RFP 26-015 - Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) Software and Support (AWARD INTENT)

Bidders

Electronic Bids 10

Manual Bids 0

Bidders	Compliance	Technical (/100)	Price (/30)	Final Score (/130)	Bid Rank
Concourse Tech Inc. Org No: 2742952	Pass	90.5 (90.5%)	30 (100%)	120.5 (92.69%)	1
A3J Group LLC Org No: 927706	Pass	60.05 (60.05%)			N/A
ATZ SOLUTION LLC Org No: 2925926	Pass	61.45 (61.45%)			N/A
Brightly Software, Inc. Org No: 2450015	Pass	88.79 (88.79%)	24.68 (82.25%)	113.47 (87.28%)	N/A
FlowPath Org No: 2603792	Pass	71.2 (71.2%)			N/A
Follett Software, LLC Org No: 23887	Pass	85.91 (85.91%)	24.75 (82.5%)	110.66 (85.12%)	N/A
Mitchell Technologies Org No: 3028966	Pass	0 (0%)			N/A
OperationsHERO Org No: 3045012	Pass	82.94 (82.94%)	27.11 (90.35%)	110.05 (84.65%)	N/A
Pollux Software Solutions Org No: 2772987	Pass	50.1 (50.1%)			N/A
Pragma Edge Inc Org No: 2865066	Pass	34.35 (34.35%)			N/A

Compliance

Status Finalized

Evaluators	Status
Undisclosed	Finalized

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Recommendation	Final Decision
A3J Group LLC Org No: 927706	Finalized	Pass	Pass
ATZ SOLUTION LLC Org No: 2925926	Finalized	Pass	Pass
Brightly Software, Inc. Org No: 2450015	Finalized	Pass	Pass
Concourse Tech Inc. Org No: 2742952	Finalized	Pass	Pass
FlowPath Org No: 2603792	Finalized	Pass	Pass
Follett Software, LLC Org No: 23887	Finalized	Pass	Pass
Mitchell Technologies Org No: 3028966	Finalized	Pass	Pass
OperationsHERO Org No: 3045012	Finalized	Pass	Pass

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Recommendation	Final Decision
Pollux Software Solutions Org No: 2772987	Finalized	Pass	Pass
Pragma Edge Inc Org No: 2865066	Finalized	Pass	Pass

Technical

Status Finalized

Weight 100 pts

Pass Mark 80 pts

Evaluators	Section Assigned	Status
Undisclosed	Entire Form	Finalized
Undisclosed	Entire Form	Finalized
Undisclosed	Entire Form	Finalized
Undisclosed	Entire Form	Finalized
Undisclosed	Entire Form	Finalized

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision
A3J Group LLC Org No: 927706	Finalized	60.05	60.05	Fail	Fail

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: Financial statements not provided.	Finalized	59.5	59.5	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	18.5	18.5	Fail
Undisclosed Comment: I think this is a company to look at a little further.	Finalized	80	80	Pass
Undisclosed Comment: Overall, the proposal was well organized with clearly defined structure of project phasing approach and defined support at each phase and user level. Unlimited user model a plus along with asset management, work order management, and mobile workforce enablement model. Enjoyed reviewing the proposal: organized and format was easy to read with clear, direct content.	Finalized	79.25	79.25	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	63	63	Fail

ATZ SOLUTION LLC Org No: 2925926	Finalized	61.45	61.45	Fail	Fail

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: 3rd party sub contractor for most of project work.	Finalized	63.5	63.5	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	29.75	29.75	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	73	73	Fail
Undisclosed Comment: Overall the proposal ran together, including heavy content on each person's credentials but did not clearly represent the ability and assurance of creating a solution that would meet all needs of the school district. Additionally, there are some concerns with contracting with one company that is going to heavily outsource the scope of work to another company outside of the region.	Finalized	74	74	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	67	67	Fail

Brightly Software, Inc. Org No: 2450015	Finalized	88.79	88.79	Pass	Pass

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: Vendor has made strong improvements to their platform.	Finalized	85.25	85.25	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	92.5	92.5	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	93.75	93.75	Pass
Undisclosed Comment: Overall, the proposal was well organized, with a clearly defined project phasing approach and specified support at each phase and user level. The unlimited user model is a strong advantage, along with capabilities for asset and work order management. The proposal also addressed remaining needs and highlighted integrations such as GIS ESRI indoor and a mobile workforce enablement model. The document was enjoyable to review—its organization and format made it easy to read, with clear and direct content throughout.	Finalized	92.2	92.2	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	80.25	80.25	Pass

Concourse Tech Inc. Org No: 2742952	Finalized	90.5	90.5	Pass	Pass

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: GIS integration strong. Online, Live, and Emergency support systems in place for system issues.	Finalized	83.5	83.5	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	91.25	91.25	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	98.5	98.5	Pass
Undisclosed Comment: Overall, the proposal was very well organized, with a clearly defined project phasing approach and specified support at each phase and user level. The unlimited user model is a strong advantage, along with robust capabilities for asset and work order management. The proposal also addressed remaining needs and highlighted integrations such as GIS ESRI Indoor and a mobile workforce enablement model. The content and operational environment were thoughtfully structured, and the overall organization of the proposal was particularly impressive. The format made it easy to navigate, with information presented clearly and directly throughout. Overall, it was a well-prepared document and an excellent, easy-to-follow read.	Finalized	94.75	94.75	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	84.5	84.5	Pass

FlowPath Org No: 2603792	Finalized	71.2	71.2	Fail	Fail

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: Did not provide financial statements.	Finalized	62.75	62.75	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	80	80	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	80.75	80.75	Pass
Undisclosed Comment: Packet well organized with clearly defined structure of project phasing approach and defined support at each phase. Limited user quantity model, with no long-term support for GIS Esri Indoor, Tyler Tech integrations and system apps to support future platform growth and extensive asset management collections based on locations specifically, Arc GIS connector.	Finalized	71	71	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	61.5	61.5	Fail

Follett Software, LLC Org No: 23887	Finalized	85.91	85.91	Pass	Pass

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: Work Order systems are somewhat weak. GIS intergration weak. Similar to current platform, some analytics in dashboards. No subcontractors.	Finalized	90	90	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	90	90	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	94.75	94.75	Pass
Undisclosed Comment: Packet well organized and clearly defined structure of project phasing approach and defined support at each phase and user level. A few details were lacking in relation to a mobile option, user capacity and licensing. Additionally, views appeared to be similiar to current footprint CMMS structure the school district is currently operating in with added reporting analytics and dashboards.	Finalized	88.3	88.3	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	66.5	66.5	Fail

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision
Mitchell Technologies Org No: 3028966	Finalized	0	0	Fail	Fail

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed	Finalized	0	0	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	0	0	Fail
Undisclosed Comment: No information was provided	Finalized	0	0	Fail
Undisclosed Comment: No informaton included, no proposal.	Finalized	0	0	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	0	0	Fail

OperationsHERO Org No: 3045012	Finalized	82.94	82.94	Pass	Pass

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: Strong overall platform. Did not provide financial statements. Missing some intergration abilities - partial integration with Esri ArcGIS, offline capabilities	Finalized	85.25	85.25	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	83.2	83.2	Pass
Undisclosed Comment: This is a solid proposal. The layout was clear and concise. They did a great job relaying their ability to our needs	Finalized	85	85	Pass
Undisclosed Comment: The packet was well organized and presented a clearly defined project phasing approach, with support outlined for each phase and user level. However, some details were missing regarding mobile capabilities, user capacity, and licensing. Additionally, the system views appeared similar to the school district's current CMMS structure, with the primary enhancements being expanded reporting analytics and dashboards.	Finalized	81	81	Pass
Undisclosed	Finalized	80.25	80.25	Pass

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision
Pollux Software Solutions Org No: 2772987	Finalized	50.1	50.1	Fail	Fail

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: BCSD listed as Berkley county. References do not show experience with school systems.	Finalized	45.5	45.5	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	21.75	21.75	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	72.75	72.75	Fail
Undisclosed Comment: The proposal overall was lacking with limited content provided to express the full support and scope of work to be addressed. Additionally, the proposal was directed to Berkeley County School District.	Finalized	63	63	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	47.5	47.5	Fail

Pragma Edge Inc Org No: 2865066	Finalized	34.35	34.35	Fail	Fail

Bidders	Evaluation Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Recommendation	Final Decision

Evaluators	Status	Score (/100)	Score (%)	Decision
Undisclosed Comment: Services provided are all off-shore. Monday through Friday from 3:30am to 12:15pm eastern time.	Finalized	31.5	31.5	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	14.5	14.5	Fail
Undisclosed Comment: I would decide not to go with Pragma Edge for a few reasons. They haven't provided any references, no proof of their work in other districts, and the fact that they are primarily based in India does not make them an ideal company to work with at this time.	Finalized	31.5	31.5	Fail
Undisclosed Comment: The proposal lacked content related to state-side and in-person support, focused heavily on costs not included and project work out of scope as well as project change orders. The feeling with this proposal is substantial costs would increase due to needs of additional support required to ensure success of district in this new system.	Finalized	55	55	Fail
Undisclosed	Finalized	39.25	39.25	Fail

Price

Status Finalized

Weight 30 pts

Price Formula Standard Formula

Bidders	Submitted Price	Score (/30)
Brightly Software, Inc. Org No: 2450015	$186,010.68	24.68 (82.25%)
Concourse Tech Inc. Org No: 2742952	$153,000.00	30 (100%)
Follett Software, LLC Org No: 23887	$185,462.39	24.75 (82.5%)
OperationsHERO Org No: 3045012	$169,340.00	27.11 (90.35%)

Final Score

Status Finalized

Bidders	Final Score (/130)
Brightly Software, Inc. Org No: 2450015	113.47 pts 87.28%
Concourse Tech Inc. Org No: 2742952	120.5 pts 92.69%
Follett Software, LLC Org No: 23887	110.66 pts 85.12%
OperationsHERO Org No: 3045012	110.05 pts 84.65%