SATELLITES VS. HIGH ALTITUDE BALLOONS

Dorian de Quillien & Mr. Eismann
Laguna Beach High School

INTRODUCTION

Low-Earth orbit is becoming dangerously congested. Mega-constellations like Starlink have pushed launch frequency to record highs, inflating costs and increasing collision risk. This study asks: can a HAB serve as a viable, low-cost substitute for LEO satellites?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES

- **Study Type:** Applied research — uses real data from industry professionals, public launch logs, Space-Track, and TRACSS orbital tracking. HAB project provides first-hand quantitative data.
- **Data Collected:**
  - o HAB altitude, flight duration, drift, temperature, pressure, total mission cost ($1,725.50 from real purchase orders)
  - o LEO industry: launch frequency, orbital traffic density, congestion indicators from Space-Track and TRACSS
  - o Qualitative: interview with industry professional in satellite operations
- **Analysis Method:** Supply-and-demand economic frameworks: how increased launch volume shifts supply curves, how orbital congestion raises operating costs, how risk affects expected returns and capitalization.

DATA AND FINDINGS

Category	HAB Platform	LEO Satellite	Winner
Cost / Mission Budget	$1,725.50 (real PO data, 2025)	~$54M+ per launch (SpaceX Falcon 9)	HAB ✓
Carbon Footprint	Helium + latex; minimal emissions	Solid/liquid fuel: NOx, BC, Al_2O_3 soot	HAB ✓
Space Debris	None — payload fully recoverable	Adds permanent uncontrolled objects	HAB ✓
Collision / Conjunction Risk	Suborbital; zero orbital collision risk	23,000+ tracked objects; risk growing	HAB ✓
Licensing & Regulation	FAA Part 101; simple permit	FCC, ITU, ITAR; multi-year process	HAB ✓
Insurance Costs	Under $500 per mission	5–15% of revenue (~$15M+ per mission)	HAB ✓
Human Resources	1–3 people; no specialists needed	Dozens of engineers + ground ops team	HAB ✓
Supply Access	Elastic — materials widely available	Concentrated; SpaceX/ULA bottleneck	HAB ✓
Launch Bottlenecks	On-demand; no waitlist	12–24 month launch queues typical	HAB ✓
Environmental Impact	Biodegradable latex; no ozone damage	Rocket exhaust damages ozone layer	HAB ✓
Orbital Permanence	Suborbital; no long-term space burden	Satellites remain in orbit 5–15 years	HAB ✓
Capitalization / Funding Risk	Low-cost; accessible to students/firms	High CapEx; VC/government dependent	HAB ✓
Mission Duration	2–3 hours per flight	5–15 years continuous operation	LEO ✓
Controllability	Limited directional control	Full orbital path programmable	LEO ✓

Figure 2. HAB Mission — First-Hand Cost Breakdown (Purchase Order Data)

All costs reflect actual vendor quotes and purchase orders collected for this project (2025). Flight performance data (altitude, duration, temp, pressure) will be recorded via onboard sensors upon launch. Pre-launch estimates sourced from NASA CSBF and peer-reviewed HAB literature.

Item	Vendor / Source	Cost (USD)	Notes
Balloon+ Inflator+Release+4-Point Recovery	The-Rocketman	$379.50	Primary lift vehicle; carries full payload
Helium Tank Rental	All-American	$250.00	Lift gas to fill 1200g balloon; price variable by region
Parachute (1.5 m)	High Altitude Science	$99.00	Ensures safe, controlled descent of payload after burst
Eagle Flight Computer	High Altitude Science	$299.00	GPS tracking, altitude/pressure/temp
GoPro Hero 12 + 256GB SD Card + Micro SD Card (≤8GB)	Amazon / Best Buy	$430.00	Records high-resolution video of Earth
GPS Tracker — SPOT Trace Satellite Tracker	Amazon	$150.00	Real-time payload tracking via satellite;
Styrofoam Payload Box (Insulated) + Energizer Ultimate	Home Depot / Amazon	$58.00	Lightweight insulated housing
Nylon Cord, Tape, Hand Warmers, Misc. Supplies	Home Depot	$60.00	Securing and insulating equipment
TOTAL MISSION COST (excl. subscription)		**$1,725.50**	*Excludes SPOT Trace monthly subscription ($10–20/mo). All hardware costs are first-hand purchase order data.*

- HABs win 12/14 categories — cost, debris, regulation, environmental impact, insurance, bottlenecks
- At **$1,725.50** vs. **$54M+** per LEO launch, the cost case is overwhelming for short-duration missions

DISCUSSION, ANALYSIS, AND EVALUATION

1. **Supply & Demand**
   HAB equipment supply is elastic — materials are widely available at consumer prices. LEO launch supply is concentrated (SpaceX, ULA), creating bottlenecks as mega-constellation demand surges. This shifts costs onto firms and inflates capitalization risk for the entire industry.
2. **Why LEO Still Matters**
   LEO's two winning categories are Duration (5–15 years continuous) and Controllability. Duration isn't nothing, it functions as a structural ceiling on HAB substitutability. A HAB cannot replicate persistent orbital coverage for navigation, large-scale Earth observation, or global communications. This sharpens the economic argument rather than weakening it: ***HABs are not a universal replacement but a targeted substitute.***
3. **The Substitute**
   For data collection, atmospheric research, and proof-of-concept sensing, the HAB is not just cheaper but is economically rational. Firms facing launch bottlenecks should treat HABs as a cost-efficient hedge against orbital congestion for short-duration missions.

CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND NEXT STEPS

While HABs outperform LEO in 12 of 14 categories, the two LEO wins, Duration and Controllability, are major. LEO satellites provide years of continuous operation and full orbital control, capabilities a HAB simply cannot match. However, these advantages do not make LEO the better choice for every mission.

For research and short-duration work, HABs win where it counts:

- Same core data results at a fraction of the cost
- No regulatory burden
- Zero environmental impact compared to rocket exhaust and orbital debris
- Accessible to students and scientists without massive capital investment
- As the technology advances, HABs will continue closing the gap, making them the practical, low-cost future of atmospheric and scientific research. For example, advanced HAB's, costing $100,000's and with multi-month durations, can pose as a reliable substitution as they make up for the current duration and controllability bottlenecks

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS / REFERENCES

Special thanks to Mr. Eismann, Mr. Jun Shen, and the AER Program for helping make this project possible.

*****QR CODE TO FULL PAPER**