LAKE TAHOE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
RFQ/P #11 – LEASE-LEASEBACK CONSTRUCTION SERVICES
ADDENDUM #1
SIERRA HOUSE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND MEYERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MODERNIZATION PROJECTS

PROJECT: **RFQ/P #11 – SIERRA HOUSE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND MEYERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MODERNIZATION PROJECTS**

DATE: **July 9, 2026**

OWNER: **LAKE TAHOE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**
1021 AL TAHOE BLVD., SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA 96150

Notice is hereby given that the documents on the subject project are modified as hereinafter set forth. This Addendum forms a part of RFQ/P #11. Except as provided herein, all other portions of the RFQ/P remain unchanged.

RFQ/P Questions/Answers:

QUESTION #1: Is Builder's Risk to be included in the Required Insurance section of the fee proposal, or may it be deferred until GMP development? If included, would the District please share details necessary to obtain an accurate Builder's Risk quote? (existing buildings construction type, year built, roof type, sprinklered, square footage).
ANSWER: Builder's Risk may be deferred until GMP development.

QUESTION #2: For improved legibility, may we provide detailed schedule charts and a project list on 11"x17", folded down to 8.5"x11"?
ANSWER: Yes, this is acceptable.

QUESTION #3: Does either site have any swing space for the classroom modernizations? Has the District considered any plans for movement of staff and students as the modernizations progress, and if so, what are they?
ANSWER: There is no displacement anticipated at Meyers based on the intended scope of work. For Sierra House, existing on-site classrooms and modulars, including those to eventually be demolished, are intended to be used but final swing space plans will be determined in conjunction with the lease-leaseback contractor's phasing plan.

QUESTION #3: What scope, if any, will Veregy be completing at Sierra House and Meyers while these modernizations are being completed?
ANSWER: Veregy may be doing some lighting fixture replacements and solar panel work on the site. This work may require some coordination with the lease-leaseback contractor but is not anticipated to tangibly impact the lease-leaseback contractor's work or phasing.

QUESTION #5: May we exclude the Non-Collusion and Iran Contracting forms from the page count?
ANSWER: Yes, this is acceptable.