CMAS Grade 6 English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

Performance Level	Level of Text Complexity[1]	Range of Accuracy[2]	Quality of Evidence[3]
Exceeded Expectations (5)	Very Complex Moderately Complex Readily Accessible	Mostly Accurate Mostly Accurate Accurate	Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential
Met Expectations (4)	Very Complex Moderately Complex Readily Accessible	Generally Accurate Generally Accurate Mostly Accurate	Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential
Approached Expectations (3)	Very Complex Moderately Complex Readily Accessible	Minimally Accurate Generally Accurate Mostly Accurate	Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential
Partially Met Expectations (2)	Very Complex Moderately Complex Readily Accessible	Inaccurate Minimally Accurate Partially Accurate	Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential Explicit & Inferential

1. Text Complexity

The CMAS complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS), which indicates that students should work and read texts with varying levels of complexity. Consequently, to determine students' performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, CMAS uses a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

CMAS uses two components for determining text complexity for **all** passages:

- Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine **an initial** recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

- Text Analysis Worksheets, one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the CMAS assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CAS Reading Standards). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, CMAS has developed scoring rubrics (https://www.cde.state.co.us/assessment/cmas_ela_g6-8pcr_rubric) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The CMAS assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

Accurate – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

Mostly accurate – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

Generally accurate – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

Partially accurate – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding**.**

Minimally accurate – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

Inaccurate – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students read closely to determine what the text states explicitly and to make logical inferences from it and cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text as referenced throughout the CAS reading standards. Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

Explicit evidence – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

Inferential evidence – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

Reading Sub-Claims	**Reading Literature** Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.	**Reading Information** Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.	**Vocabulary Interpretation and Use** Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.
EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.	**See Literary Evidence Table**	**See Informational Evidence Table**	**See Vocabulary Evidence Table**

Exceeded Expectations Level 5	**Met Expectations Level 4**	**Approached Expectations Level 3**	**Partially Met Expectations Level 2**
A student who achieves at **Level 5 exceeds expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 4 meets expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 3 approaches expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 2 partially meets expectations** for the assessed standards.
In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: • With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text • With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. ● With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do accurate analyses of the text, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: ● With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. ● With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. ● With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: ● With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. ● With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. ● With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.	In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: ● With very complex text, students demonstrate the inability to do an accurate analysis of the text, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. ● With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text. ● With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do partially accurate analyses of the text, showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression: Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and			
EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.		**See Writing Evidence Table** https://www.cde.state.co.us/assessment/gr6read-writees060418	
Exceeded Expectations Level 5	**Met Expectations Level 4**	**Approached Expectations Level 3**	**Partially Met Expectations Level 2**
A student who achieves at **Level 5 exceeds expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 4 meets expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 3 approaches expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 2 partially meets expectations** for the assessed standards.
In **writing,** students address the prompts and provide effective development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating effective coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student: ● Provides effective development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. ● Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience. ● Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas. ● Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline. ● Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. ● Includes precise language including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.	In **writing**, students address the prompts and provide development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student: ● Provides development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. ● Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience. ● Demonstrates general coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas. ● Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline. ● Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. ● Includes mostly precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate	In **writing**, students address the prompts and provide basic development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while generally demonstrating basic coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student: ● Provides some development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using basic reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. ● Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is somewhat appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience. ● Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer's progression of ideas somewhat unclear. ● Employs a style that is generally effective, with basic awareness of the norms of the discipline. ● Draws some evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. ● Includes some descriptions, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.	In **writing**, students address the prompts and provide minimal development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating minimal coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student: ● Provides minimal development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using minimal reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. ● Minimal development of the claim, topic and/or narrative elements that is minimally appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience. ● Demonstrates minimal coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer's progression of ideas unclear. ● Employs a minimally effective style, and minimal awareness of the norms of the discipline. ● Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. ● Includes minimal descriptions, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.

	tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.		

Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions: Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.	
EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.	**See Writing Evidence Table** https://www.cde.state.co.us/assessment/gr6read-writees060418

Exceeded Expectations Level 5	**Met Expectations Level 4**	**Approached Expectations Level 3**	**Partially Met Expectations Level 2**
A student who achieves at **Level 5 exceeds expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 4 meets expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 3 approaches expectations** for the assessed standards.	A student who achieves at **Level 2 partially meets expectations** for the assessed standards.
In **writing**, students demonstrate full command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be some errors in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is clear.	In **writing**, students demonstrate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding.	In **writing**, students demonstrate basic command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating partial control over language.	In **writing**, students demonstrate minimal command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating minimal control over language.