33.9%

4H. Proposition H is a \$1,720,000 bond for access and trail improvements to Chugach State Park. It would provide for improvements to the Basher Drive Trailhead parking lot, extension of Lost Cabin Trail and acquisition and construction of Stewart Trail wayfinding and signage. Passage of this bond will result in an increase in property taxes of \$0.34 per \$100,000 of assessed property value within the Chugach State Park Access Service Area, without cost to properties in Chugiak, Eagle River, Girdwood and other areas outside the Service Area, for 20 years. If the election was held today, would you vote yes or no on this proposition?

	PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:	
	Count	%
Yes	415	50.0%
No	416	50.0%

5A. Coming into this survey, are your opinions towards the Anchorage School District...

	OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:	
	Count	%
Very favorable	75	9.0%
Somewhat favorable	306	36.8%
Somewhat unfavorable	189	22.7%
Very unfavorable	211	25.4%
No opinion	50	6.0%

5B. And what are your opinions towards the Anchorage School District specifically in terms of financial and budget management?

	FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:	
	Count	%
Very favorable	38	4.5%
Somewhat favorable	183	22.0%
Somewhat unfavorable	246	29.6%
Very unfavorable	301	36.2%
No opinion	63	7.6%

5C. Faced with education budget pressures, the Anchorage School Board is reconsidering the issue of school consolidation. School consolidation would combine the students from two or more underutilized neighborhood elementary schools to save resources and produce more even class sizes. The buildings whose students are moved to other schools could be repurposed for charter programs or returned to the municipality for redevelopment. While the consolidation of schools is an effective way to reduce the ASD budget in the future, particularly long-term, there could be significant impacts to students and families, including the upheaval of redirecting students to other surrounding schools and increasing transportation times for some affected families.

Which of the following comes closest to your view on how ASD should approach school consolidation?

FULL TEXT OF RESPONSE OPTIONS:

- ASD should immediately consider consolidating schools and redirecting students to reduce operational expenses
- Consolidating schools should only be considered in the context of long-term population shifts, and require additional community engagement before any action is taken
- Consolidating schools is a drastic and irreversible step and shouldn't be a method of addressing a budget shortfall
- No opinion

	VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:	
	Count	%
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	328	39.5%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	345	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	114	13.7%
No opinion	44	5.3%

5D. OK, now consider this more specific, hypothetical scenario. Recent budget pressures have forced the School District to make unpopular cuts to certain core programs and services. Let's say the School District is considering the option of consolidating a couple of elementary schools that are somewhat under capacity, and redirecting students to neighboring schools. Doing so would reduce costs enough to be able to partially reverse several potential budget cuts across the district, for example cuts to sports programs and school nurses.

What would you do, if it was your decision to make?

	WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?	
	Count	%
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	372	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	259	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping th schools as they are	112	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as the are	50	6.0%
No opinion	38	4.5%

5E. (IF STRONGLY LEAN TOWARDS CONSOLIDATING OR STRONGLY LEAN TOWARDS KEEPING THE SCHOOLS AS THEY ARE) Tell us about why you made this decision. What's your main reasoning?

[OPEN ENDED RESULTS IN APPENDIX A AND APPENDIX B]

5F. (IF VOTED NO ON ONE TIME TAX LEVY) Back on the bonds page, you voted against the one-time \$11.8 million tax levy for retaining ASD teachers. As a reminder, that proposition would levy money dedicated solely for the purpose of retaining 80+ teacher positions across the district. Passage of the proposition would result in a one-time levy of \$27.40 per \$100,000 of assessed property value. If the school board were to approve a school consolidation proposal which reduced operational expenses, would you be more inclined to support the tax levy?

	MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?	
	Count	%
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	21	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	109	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	255	61.9%
No opinion	26	6.4%

Finally, the following questions are for demographic and statistical purposes only. Your responses are confidential. We use this data to ensure that our sample is representative of the Alaska population. You are not required to answer these questions, but it helps improve the validity and integrity of the survey if you do.

6. How many years and months have you lived in Anchorage?

	MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:	
	Count	%
Less than 20 years	285	28.3%
20-35 years	418	41.5%
More than 35 years	305	30.2%

Mean = 30.4 years

7. How many total people live in your household, including you and all other adults and children?

	TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:	
	Count	%
One	175	16.6%
Two	392	37.4%
Three or more	484	46.0%

Mean = 2.85

8. Of the people currently living in your household, how many are children or adolescents aged 18 or under?

	TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:	
	Count	%
None	701	66.9%
One	121	11.6%
Two or more	226	21.6%

Mean = 0.70

9. Are any of your children currently in the Anchorage School District at the following levels?

Elementary school:

	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL:	
	Count	%
Yes	162	46.5%
No	186	53.5%

Middle/Jr. High school:

	MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL:	
	Count	%
Yes	94	27.0%
No	254	73.0%

High School:

	HIGH SCHOOL:	
	Count	%
Yes	116	33.5%
No	231	66.5%

10. Politically, do you consider yourself to be...

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:	
	Count	%
Very conservative	75	7.2%
Conservative	236	22.5%
Moderate	504	48.2%
Progressive	141	13.4%
Very progressive	91	8.7%

11. (IF REGISTERED TO VOTE) What is your registered party affiliation?

	PARTY AFFILIATION:	
	Count	%
Republican	247	25.6%
Democrat	162	16.9%
Other party	86	9.0%
No party	467	48.5%

12. Are you, or is anyone in your household, a member of a labor union?

	UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?	
	Count	%
Yes	223	21.3%
No	824	78.7%

13. Are you married, or not married?

	MARITAL STATUS:	
	Count	%
Married	543	51.9%
Not married	504	48.1%

14. What is the highest grade or year of school you completed?

	EDUCATION LEVEL:	
	Count	%
Some high school	10	.9%
High school graduate	149	14.3%
GED	32	3.1%
Some college	471	45.0%
Bachelor's degree	242	23.1%
Master's degree or higher	142	13.6%

15. In which of the following categories does your total household income fall?

	ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:	
	Count	%
\$0-20,000	49	4.6%
\$20-30,000	33	3.2%
\$30-40,000	51	4.8%
\$40-50,000	40	3.9%
\$50-60,000	65	6.2%
\$60-70,000	51	4.8%
\$70-80,000	58	5.5%
\$80-100,000	139	13.3%
\$100-150,000	227	21.7%
\$150,000+	265	25.4%
Not sure	67	6.5%

Median = \$80,000

16. Which one of the following best describes your race?

	ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:	
	Count	%
White	691	66.1%
Black	24	2.3%
Asian	57	5.5%
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	6	.6%
Alaska Native/American Indian	96	9.2%
Combination	135	12.9%
Other race	22	2.1%
Not sure	15	1.5%

17. Your gender...

	GENDER:	
	Count	%
Male	521	49.8%
Female	511	48.8%
Other	15	1.4%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Areas of Alaska

Column Percents

	AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					Total
	Downtown/ Spenard/ Turnagain	University /M'view/ East Anchorage	Sandlake/ Bayshore/ Oceanview	Lake Otis/ Hillside	Eagle River/ Chugiak	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?						
Yes	94.2%	87.5%	94.4%	88.9%	97.4%	91.6%
No	5.8%	12.5%	5.6%	11.1%	2.6%	8.4%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?						
Yes	61.8%	70.6%	74.1%	76.3%	80.0%	72.3%
No	23.8%	21.0%	16.2%	13.9%	10.3%	17.5%
Don't recall	14.4%	8.3%	9.7%	9.8%	9.7%	10.1%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?						
Dave Bronson	33.0%	36.3%	43.5%	49.3%	59.6%	43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	63.3%	58.4%	51.8%	44.9%	32.3%	50.8%
Don't recall	3.7%	5.3%	4.7%	5.8%	8.1%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?						
Dave Bronson	19.2%	22.5%	30.4%	33.4%	46.4%	29.0%
Suzanne LaFrance	36.9%	36.1%	36.2%	30.5%	25.2%	33.6%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	43.9%	41.4%	33.3%	36.1%	28.4%	37.3%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:						
Every time	47.8%	51.8%	49.7%	55.5%	64.8%	53.2%
Most times	28.7%	27.2%	28.1%	25.1%	18.9%	26.1%
50-50 of the time	8.3%	7.4%	8.0%	7.8%	9.5%	8.1%
Not very often	10.6%	11.4%	7.7%	7.2%	3.9%	8.6%
Never	4.6%	2.3%	6.5%	4.4%	2.8%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:						
Very likely	72.5%	74.3%	74.3%	78.8%	83.1%	76.1%
Somewhat likely	17.6%	21.1%	15.7%	13.4%	10.2%	16.2%
Not very likely	8.2%	4.2%	6.4%	4.1%	3.9%	5.3%
Not at all likely	1.7%	.4%	3.6%	3.7%	2.8%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:						
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	43.6%	45.3%	46.3%	48.8%	62.5%	48.2%
Other likely voter	35.6%	29.0%	33.0%	29.8%	26.9%	30.8%
Not likely voter	15.1%	13.2%	15.2%	10.3%	7.9%	12.6%
Not registered	5.8%	12.5%	5.6%	11.1%	2.6%	8.4%
Total	16.6%	28.2%	20.8%	21.5%	12.9%	100.0%

	AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					Total
	Downtown/ Spenard/ Turnagain	University /M'view/ East Anchorage	Sandlake/ Bayshore/ Oceanview	Lake Otis/ Hillside	Eagle River/ Chugiak	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:						
Yes	71.3%	56.5%	70.2%	50.6%	45.2%	58.7%
No	28.7%	43.5%	29.8%	49.4%	54.8%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:						
Yes	67.7%	55.6%	58.1%	45.4%	48.2%	54.7%
No	32.3%	44.4%	41.9%	54.6%	51.8%	45.3%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:						
Yes	64.0%	53.7%	56.6%	45.2%	45.4%	52.9%
No	36.0%	46.3%	43.4%	54.8%	54.6%	47.1%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:						
Yes	59.9%	43.8%	53.8%	47.7%	41.6%	49.0%
No	40.1%	56.2%	46.2%	52.3%	58.4%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:						
Yes	60.2%	42.9%	52.6%	43.0%	32.8%	46.2%
No	39.8%	57.1%	47.4%	57.0%	67.2%	53.8%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:						
Yes	68.5%	62.0%	57.7%	57.2%	47.6%	58.9%
No	31.5%	38.0%	42.3%	42.8%	52.4%	41.1%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:						
Yes	71.5%	67.7%	70.1%	58.9%	62.1%	66.1%
No	28.5%	32.3%	29.9%	41.1%	37.9%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:						
Yes	59.6%	55.1%	47.7%	40.9%	46.8%	50.0%
No	40.4%	44.9%	52.3%	59.1%	53.2%	50.0%
Total	16.0%	26.5%	20.8%	21.5%	15.2%	100.0%

	AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					Total
	Downtown/ Spenard/ Turnagain	University /M'view/ East Anchorage	Sandlake/ Bayshore/ Oceanview	Lake Otis/ Hillside	Eagle River/ Chugiak	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:						
Favorable	50.5%	43.3%	48.9%	46.0%	40.3%	45.8%
Unfavorable	43.9%	47.8%	48.7%	49.1%	51.3%	48.1%
No opinion	5.6%	8.8%	2.4%	4.9%	8.4%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:						
Favorable	35.3%	21.7%	28.6%	29.0%	18.8%	26.5%
Unfavorable	56.2%	67.8%	64.2%	65.3%	76.9%	65.9%
No opinion	8.5%	10.6%	7.2%	5.7%	4.3%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:						
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	37.8%	39.5%	37.7%	42.8%	38.8%	39.5%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	45.3%	36.5%	46.8%	38.7%	42.9%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	13.6%	17.7%	8.7%	11.1%	17.6%	13.7%
No opinion	3.4%	6.2%	6.8%	7.4%	.6%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?						
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	45.9%	44.1%	40.5%	45.1%	50.2%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	28.7%	30.1%	41.2%	28.2%	26.2%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	11.4%	13.6%	11.9%	14.2%	17.1%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	7.4%	6.6%	3.2%	7.0%	5.9%	6.0%
No opinion	6.7%	5.7%	3.2%	5.4%	.7%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?						
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	7.5%	2.5%	11.7%	2.2%	3.8%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	24.2%	30.3%	21.1%	26.7%	28.1%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	65.8%	60.8%	61.6%	63.0%	59.6%	61.9%
No opinion	2.5%	6.3%	5.6%	8.2%	8.5%	6.4%
Total	13.4%	28.7%	19.4%	22.2%	16.2%	100.0%

	AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					Total
	Downtown/ Spenard/ Turnagain	University /M'view/ East Anchorage	Sandlake/ Bayshore/ Oceanview	Lake Otis/ Hillside	Eagle River/ Chugiak	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AGE:						
18-34	32.1%	31.7%	33.5%	34.7%	25.5%	32.0%
35-44	24.5%	21.0%	17.5%	19.1%	12.3%	19.4%
45-54	16.0%	16.0%	14.9%	12.1%	12.7%	14.5%
55+	27.4%	31.3%	34.1%	34.1%	49.5%	34.2%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:						
Less than 20 years	29.2%	36.0%	24.9%	25.9%	19.3%	28.3%
20-35 years	39.6%	37.8%	43.5%	43.0%	46.3%	41.5%
More than 35 years	31.2%	26.2%	31.5%	31.1%	34.4%	30.2%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:						
One	29.5%	12.5%	17.9%	13.0%	13.0%	16.6%
Two	32.0%	40.2%	37.9%	38.1%	35.8%	37.4%
Three or more	38.5%	47.3%	44.2%	48.8%	51.2%	46.0%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:						
None	71.8%	67.3%	64.2%	63.6%	69.5%	66.9%
One	15.6%	8.5%	13.6%	13.0%	7.5%	11.6%
Two or more	12.7%	24.3%	22.1%	23.4%	23.0%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?						
Yes	59.3%	51.0%	49.4%	36.8%	34.8%	46.5%
No	40.7%	49.0%	50.6%	63.2%	65.2%	53.5%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?						
Yes	25.2%	37.0%	21.0%	18.1%	34.5%	27.0%
No	74.8%	63.0%	79.0%	81.9%	65.5%	73.0%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?						
Yes	26.0%	29.9%	36.2%	37.0%	39.0%	33.5%
No	74.0%	70.1%	63.8%	63.0%	61.0%	66.5%
Total	14.1%	28.0%	22.4%	23.7%	11.9%	100.0%

	AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					Total
	Downtown/ Spenard/ Turnagain	University /M'view/ East Anchorage	Sandlake/ Bayshore/ Oceanview	Lake Otis/ Hillside	Eagle River/ Chugiak	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:						
Conservative	19.3%	23.7%	31.8%	31.4%	49.8%	29.7%
Moderate	53.0%	52.9%	40.6%	50.9%	39.3%	48.2%
Progressive	27.7%	23.4%	27.5%	17.6%	10.9%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:						
Republican	18.9%	18.4%	28.8%	28.2%	39.2%	25.6%
Democrat	19.2%	25.9%	15.8%	11.4%	6.4%	16.9%
Other party	10.5%	12.0%	6.6%	6.4%	8.8%	9.0%
No party	51.3%	43.7%	48.7%	54.1%	45.6%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?						
Yes	21.6%	18.9%	25.2%	19.2%	23.2%	21.3%
No	78.4%	81.1%	74.8%	80.8%	76.8%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:						
Married	38.2%	47.9%	51.9%	58.2%	67.7%	51.9%
Not married	61.8%	52.1%	48.1%	41.8%	32.3%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:						
High school/GED or less	20.5%	21.3%	16.7%	15.5%	16.1%	18.3%
Some college	42.9%	47.8%	46.9%	40.6%	46.2%	45.0%
College graduate	36.6%	31.0%	36.4%	43.9%	37.8%	36.7%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:						
\$0-\$50,000	24.1%	23.0%	7.2%	16.2%	8.3%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	28.9%	32.1%	38.8%	23.8%	22.3%	29.9%
\$100,000+	41.2%	40.8%	49.1%	49.1%	62.0%	47.1%
Not sure	5.8%	4.1%	4.9%	11.0%	7.4%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:						
White	65.0%	56.3%	67.0%	70.4%	80.0%	66.1%
Native/American Indian	12.0%	9.0%	10.7%	9.0%	4.0%	9.2%
Other race	23.1%	34.7%	22.4%	20.6%	16.0%	24.7%
GENDER:						
Male	49.3%	49.9%	49.8%	49.6%	50.5%	49.8%
Female	48.3%	48.9%	48.8%	48.7%	49.5%	48.8%
Other	2.4%	1.2%	1.4%	1.7%		1.4%
Total	16.7%	28.0%	20.8%	21.6%	12.9%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Age of Respondent

Column Percents

	AGE:				Total
	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?					
Yes	85.4%	88.3%	96.0%	97.5%	91.6%
No	14.6%	11.7%	4.0%	2.5%	8.4%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?					
Yes	52.7%	74.0%	71.6%	87.9%	72.3%
No	30.6%	18.3%	17.4%	6.5%	17.5%
Don't recall	16.7%	7.7%	11.0%	5.7%	10.1%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	29.8%	36.9%	47.4%	52.5%	43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	65.9%	57.4%	49.1%	41.0%	50.8%
Don't recall	4.3%	5.8%	3.5%	6.5%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	13.4%	24.1%	32.5%	44.9%	29.0%
Suzanne LaFrance	29.7%	37.5%	33.8%	35.1%	33.6%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	56.9%	38.5%	33.7%	20.0%	37.3%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:					
Every time	34.1%	46.4%	51.1%	73.3%	53.2%
Most times	27.5%	31.0%	32.6%	19.6%	26.1%
50-50 of the time	15.5%	6.7%	4.2%	4.3%	8.1%
Not very often	12.9%	13.8%	8.9%	2.2%	8.6%
Never	10.0%	2.1%	3.3%	.6%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:					
Very likely	55.8%	76.6%	79.4%	91.3%	76.1%
Somewhat likely	29.6%	16.6%	13.1%	6.4%	16.2%
Not very likely	10.4%	4.7%	5.0%	1.6%	5.3%
Not at all likely	4.2%	2.1%	2.4%	.8%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:					
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	28.0%	41.0%	48.4%	71.1%	48.2%
Other likely voter	36.2%	32.2%	35.1%	23.3%	30.8%
Not likely voter	21.3%	15.1%	12.6%	3.1%	12.6%
Not registered	14.6%	11.7%	4.0%	2.5%	8.4%
Total	32.0%	19.4%	14.5%	34.2%	100.0%

	AGE:				Total
	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:					
Yes	60.8%	59.9%	57.6%	57.6%	58.7%
No	39.2%	40.1%	42.4%	42.4%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	60.2%	60.4%	50.2%	51.4%	54.7%
No	39.8%	39.6%	49.8%	48.6%	45.3%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:					
Yes	69.6%	59.7%	48.3%	43.7%	52.9%
No	30.4%	40.3%	51.7%	56.3%	47.1%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:					
Yes	69.6%	55.4%	49.7%	36.2%	49.0%
No	30.4%	44.6%	50.3%	63.8%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:					
Yes	53.4%	51.2%	46.7%	40.4%	46.2%
No	46.6%	48.8%	53.3%	59.6%	53.8%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	62.1%	60.9%	52.9%	58.7%	58.9%
No	37.9%	39.1%	47.1%	41.3%	41.1%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	63.1%	65.9%	63.2%	68.6%	66.1%
No	36.9%	34.1%	36.8%	31.4%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:					
Yes	63.5%	55.0%	46.7%	42.5%	50.0%
No	36.5%	45.0%	53.3%	57.5%	50.0%
Total	21.9%	17.8%	15.5%	44.9%	100.0%

	AGE:				Total
	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:					
Favorable	55.8%	45.6%	41.9%	41.0%	45.8%
Unfavorable	34.7%	49.8%	53.4%	54.0%	48.1%
No opinion	9.5%	4.5%	4.8%	5.0%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:					
Favorable	21.9%	26.6%	28.3%	28.8%	26.5%
Unfavorable	65.6%	66.9%	66.7%	65.4%	65.9%
No opinion	12.6%	6.5%	5.0%	5.8%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:					
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	26.9%	32.3%	40.7%	50.1%	39.5%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	49.7%	48.5%	36.4%	35.2%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	17.5%	11.6%	16.9%	11.1%	13.7%
No opinion	5.9%	7.6%	6.0%	3.6%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?					
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	30.1%	39.3%	45.0%	56.4%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	37.3%	32.5%	31.6%	26.6%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	22.0%	18.4%	8.2%	7.9%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	5.9%	3.3%	10.1%	5.7%	6.0%
No opinion	4.7%	6.4%	5.2%	3.3%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?					
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	5.7%	5.5%	4.5%	5.0%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	24.8%	24.1%	25.0%	28.4%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	49.1%	67.7%	66.0%	62.9%	61.9%
No opinion	20.4%	2.6%	4.6%	3.8%	6.4%
Total	16.3%	16.1%	15.5%	52.0%	100.0%

	AGE:				Total
	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					
Downtown/Spenard/ Turnagain	16.7%	21.1%	18.3%	13.3%	16.6%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	28.0%	30.7%	31.2%	25.9%	28.2%
Sandlake/Bayshore/ Oceanview	21.8%	18.8%	21.3%	20.8%	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	23.3%	21.2%	17.9%	21.4%	21.5%
Eagle River/Chugiak	10.3%	8.2%	11.3%	18.6%	12.9%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:					
Less than 20 years	38.6%	40.0%	29.2%	11.4%	28.3%
20-35 years	61.4%	38.8%	30.0%	29.3%	41.5%
More than 35 years		21.1%	40.8%	59.3%	30.2%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:					
One	14.0%	15.2%	16.1%	20.1%	16.6%
Two	27.3%	25.5%	22.7%	59.8%	37.4%
Three or more	58.8%	59.3%	61.2%	20.1%	46.0%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:					
None	60.7%	42.4%	51.9%	92.9%	66.9%
One	13.4%	15.5%	21.1%	3.6%	11.6%
Two or more	26.0%	42.0%	27.1%	3.4%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?					
Yes	44.3%	56.6%	39.2%	32.7%	46.5%
No	55.7%	43.4%	60.8%	67.3%	53.5%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?					
Yes	17.6%	36.0%	32.3%	18.9%	27.0%
No	82.4%	64.0%	67.7%	81.1%	73.0%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?					
Yes	17.3%	35.5%	53.8%	50.0%	33.5%
No	82.7%	64.5%	46.2%	50.0%	66.5%
Total	38.0%	33.6%	21.1%	7.3%	100.0%

	AGE:				Total
	18-34	35-44	45-54	55+	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:					
Conservative	21.0%	21.1%	32.1%	41.6%	29.7%
Moderate	47.0%	54.7%	46.7%	46.3%	48.2%
Progressive	32.1%	24.2%	21.1%	12.1%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:					
Republican	20.6%	14.9%	29.1%	33.8%	25.6%
Democrat	22.7%	20.3%	16.1%	10.6%	16.9%
Other party	9.7%	9.4%	8.7%	8.3%	9.0%
No party	47.0%	55.3%	46.1%	47.3%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?					
Yes	18.3%	22.1%	26.6%	21.3%	21.3%
No	81.7%	77.9%	73.4%	78.7%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:					
Married	36.6%	53.0%	54.0%	64.6%	51.9%
Not married	63.4%	47.0%	46.0%	35.4%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:					
High school/GED or less	25.1%	20.8%	18.7%	10.3%	18.3%
Some college	32.6%	45.2%	50.9%	54.0%	45.0%
College graduate	42.3%	34.1%	30.4%	35.7%	36.7%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:					
\$0-\$50,000	18.3%	20.4%	22.7%	10.1%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	37.4%	21.6%	24.2%	30.1%	29.9%
\$100,000+	36.5%	54.2%	51.4%	51.2%	47.1%
Not sure	7.9%	3.9%	1.8%	8.6%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:					
White	59.7%	56.8%	63.3%	78.5%	66.1%
Native/American Indian	5.9%	14.1%	14.2%	7.4%	9.2%
Other race	34.4%	29.2%	22.5%	14.2%	24.7%
GENDER:					
Male	40.2%	51.1%	53.6%	56.3%	49.8%
Female	56.1%	48.0%	46.4%	43.5%	48.8%
Other	3.7%	.9%		.2%	1.4%
Total	31.9%	19.3%	14.6%	34.2%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Children in Household

Column Percents

	TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:			Total
	None	One	Two or more	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?				
Yes	92.5%	90.7%	89.3%	91.6%
No	7.5%	9.3%	10.7%	8.4%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	74.8%	61.2%	69.9%	72.2%
No	14.9%	28.1%	20.6%	17.6%
Don't recall	10.3%	10.7%	9.5%	10.2%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	41.1%	37.9%	55.7%	43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	52.9%	52.0%	42.5%	50.7%
Don't recall	5.9%	10.0%	1.8%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	28.5%	21.1%	34.8%	29.0%
Suzanne LaFrance	36.7%	28.9%	26.5%	33.6%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	34.9%	50.1%	38.7%	37.5%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	56.8%	45.7%	45.7%	53.2%
Most times	23.1%	31.2%	32.6%	26.0%
50-50 of the time	8.3%	7.6%	7.8%	8.1%
Not very often	8.4%	10.1%	8.4%	8.6%
Never	3.4%	5.5%	5.6%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	79.4%	65.8%	70.8%	76.1%
Somewhat likely	13.4%	22.3%	22.3%	16.3%
Not very likely	5.4%	6.3%	4.6%	5.3%
Not at all likely	1.8%	5.5%	2.2%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	52.1%	38.9%	40.8%	48.1%
Other likely voter	28.9%	33.9%	35.0%	30.8%
Not likely voter	11.5%	17.9%	13.5%	12.7%
Not registered	7.5%	9.3%	10.7%	8.4%
Total	66.9%	11.6%	21.6%	100.0%

	TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:			Total
	None	One	Two or more	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	61.5%	56.2%	50.1%	58.7%
No	38.5%	43.8%	49.9%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	56.8%	57.2%	46.5%	54.8%
No	43.2%	42.8%	53.5%	45.2%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	53.2%	51.4%	52.8%	53.0%
No	46.8%	48.6%	47.2%	47.0%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	48.3%	52.6%	49.7%	49.0%
No	51.7%	47.4%	50.3%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	46.9%	43.1%	44.1%	46.0%
No	53.1%	56.9%	55.9%	54.0%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	61.5%	50.6%	53.8%	58.9%
No	38.5%	49.4%	46.2%	41.1%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	69.8%	58.9%	56.9%	66.1%
No	30.2%	41.1%	43.1%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	51.8%	40.8%	48.7%	50.1%
No	48.2%	59.2%	51.3%	49.9%
Total	70.2%	10.2%	19.6%	100.0%

	TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:			Total
	None	One	Two or more	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:				
Favorable	46.5%	54.0%	38.8%	45.7%
Unfavorable	45.5%	43.3%	59.6%	48.2%
No opinion	7.9%	2.7%	1.5%	6.1%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:				
Favorable	27.1%	28.0%	23.7%	26.5%
Unfavorable	63.2%	69.6%	73.4%	66.0%
No opinion	9.7%	2.3%	2.9%	7.5%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	39.1%	36.1%	41.8%	39.3%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	42.6%	40.5%	39.2%	41.7%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	13.2%	15.5%	14.4%	13.7%
No opinion	5.1%	7.9%	4.6%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	45.1%	37.8%	46.9%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	33.5%	30.3%	24.0%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	11.8%	14.3%	19.0%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	4.9%	9.9%	7.7%	6.0%
No opinion	4.7%	7.6%	2.4%	4.6%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	4.3%	5.6%	7.5%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	29.0%	19.5%	22.7%	26.7%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	61.5%	66.5%	60.2%	61.8%
No opinion	5.2%	8.4%	9.5%	6.5%
Total	68.6%	10.6%	20.8%	100.0%

	TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:			Total
	None	One	Two or more	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/				
Turnagain	17.7%	22.2%	9.7%	16.5%
University/M'view/East				
Anchorage	28.5%	20.8%	31.9%	28.3%
Sandlake/Bayshore/				
Oceanview	19.9%	24.4%	21.2%	20.7%
Lake Otis/Hillside	20.5%	24.2%	23.4%	21.5%
Eagle River/Chugiak	13.4%	8.4%	13.8%	12.9%
AGE:				
18-34	29.0%	36.9%	38.6%	32.0%
35-44	12.3%	25.9%	37.7%	19.3%
45-54	11.3%	26.4%	18.2%	14.5%
55+	47.4%	10.8%	5.4%	34.1%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE				
RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	24.3%	40.3%	33.6%	28.2%
20-35 years	40.6%	33.8%	48.6%	41.6%
More than 35 years	35.0%	26.0%	17.8%	30.2%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN				
HOUSEHOLD:				
One	24.6%			16.5%
Two	53.3%	14.0%	.6%	37.4%
Three or more	22.1%	86.0%	99.4%	46.1%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?				
Yes		27.7%	56.6%	46.5%
No		72.3%	43.4%	53.5%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN				
MIDDLE/JR. HIGH				
SCHOOL?				
Yes		11.5%	35.3%	27.0%
No		88.5%	64.7%	73.0%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH				
SCHOOL?				
Yes		27.9%	36.5%	33.5%
No		72.1%	63.5%	66.5%
Total		34.9%	65.1%	100.0%

	TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:			Total
	None	One	Two or more	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:				
Conservative	27.4%	33.5%	35.1%	29.8%
Moderate	50.8%	41.5%	43.6%	48.2%
Progressive	21.8%	25.0%	21.4%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	26.0%	18.0%	28.6%	25.6%
Democrat	16.5%	16.4%	18.7%	16.9%
Other party	9.4%	9.4%	7.2%	8.9%
No party	48.1%	56.2%	45.6%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	20.8%	21.2%	22.8%	21.3%
No	79.2%	78.8%	77.2%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	45.1%	59.1%	69.4%	51.9%
Not married	54.9%	40.9%	30.6%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:				
High school/GED or less	15.4%	20.1%	26.4%	18.3%
Some college	48.6%	39.4%	37.2%	45.1%
College graduate	36.0%	40.5%	36.4%	36.6%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	17.0%	20.3%	12.9%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	29.5%	30.1%	31.2%	29.9%
\$100,000+	45.9%	45.9%	51.4%	47.1%
Not sure	7.6%	3.7%	4.6%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:				
White	69.6%	57.7%	59.3%	66.0%
Native/American Indian	9.3%	12.9%	6.9%	9.2%
Other race	21.1%	29.4%	33.8%	24.8%
GENDER:				
Male	51.9%	43.6%	46.2%	49.7%
Female	46.7%	54.5%	52.5%	48.9%
Other	1.4%	1.9%	1.3%	1.4%
Total	66.8%	11.6%	21.6%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Political Ideology

Column Percents

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:			Total
	Conservative	Moderate	Progressive	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?				
Yes	97.6%	86.0%	97.0%	91.9%
No	2.4%	14.0%	3.0%	8.1%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	79.2%	65.2%	76.7%	72.3%
No	12.6%	22.1%	15.6%	17.6%
Don't recall	8.3%	12.7%	7.8%	10.2%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	86.2%	33.5%	1.5%	43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	5.1%	61.4%	97.1%	50.8%
Don't recall	8.7%	5.1%	1.4%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	66.6%	18.8%	1.1%	29.1%
Suzanne LaFrance	3.9%	34.4%	72.2%	33.7%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	29.5%	46.8%	26.7%	37.2%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	59.5%	46.2%	58.2%	53.2%
Most times	28.4%	26.3%	22.3%	26.0%
50-50 of the time	4.6%	9.5%	10.0%	8.1%
Not very often	5.3%	12.2%	6.1%	8.6%
Never	2.2%	5.8%	3.5%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	79.0%	71.6%	81.2%	76.2%
Somewhat likely	14.9%	18.6%	13.6%	16.3%
Not very likely	3.8%	6.5%	4.7%	5.2%
Not at all likely	2.2%	3.3%	.6%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	57.1%	39.5%	55.7%	48.3%
Other likely voter	31.7%	30.3%	31.4%	31.0%
Not likely voter	8.8%	16.2%	9.9%	12.6%
Not registered	2.4%	14.0%	3.0%	8.1%
Total	29.7%	48.2%	22.1%	100.0%

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:			Total
	Conservative	Moderate	Progressive	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	34.2%	60.1%	90.0%	58.7%
No	65.8%	39.9%	10.0%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	30.3%	54.8%	88.5%	54.8%
No	69.7%	45.2%	11.5%	45.2%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	28.0%	54.4%	84.8%	53.0%
No	72.0%	45.6%	15.2%	47.0%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	19.3%	50.7%	87.2%	49.1%
No	80.7%	49.3%	12.8%	50.9%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	17.4%	47.9%	82.5%	46.1%
No	82.6%	52.1%	17.5%	53.9%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	42.9%	60.3%	79.1%	59.0%
No	57.1%	39.7%	20.9%	41.0%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	56.5%	61.9%	86.6%	66.2%
No	43.5%	38.1%	13.4%	33.8%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	28.2%	50.6%	79.3%	50.1%
No	71.8%	49.4%	20.7%	49.9%
Total	34.0%	41.4%	24.7%	100.0%

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:			Total
	Conservative	Moderate	Progressive	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:				
Favorable	20.0%	51.8%	70.4%	45.8%
Unfavorable	75.4%	40.6%	24.3%	48.2%
No opinion	4.7%	7.6%	5.2%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:				
Favorable	11.0%	28.7%	43.8%	26.4%
Unfavorable	84.2%	64.7%	43.3%	66.0%
No opinion	4.8%	6.7%	12.9%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	58.6%	36.1%	18.9%	39.4%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	26.4%	42.9%	59.9%	41.6%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	10.4%	13.5%	18.7%	13.7%
No opinion	4.5%	7.4%	2.6%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	60.5%	42.1%	27.5%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	20.0%	33.1%	43.3%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	10.6%	12.6%	19.1%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	5.1%	6.4%	6.6%	6.0%
No opinion	3.8%	5.8%	3.5%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	4.4%	5.6%	8.3%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	22.4%	30.5%	36.7%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	68.1%	56.6%	44.2%	61.8%
No opinion	5.2%	7.3%	10.8%	6.5%
Total	52.6%	41.0%	6.4%	100.0%

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:			Total
	Conservative	Moderate	Progressive	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/Turnagain	10.8%	18.3%	20.9%	16.7%
University/M'view/East				
Anchorage	22.3%	30.8%	29.7%	28.0%
Sandlake/Bayshore/Oceanview	22.3%	17.5%	25.9%	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	22.8%	22.8%	17.2%	21.6%
Eagle River/Chugiak	21.7%	10.6%	6.4%	12.9%
AGE:				
18-34	22.5%	31.0%	46.2%	31.8%
35-44	13.7%	22.0%	21.2%	19.4%
45-54	15.8%	14.1%	13.9%	14.6%
55+	48.0%	32.8%	18.7%	34.2%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE				
RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	23.9%	29.5%	31.8%	28.3%
20-35 years	37.1%	40.6%	49.3%	41.4%
More than 35 years	39.0%	30.0%	18.9%	30.3%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:				
One	13.6%	17.9%	18.0%	16.7%
Two	36.0%	38.6%	37.0%	37.5%
Three or more	50.4%	43.4%	45.0%	45.8%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:				
None	61.5%	70.5%	66.0%	66.8%
One	13.1%	10.0%	13.1%	11.6%
Two or more	25.4%	19.5%	20.8%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY				
SCHOOL?				
Yes	36.9%	56.3%	42.1%	46.4%
No	63.1%	43.7%	57.9%	53.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR.				
HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	28.3%	29.3%	20.9%	27.1%
No	71.7%	70.7%	79.1%	72.9%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	33.6%	34.7%	30.5%	33.4%
No	66.4%	65.3%	69.5%	66.6%
Total	34.5%	42.9%	22.6%	100.0%

	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:			Total
	Conservative	Moderate	Progressive	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	59.0%	15.0%	1.2%	25.6%
Democrat	3.6%	10.4%	47.3%	16.9%
Other party	7.1%	10.3%	8.9%	9.0%
No party	30.3%	64.3%	42.6%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	23.4%	18.3%	24.9%	21.3%
No	76.6%	81.7%	75.1%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	62.6%	48.0%	46.1%	51.9%
Not married	37.4%	52.0%	53.9%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:				
High school/GED or less	17.3%	21.1%	13.3%	18.3%
Some college	49.5%	44.8%	39.4%	45.0%
College graduate	33.1%	34.0%	47.3%	36.7%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	9.4%	21.8%	14.6%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	29.6%	28.0%	34.5%	29.9%
\$100,000+	54.1%	43.1%	46.4%	47.1%
Not sure	6.9%	7.1%	4.5%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:				
White	75.1%	59.4%	68.5%	66.1%
Native/American Indian	5.6%	12.3%	7.2%	9.2%
Other race	19.3%	28.3%	24.2%	24.7%
GENDER:				
Male	56.8%	50.5%	38.7%	49.8%
Female	43.2%	48.7%	56.6%	48.8%
Other		.8%	4.7%	1.4%
Total	29.7%	48.2%	22.1%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Party Affiliation

Column Percents

	PARTY AFFILIATION:				Total
	Republican	Democrat	Other party	No party	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE? Yes	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?					
Yes	77.8%	78.3%	58.3%	69.8%	72.3%
No	13.6%	12.7%	29.3%	19.2%	17.6%
Don't recall	8.6%	9.1%	12.3%	11.0%	10.2%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	84.7%	4.6%	39.9%	35.6%	43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	8.6%	94.8%	47.2%	59.0%	50.8%
Don't recall	6.7%	.6%	12.9%	5.5%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	65.9%	3.6%	23.3%	24.8%	31.6%
Suzanne LaFrance	6.7%	74.2%	27.6%	41.2%	36.7%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	27.4%	22.2%	49.2%	34.0%	31.7%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:					
Every time	58.5%	59.2%	42.6%	50.3%	53.2%
Most times	27.3%	23.7%	25.5%	26.3%	26.0%
50-50 of the time	6.1%	11.4%	11.4%	7.4%	8.1%
Not very often	7.2%	5.7%	13.3%	9.5%	8.6%
Never	1.0%		7.3%	6.6%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:					
Very likely	76.8%	85.0%	69.4%	74.0%	76.2%
Somewhat likely	19.1%	13.5%	22.3%	14.6%	16.3%
Not very likely	2.8%	1.5%	7.4%	7.4%	5.2%
Not at all likely	1.3%		.9%	3.9%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:					
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	57.2%	59.2%	42.6%	49.7%	52.6%
Other likely voter	34.1%	34.4%	36.9%	32.7%	33.7%
Not likely voter	8.7%	6.4%	20.6%	17.7%	13.7%
Total	25.6%	16.9%	9.0%	48.5%	100.0%

	PARTY AFFILIATION:				Total
	Republican	Democrat	Other party	No party	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:					
Yes	36.8%	81.9%	51.9%	63.2%	58.7%
No	63.2%	18.1%	48.1%	36.8%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	31.0%	82.1%	44.8%	59.4%	54.8%
No	69.0%	17.9%	55.2%	40.6%	45.2%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:					
Yes	33.4%	83.2%	44.8%	53.6%	53.0%
No	66.6%	16.8%	55.2%	46.4%	47.0%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:					
Yes	24.0%	81.0%	35.6%	53.1%	49.1%
No	76.0%	19.0%	64.4%	46.9%	50.9%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:					
Yes	20.8%	77.1%	43.5%	48.8%	46.1%
No	79.2%	22.9%	56.5%	51.2%	53.9%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	42.3%	80.1%	48.3%	62.1%	59.0%
No	57.7%	19.9%	51.7%	37.9%	41.0%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	58.1%	79.3%	68.2%	65.3%	66.2%
No	41.9%	20.7%	31.8%	34.7%	33.8%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:					
Yes	32.1%	69.7%	43.9%	53.7%	50.1%
No	67.9%	30.3%	56.1%	46.3%	49.9%
Total	27.0%	18.5%	7.8%	46.7%	100.0%

	PARTY AFFILIATION:				Total
	Republican	Democrat	Other party	No party	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:					
Favorable	25.3%	69.6%	46.2%	48.3%	45.8%
Unfavorable	68.5%	24.8%	46.8%	45.8%	48.2%
No opinion	6.2%	5.7%	7.0%	5.9%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:					
Favorable	12.5%	43.0%	20.1%	29.2%	26.4%
Unfavorable	82.6%	47.0%	72.4%	62.6%	66.0%
No opinion	4.9%	10.0%	7.6%	8.2%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:					
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	55.8%	21.5%	24.7%	39.4%	39.4%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	26.0%	55.4%	49.5%	43.8%	41.6%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	13.2%	20.1%	20.3%	10.4%	13.7%
No opinion	4.9%	3.0%	5.5%	6.4%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?					
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	59.0%	28.8%	40.6%	43.3%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	21.3%	40.0%	36.1%	32.7%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	10.1%	20.7%	14.4%	12.5%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	7.4%	7.3%	3.6%	5.1%	6.0%
No opinion	2.2%	3.1%	5.3%	6.3%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?					
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	4.6%	17.1%		4.7%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	25.3%	49.5%	33.3%	22.3%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	65.3%	21.0%	64.0%	65.2%	61.8%
No opinion	4.8%	12.5%	2.7%	7.8%	6.5%
Total	39.9%	7.5%	9.8%	42.8%	100.0%

	PARTY AFFILIATION:				Total
	Republican	Democrat	Other party	No party	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					
Downtown/Spenard/					
Turnagain	12.6%	19.5%	20.1%	18.1%	17.1%
University/M'view/East					
Anchorage	19.4%	41.2%	35.9%	24.3%	26.9%
Sandlake/Bayshore/					
Oceanview	24.1%	20.0%	15.8%	21.5%	21.4%
Lake Otis/Hillside	23.0%	14.1%	14.8%	23.3%	20.9%
Eagle River/Chugiak	20.9%	5.2%	13.5%	12.9%	13.7%
AGE:					
18-34	24.1%	40.2%	32.2%	28.9%	29.9%
35-44	10.8%	22.4%	19.4%	21.2%	18.6%
45-54	17.3%	14.5%	14.8%	14.5%	15.2%
55+	47.8%	22.9%	33.6%	35.4%	36.3%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE					
RESIDENCY:					
Less than 20 years	21.5%	29.2%	22.9%	28.6%	26.4%
20-35 years	38.2%	48.2%	59.7%	38.0%	41.6%
More than 35 years	40.3%	22.6%	17.4%	33.4%	32.0%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN					
HOUSEHOLD:					
One	16.0%	11.6%	19.3%	17.8%	16.4%
Two	39.1%	40.0%	35.4%	39.4%	39.1%
Three or more	45.0%	48.4%	45.3%	42.8%	44.5%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN					
HOUSEHOLD:					
None	68.6%	65.8%	71.0%	67.0%	67.6%
One	8.0%	11.1%	12.1%	13.3%	11.5%
Two or more	23.3%	23.1%	16.9%	19.7%	20.9%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR					
HOUSEHOLD IN					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?					
Yes	35.0%	38.5%	49.7%	49.0%	43.7%
No	65.0%	61.5%	50.3%	51.0%	56.3%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR					
HOUSEHOLD IN					
MIDDLE/JR. HIGH					
SCHOOL?					
Yes	29.7%	36.4%	9.3%	26.1%	27.5%
No	70.3%	63.6%	90.7%	73.9%	72.5%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR					
HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH					
SCHOOL?					
Yes	40.3%	28.6%	31.3%	29.6%	32.2%
No	59.7%	71.4%	68.7%	70.4%	67.8%
Total	24.8%	17.8%	8.0%	49.3%	100.0%

	PARTY AFFILIATION:				Total
	Republican	Democrat	Other party	No party	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:					
Conservative	72.5%	6.7%	25.0%	19.7%	31.5%
Moderate	26.4%	27.8%	51.8%	59.8%	45.1%
Progressive	1.1%	65.5%	23.3%	20.5%	23.4%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?					
Yes	23.6%	29.3%	14.5%	20.7%	22.3%
No	76.4%	70.7%	85.5%	79.3%	77.7%
MARITAL STATUS:					
Married	61.5%	47.6%	42.3%	53.2%	53.4%
Not married	38.5%	52.4%	57.7%	46.8%	46.6%
EDUCATION LEVEL:					
High school/GED or less	19.8%	17.1%	24.2%	13.6%	16.8%
Some college	46.7%	38.6%	51.8%	43.4%	44.2%
College graduate	33.5%	44.3%	24.0%	42.9%	39.0%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:					
\$0-\$50,000	13.1%	14.4%	28.2%	12.5%	14.4%
\$50,000-\$100,000	24.8%	38.9%	26.2%	30.2%	29.9%
\$100,000+	53.9%	43.5%	41.1%	50.7%	49.5%
Not sure	8.3%	3.3%	4.5%	6.6%	6.3%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:					
White	71.4%	62.1%	67.5%	71.1%	69.3%
Native/American Indian	7.6%	5.5%	12.4%	9.5%	8.6%
Other race	20.9%	32.4%	20.0%	19.4%	22.1%
GENDER:					
Male	55.7%	44.5%	53.4%	50.2%	50.9%
Female	44.3%	52.8%	42.2%	48.5%	47.6%
Other		2.8%	4.4%	1.4%	1.5%
Total	25.6%	16.9%	9.0%	48.5%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Education Level

Column Percents

	EDUCATION LEVEL:			Total
	High school/GED or less	Some college	College graduate	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?				
Yes	84.3%	90.2%	97.7%	91.9%
No	15.7%	9.8%	2.3%	8.1%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	41.1%	73.7%	84.1%	72.3%
No	44.1%	14.9%	9.2%	17.6%
Don't recall	14.8%	11.4%	6.7%	10.2%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	56.6%	47.4%	37.5%	43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	29.0%	46.5%	59.5%	50.8%
Don't recall	14.4%	6.1%	3.0%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	19.6%	31.5%	30.8%	29.1%
Suzanne LaFrance	10.0%	30.9%	48.9%	33.7%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	70.3%	37.6%	20.3%	37.2%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	23.3%	54.5%	64.6%	53.2%
Most times	30.5%	26.1%	24.0%	26.0%
50-50 of the time	12.3%	8.4%	5.9%	8.1%
Not very often	24.5%	6.5%	4.1%	8.6%
Never	9.4%	4.5%	1.4%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	48.1%	80.2%	83.7%	76.2%
Somewhat likely	30.3%	15.0%	11.7%	16.3%
Not very likely	14.1%	3.1%	3.8%	5.2%
Not at all likely	7.5%	1.7%	.8%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	19.6%	48.4%	62.5%	48.3%
Other likely voter	33.4%	31.9%	28.6%	31.0%
Not likely voter	31.2%	10.0%	6.5%	12.6%
Not registered	15.7%	9.8%	2.3%	8.1%
Total	18.3%	45.0%	36.7%	100.0%

	EDUCATION LEVEL:			Total
	High school/GED or less	Some college	College graduate	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	53.6%	53.0%	65.7%	58.7%
No	46.4%	47.0%	34.3%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	35.0%	51.3%	62.9%	54.8%
No	65.0%	48.7%	37.1%	45.2%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	46.9%	46.3%	61.2%	53.0%
No	53.1%	53.7%	38.8%	47.0%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	40.7%	42.4%	57.8%	49.1%
No	59.3%	57.6%	42.2%	50.9%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	37.7%	42.8%	51.4%	46.1%
No	62.3%	57.2%	48.6%	53.9%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	56.3%	53.7%	65.1%	59.0%
No	43.7%	46.3%	34.9%	41.0%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	59.2%	62.5%	71.6%	66.2%
No	40.8%	37.5%	28.4%	33.8%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	37.4%	44.1%	59.0%	50.1%
No	62.6%	55.9%	41.0%	49.9%
Total	9.9%	45.8%	44.3%	100.0%

	EDUCATION LEVEL:			Total
	High school/GED or less	Some college	College graduate	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:				
Favorable	45.9%	41.8%	50.0%	45.8%
Unfavorable	49.4%	50.1%	45.8%	48.2%
No opinion	4.7%	8.2%	4.1%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:				
Favorable	20.8%	24.9%	29.7%	26.4%
Unfavorable	73.8%	67.7%	61.9%	66.0%
No opinion	5.4%	7.4%	8.4%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	20.1%	40.3%	44.0%	39.4%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	52.3%	37.3%	43.1%	41.6%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	17.8%	15.7%	10.5%	13.7%
No opinion	9.9%	6.7%	2.5%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	31.1%	42.8%	50.7%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	32.1%	28.0%	34.5%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	22.3%	15.0%	9.4%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	4.2%	9.2%	3.1%	6.0%
No opinion	10.3%	5.1%	2.3%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	5.4%	6.6%	2.9%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	13.2%	28.4%	29.1%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	68.5%	58.8%	63.6%	61.8%
No opinion	13.0%	6.2%	4.4%	6.5%
Total	13.2%	51.2%	35.6%	100.0%

	EDUCATION LEVEL:			Total
	High school/GED or less	Some college	College graduate	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/Turnagain	18.7%	15.9%	16.6%	16.7%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	32.6%	29.7%	23.6%	28.0%
Sandlake/Bayshore/Oceanview	19.0%	21.7%	20.6%	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	18.3%	19.5%	25.8%	21.6%
Eagle River/Chugiak	11.4%	13.3%	13.3%	12.9%
AGE:				
18-34	43.7%	23.0%	36.7%	31.8%
35-44	22.0%	19.4%	18.0%	19.4%
45-54	14.9%	16.5%	12.1%	14.6%
55+	19.4%	41.0%	33.3%	34.2%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	28.7%	25.7%	31.4%	28.3%
20-35 years	48.1%	35.1%	45.8%	41.4%
More than 35 years	23.1%	39.2%	22.8%	30.3%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:				
One	11.5%	18.6%	16.9%	16.7%
Two	27.6%	39.1%	40.5%	37.5%
Three or more	60.9%	42.3%	42.7%	45.8%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:				
None	56.1%	72.1%	65.7%	66.8%
One	12.8%	10.1%	12.9%	11.6%
Two or more	31.1%	17.8%	21.4%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?				
Yes	58.3%	47.9%	37.3%	46.4%
No	41.7%	52.1%	62.7%	53.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	37.9%	29.6%	17.6%	27.1%
No	62.1%	70.4%	82.4%	72.9%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	44.1%	30.8%	29.1%	33.4%
No	55.9%	69.2%	70.9%	66.6%
Total	24.2%	37.9%	37.8%	100.0%

	EDUCATION LEVEL:			Total
	High school/GED or less	Some college	College graduate	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:				
Conservative	28.1%	32.7%	26.8%	29.7%
Moderate	55.8%	48.0%	44.7%	48.2%
Progressive	16.1%	19.4%	28.5%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	30.3%	27.1%	22.0%	25.6%
Democrat	17.2%	14.7%	19.2%	16.9%
Other party	13.0%	10.5%	5.5%	9.0%
No party	39.5%	47.7%	53.3%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	17.3%	20.6%	24.0%	21.3%
No	82.7%	79.4%	76.0%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	36.6%	53.0%	58.1%	51.9%
Not married	63.4%	47.0%	41.9%	48.1%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	38.0%	17.8%	4.2%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	35.2%	31.9%	24.8%	29.9%
\$100,000+	23.7%	41.4%	65.9%	47.1%
Not sure	3.1%	8.9%	5.2%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:				
White	45.3%	64.7%	78.1%	66.1%
Native/American Indian	16.1%	10.0%	4.8%	9.2%
Other race	38.6%	25.3%	17.1%	24.7%
GENDER:				
Male	48.7%	47.4%	53.2%	49.8%
Female	49.5%	50.8%	46.1%	48.8%
Other	1.8%	1.8%	.7%	1.4%
Total	18.3%	45.0%	36.7%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Annual Household Income

Column Percents

	ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				Total
	\$0-\$50,000	\$50,000-\$100,000	\$100,000+	Not sure	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?					
Yes	79.9%	91.9%	96.4%	89.0%	91.8%
No	20.1%	8.1%	3.6%	11.0%	8.2%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?					
Yes	43.8%	71.9%	81.4%	66.0%	72.2%
No	39.3%	18.5%	11.6%	11.0%	17.6%
Don't recall	16.9%	9.6%	7.0%	23.0%	10.2%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	23.1%	43.5%	45.5%	58.0%	43.7%
Suzanne LaFrance	58.0%	49.6%	52.5%	29.1%	50.8%
Don't recall	18.9%	6.8%	1.9%	12.9%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	8.1%	28.7%	35.8%	34.1%	29.0%
Suzanne LaFrance	20.3%	32.8%	41.3%	17.1%	33.7%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	71.6%	38.5%	23.0%	48.8%	37.3%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:					
Every time	28.6%	49.0%	63.1%	49.0%	53.1%
Most times	29.9%	28.7%	22.9%	30.8%	26.1%
50-50 of the time	15.2%	8.1%	6.0%	8.6%	8.1%
Not very often	23.4%	6.8%	5.6%	7.2%	8.6%
Never	2.9%	7.4%	2.5%	4.4%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:					
Very likely	48.9%	75.9%	84.4%	74.4%	76.1%
Somewhat likely	37.5%	17.1%	9.4%	18.3%	16.3%
Not very likely	12.2%	2.8%	4.4%	7.3%	5.2%
Not at all likely	1.4%	4.1%	1.8%		2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:					
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	20.9%	44.6%	60.6%	43.6%	48.2%
Other likely voter	37.1%	33.2%	27.1%	35.1%	31.1%
Not likely voter	21.9%	14.1%	8.8%	10.3%	12.6%
Not registered	20.1%	8.1%	3.6%	11.0%	8.2%
Total	16.5%	29.9%	47.1%	6.5%	100.0%

	ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				Total
	\$0-\$50,000	\$50,000-\$100,000	\$100,000+	Not sure	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:					
Yes	57.0%	61.3%	58.3%	49.2%	58.5%
No	43.0%	38.7%	41.7%	50.8%	41.5%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	50.4%	53.9%	56.8%	44.8%	54.6%
No	49.6%	46.1%	43.2%	55.2%	45.4%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:					
Yes	54.4%	52.5%	54.2%	40.1%	52.9%
No	45.6%	47.5%	45.8%	59.9%	47.1%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:					
Yes	58.2%	51.8%	48.7%	26.8%	49.2%
No	41.8%	48.2%	51.3%	73.2%	50.8%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:					
Yes	40.8%	52.6%	46.3%	20.8%	46.1%
No	59.2%	47.4%	53.7%	79.2%	53.9%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	61.2%	58.1%	59.5%	54.5%	59.0%
No	38.8%	41.9%	40.5%	45.5%	41.0%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:					
Yes	55.0%	66.9%	68.6%	58.2%	66.1%
No	45.0%	33.1%	31.4%	41.8%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:					
Yes	47.8%	49.4%	52.2%	37.3%	50.1%
No	52.2%	50.6%	47.8%	62.7%	49.9%
Total	9.5%	29.0%	55.3%	6.2%	100.0%

	ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				Total
	\$0-\$50,000	\$50,000-\$100,000	\$100,000+	Not sure	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:					
Favorable	53.8%	46.1%	45.1%	35.6%	45.8%
Unfavorable	32.5%	47.3%	51.7%	53.8%	48.2%
No opinion	13.7%	6.6%	3.2%	10.6%	5.9%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:					
Favorable	36.3%	27.5%	25.3%	14.0%	26.5%
Unfavorable	56.0%	64.3%	68.1%	75.0%	66.0%
No opinion	7.7%	8.2%	6.6%	11.0%	7.5%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:					
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	21.7%	32.0%	47.1%	43.7%	39.4%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	50.3%	41.7%	40.7%	30.5%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	18.0%	20.0%	8.8%	17.9%	13.8%
No opinion	10.0%	6.2%	3.4%	7.8%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?					
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	27.0%	39.6%	51.9%	42.5%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	26.9%	32.9%	31.7%	27.1%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	24.5%	15.7%	9.3%	17.9%	13.6%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	11.0%	6.5%	4.6%	6.2%	6.0%
No opinion	10.5%	5.3%	2.5%	6.3%	4.6%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?					
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	11.4%	5.0%	4.6%	1.5%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	27.1%	23.5%	27.7%	27.4%	26.4%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	52.0%	62.9%	63.2%	63.3%	62.0%
No opinion	9.5%	8.6%	4.6%	7.7%	6.5%
Total	10.4%	28.3%	52.5%	8.8%	100.0%

	ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				Total
	\$0-\$50,000	\$50,000-\$100,000	\$100,000+	Not sure	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					
Downtown/Spenard/					
Turnagain	24.4%	16.2%	14.6%	15.0%	16.7%
University/M'view/East					
Anchorage	39.0%	30.0%	24.2%	17.9%	28.0%
Sandlake/Bayshore/					
Oceanview	9.0%	27.1%	21.8%	15.7%	20.9%
Lake Otis/Hillside	21.1%	17.1%	22.4%	36.7%	21.6%
Eagle River/Chugiak	6.5%	9.6%	16.9%	14.7%	12.9%
AGE:					
18-34	35.3%	39.9%	24.7%	38.9%	31.9%
35-44	23.8%	13.9%	22.2%	11.6%	19.3%
45-54	20.0%	11.8%	15.9%	4.0%	14.6%
55+	20.9%	34.3%	37.1%	45.6%	34.1%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE					
RESIDENCY:					
Less than 20 years	37.1%	27.2%	27.7%	14.9%	28.4%
20-35 years	35.6%	45.9%	39.9%	46.8%	41.4%
More than 35 years	27.3%	26.9%	32.4%	38.3%	30.2%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN					
HOUSEHOLD:					
One	34.6%	20.8%	8.4%	12.4%	16.7%
Two	25.3%	34.8%	42.6%	41.3%	37.3%
Three or more	40.2%	44.4%	49.0%	46.3%	46.0%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN					
HOUSEHOLD:					
None	68.9%	65.8%	65.1%	78.0%	66.7%
One	14.3%	11.7%	11.3%	6.7%	11.6%
Two or more	16.8%	22.5%	23.6%	15.3%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR					
HOUSEHOLD IN					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?					
Yes	48.7%	56.5%	40.1%	38.4%	46.4%
No	51.3%	43.5%	59.9%	61.6%	53.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR					
HOUSEHOLD IN					
MIDDLE/JR. HIGH					
SCHOOL?					
Yes	28.8%	23.8%	27.1%	44.0%	27.1%
No	71.2%	76.2%	72.9%	56.0%	72.9%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR					
HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH					
SCHOOL?					
Yes	26.2%	23.2%	40.6%	49.4%	33.4%
No	73.8%	76.8%	59.4%	50.6%	66.6%
Total	15.5%	30.8%	49.5%	4.3%	100.0%

	ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				Total
	\$0-\$50,000	\$50,000-\$100,000	\$100,000+	Not sure	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:					
Conservative	16.9%	29.4%	34.1%	31.8%	29.7%
Moderate	63.6%	45.1%	44.2%	52.8%	48.2%
Progressive	19.5%	25.5%	21.7%	15.4%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:					
Republican	23.3%	21.2%	27.9%	33.8%	25.6%
Democrat	16.9%	21.9%	14.8%	8.8%	16.9%
Other party	17.6%	7.9%	7.5%	6.5%	9.0%
No party	42.2%	49.0%	49.8%	50.9%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?					
Yes	7.9%	20.0%	27.3%	18.5%	21.3%
No	92.1%	80.0%	72.7%	81.5%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:					
Married	16.6%	43.7%	70.5%	43.5%	51.8%
Not married	83.4%	56.3%	29.5%	56.5%	48.2%
EDUCATION LEVEL:					
High school/GED or less	42.1%	21.6%	9.2%	8.8%	18.3%
Some college	48.5%	48.2%	39.6%	61.9%	45.1%
College graduate	9.3%	30.3%	51.2%	29.2%	36.6%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:					
White	47.5%	64.4%	75.1%	55.3%	66.0%
Native/American Indian	16.0%	8.9%	6.8%	11.0%	9.2%
Other race	36.6%	26.8%	18.1%	33.7%	24.8%
GENDER:					
Male	57.9%	42.4%	53.3%	36.4%	49.7%
Female	38.7%	56.5%	46.2%	59.6%	48.9%
Other	3.4%	1.1%	.5%	4.0%	1.4%
Total	16.5%	29.9%	47.1%	6.5%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Ethnicity of Respondent

Column Percents

	ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:			Total
	White	Native/ American Indian	Other race	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?				
Yes	96.4%	86.0%	82.0%	91.9%
No	3.6%	14.0%	18.0%	8.1%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	78.5%	53.8%	59.7%	72.2%
No	13.7%	30.9%	24.8%	17.6%
Don't recall	7.8%	15.3%	15.6%	10.2%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	44.7%	40.9%	40.7%	43.7%
Suzanne LaFrance	50.4%	46.7%	53.6%	50.8%
Don't recall	4.8%	12.4%	5.7%	5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	33.8%	18.9%	19.9%	29.0%
Suzanne LaFrance	38.2%	21.6%	26.2%	33.7%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	28.0%	59.4%	53.9%	37.3%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	59.8%	29.5%	41.4%	53.1%
Most times	23.6%	41.2%	27.8%	26.1%
50-50 of the time	6.7%	9.6%	11.7%	8.1%
Not very often	6.4%	14.9%	13.0%	8.6%
Never	3.4%	4.8%	6.0%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	80.6%	67.1%	65.6%	76.2%
Somewhat likely	12.6%	26.3%	23.8%	16.3%
Not very likely	4.8%	5.2%	6.7%	5.2%
Not at all likely	1.9%	1.5%	3.8%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	57.1%	25.4%	33.1%	48.2%
Other likely voter	28.6%	43.7%	32.7%	31.0%
Not likely voter	10.7%	16.9%	16.2%	12.6%
Not registered	3.6%	14.0%	18.0%	8.1%
Total	66.1%	9.2%	24.7%	100.0%

	ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:			Total
	White	Native/ American Indian	Other race	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	57.8%	60.5%	60.9%	58.6%
No	42.2%	39.5%	39.1%	41.4%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	54.0%	54.1%	58.0%	54.7%
No	46.0%	45.9%	42.0%	45.3%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	52.3%	39.7%	60.5%	53.0%
No	47.7%	60.3%	39.5%	47.0%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	48.8%	52.8%	48.7%	49.1%
No	51.2%	47.2%	51.3%	50.9%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	44.3%	58.0%	49.3%	46.2%
No	55.7%	42.0%	50.7%	53.8%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	57.1%	62.2%	65.7%	59.0%
No	42.9%	37.8%	34.3%	41.0%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	65.5%	69.6%	67.8%	66.2%
No	34.5%	30.4%	32.2%	33.8%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	51.5%	39.9%	48.8%	50.2%
No	48.5%	60.1%	51.2%	49.8%
Total	74.4%	6.9%	18.7%	100.0%

	ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:			Total
	White	Native/ American Indian	Other race	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:				
Favorable	44.0%	60.3%	46.3%	45.8%
Unfavorable	50.0%	34.0%	47.3%	48.2%
No opinion	6.0%	5.7%	6.4%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:				
Favorable	27.8%	25.2%	22.4%	26.5%
Unfavorable	65.0%	60.8%	71.2%	65.9%
No opinion	7.2%	14.0%	6.5%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	43.7%	19.2%	32.1%	39.4%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	41.3%	49.5%	39.5%	41.6%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	11.4%	12.1%	22.7%	13.8%
No opinion	3.6%	19.2%	5.7%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	48.8%	29.8%	36.0%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	32.9%	24.5%	28.0%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	8.9%	27.0%	24.5%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	5.3%	9.2%	7.3%	6.0%
No opinion	4.1%	9.5%	4.2%	4.6%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	3.6%	3.2%	11.2%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	29.8%	20.6%	17.5%	26.7%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	62.1%	61.7%	60.6%	61.7%
No opinion	4.5%	14.5%	10.7%	6.5%
Total	72.4%	7.5%	20.1%	100.0%

	ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:			Total
	White	Native/ American Indian	Other race	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/ Turnagain	16.4%	21.8%	15.6%	16.7%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	23.9%	27.3%	39.3%	28.0%
Sandlake/Bayshore/ Oceanview	21.1%	24.2%	18.8%	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	22.9%	21.1%	18.0%	21.5%
Eagle River/Chugiak	15.7%	5.6%	8.4%	13.0%
AGE:				
18-34	28.8%	20.5%	44.3%	31.9%
35-44	16.6%	29.6%	22.8%	19.3%
45-54	14.0%	22.5%	13.3%	14.6%
55+	40.6%	27.4%	19.6%	34.2%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	25.7%	27.7%	35.8%	28.4%
20-35 years	40.0%	36.1%	46.9%	41.3%
More than 35 years	34.3%	36.3%	17.3%	30.3%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:				
One	17.8%	19.9%	12.5%	16.7%
Two	40.7%	29.4%	31.5%	37.4%
Three or more	41.5%	50.7%	56.0%	45.9%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:				
None	70.4%	67.5%	56.8%	66.8%
One	10.2%	16.4%	13.8%	11.6%
Two or more	19.4%	16.1%	29.4%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?				
Yes	45.5%	37.2%	50.6%	46.4%
No	54.5%	62.8%	49.4%	53.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	24.9%	13.9%	34.7%	27.1%
No	75.1%	86.1%	65.3%	72.9%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	31.6%	35.9%	35.9%	33.4%
No	68.4%	64.1%	64.1%	66.6%
Total	58.7%	9.0%	32.3%	100.0%

	ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:			Total
	White	Native/ American Indian	Other race	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:				
Conservative	33.7%	18.0%	23.2%	29.7%
Moderate	43.4%	64.6%	55.2%	48.3%
Progressive	22.9%	17.4%	21.6%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	26.4%	22.7%	24.4%	25.7%
Democrat	15.1%	10.9%	24.8%	16.9%
Other party	8.8%	13.0%	8.2%	9.0%
No party	49.7%	53.4%	42.7%	48.4%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	22.7%	12.0%	21.1%	21.3%
No	77.3%	88.0%	78.9%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	57.4%	33.5%	44.0%	51.9%
Not married	42.6%	66.5%	56.0%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:				
High school/GED or less	12.5%	32.1%	28.5%	18.3%
Some college	44.1%	48.8%	46.1%	45.0%
College graduate	43.4%	19.1%	25.3%	36.7%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	11.9%	28.7%	24.4%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	29.1%	28.8%	32.3%	29.9%
\$100,000+	53.6%	34.8%	34.5%	47.1%
Not sure	5.4%	7.8%	8.8%	6.5%
GENDER:				
Male	53.5%	41.0%	42.9%	49.7%
Female	45.8%	54.7%	54.8%	48.8%
Other	.7%	4.2%	2.3%	1.4%
Total	66.1%	9.2%	24.7%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Gender of Respondent

Column Percents

	GENDER:			Total
	Male	Female	Other	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?				
Yes	93.9%	89.5%	100.0%	91.9%
No	6.1%	10.5%		8.1%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	77.4%	68.1%	29.2%	72.3%
No	14.7%	20.3%	29.0%	17.6%
Don't recall	7.8%	11.6%	41.8%	10.2%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	48.6%	38.6%		43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	46.1%	55.6%	100.0%	50.7%
Don't recall	5.3%	5.8%		5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	35.3%	23.5%		29.1%
Suzanne LaFrance	33.6%	33.9%	29.2%	33.7%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	31.1%	42.6%	70.8%	37.3%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	61.0%	45.8%	20.0%	53.2%
Most times	23.3%	29.1%	22.8%	26.0%
50-50 of the time	5.4%	9.9%	39.7%	8.1%
Not very often	7.3%	9.7%	17.5%	8.6%
Never	3.0%	5.4%		4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	79.4%	73.5%	51.2%	76.2%
Somewhat likely	14.0%	17.9%	43.2%	16.3%
Not very likely	4.7%	5.7%	5.6%	5.2%
Not at all likely	1.9%	2.8%		2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	56.3%	40.9%	20.0%	48.3%
Other likely voter	26.8%	34.3%	62.5%	31.0%
Not likely voter	10.8%	14.3%	17.5%	12.6%
Not registered	6.1%	10.5%		8.1%
Total	49.8%	48.8%	1.4%	100.0%

	GENDER:			Total
	Male	Female	Other	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	55.0%	62.5%	87.4%	58.6%
No	45.0%	37.5%	12.6%	41.4%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	52.6%	57.1%	69.7%	54.8%
No	47.4%	42.9%	30.3%	45.2%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	52.5%	53.9%	42.1%	53.0%
No	47.5%	46.1%	57.9%	47.0%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	44.8%	53.7%	70.7%	49.0%
No	55.2%	46.3%	29.3%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	42.0%	51.4%	33.8%	46.1%
No	58.0%	48.6%	66.2%	53.9%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	57.7%	60.9%	50.4%	59.1%
No	42.3%	39.1%	49.6%	40.9%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	62.6%	70.3%	74.3%	66.1%
No	37.4%	29.7%	25.7%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	50.5%	49.9%	37.3%	50.1%
No	49.5%	50.1%	62.7%	49.9%
Total	54.6%	44.5%	1.0%	100.0%

	GENDER:			Total
	Male	Female	Other	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:				
Favorable	44.7%	46.7%	52.6%	45.7%
Unfavorable	49.5%	47.4%	30.3%	48.2%
No opinion	5.9%	5.9%	17.1%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:				
Favorable	26.0%	27.6%	9.9%	26.5%
Unfavorable	66.9%	64.5%	77.5%	65.9%
No opinion	7.1%	7.9%	12.6%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	43.1%	36.4%	5.3%	39.4%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	40.6%	42.1%	57.1%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	9.8%	17.8%	27.6%	13.8%
No opinion	6.5%	3.7%	9.9%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	45.8%	44.9%		44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	32.3%	29.6%	42.1%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	11.7%	14.5%	48.0%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	4.3%	8.1%		6.0%
No opinion	5.9%	2.9%	9.9%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	3.3%	7.7%		5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	26.5%	26.6%	39.9%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	64.4%	59.1%	21.0%	61.8%
No opinion	5.8%	6.7%	39.1%	6.5%
Total	56.6%	42.7%	.8%	100.0%

	GENDER:			Total
	Male	Female	Other	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/ Turnagain	16.5%	16.5%	28.6%	16.7%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	28.0%	28.0%	24.2%	28.0%
Sandlake/Bayshore/ Oceanview	20.8%	20.8%	20.6%	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	21.5%	21.5%	26.6%	21.6%
Eagle River/Chugiak	13.1%	13.1%		12.9%
AGE:				
18-34	25.7%	36.6%	83.3%	31.9%
35-44	19.8%	19.0%	12.2%	19.3%
45-54	15.7%	13.8%		14.6%
55+	38.7%	30.5%	4.4%	34.2%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	29.3%	26.3%	66.6%	28.3%
20-35 years	38.5%	44.7%	28.4%	41.4%
More than 35 years	32.2%	29.0%	4.9%	30.3%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:				
One	19.5%	14.0%	8.4%	16.7%
Two	39.4%	35.4%	39.1%	37.4%
Three or more	41.1%	50.5%	52.5%	45.9%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:				
None	69.8%	63.9%	64.0%	66.8%
One	10.2%	13.0%	16.0%	11.6%
Two or more	20.0%	23.2%	20.0%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?				
Yes	45.1%	47.8%	32.8%	46.4%
No	54.9%	52.2%	67.2%	53.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	25.9%	27.9%	32.2%	27.1%
No	74.1%	72.1%	67.8%	72.9%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	33.4%	34.0%	12.3%	33.4%
No	66.6%	66.0%	87.7%	66.6%
Total	45.3%	53.2%	1.5%	100.0%

	GENDER:			Total
	Male	Female	Other	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:				
Conservative	33.9%	26.3%		29.7%
Moderate	49.0%	48.1%	26.7%	48.2%
Progressive	17.2%	25.6%	73.3%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	28.1%	23.9%		25.6%
Democrat	14.8%	18.8%	30.3%	16.9%
Other party	9.4%	8.0%	25.9%	9.0%
No party	47.8%	49.4%	43.7%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	22.9%	19.8%	16.8%	21.3%
No	77.1%	80.2%	83.2%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	51.7%	52.8%	26.7%	51.9%
Not married	48.3%	47.2%	73.3%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:				
High school/GED or less	17.9%	18.5%	23.4%	18.3%
Some college	42.9%	46.8%	57.5%	45.0%
College graduate	39.3%	34.7%	19.1%	36.7%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	19.3%	13.1%	39.8%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	25.5%	34.6%	23.7%	29.9%
\$100,000+	50.5%	44.5%	18.3%	47.1%
Not sure	4.7%	7.9%	18.2%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:				
White	71.1%	62.0%	31.6%	66.1%
Native/American Indian	7.6%	10.3%	27.6%	9.2%
Other race	21.3%	27.7%	40.8%	24.7%
Total	49.7%	48.8%	1.4%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Supervoter Status

Column Percents

	SUPERVOTER STATUS:				Total
	Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	Other likely voter	Not likely voter	Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?					
Yes	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		91.6%
No				100.0%	8.4%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?					
Yes	97.8%	59.8%	5.8%		72.3%
No	1.1%	19.2%	76.2%		17.5%
Don't recall	1.1%	21.0%	18.0%		10.1%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	45.7%	39.2%	38.1%		43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance	50.6%	51.2%	45.2%		50.8%
Don't recall	3.7%	9.5%	16.7%		5.5%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?					
Dave Bronson	44.7%	23.4%	2.2%		29.0%
Suzanne LaFrance	49.5%	30.6%	2.6%		33.6%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	5.8%	45.9%	95.2%	100.0%	37.3%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:					
Every time	100.0%	1.5%	.8%		53.2%
Most times		76.1%	3.2%		26.1%
50-50 of the time		22.3%	4.0%		8.1%
Not very often			62.2%		8.6%
Never			29.7%		4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:					
Very likely	100.0%	67.5%	6.3%		76.1%
Somewhat likely		32.5%	38.4%		16.2%
Not very likely			38.5%		5.3%
Not at all likely			16.8%		2.3%
Total	52.6%	33.7%	13.8%		100.0%

	SUPERVOTER STATUS:		Total
	Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	Other likely voter	Col %
	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:			
Yes	59.2%	57.4%	58.7%
No	40.8%	42.6%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:			
Yes	55.1%	53.6%	54.7%
No	44.9%	46.4%	45.3%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:			
Yes	53.2%	52.1%	52.9%
No	46.8%	47.9%	47.1%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:			
Yes	48.1%	51.7%	49.0%
No	51.9%	48.3%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:			
Yes	46.0%	46.6%	46.2%
No	54.0%	53.4%	53.8%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:			
Yes	60.0%	55.7%	58.9%
No	40.0%	44.3%	41.1%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:			
Yes	66.6%	64.5%	66.1%
No	33.4%	35.5%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:			
Yes	51.6%	45.0%	50.0%
No	48.4%	55.0%	50.0%
Total	75.5%	24.5%	100.0%

	SUPERVOTER STATUS:		Total
	Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	Other likely voter	Col %
	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:			
Favorable	46.0%	45.5%	45.8%
Unfavorable	48.2%	48.1%	48.1%
No opinion	5.8%	6.5%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:			
Favorable	30.2%	20.9%	26.5%
Unfavorable	64.0%	68.8%	65.9%
No opinion	5.8%	10.3%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:			
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	46.2%	29.0%	39.5%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	37.9%	47.1%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	12.2%	16.1%	13.7%
No opinion	3.7%	7.8%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?			
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	50.7%	35.5%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	29.9%	33.2%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	9.4%	19.9%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	6.1%	5.9%	6.0%
No opinion	3.9%	5.5%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?			
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	4.5%	6.1%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	26.6%	26.4%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	64.3%	57.6%	61.9%
No opinion	4.5%	9.8%	6.4%
Total	63.9%	36.1%	100.0%

	SUPERVOTER STATUS:				Total
	Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	Other likely voter	Not likely voter	Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:					
Downtown/Spenard/ Turnagain	15.0%	19.2%	19.8%	11.4%	16.6%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	26.5%	26.6%	29.5%	42.2%	28.2%
Sandlake/Bayshore/ Oceanview	20.0%	22.3%	25.0%	13.8%	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	21.7%	20.8%	17.6%	28.5%	21.5%
Eagle River/Chugiak	16.7%	11.2%	8.1%	4.0%	12.9%
AGE:					
18-34	18.6%	37.5%	53.9%	55.6%	32.0%
35-44	16.5%	20.2%	23.2%	27.1%	19.4%
45-54	14.6%	16.5%	14.5%	6.9%	14.5%
55+	50.4%	25.8%	8.4%	10.3%	34.2%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:					
Less than 20 years	20.9%	29.5%	39.4%	51.4%	28.3%
20-35 years	39.7%	42.4%	47.3%	39.3%	41.5%
More than 35 years	39.4%	28.1%	13.3%	9.3%	30.2%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:					
One	13.4%	18.6%	22.5%	18.6%	16.6%
Two	46.1%	32.2%	28.9%	19.0%	37.4%
Three or more	40.5%	49.2%	48.6%	62.3%	46.0%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:					
None	72.4%	62.8%	60.6%	59.8%	66.9%
One	9.4%	12.7%	16.3%	12.8%	11.6%
Two or more	18.3%	24.5%	23.0%	27.5%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?					
Yes	36.1%	45.9%	60.0%	69.7%	46.5%
No	63.9%	54.1%	40.0%	30.3%	53.5%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?					
Yes	21.2%	33.8%	29.2%	23.3%	27.0%
No	78.8%	66.2%	70.8%	76.7%	73.0%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?					
Yes	33.2%	25.6%	45.6%	43.8%	33.5%
No	66.8%	74.4%	54.4%	56.2%	66.5%
Total	40.1%	34.6%	15.0%	10.2%	100.0%

	SUPERVOTER STATUS:				Total
	Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	Other likely voter	Not likely voter	Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:					
Conservative	35.1%	30.4%	20.8%	8.8%	29.7%
Moderate	39.4%	47.2%	61.8%	83.1%	48.2%
Progressive	25.5%	22.5%	17.4%	8.0%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:					
Republican	27.9%	26.0%	16.2%		25.6%
Democrat	19.0%	17.2%	7.9%		16.9%
Other party	7.3%	9.8%	13.5%		9.0%
No party	45.8%	47.0%	62.5%		48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?					
Yes	23.3%	24.8%	12.5%	9.2%	21.3%
No	76.7%	75.2%	87.5%	90.8%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:					
Married	65.6%	41.2%	36.5%	34.7%	51.9%
Not married	34.4%	58.8%	63.5%	65.3%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:					
High school/GED or less	7.4%	19.7%	45.3%	35.4%	18.3%
Some college	45.1%	46.3%	35.7%	54.1%	45.0%
College graduate	47.5%	33.9%	19.1%	10.6%	36.7%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:					
\$0-\$50,000	7.2%	19.7%	28.6%	40.8%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	27.7%	31.9%	33.3%	29.9%	29.9%
\$100,000+	59.3%	41.0%	32.8%	20.7%	47.1%
Not sure	5.9%	7.3%	5.3%	8.7%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:					
White	78.2%	61.0%	55.9%	29.4%	66.1%
Native/American Indian	4.8%	13.0%	12.3%	15.8%	9.2%
Other race	17.0%	26.1%	31.7%	54.8%	24.7%
GENDER:					
Male	58.0%	43.1%	42.7%	37.0%	49.8%
Female	41.4%	54.0%	55.3%	63.0%	48.8%
Other	.6%	2.8%	2.0%		1.4%
Total	48.3%	31.0%	12.6%	8.1%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Overall Feelings Towards ASD

Column Percents

	OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE? Yes	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	80.2%	87.1%	70.6%	83.0%
No	10.5%	6.2%	5.8%	8.2%
Don't recall	9.3%	6.6%	23.6%	8.9%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	17.7%	67.8%	33.9%	43.9%
Suzanne LaFrance	78.1%	26.7%	52.4%	50.8%
Don't recall	4.2%	5.5%	13.7%	5.3%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	14.2%	59.1%	23.9%	36.4%
Suzanne LaFrance	62.7%	23.3%	37.0%	42.2%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	23.2%	17.6%	39.1%	21.5%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	62.0%	61.6%	58.2%	61.6%
Most times	29.0%	30.7%	26.8%	29.7%
50-50 of the time	8.9%	7.7%	15.0%	8.7%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	87.1%	88.0%	84.0%	87.3%
Somewhat likely	12.9%	12.0%	16.0%	12.7%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	61.3%	61.0%	58.2%	61.0%
Other likely voter	38.7%	39.0%	41.8%	39.0%
Total	45.8%	48.1%	6.0%	100.0%

	OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	77.3%	42.7%	46.8%	58.7%
No	22.7%	57.3%	53.2%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	76.0%	35.6%	47.5%	54.7%
No	24.0%	64.4%	52.5%	45.3%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	73.4%	34.2%	47.3%	52.9%
No	26.6%	65.8%	52.7%	47.1%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	78.5%	22.2%	40.1%	49.0%
No	21.5%	77.8%	59.9%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	73.7%	21.7%	34.0%	46.2%
No	26.3%	78.3%	66.0%	53.8%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	74.6%	46.3%	41.0%	58.9%
No	25.4%	53.7%	59.0%	41.1%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	79.0%	55.8%	50.6%	66.1%
No	21.0%	44.2%	49.4%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	69.3%	32.2%	46.9%	50.0%
No	30.7%	67.8%	53.1%	50.0%
Total	45.7%	48.5%	5.8%	100.0%

	OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:				
Favorable	54.4%	2.8%	4.9%	26.5%
Unfavorable	38.6%	94.8%	42.0%	65.9%
No opinion	7.0%	2.4%	53.1%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	24.8%	56.0%	18.2%	39.5%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	57.5%	26.3%	41.6%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	13.4%	13.5%	18.5%	13.7%
No opinion	4.3%	4.2%	21.7%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	32.5%	58.6%	27.1%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	42.5%	20.5%	31.0%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	14.1%	12.6%	16.6%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	6.7%	5.5%	5.1%	6.0%
No opinion	4.2%	2.9%	20.2%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	8.1%	4.2%	5.5%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	25.2%	25.8%	38.5%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	59.1%	66.1%	25.3%	61.9%
No opinion	7.7%	3.8%	30.7%	6.4%
Total	20.5%	72.7%	6.7%	100.0%

	OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/				
Turnagain	18.3%	15.2%	15.4%	16.6%
University/M'view/East				
Anchorage	25.1%	26.4%	38.9%	26.6%
Sandlake/Bayshore/				
Oceanview	22.3%	21.1%	8.1%	20.9%
Lake Otis/Hillside	21.4%	21.8%	17.4%	21.4%
Eagle River/Chugiak	12.8%	15.5%	20.2%	14.6%
AGE:				
18-34	31.6%	18.7%	40.7%	26.0%
35-44	17.8%	18.5%	13.4%	17.9%
45-54	14.0%	17.0%	12.1%	15.3%
55+	36.5%	45.7%	33.8%	40.8%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE				
RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	25.8%	20.4%	43.5%	24.3%
20-35 years	42.6%	40.1%	32.6%	40.8%
More than 35 years	31.6%	39.5%	23.8%	35.0%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN				
HOUSEHOLD:				
One	14.0%	14.1%	37.5%	15.5%
Two	41.2%	39.3%	47.0%	40.7%
Three or more	44.8%	46.6%	15.5%	43.9%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN				
HOUSEHOLD:				
None	69.8%	64.8%	90.0%	68.6%
One	12.6%	9.6%	4.8%	10.7%
Two or more	17.6%	25.6%	5.2%	20.7%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN				
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?				
Yes	43.3%	38.4%	42.4%	40.6%
No	56.7%	61.6%	57.6%	59.4%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN				
MIDDLE/JR. HIGH				
SCHOOL?				
Yes	27.2%	27.9%		27.0%
No	72.8%	72.1%	100.0%	73.0%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR				
HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH				
SCHOOL?				
Yes	25.9%	33.8%		29.7%
No	74.1%	66.2%	100.0%	70.3%
Total	44.0%	54.1%	1.9%	100.0%

	OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:				
Conservative	14.5%	52.0%	25.6%	33.2%
Moderate	48.1%	35.7%	53.3%	42.4%
Progressive	37.4%	12.3%	21.1%	24.3%
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	15.0%	38.6%	27.9%	27.1%
Democrat	27.8%	9.4%	17.2%	18.3%
Other party	8.3%	8.0%	9.5%	8.3%
No party	48.8%	44.0%	45.4%	46.3%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	22.1%	27.5%	9.0%	23.9%
No	77.9%	72.5%	91.0%	76.1%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	50.2%	64.1%	36.7%	56.1%
Not married	49.8%	35.9%	63.3%	43.9%
EDUCATION LEVEL:				
High school/GED or less	12.3%	12.5%	9.6%	12.2%
Some college	41.6%	47.3%	61.5%	45.6%
College graduate	46.1%	40.1%	28.9%	42.2%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	14.2%	8.2%	27.9%	12.1%
\$50,000-\$100,000	29.5%	28.8%	32.6%	29.4%
\$100,000+	51.3%	55.9%	28.1%	52.1%
Not sure	5.0%	7.2%	11.4%	6.4%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:				
White	68.7%	74.2%	70.8%	71.5%
Native/American Indian	10.6%	5.7%	7.5%	8.0%
Other race	20.8%	20.1%	21.7%	20.5%
GENDER:				
Male	51.0%	53.5%	50.7%	52.2%
Female	47.3%	45.5%	45.2%	46.3%
Other	1.7%	.9%	4.2%	1.5%
Total	45.7%	48.2%	6.0%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

Feelings Towards ASD – Budget Management

Column Percents

	FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavor- able	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?				
Yes	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	83.8%	83.4%	76.0%	83.0%
No	8.4%	8.3%	5.9%	8.2%
Don't recall	7.8%	8.3%	18.1%	8.9%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	14.9%	57.6%	24.5%	43.9%
Suzanne LaFrance	84.4%	36.3%	59.6%	50.8%
Don't recall	.7%	6.1%	15.9%	5.3%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	12.5%	48.0%	18.6%	36.4%
Suzanne LaFrance	70.7%	30.3%	45.3%	42.2%
Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	16.8%	21.7%	36.1%	21.5%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	70.3%	59.7%	47.0%	61.6%
Most times	25.8%	30.3%	38.6%	29.7%
50-50 of the time	3.9%	10.0%	14.4%	8.7%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	89.7%	87.7%	75.7%	87.3%
Somewhat likely	10.3%	12.3%	24.3%	12.7%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	69.3%	59.2%	47.0%	61.0%
Other likely voter	30.7%	40.8%	53.0%	39.0%
Total	26.5%	65.9%	7.6%	100.0%

	FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavor- able	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	84.3%	46.5%	71.3%	58.7%
No	15.7%	53.5%	28.7%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	81.1%	42.6%	63.0%	54.7%
No	18.9%	57.4%	37.0%	45.3%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	80.1%	40.7%	58.1%	52.9%
No	19.9%	59.3%	41.9%	47.1%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	85.9%	33.1%	49.4%	49.0%
No	14.1%	66.9%	50.6%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	81.3%	29.8%	58.6%	46.2%
No	18.7%	70.2%	41.4%	53.8%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	80.9%	48.7%	66.7%	58.9%
No	19.1%	51.3%	33.3%	41.1%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	83.1%	58.2%	72.1%	66.1%
No	16.9%	41.8%	27.9%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	71.7%	39.7%	59.8%	50.0%
No	28.3%	60.3%	40.2%	50.0%
Total	28.0%	65.3%	6.7%	100.0%

	FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavor- able	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:				
Favorable	93.9%	26.9%	42.4%	45.8%
Unfavorable	5.0%	69.3%	15.3%	48.1%
No opinion	1.1%	3.8%	42.3%	6.0%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	24.1%	48.4%	15.1%	39.5%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	61.8%	33.7%	38.5%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	10.3%	14.0%	23.2%	13.7%
No opinion	3.8%	3.8%	23.2%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	33.1%	52.5%	17.8%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	40.3%	26.7%	38.5%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	13.2%	12.7%	21.8%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	8.3%	5.7%	.8%	6.0%
No opinion	5.1%	2.4%	21.2%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	21.4%	4.0%		5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	29.3%	26.1%	28.9%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	44.2%	65.8%	35.2%	61.9%
No opinion	5.2%	4.1%	35.9%	6.4%
Total	7.9%	85.0%	7.1%	100.0%

	FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavor- able	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/ Turnagain	22.1%	14.2%	18.8%	16.6%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	21.7%	27.3%	37.0%	26.6%
Sandlake/Bayshore/ Oceanview	22.5%	20.3%	19.7%	20.9%
Lake Otis/Hillside	23.3%	21.2%	16.2%	21.4%
Eagle River/Chugiak	10.3%	17.0%	8.4%	14.6%
AGE:				
18-34	21.4%	25.8%	43.2%	26.0%
35-44	18.0%	18.2%	15.4%	17.9%
45-54	16.3%	15.5%	10.1%	15.3%
55+	44.3%	40.5%	31.3%	40.8%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	25.5%	22.4%	36.3%	24.3%
20-35 years	35.8%	42.9%	39.2%	40.8%
More than 35 years	38.7%	34.7%	24.6%	35.0%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:				
One	14.7%	15.1%	21.1%	15.5%
Two	44.7%	37.8%	51.1%	40.7%
Three or more	40.6%	47.1%	27.8%	43.9%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:				
None	70.2%	65.7%	88.6%	68.6%
One	11.3%	11.3%	3.3%	10.7%
Two or more	18.5%	23.0%	8.0%	20.7%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?				
Yes	43.9%	39.9%	30.6%	40.6%
No	56.1%	60.1%	69.4%	59.4%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	30.8%	26.8%		27.0%
No	69.2%	73.2%	100.0%	73.0%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	25.0%	31.9%	16.0%	29.7%
No	75.0%	68.1%	84.0%	70.3%
Total	25.2%	72.1%	2.7%	100.0%

	FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:			Total
	Favorable	Unfavor- able	No opinion	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:				
Conservative	13.8%	42.4%	21.2%	33.2%
Moderate	46.0%	41.6%	37.3%	42.4%
Progressive	40.2%	16.0%	41.5%	24.3%
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	12.8%	34.0%	17.7%	27.1%
Democrat	29.8%	13.0%	24.1%	18.3%
Other party	6.3%	9.1%	8.3%	8.3%
No party	51.1%	43.9%	50.0%	46.3%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	24.4%	24.8%	13.9%	23.9%
No	75.6%	75.2%	86.1%	76.1%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	53.8%	59.4%	35.2%	56.1%
Not married	46.2%	40.6%	64.8%	43.9%
EDUCATION LEVEL:				
High school/GED or less	9.6%	13.7%	8.7%	12.2%
Some college	42.9%	46.7%	44.6%	45.6%
College graduate	47.4%	39.6%	46.7%	42.2%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	16.5%	10.3%	12.4%	12.1%
\$50,000-\$100,000	30.4%	28.6%	32.2%	29.4%
\$100,000+	49.7%	53.8%	45.9%	52.1%
Not sure	3.4%	7.3%	9.5%	6.4%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:				
White	75.0%	70.4%	67.8%	71.5%
Native/American Indian	7.6%	7.4%	14.8%	8.0%
Other race	17.3%	22.2%	17.5%	20.5%
GENDER:				
Male	51.2%	52.9%	49.2%	52.2%
Female	48.2%	45.3%	48.4%	46.3%
Other	.6%	1.7%	2.4%	1.5%
Total	26.5%	65.9%	7.6%	100.0%

CROSSTABULATION TABLES

2024 Vote for Anchorage Mayor

Column Percents

	2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?			Total
	Dave Bronson	Suzanne LaFrance	Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
REGISTERED TO VOTE?				
Yes	100.0%	100.0%	77.6%	91.6%
No			22.4%	8.4%
DID YOU VOTE IN 2024 ANCHORAGE MAYOR RUNOFF?				
Yes	100.0%	100.0%	12.5%	72.3%
No			55.4%	17.5%
Don't recall			32.1%	10.1%
2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?				
Dave Bronson	100.0%			43.8%
Suzanne LaFrance		100.0%		50.8%
Don't recall			100.0%	5.5%
FREQUENCY OF VOTE IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS IN ANCHORAGE:				
Every time	74.4%	71.6%	10.7%	53.2%
Most times	23.0%	24.8%	30.6%	26.1%
50-50 of the time	1.7%	3.2%	20.1%	8.1%
Not very often	1.0%	.4%	25.7%	8.6%
Never			12.9%	4.1%
LIKELINESS TO VOTE IN UPCOMING APRIL ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ELECTION:				
Very likely	93.2%	94.8%	37.4%	76.1%
Somewhat likely	6.8%	4.7%	39.2%	16.2%
Not very likely		.6%	16.1%	5.3%
Not at all likely			7.3%	2.3%
SUPERVOTER STATUS:				
Supervoter - Every time/Very likely	74.1%	70.9%	7.5%	48.2%
Other likely voter	24.9%	28.1%	37.9%	30.8%
Not likely voter	1.0%	1.0%	32.2%	12.6%
Not registered			22.4%	8.4%
Total	29.0%	33.6%	37.3%	100.0%

	2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?			Total
	Dave Bronson	Suzanne LaFrance	Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
PROPOSITION A BOND VOTE - PUBLIC SAFETY AND TRANSIT:				
Yes	31.2%	83.4%	56.3%	58.7%
No	68.8%	16.6%	43.7%	41.3%
PROPOSITION B BOND VOTE - COMMUNITY FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	27.0%	81.3%	46.5%	54.7%
No	73.0%	18.7%	53.5%	45.3%
PROPOSITION C BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION FACILITIES:				
Yes	24.5%	81.5%	40.0%	52.9%
No	75.5%	18.5%	60.0%	47.1%
PROPOSITION D TAX LEVY VOTE - ASD:				
Yes	17.3%	77.6%	45.7%	49.0%
No	82.7%	22.4%	54.3%	51.0%
PROPOSITION E BOND VOTE - ANCHORAGE SCHOOLS:				
Yes	15.1%	73.5%	45.2%	46.2%
No	84.9%	26.5%	54.8%	53.8%
PROPOSITION F BOND VOTE - ROADS AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	37.4%	77.2%	60.5%	58.9%
No	62.6%	22.8%	39.5%	41.1%
PROPOSITION G BOND VOTE - FIRE PROTECTION CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS:				
Yes	50.4%	80.5%	63.6%	66.1%
No	49.6%	19.5%	36.4%	33.9%
PROPOSITION H BOND VOTE - ACCESS/TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS TO CHUGACH STATE PARK:				
Yes	24.6%	76.2%	36.1%	50.0%
No	75.4%	23.8%	63.9%	50.0%
Total	40.1%	46.1%	13.8%	100.0%

	2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?			Total
	Dave Bronson	Suzanne LaFrance	Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
OVERALL FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD:				
Favorable	17.9%	68.1%	49.5%	45.8%
Unfavorable	78.2%	26.6%	39.6%	48.1%
No opinion	4.0%	5.3%	11.0%	6.0%
FEELINGS TOWARDS ASD - FINANCIAL AND BUDGET MANAGEMENT:				
Favorable	9.1%	44.5%	20.8%	26.5%
Unfavorable	87.0%	47.4%	66.5%	65.9%
No opinion	3.9%	8.1%	12.7%	7.6%
VIEW ON HOW ASD SHOULD APPROACH CONSOLIDATION:				
ASD should consolidate schools to cut operating costs	60.2%	30.0%	23.0%	39.5%
Consolidation only after long-term trends and engagement	21.8%	53.5%	51.5%	41.5%
School consolidation is not a solution to budget shortfalls	12.8%	13.3%	16.2%	13.7%
No opinion	5.2%	3.3%	9.2%	5.3%
WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?				
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	60.9%	37.2%	32.1%	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	20.1%	40.1%	32.5%	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping the schools as they are	9.9%	13.4%	19.8%	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as they are	4.9%	5.6%	8.7%	6.0%
No opinion	4.2%	3.7%	6.9%	4.5%
MORE INCLINED TO SUPPORT TAX LEVY - IF SCHOOL BOARD APPROVED CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL?				
Yes, this would move me to support the tax levy	3.0%	8.8%	7.4%	5.1%
This might make me reconsider supporting the tax levy	25.1%	36.6%	21.2%	26.6%
No, I would still oppose the tax levy	67.2%	47.4%	60.9%	61.9%
No opinion	4.7%	7.2%	10.5%	6.4%
Total	58.9%	20.0%	21.1%	100.0%

	2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?			Total
	Dave Bronson	Suzanne LaFrance	Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
AREAS OF ANCHORAGE:				
Downtown/Spenard/ Turnagain	11.0%	18.2%	19.5%	16.6%
University/M'view/East Anchorage	21.8%	30.3%	31.3%	28.2%
Sandlake/Bayshore/ Oceanview	21.8%	22.4%	18.6%	20.8%
Lake Otis/Hillside	24.7%	19.5%	20.8%	21.5%
Eagle River/Chugiak	20.6%	9.6%	9.8%	12.9%
AGE:				
18-34	14.8%	28.2%	48.7%	32.0%
35-44	16.0%	21.6%	19.9%	19.4%
45-54	16.3%	14.6%	13.1%	14.5%
55+	52.9%	35.7%	18.3%	34.2%
MEAN LENGTH OF ANCHORAGE RESIDENCY:				
Less than 20 years	20.5%	25.2%	37.3%	28.3%
20-35 years	36.8%	42.5%	44.2%	41.5%
More than 35 years	42.7%	32.3%	18.5%	30.2%
TOTAL PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:				
One	12.4%	16.9%	19.6%	16.6%
Two	38.8%	46.3%	28.1%	37.4%
Three or more	48.7%	36.8%	52.3%	46.0%
TOTAL CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD:				
None	65.7%	73.0%	62.3%	66.9%
One	8.4%	10.0%	15.5%	11.6%
Two or more	25.9%	17.0%	22.3%	21.6%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL?				
Yes	34.7%	45.2%	55.6%	46.5%
No	65.3%	54.8%	44.4%	53.5%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN MIDDLE/JR. HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	21.8%	25.4%	31.7%	27.0%
No	78.2%	74.6%	68.3%	73.0%
IS THE CHILD IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IN HIGH SCHOOL?				
Yes	35.9%	30.3%	34.0%	33.5%
No	64.1%	69.7%	66.0%	66.5%
Total	30.0%	27.3%	42.7%	100.0%

	2024 VOTE FOR ANCHORAGE MAYOR?			Total
	Dave Bronson	Suzanne LaFrance	Didn't vote/Don't recall/Not registered	Col %
	Col %	Col %	Col %	
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY:				
Conservative	68.0%	3.4%	23.5%	29.7%
Moderate	31.1%	49.1%	60.6%	48.2%
Progressive	.9%	47.4%	15.8%	22.1%
PARTY AFFILIATION:				
Republican	53.4%	4.7%	22.2%	25.6%
Democrat	1.9%	34.1%	11.8%	16.9%
Other party	6.6%	6.7%	13.9%	9.0%
No party	38.1%	54.5%	52.1%	48.5%
UNION MEMBER IN HOUSEHOLD?				
Yes	23.0%	27.6%	14.2%	21.3%
No	77.0%	72.4%	85.8%	78.7%
MARITAL STATUS:				
Married	67.6%	55.4%	36.4%	51.9%
Not married	32.4%	44.6%	63.6%	48.1%
EDUCATION LEVEL:				
High school/GED or less	12.3%	5.4%	34.5%	18.3%
Some college	48.8%	41.3%	45.4%	45.0%
College graduate	38.9%	53.2%	20.0%	36.7%
ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME:				
\$0-\$50,000	4.6%	10.0%	31.7%	16.5%
\$50,000-\$100,000	29.7%	29.1%	30.8%	29.9%
\$100,000+	58.1%	57.7%	29.0%	47.1%
Not sure	7.6%	3.3%	8.4%	6.5%
ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT:				
White	77.0%	74.9%	49.6%	66.1%
Native/American Indian	6.0%	5.9%	14.6%	9.2%
Other race	17.0%	19.2%	35.7%	24.7%
GENDER:				
Male	60.5%	49.6%	41.6%	49.8%
Female	39.5%	49.1%	55.8%	48.8%
Other		1.2%	2.7%	1.4%
Total	29.1%	33.7%	37.3%	100.0%

APPENDIX A

Strongly Lean Towards Consolidating The Schools

Free Response

5D. OK, now consider this more specific, hypothetical scenario. Recent budget pressures have forced the School District to make unpopular cuts to certain core programs and services. Let's say the School District is considering the option of consolidating a couple of elementary schools that are somewhat under capacity, and redirecting students to neighboring schools. Doing so would reduce costs enough to be able to partially reverse several potential budget cuts across the district, for example cuts to sports programs and school nurses.

What would you do, if it was your decision to make?

	WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?	
	Count	%
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	372	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	259	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping th schools as they are	112	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as the are	50	6.0%
No opinion	38	4.5%

5E. [IF STRONGLY LEAN TOWARDS CONSOLIDATING OR STRONGLY LEAN TOWARDS KEEPING THE SCHOOLS AS THEY ARE] Tell us about why you made this decision. What's your main reasoning?

FREE RESPONSES FOR RESPONDENTS THAT STRONGLY LEANED TOWARDS CONSOLIDATION:

\$90,000,000 shortfall is a big number.
93 million in debt?
Alaska has been affected economically by changes in the price and volume of oil the windfall of the late 70's and 80's. Population changes for a number of reasons with individuals and families leaving the state out pacing the number entering Alaska. The Anchorage administration is changing building and construction codes to compensate for low volume of housing allowing additional Building on sites that normally host one structure. It is inevitable that choices need to include condensing schools and working within the means we have give. The economic times we are living.
All of us must be cost conscious. Sports, music, and art programs vital for the district.
Anchorage city is way over in bond debt and needs to paid off before anymore debt is added on.

Anchorage families have been spoiled for years operating under the assumption that it is a “right” to have schools so close to each family’s home. This is not the case elsewhere in the country and an additional 10-15 minutes in a school bus or car for students is a small sacrifice when compared to the sacrifices the school district will have to take if the school district has to make significant cuts elsewhere - such as increasing class sizes, reducing teacher and staff positions, and cutting other beloved programs. At the end of the day, I think most people would rather have functioning schools that are 10-15 minutes further from home than decrepit, run down, and shelled out schools with fewer staff and resources. Get over it Anchorage! Sit on the bus or in your car a little but longer.
Anchorage is not a growing city and neither is the school district. It would be different if ASD also wasn’t millions in the hole
Anchorage population is getting smaller and the district spread out too far. The State of Alaska is not doing its part to recruit qualified staff. The district cannot adequately staff all of these schools.
Anchorage school age population is declining. Move with the shift in population. The city is contracting. No longer a family friendly place.
Anchorage school district has almost 8000 less students than it did at its peak enrollment. How many less schools do we have now from peak enrollment? If we have 8000 less kids, but have only closed a few schools, how does that make sense???
Anchorage school district has moved to far to the left pertaining to education for the Stu school board needs to be provided a budget amount selected by the assembly not the method they are now doing. Working within a provided budget
As a retired Businessman, I had to make unpopular decisions, so that the Business, employees, and most of all the Customers would not be impacted as much as the alternative. Sports programs and school Nurses should be a priority, especially in lower income neighborhoods. Combining schools, would not be much of an inconvenience to many parents, especially the ones that personally drive their Children to school. Combining the bus routes would have the benefit of lesser traffic on the roads, and a savings to the budget because of less buses needed. Sports programs in the schools would benefit the students that have no other outlet, for exercises.
As for NO on all of the bonds – I no longer trust the government. They keep taking more and more of my money and pissing it away and then asking for more. When it comes to education, the education people have always just said “if only we had more money “well, we’ve been giving them more money year after year after year and our children are ignorant. I would prefer close public education throughout the United States and let private enterprise run the education system. Public education used to be great and then the unions got involved and they don’t care about the kids. They only care about themselves. Now we have a completely uneducated population.
As long as the school district continues to operate with such huge deficits, there will be no stability for students or teachers. Without stability there can be no quality education. And, if schools are underutilized, then we need to be smart and merge or consolidate them. There is no sense in paying out more money just so we can keep two buildings open when one will suffice.
As more families are opting out of traditional education, the district needs to do everything possible to reduce their expenses and stop wasting taxpayer money for a system that the public is losing faith in.
As someone w reduced income for the last nine months, I was forced to spend ONLY on rent, bills etc. It only makes sense as the population decreases that we decrease in size schools due to low student numbers
As someone who has been part of a school consolidation in the past, I don't think it's as drastic as people make it seem. Sometimes it is necessary and there are PLENTY of elementary schools within similar distances as others. So it really does make sense. If there aren't enough nurses for a school that isn't at full capacity, then we should strongly consider combining these schools so that they have the staff needed to take care of our children.

ASD and School Board is trash and spend way to much funding for horrible student outcomes
ASD budget is too high, kids are not learning, scores are low
ASD continues to cost more while the number of students continues to decrease and student performance metrics drop.
ASD educational results are abysmal. They need to use the resources they have more wisely before we just throw more money at them.
ASD executives have "managed" ASD from one of the top performing systems nationally to 49th position in 4th and 8th grade results all the while having high per student revenue. Any price is too much for condemning our students to a life time of being behind. Only in bureaucracies is poor performance rewarded with larger budgets, and is at a D- level right now. Fire the overpaid superintendent and start over with someone devoted only to producing students that are in the top tier in the nation rather than struggling to be 49th.
ASD had an enrollment and budget-management problem. Consolidating schools will solve both. That being said, I'm quite disturbed that Inlet Elementary reconstruction was pushed through and then the realization they couldn't fill it so now they are pulling students from other neighborhood schools to fill it. It should never have been allowed to be built so those funds could have been used for these deferred maintenance projects that we keep getting asked for more money for.
ASD has a high number of elementary schools with small populations. Long-term consolidating to have fewer but better fitted (infrastructure, security, etc.) buildings makes more sense. Most programs like the immersion programs are all tied to one campus. You could also wider reach these types of programs if resources weren't being wasted on quantity of buildings.
ASD has a surplus of facilities. They have shown themselves to be irresponsible with our tax dollars. Replacing Inlet View school when voters had said no. I believe many people have a serious trust issue with the ASD.
ASD has been mismanaging or misdirecting funds for many years, and the current shortfall is disappointing, but NOT surprising. They spend more than any other district per student, with horribly substandard results. They don't deserve any more of our money.
ASD has faced a budget issue for years and has been negligent in its response to its deficits. While consolidating schools may present an inconvenience for some families, adjustments to bussing could easily solve this problem. I feel that retaining nurses and sports is worth the price of inconvenience
ASD has proven time and time again that they cannot balance a budget. I don't want to throw good money after bad when it doesn't go where it's supposed to.
ASD has proven time and time again they aren't fiscally responsible
ASD has seen substantial changes to ADA, and shifts from northern based population to the south. ASD cannot hope that the state and local property owners will continually keep supporting bad financial decisions. ASD must think about the relative costs of the schools to be closed, as well as the whether some southern students should be bussed north to equalize class sizes. INLETVIEW SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN REBUILT. Very poor decision making because rich privileged kids go there. Totally unacceptable.
ASD is a giant waste of money and resources. The woke board and administrator have focused on social issues instead of fulfilling their fiduciary duties. They are derelict and to blame. It is time to stop playing with the matter, essentially kicking the can down the road, and make the hard choices to solve the problem, or elect and hire someone who can.
ASD is always crying about lack of funds; stats show a decline in enrollment for years to come.
ASD is among the country's worst-performing and most expensive school districts; voters appear to have lost control over school-district finances, management practices, teachers' union demands, school-board contract policy, and curricula.

ASD is facing such a budget deficit. Something like 80% of the budget goes towards staff compensation, so there are not a lot of ways to cut the remaining 20%. I'd suggest cutting administrative positions to the bare bones and eliminate many of the teacher expert/consultant positions (many of which are filled with folks with less classroom experience than the teachers they are "assisting"). The next drastic step I'd recommend is combining nearby schools with low enrollment. Nobody likes their well-loved neighborhood school to close, but major cuts are needed. I'd also get rid of late start Mondays (36 hours of lost instruction) and teacher PLCs.
ASD is losing students. If we don't have enough kids to fill the schools then it makes sense to combine some.
ASD is pissing money into the wind and it's not the fault of taxpayers. Children need after school sports, we will see a rise in crime and drug use if we cut after-school programs. It would be better for parents to have a slightly longer commute then to do away with all extracurriculars.
ASD is reckless with the money the citizens of Anchorage have given them thru taxes. They have no common sense and don't have the balls to do what needs to be done
ASD is terrible and the admin to fired
ASD is too big, Anchorage is shrinking. No one wants their kids to go there.
ASD is too top heavy on admin staff. Focus should be on teacher pay and health care benefits to retain and hire the best teachers. Teacher Salaries used to be at the top of the nation, now wages are better being a ups/FedEx/amazon driver
ASD is underfunded by the state. They must make unpopular decisions to support their core mission — education. If they try to make everyone happy, everyone will suffer.
ASD is very inefficient, excessive non-classroom staff, excess buildings, and most importantly, extremely poor educational results. Last I looked (a couple of years ago) we had one of the highest per pupil spending in the U.S., but among the poorest results. Until that is fixed, I will not be supporting any additional spending on ASD
ASD needs to be realistic in budgeting and its curriculum. People are moving to the valley, home schooling, putting kids in charter and or private schools to get them a better education. ASD needs to get back to the basic education curriculum and cut admin staff. Way to many admin slots. Focus more on teacher pay!
ASD needs to decrease admin and get more money to the teachers in wages and benefits. Too admin heavy.
ASD needs to learn how to properly budget and cut overhead costs. Their administrative to teacher ratio is all out of whack. They have money ferreted away in several mystery bank accounts and overpay for everything.
ASD spending is out of control. Something needs to be done. It get worse every year
ASD spends way too much and provides extremely poor results
ASD SUCKS! They are out of control on spending. Not another dime will I vote for until an independent audit is performed and the fraud, waste and abuse is cut out. The curriculum, the late starts on Mondays, having the lowest test scores in the nation. There needs to be a new superintendent. Preferably one that knows what the hell he is doing!!
Average class size in Anchorage is already below the national average and with a slowly decreasing student population it would be financially irresponsible to continue to have budget issues when they could be reasonably solved by consolidation. Yes, it is "inconvenient" for some of the families affected, but the benefit to the students by keeping important programs in place and ensuring appropriate security and supplies are available far outweigh the inconvenience.
Back in my home state Mn we faced the same. Started as pairing then consolidation

Because our schools are last in the nation, sad site truly disappointing. We should get rid of 3/4 of the administrators and fire half of the shitty teachers and then use that money to hire decent teachers and to teach our children reading writing and arithmetic not social bullshit there's plenty of time to learn that on their own without it being pushed by the teachers union. Private schools don't do that. Cause they have to actually produce good students to get money. Not turn out a bunch of robotic moronic children that can't function in society.
Because people are going to be against anything that is new or inconveniences them. Having to move to another neighborhood school (this should not impact any lottery programs). What no one wants is a school to go without a healthcare provider where the likelihood of something happening a high, IGNITE is a needed program so we don't lose out on nurturing local talented students.
Because to me, you have to make cuts where it counts, and it make sense to move people where the people are when there are some school that are not even close to capacity
Before asking for more money the school district needs to decrease expenses such as unused building space, midlevel managers, psychologists, and upper management. We need to listen to classroom teachers, assure they have what is needed to teach and stop looking for the next trend in teaching. We need recess, P.E., music, basic skills in math reading English and real history. Also social studies and learning about our government and where students/citizens fit, connect and affect it. Maybe even home ec and mechanics c need to come back.
Best for the kids
Best to use the school space most effectively, especially if it saves teacher & nurse positions, immersion programs, Ignite, sports, and other activities.
Better class options! Underutilization is bad for student outcomes
Better education with less expenses and more diversity among kids to learn from each other from all diverse cultural backgrounds
Bring sanity to school spending!
Budget
Budget
Budget and cost
Budgeting
Budgets and funding are real issues that won't go away on their own
Building maintenance costs are high. ASD should fill the schools and lease out or sell available real estate.
Building utilization should be a key metric for investment decisions
can't trust them, need new blood
Changing demographics in Anchorage, unreliability of State funding, there are enough schools that even with consolidation, students likely wouldn't have to travel far to school. Neighborhood schools are no longer affordable and students in the charter schools come from all over the community and do fine.
Close the underused schools. Make the teachers salaries based on results. Do not add or subtract anything with the savings as you will be knocking on the door for more handouts. We have a terrible result record in our education sys
Closing schools has been done before without problems, so what are the problems now?
Combining schools that aren't fully utilized can help cut costs and allow ASD to better consolidate resources. This means offering smaller classes and improved service
Concern over losing ASD Fine Arts programs
Consolidate schools because the smaller schools have awkward class sizes. For example there are 40 kids in a grade which isn't enough for the headcount for two teachers. So there is a large class or a split. A

consolidation puts more kids in the buildings and can avoid those awkwardly big but not enough for two classes grades.
Consolidating schools cuts wasteful spending and reduces the already thin spread of specialist teachers forced to work between multiple schools.
Consolidating schools is undesirable but a better choice than increasing class sizes, axing sports programs and a mass layoff of teachers.
Consolidating schools makes better use of resources and we should not take away sports from our youth.
consolidating schools seems like a prudent fiscal decision
Consolidating schools will save money for a longer time, sports and other programs saved by this action are also long lasting expenses and are worth the investment
Consolidation makes sense due to population count
Consolidation makes sense to save money, but the money should be used for students and not go into a general fund where it is wasted on the "personal" projects of each school. There has to be more community engagement, not just the Anchorage Assembly doing whatever they want despite the community being against it.
consolidation of a school is less impactful than canceling programs for many schools
Consolidation of underpopulated schools only makes sense in this context.
Consolidation, although inconvenient for some families, it means a continuation of services and programs that are expected at a school.
Consolidation, fill up schools, less cost
Consolidation, if it doesn't result in extreme class sizes, seems like a reasonable approach. It would probably be disruptive to some families, but could potentially benefit more students than it inconveniences by saving some programs.
Continued population decline. It's projected to stabilize, but not grow for a long time.
Cost savings now and in the future, as well as the potential of keeping sports programs and school nurses.
Cost-effectiveness and charter schools need more classroom area
Costs
Costs need to be reduced and it is never comfortable for everyone.
Current wasteful spending by current ASD administration
Cut costs
Cut your budget before it's cut for you. Be proactive with using the budget as you see the cuts coming.
Cuts to programs are a much larger net negative (both short term and long term opportunity costs, individually and in future careers) than moving some kids to a slightly different school location.
Cutting sports would be a huge loss for students, more so than consolidating the schools
Dealing with fiscal reality and loss of population.
Demographics have shifted to such a degree, and with improvements in transit (i.e. everybody has a car or there are bus routes available) ASD needs to suck it up and close several schools that are costing millions a year and not being fully utilized. They also need to let go of about 15% of their staff that some would say are unnecessary positions.
Don't have the money, huge deficit
Dropping enrollment.
Duplicity
Easiest way to fix the issue
East

Economic demands. It makes sense and is what would be done if it was a business.
Economics - no reason to keep empty schools open
Economy of scale young people are not moving in
Economy of scale. Reduce overhead such as administrative staff so cut one whole front office, custodial, grounds maintenance, utilities etc.
Education is the great equalizer and it is one of very few paths for people to move between social classes. I strongly support consolidating schools in order to provide the best educational experience for the greatest number of students in the district, even if that means students from more affluent areas need to travel further.
Education is very important! Maintaining all of their schools is impossible with the Alaska economy being like it is.
Efficiency and saving core programs
Efficiency stretches dollars
Efficient use of tax funds is the priority
Elementary schools have the lowest impact IMO on students, and preserving school nurses and sports is more important
Enrollment declining, schools under capacity, and ridiculous property assessments with high taxes.
Enrollment is declining
Deal w the need to consolidate now
Enrollment is down and programmatic cuts are much more damaging to students
Every government entity should follow their budget and not overspend tax dollars.
Expense
Expenses need to be cut and we cannot keep inflating the budget and expect it to get better. They need to cut admin.
Extracurricular activities and sports are so important to our developing children in Anchorage schools; we need to protect those activities.
Extremely low scores for all grades. Inflated budget, it's obvious at this point that throwing even more money at it is not the answer
Financial necessity
For the last 30 years, the school district has bonded its way into deeper debt. No new schools have been built, but all the schools seem to be falling apart and year after year after year there are bonds to do basic maintenance. My tax liability has gone from \$2000 a year to \$16,000 a year and the market value of my home has only increased \$125,000 in that timeframe. Are you shuffling these numbers around shuffling around different programs every year is the same thing teachers get fired they get laid off then you have to struggle to get them back then you gotta struggle about what schools are gonna have to be open and consolidated enough of the games give these people pensions you're saving pennies and losing thousands of dollars Alaska has been mediocre at best in the national educational rankings, I no longer have kids in the school district, but I sure as hell I'm getting tired of forking over money and being priced out of my home through inflated evaluations and then be taxed on top of that all said together your tax increase as if all of these past are going to increase my tax by over \$2000 a year for the two property that I own I'm gonna have to recover that by increasing my rent which happened to be school teachers in some cases who are not getting pay raises
Future student projections are sharply negative. It doesn't help to keep schools open for now just to close them later. Bite the bullet now and get it done to start using resources more effectively.
Giving the majority of student access to the most varied activities possible.

Government, like ALL of us, live on a budget and we all have to live within our means. This could be at the expense of optional programs like sports/music that only realistically impact a fraction of the students.
Half empty schools ate inefficient use of funds. Nurses etc. are efficient use of funds.
Have to get the budget under control. Fiscal responsibility
How impactful it is to the community, ASD forgets often in which who are they serving not only their students but their families as well.
I not voting to increase my property taxes by that much. \$27 per \$100,000 on top of everything else is too much.
I already decided consolidation should have happened long ago. This is an old issue.
I am a teacher in the district. Increasing class sizes across the district and cutting important programs hurts everyone. Consolidating schools will help us to keep class sizes down.
I believe spending needs to get under control. Difficult decisions will have to be made but they must.
I believe that sports are an essential part of education and creating well rounded individuals and it teaches teamwork and cooperation in ways that a classroom cannot.
I don't feel that ASD uses the money they get in a way that is financially beneficial or sustainable.
I don't see the point in spending funds to maintain under-utilized schools when the district heads are planning to cut teacher positions, sports, and other programs that benefit students. I don't think ASD is managing its resources well.
I don't see the problem getting any better on its own. A real lasting resolution to this would require a change in leadership at the state level to an administration that is more concerned about education than catering to oil companies.
I don't trust the Anchorage School Board They are fiscally unresponsive and morally corrupt
I don't see an issue with consolidating schools, but I think the families who it will affect should be the ones to vote on whether or not they should do it. The schools considering consolidation should hold a vote for only enrolled or to be enrolled families. Will this consolidation result in some teachers getting fired? That wasn't mentioned specifically, and it's important information. Ultimately - something needs to change. If ASD can't handle their finances correctly and we have to make a change, they should be held directly responsible for any complaints and backlash for whatever decision happens.
I don't think ASD should cut sports for students. Consolidating schools is hard on a portion of the students. Cutting out sports is hard on all the students. All students will completely lose the opportunity to participate.
I feel the benefits outweigh the issues
I have voted yes on almost all school bonds in Anchorage in the past. Now that my kids are in ASD school and I am active in my kid's school administration through volunteering, I have gotten to see how the sausage is made. I do not like what I see. The waste is very high, the bureaucracy is bloated. And to top it off, the money we voted on doesn't go towards the projects they stated we were voting on. This isn't all ASD fault. A lot of the blame should go to the school board, but I am tired of seeing my property taxes go up every year for this clown show.
I served on the ASD budget advisory commission in the past, they spend money with no controls on ensuring that value is delivered for each expenditure. At this point, I will vote for anything that starves the ASD budget beast, and I am an automatic no vote for every ASD bond.
I strongly prefer Consolidated the school sizes. School programs as sports and nurses are more important.
I think consolidating schools is a small price to pay to save other areas of need in our community.
I think education is really important
I think extracurricular activities and school nurses are vitally important in schools.

I think it is very important to have sports in school. It is something that gives kids a healthy outlet and they can use it to better and challenge themselves and learn lessons. I also think nurse staffing in schools is important for the safety of the kids.
I think nurses and extracurricular programming is very important to youth development! I'd rather then even out class sizes to keep those programs than cut them
I think provided services are extremely important. Special education services, the IGNITE program and arts and music. If consolidating means those things can continue I would support consolidation.
I think sports and healthcare are a very important staple in our youths' lives. If schools need to be consolidated, so be it. We need to maintain a sense of community through extra curricular activities for our students. As for nurses, this is some students' only line of health care. In order to maintain a. Health focused community that strives for care of self and one another, nurses are vital. Not to mention all the 911 calls we may have to make instead of adequate trauma response (ie during PE).
I think this makes the most sense. Population continue to change and you have to be flexible as it changes.
I was an employee of ASD and know that consolidation is possible
I was born and raised in Anchorage and am a gramma. New schools were being built throughout my grade school, middle and high school years. Therefore, we moved into new schools or said differently, different schools about every other year. Don't think it hurt my education. Yes, when schools are the center of a community, like Nanaka Valley, it can be disruptive, but life is made up of many different changes. Don't think there would be lasting harm.
I work for ASD. Many school are underpopulated, and large sums in money could be saved/repurposed by consolidating a few schools. It would be a better use of our resources.
I would prefer consolidation over cutting student activities.
I would rather consolidate to save after school programs. Such as music & sports activities.
If funds can be saved by consolidating under utilized buildings, then ASD should consolidate.
If only a few schools that have lower attendance. Not a fan of increasing classroom sizes
If other schools have room for more kids in the class room it just makes sense to merge and save the expenses from the other buildings
if putting these students to capacity and it can save more schools is smart,
If savings in overhead costs of school buildings will make a significant reduction in operating costs do it. Seems like you would still have to maintain the empty buildings to some degree. Charter schools seem to be growing and need space. The school bonds have been over 50 million a year forever.
If schools are currently under capacity it makes sense to consolidate and be smart with operating dollars. Sports and after school programs are important and efforts should be made to keep them.
If schools are empty, they are a waste of resources.
If schools are losing population, they should be closed
If schools are operating under capacity, agree with consolidation.
If schools are underutilized it doesn't make sense to keep them fully operational. Consolidating seems like good common sense.
If student populations are reducing and consolidation of facilities is a better use of resources. (\$) It would make sense to direct 'freedom up' resources to other uses.
If the impact is to a couple of schools, and would allow cuts to be reversed, I'm all for it!
If the school district were a private business, they would have gone out of business. A long time ago. They have inefficient leadership, a poor track record of financial planning, in teachers, unions seemed prioritize the needs of teachers. Well above that of the student. I'm actively assisting. What if my son's in helping fund his daughter go to private school to get away from the nonsense curriculum in the district

If there are schools that aren't being used to their full capacity then we should be consolidating
If this is the option they have to stay in budget then they need to do it. The ASD is the worst I've experienced in all my travels.
If we can't maintain programs, hire more teachers and support class sizes being reasonable we are not supporting student learning. We should also be investing in transportation.
If we don't have the money to fund all of the schools then combine. So many of the schools are nowhere near capacity!
In reality public schools are an abject fail should be privatized , public end be shut down
increasing property taxes to pay for school operating costs is not sustainable. We need to be smart about utilizing existing facilities.
It doesn't make sense to have multiple underserved schools open when you can consolidate and save important resources. Kids need access to electives and sports and important programs like the immersion programs.
It is bad use of my tax dollars to run this budget on emotion. Efficiency and common sense must prevail.
It is clear that the State of Alaska is going to continue to under fund education and Anchorage does not appear to want to support a tax base to adequately fund schools and the city. Under populated schools drain scarce resources. Keeping them open benefits a small segment of students and families. Closing them would provide more to the district as a whole. I understand that no one wants it to be done to their school but kids can adapt, parents are generally the ones that have the most trouble with the change. Tough decisions have to be made as it is only going to get worse. Time to stop the bleed, or at least help slow it down.
It is more efficient to consolidate schools and save jobs. If we continue to cut jobs how will we attract decent teachers who want to stay.
It is more efficient to use less schools at a higher capacity. While not ideal, it is better than cutting more teaching jobs and school programs.
It is vital to our students for them to get the best education possible, which includes having a full-time school nurse, access to a wide range of extracurricular activities, and ability to engage in all the arts during the school day. I would take keeping all those programs and increasing class sizes over keeping more schools with less resources in each.
It makes common sense
It makes fiscal sense
It makes sense. Travel times in Anchorage are not significant
It seems fiscally responsible
It seems reasonable
It seems the logical thing to do since enrollment numbers are down and don't support the number of schools we currently have
It we have multiple schools partially populated then there is a need to condense the school population into a more financially prudent option. No sense in having two schools partially full while keeping them both heated, employed and other expensive utilities.
It will help to reduce the amount of grade combination classes, increase building usage, and improve access to services for students in neighborhood school program.
It would give parents a choice of moving to a new school or staying in a charter school.
It would ensure that some other extra programs don't get cut
It's absolutely ridiculous they are short of money every year , we in the public don't get raises every year and they expect the residents to bend over and give them what they want , I'm truly sick of it , everyone is tight on money right now!

It's common sense when considering ASD enrollment has on the decline for years. Less students should equate to less schools operating. Consolidation is what should have been done to Inlet View.
It's insane to keep open facilities that are not at capacity for students. The school board needs to grow a pair and do what needs to be done. Change is hard and they may lose an election but this is what leadership requires.
It's more important to prioritize smaller class sizes and class and program opportunities than keeping a neighborhood school open
It's responsible
It's seems pragmatic to do so, especially if the schools are already at low capacity.
It's the best financial opinion. Take emotion out of it, objectively, consolidating schools is the smartest decision
It's time to make hard decisions
Its cheaper
Its economical. If they have room for the students from the school that closes that saves money to keep paying for a school. Then they only pay for one and add the busses. Saves money. Money could be used to fix up the ONE school for all. Why let two suffer? Makes sense to use one. Pay for one. There's also on-line schools if the parents don't want to move their kids to the other school. Online Saves even more as no cost for the buildings or extra pay to staff.
It's important to keep extracurriculars and programs available to students, who may otherwise not have those opportunities
Just makes good fiscal sense.
Keeping low capacity schools is a continual financial drain. That financial drain is reducing the quality of education due to reduction of resources and increased class size. They keep talking about consolidating the schools to save money but don't. They should have done it years ago to be fiscally responsible and avoided these dire circumstances.
Keeping neighborhood schools open after their population that required them has either reduced or shifted to other areas is not a feasible long-term solution for ASD
Kids need activities to keep them out of trouble
Kids need after school sports.
Kids need electives.
Kids still get all school access and the also don't need to cut the special programs.
Kids would still be able to take the bus to school. Teachers would still have jobs, kids will learn to adapt. It seems wrong to keep an underused school open when it risks the quality of education to all students, including them selves, because why? The parents don't like change?
kids would still have schools to go to and programs that are threatened with closing might be saved
Know how closing a school helps the budget
Lack of population growth in Anchorage, lack of generational growth (young people not having kids)
Less expensive
Less kids, less money appropriated equals less classrooms and less schools. Live within your means like the taxpayers have to do!
less students so close schools to get open schools at design capacity
Logistics
Long term benefits vs short term impacts to families that will most likely opt for a charter school

Long-term declining enrollment projections. It's only going to get worse in the coming decade and we need to act now to right size the ASD infrastructure.
maintain school programs!!
Maintaining school functions is more important than potential changes in which schools students attend, with many students changing schools in the middle anyhow.
Maintaining the number of schools doesn't make sense with current enrollment and population trends in Anchorage
Make the best use of newer facilities with full but not overcrowded schools. Repurpose the underutilized facilities or sell them off for redevelopment of the property.
Makes sense
Makes sense.
Managing resources - financially makes sense, can re-open and expand when needed.
Mini important and critical programs such as school nurses have already faced enough budget cuts over the last several years.
Money better use of existing funds
more efficient use of resources
More school building doesn't often make for better educated students
More students are turning towards homeschooling and schools are down in numbers. Why keep a school open if it's only half full
Most efficient use of resources to conserve important school services
Must make the hard decisions to balance the costs
My opinion matters.
Need to make cuts
Need to save money anyway you can.
No reason to keep underutilized facilities operating.
No sense operating schools that are not needed.
Not enough kids to support how many schools. New parents and families are moving to the valley. Anchorage has too many schools for how many kids are enrolled
Not everyone deserves an education.
Nurses and sports are important for our kids.
Nurses and sports are important. Schools that are under capacity is not money well spent.
Nurses and sports are very important for students development.
Nurses, language instruction, sports, ignite, are all so good and important
One of the most expensive school districts in America, with one of the lowest in results. Need I say more. Poorly run,
Operations savings
Opportunity to save other essential services
Optimize facilities for cost savings
Optimizing school facilities to offer more extra curricular makes sense
Our children are not getting the education they need, deserves or that we as parents and tax payers are paying for. Something needs to change!
Our kids lack basic education, need too refocus on basics
Our student population is shrinking, so we don't need as many schools. It makes financial sense to consolidate and reduce maintenance and overhead costs

Poor financial management
Poor leadership, no practical thinking.
Population decline in Anchorage
Population shifts happen and to manage funds, although this option is unpopular, it should be considered
Population shifts have already happened. New schools were added 20 years ago when necessary, but some schools are no longer needed as much. Save the money for something more necessary.
Preserving and strengthen what's left
Priority should be on reducing class sizes for as many students as possible.
Program cuts do more to reduce opportunity for children than school consolidation. Also school nurses hate rotating and we could lose good ones if we force that over school consolidation.
Property taxes are too high
Quality education is a priority - the wise use of resources to provide fewer safe and sound schools that are fully staffed with high-quality educators and support personnel is preferable to having more poorly maintained and staffed schools.
Real estate and staffing are high costs. Consolidating would effect both of those line items.
Reduce operational expenses and invest in lower student to teacher ratios.
Reducing budget shortfalls
Reducing cost and keeping activities
Repurposing schools would save money. It is a better use of resources to save important programs.
Retaining programs and teachers
Retention of services and programs in schools is important., if this can be done without serious increases in class size, it should be done.
Save \$\$\$. No new taxes.
Save money
Save money on half full building. Save on staff too. And sports are dumb and shouldn't receive any funds.
Save money. No new taxes.
Saving money
Savings cost. While some may get left behind, we can't keep ballooning budgets. Everything in the state is losing due to poor economic conditions related to the cost of oil.
School Board better management
School Board knew enrollments were changing but tried to avoid making hard decisions, and now wants more money to compensate for their ineptitude. They sell more bonds than the FED. (just kidding). Enough long term debt!
School consolidation is a simple solution to a big budget problem. A small group of students will be inconvenienced for the good of the majority.
School consolidation is inevitable as populations decrease and buildings age. We should employ this measure strategically to maintain quality standards
School consolidation makes very good sense financially. It's an even better idea if it can help save school sports.
School district overpays the superintendent and every principal then asks for more money to retain good teachers. Don't ask for more money until you start managing what you got better. On the propositions, I won't vote for any until we start getting full PFDs instead of the legislated pittance.
School enrollment is down significantly and the trend is not expected to reverse. It makes no sense to maintain extra facilities in a time of tight budgets.

School needs to trim your budget, If my FAMILY CAN DO IT SO CAN YOU
School nurses and sports are important for children's health. Both provide a safety net, especially for children of lower income households. Sports equalize the playing field for children of lower and higher financial means. If competitive sports are eliminated, children of low-income families will be disproportionately disadvantaged in high school, college and potentially beyond. Wealthier families will continue to offer sports opportunities, and their children will benefit by additional years of practice, teamwork, camaraderie, and more. Consolidating schools may cause anxiety and angst for a small percentage of ASD children and parents, but that will be temporary. I believe that continuing to dangle the possibility of school consolidation (or the possibility that the schools may not be consolidated) is more harmful to all.
SCHOOL SPORTS TOO IMPORTANT TO EVEN CONSIDER ELIMINATING.
Schools aren't full and are expensive to keep open and operate. ASD has built schools recently that they didn't need.
Schools need to offer broad range of activities for students
Seems the most practical
Some of these schools just don't have the population to justify staying open. The decision to close and redistribute students is in the benefit of everyone in the long run.
Something needs to give. It is like our own personal budget, if we can't afford something then changes must occur. Changes are hard but necessary.
Spending more money than you have is irresponsible management.
Sports and other activities are extremely important to me. It gives students an opportunity to possibly make these activities a part of their foundation for their future.
Sports are extremely beneficial to students, especially if class sizes are going to increase due to teacher cuts. Larger classrooms need children to have access to sports so they are less disruptive in classrooms
Sports are important, and school nurses are essential for basic first aid
Sports is important for kids. I also think having several schools that are underpopulated is an inefficient use of money.
student decline
Student population continues to decline and prospects of increase are not positive.
Student population demographics are a reality we have to deal with.
Students need athletic programs, not just academics. I believe there is frivolous spending that should be addressed first.
Sustainability of the districts solution to the problem
Take action. Stop procrastinating and move forward.
That is way u can make the quickest and biggest cuts of the money required for the shortfall. I do not want to see any program cuts for middle school. It's very important for this age to be able to participate in band, sports, and other extracurricular programs for their development.
That's just part of life. We will need to roll sometimes with difficult decisions. Because a few families, voice opposition, that's not enough to substantiate the costs. I think just the heating bill alone.
That's Wen's to be the common sense approach

The Anchorage School District budget is absolutely out of control. EACH Anchorage property owners pay over \$2500 of priority taxes to the school district yearly. Educational testing scores are plummeting & putting the School district at the failure range nation wide. We spend more on the less number of individuals in this municipality than we do for senior, veterans, & employed people. We spend less on first responders for the entire Muni population. ASD is top heavy, & not willing to adjust their priorities. ASD is an absolute disgrace to the entire system of Muni & state.. As a friend of mine has always said, to the amount of property taxes going to ASD, "I want to start seeing report cards!"
The Anchorage school District has mismanaged funding, and teaching of students for many years now some hard decisions they're gonna have to be made to get things back to a good place
The ASD is facing clearly identifiable enrollment challenges that need to be recognized and addressed. Deferring necessary actions only makes things worse financially. Also, the ASD relies on bonding to cover some operational expense. This is also financially imprudent for a number of reasons.
1) The owners of commercial property and apartments recognize that things like roofs and boilers do not last forever. Reserves to cover those expenses need to be collected annually and locked away until need. Doing so on a current basis (i.e. every month or year) makes the present taxpayers recognize the true cost of operating the school and appropriately passes that portion of the cost on to the current tax payers. Deferring (ie. hiding) that cost unfairly burdens future tax payers when the cost of deferred maintenance is dumped on them. 2) How can voters and tax payers make a rational decision about the quality of leadership when the true costs of operating a school system or running a city are understated.
This is especially critical in extended periods of stagnant population and declining school enrollment.
The ASD must make some unfortunate but immediate cuts to resolve its budget issues. The State is ultimately responsible for this mess but Anchorage cannot wait or hope the legislature and/or the governor will get it together sufficiently to help the ASD. I believe we should commit 50% of the Permanent Fund to the State's schools to show our commitment to student education for our future.
The ASD needs to learn how to budget. The ASD is ranked as one of the lowest in the nation for test scores and among the highest in the nation for amount spent per child! Ridiculous!
The ASD needs to live within its means. I do everyday.
The ASD population has declined over the past 20 years, and it is time to consolidate, rather than keep bonding for maintaining old buildings that aren't needed
The best choice
The board has a fiduciary duty to spend our tax dollars in a manner that buys us the best education with the least expense.
The budget needs to be brought under control
The children should not suffer for the district overspending and misallocating funds. If attendance is down make changes.
The city isn't and hasn't had a balanced budget and property taxes always go up. Why spend money on parks and trails when in the grand scheme of things that's not what needs money. Schools are NOT teaching our children the important things in life they need to succeed. The testing scores show that.
The city's population has shrunk, more folks are home schooling and the median age is trending older. ASD has a problem with high admin costs. If consolidation can give more ROI and reduce admin costs, I am all for it!
The district needs to re-adjust its priorities. Money should go to teachers and the classroom—students. I worked in the district and saw the redundancies, waste, and mis-spending.
The loss of school sports would be detrimental to our schools

The model of education is changing at a fundamental level with the introduction of AI and offers a more effective and efficient model of education that is customized to students needs and gaps
The most important issue is good quality education for the students, especially the ability to keep class sizes decent and retain teachers. Although it will upset some families, it will be worthwhile for the gains. ASD has been going through difficult times for quite a while and hopefully this move would solve some of the major problems. Keeping class sizes average would also help retain teachers.
The needs of the many outweighs the needs of the few
The number of students is declining every year. They should have already closed several schools and consolidated the students instead of wasting money rebuilding the schools damaged by the earthquake and they wouldn't be in the mess they are in now.
The public education system is a failure.
The scenario you lined out makes it the only option, and I value sports programs
The school board gets direction to close schools, but then doesn't do it. They just build a new one too.
The School Board needs to be the responsible party and recognize that with the reduction in number of kids across the school district and loss of funds we need to close schools. End of story. Do it and don't get persuaded by parents that will have kids that are impacted.
The School Board opted to spend ~\$40 million fixing up Inlet view. A school that houses ~250 students. Half of the deficit they are currently experiencing and now they are cutting so many support positions because they didn't have the guts to not fund a renovation. Focus on people, not buildings.
The school district has never seemed to run efficiently and every voting year for past 50 years I have lived the budget has never balanced and always asking more money. Administration is too heavy and curriculum is not educating our kids in a manner where they can think critically or read at grade levels. Our test scores are close to lowest and we spend a huge amount per child. Enrollment is down due to these stats. I think the whole organization needs an audit.
The School District has too much real estate overhead.
The school district is quick to point the gun at teachers and programs but not the top heavy management/administration. If ASD is unwilling to cut management then it needs to consolidate and save money where it can.
The school district must face and deal with the continuing loss of student/population numbers with consolidation as the long term potential for recovery of their numbers is doubtful
The school district needs to deal with its' reality. Gone are the days when the city had taxpayers with lots of excess money. The district needs to pat down and get back to basics. Until they do that, I'm not volunteering to give them one more cent!
The school district should be fiscally responsible and reduce costs where possible. It makes sense to combine schools where enrollment has dropped and two schools can be combined to reduce huge operating cost of maintaining both buildings and staffing
The school district waste too much money on their education center instead of the schools
The school system needs to offer the best education & services, even if kids need to change schools.
The schools are underfunded and do not know how much money they will be getting under after the budget cycle. Just dumb and very shortsighted.
The spending and taxes are out of control.
The State has been derelict in its tax and spending authority and it's harming Alaskans. Since oil companies are all but exempt from contributing to our communities through state taxes, some more extreme measures are necessary.
The student load is not there
The students are around 35,000. Lowest since 1970's

There are less students in the district than 40 years ago and they hold way more space now that requires maintenance. Schools are well under capacity and student populations are decreasing every year. Close them!
There are several schools that are pretty close to each other and not at capacity. It could help reduce the budget cuts that have been happening across the state and lead to less cuts in the near future
There are too many buildings requiring expensive up keep and seems like hardly any are at capacity. Consolidation over cutting other programs seems like a no brainer.
There is enough room in local schools to accommodate additional students. With population drops, it makes sense to shutter buildings that are under capacity.
There's no guarantee the next governor will be better than this governor. It's time to consolidate to get to a point of equilibrium.
They are inept and have been for years
They can save money by consolidating. ASD has one of the highest dollars allocated per student nationwide, yet have very low test scores. Families are going to more private schools because they want better more traditional teaching.
They must operate within or under budget. A declining school population necessitates decreased footprint not increased funding
They need to cut costs and the student population has definitely decreased. I know parents prefer neighborhood schools but the reality is the ASD has to face reality and make hard choices.
They need to tighten up their balance sheets, like the rest of us. Stop just asking for more money and get back to teaching the basics of education.
They need to trim down and become more effective on pretty much everything.
They need to work within the budget that they currently have and fix their internal issues themselves. I'm tired of hearing them ask for more money every single year while they actually have less students. I think a lot of people are tired of them.
This is being a better steward of the finances ASD has available to them
This will consolidate services and save jobs
Those families who can are going to leave Anchorage or pursue alternative/private education options if their kids can't get a quality education in the public school system. Anchorage won't be positioned well to attract talented workers from the Lower 48 if schools and education is poor. Students suffer the long-term consequences of poor education if the school district continues to be severely underfunded. Anchorage/Alaska will be at a disadvantage if it can neither attract new talent nor educate the existing population adequately.
Throughout my elementary and high school years rode a bus from 4- 7 miles each way. Neighborhood schools are nice but not necessary when school budget is an issue.
Tired of being asked to pay for school, sports are a money maker and parents already pay for their kids to participate. Plus all the fundraising done for sports... kids need to be healthy and ASD is lacking in nutrition, learning and physical health... not impressed.
Tired of taxes
To costly to continue to operate schools that don't have proper number of attendees. Public funds should not be used for charter schools and parents should not receive public funds to use those schools or to home
To help keep the budget to retain teachers
To save programs and nurses
Too many programs to fund. We need to go back to basics and stop with the special programming.
Too many schools not enough kids
Too much Waste \$\$ in ASD! LOUSY Test Results for Cost Associated with "Educating" Anchorage Students!
Total Mismanagement of ASD funds, & budgeting,

Tough decisions need to be made and our population isn't increasing.
Under capacity schools versus fully funding services at capacity schools makes more sense
Under utilized schools are a result of population shift/change which is the result of politics and economics.
Underfunded schools have lead to these problems and the governor doesn't want to provide more funds. The school districts have to do something right now.
Underutilized schools result in unfair cost allocation, and students learn better in consolidated schools with more uniform resource allocations.
Underutilized schools,likeBearValleyshouldbeclosedinsteadofalwaysTiltle1 schools closing first
Unfortunately, I think maintaining programs across the district is important enough to choose consolidation despite how much it would affect those who have to move schools.
Until the school district stops asking for more money every single year with showing any improvements in student grades, count me out of any support. Cut from administration and explore cuts in non essential spending
Utilize the resources we have currently until more workable solutions are added. This way we aren't adding to the budget but will have to get creative to combine kids to get the learning and share programs.
Was a member of the School Budget Advisory Commission for 10 years. Reducing buildings and maintenance on those buildings is one of the only options left to save money and retain teachers.
We all have to live within a budget. HOWEVER we also have to fully fund education.
We are projected to continue losing families
We can't afford to keep schools open that are under utilized.
We cant keep taxing our way to performance. Proficiency of students remains very low and money is not fixing it.
We don't need schools that are half capacity
We have 5 elementary schools in my area alone. I believe the schools should fund a one time project to expand 2 school that are most suitable and redirect children from the other 3 to them
We have a budget shortfall and we want to preserve sports and teaching positions
We have had a decrease in students and an abundance of capital projects and growing buildings when the need is not there. If we brought more families into the city and our student base was growing I would support growth as the city grows. However, we are a declining population with smaller student base and many parents opting for home school. We need to realize that we are shrinking and right size the amount of buildings to service our population.
We have less students and school that only have 50% capacity
We have too many schools for current enrollment
We have too many schools that are not close to capacity. This forces teachers, counselors and administrators to have two (or more) buildings to work in. As someone who has worked for two schools at once before, I thought it would feel like two part time jobs. Instead, it felt like having 2 full time jobs. It's better to not have to have staff work at multiple buildings
We need a strong and quality education system for the city
We shouldn't be operating schools that are significantly below their operational capacity.
We shouldn't pay to keep schools open that have low enrollment. Consolidating schools helps to better pool funds.
We're losing population and we're unlikely to ever get state funding like we were in the 90's ever again. Some smart downsizing seems like a good financial decision.

What's the point of going to school if you're not getting a good education? Slightly larger classes sizes and slightly worse transportation is better than cutting classes and activities that support a well-rounded education.

When we consolidate on schools we save on operational costs and allow for full time specialist staff.

While consolidating schools and shuttering schools only saves a small amount of money, it does cut down some redundancy. Just look to not closing only schools in the more economically challenged schools. Spread it across the more affluent neighborhoods too

While it's no fun consolidating smaller schools it has a better outcome when we can keep programs. I'd rather have vibrant programs available than simply having schools close by that lack vibrant programs.

With declining enrollment, it's foolish to keep underpopulated schools open. Consolidating allows more specialized programming, rather than combo grade classes.

APPENDIX B

Strongly Lean Towards Keeping Schools As They Are

Free Response

5D. OK, now consider this more specific, hypothetical scenario. Recent budget pressures have forced the School District to make unpopular cuts to certain core programs and services. Let's say the School District is considering the option of consolidating a couple of elementary schools that are somewhat under capacity, and redirecting students to neighboring schools. Doing so would reduce costs enough to be able to partially reverse several potential budget cuts across the district, for example cuts to sports programs and school nurses.

What would you do, if it was your decision to make?

	WHAT DECISION WOULD YOU MAKE REGARDING CONSOLIDATION?	
	Count	%
Strongly lean towards consolidating the schools	372	44.7%
Somewhat lean towards consolidating the schools	259	31.2%
Somewhat lean towards keeping th schools as they are	112	13.5%
Strongly lean towards keeping the schools as the are	50	6.0%
No opinion	38	4.5%

5E. [IF STRONGLY LEAN TOWARDS CONSOLIDATING OR STRONGLY LEAN TOWARDS KEEPING THE SCHOOLS AS THEY ARE] Tell us about why you made this decision. What's your main reasoning?

FREE RESPONSES FOR RESPONDENTS THAT STRONGLY LEANED TOWARDS KEEPING SCHOOLS AS THEY ARE:

Consolidating classrooms just puts too many students for one teacher to be able to teach
Anchorage is only growing, so closing schools which means more students in a class and in a school isn't the answer. If the population is growing Anchorage schools need to grow as well.
ASD is under funded- we cannot keep under funding them and expect them to be able to function
ASD needs to work on eliminating costs from the top, not the bottom. Not cutting sports/programs or closing schools.
Because reduce sizes reduces the education for students.
Can't get back once changed
Closing schools is not the answer to our financial problems and will ultimately lead to more closures and cuts. The kids get short-changed in this solution. We need to properly fund schools, including keeping funding on pace with inflation.
Closing schools is one way of disrupting community/school relationships. Any, repeat, any changing of school boundaries is highly community disrupting and has consequences far beyond just the primary goal.
consolidating schools means larger classes, fewer teachers and a reduction in the quality of education
Consolidating schools will lead to bigger classrooms which are already big enough.

Consolidation is a major change in people's lives, hard to reverse, and includes likely loss of assets and inefficiencies in the process. It should be planned for over a decade or so. Cutting sports or activity programs could more likely be done short term, and added back in when the budget improves.
Consolidation should be a last resort
Disruptive and does not solve anything longer term.
Every year our children, (the future that will be voting when we are older), are the least thought of. They are not a priority. Their education is nothing but a babysitting motion. We don't give a damn about them. Unless parents can afford to send them to schools that teach academics and not all the fluff of nothing they are bored and not interested in the rubbish that is consider the curriculum. Our country is becoming dumber and dumber. Where is the "energy" you feel when you go to school? There's no excitement about the wonders and mysteries of history, biology, geography and the other subjects needed to equip our kids to succeed in life. We JUST DON'T CARE!
I believe other things should be removed the budget, education needs to stay the same or improve
I believe the school district has a spending problem and am not convinced these cuts to core programs have been weighed against the administration cost of sending our students to school. For instance I recently learned second hand that we have a school district employee earning 700k a year. I have not confirmed nor shared that but it is concerning. That is not a teacher earning that.
I feel that there are other budgetary adjustments that can be made and, we already know the negative effects to larger class sizes. In addition, the employment of our teachers would face a downsizing.
I think the superintendent needs fired , he isn't qualified for the position and that would give a lot of money back to the school district to fund their programs
I think they're a better ways to balance the budget then closing schools
I would like accountability
It makes no sense cut government employee and state employees salary, have more accountability for bud6shortfalls and why are everything but working class salary increase. If you want our population to grow or children who grow up here to stay. Have affordable safe housing, increase salaries and audit state government and police department by private citizens
It's cutting teachers and students after school activities. Terrible idea.
Its the school board leaders fault for this deficit. take some accountability
Money
More students per teacher is a detriment to the student.
Most of the sports programs require a participation fee and most of the coaches are volunteers and not paid by ASD. I would really like to see the budget numbers for what it costs to keep the sports programs as teamwork, participating in sports and learning valuable lessons about competitive sports are just as important as school work.
Moving students to another school places undue hardship on both the students and the families, both in time and money
Neighborhood schools hold a community together. Once they are lost they are gone forever. We should look forward to a thriving Anchorage when these schools will at capacity and in the meantime fund them, even if they are not the cheapest per student. What we gain in savings will be lost in community and when we lose community we pay for it in so many other ways.
No reason
Not for consolidation
Overhead costs for managing is too high. They need to do better budgeting their money and not have such high payroll for superintendents etc.

Over-packing classrooms is harmful to students wellbeing and learning outcomes.
Property taxes are already too high. We need to cut the waist and tighten the budget.
School system needs to do a better job managing their funds.
Short term cuts won't balance the budget. Have to cut from the top not the bottom because cutting to much from the bottom the tree will eventually collapse. Close the ED Building and utilize the empty spaces in the schools. Don't cut sports because sports hold a better stand to students academically as a whole.
Sounds like "bussing" students and that didn't work out.
Students and teachers deserve stability and to not have horrifically overcrowded classrooms
Teacher and students
The sake of local people.
The State needs to fulfill its responsibilities under the Alaska Constitution for education. Local governments can't do it alone at the current level of insufficient and unreasonable support.
They good the way they are
They need to fund the school district and keep student to teacher ratios down
This has to be thought out more.
Unfair to uproot students to new environment
Upsetting a routine for elementary students and where they live is not a good scenario. I believe the long term and short term effects would cause more harm than good.
We have contributed to them for years, we should be able to support them and fund them the exact same as we always have and will do for the kids of our future.
We should fully fund our schools.