

ADDENDUM 2

Project: NMHS TA Beam Repairs

Date: July 7, 2026

Invitation for Bid No: 26-01-33

Instructions to Prospective Bidders:

This Addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents and modifies the original Bidding Documents and/or prior Addenda as noted below. All conditions, requirements, materials and workmanship are to be as described in the Contract Documents unless specifically stated otherwise. This Addendum consists of three (3) pages.

Questions and Answers:

Q1: As-builts of the existing structure would be helpful in providing accurate bids. Can they be provided?

A1: Yes, email Pam.Thoren@medford.k12.or.us for a link to the as-builts.

Q2 with answers included:

Clarification to Revised Bid Form regarding areas of work:

- Confirm Base Bid is strengthening (8) beams and associated cross bracing for Wood and Auto (including all MEP & Ceiling relocation)
 - Confirmed
- Confirm Alt #1 is strengthening (7) beams and associated cross bracing for Classrooms and Metal Shop. (including all MEP & Ceiling relocation).
 - “V” bracing is only required where beam strengthening occurs.
- Confirm Alt #2 is to remove and reinstall ground equipment only for Classrooms and Metal Shop. If Alt #2 is to include other equipment (IE – MEP and Ceiling) please clarify which area and what scope.
 - This alternate is limited to furniture, fixtures and equipment required to be removed from the spaces in order to access the beams for retrofit. The primary consideration is in the metal shop area. The removal and reinstallation of building infrastructure or MEP is the responsibility of the contractor and their determination of the appropriate means and

methods required to achieve the scope of work. The cost of this alternate will allow the project owner to determine whether it is more desirable to pay the contractor to remove and reinstall the FF&E or to allocate district maintenance resources to achieve this. There is a consideration for schedule and coordination that can be simplified if this effort is the responsibility of the contractor.

Q3: How will bids be evaluated (Base only vs. Base + Alternates)?

A3: Lump sum based bids will be evaluated as the primary scope of work. If MSD elects to pursue the alternate scopes of work, the bids will be evaluated as a total bid price (base + alternates).

Q4: Confirm that S101 notes do not apply and bidders should follow Revised Bid Form.

A4: Confirmed. Reference the bid form and ITB for clarification on scope of work for bidding purposes.

Q5: Confirm applicability of the 2nd paragraph and whether undamaged beams require strengthening.

A5: The 2nd paragraph is Drawing Sheet S002 under the "Long-Span Glulam Beam Repair and Strengthening" section. This reiterates number 4 above. Reference the bid form and ITB for clarification on scope of work for bidding purposes.

Q6: With screws installed from both sides of a 9" beam, is splitting a concern?

A6: Configuration as detailed is acceptable. Contractor's means and methods for installation of fasteners to ensure wood splitting does not happen. The design is as intended and the methods used to install the screws should be in a manner that they do not introduce risk of spitting (pre-drill diameter, etc). Ultimately, MSD wants the screws in the locations shown.

Q7: Turnbuckle Hardware (Detail 5/S701). Are jam/lock nuts required for Crosby turnbuckles?

A7: Yes, provide jam (lock) nuts at turnbuckles.

Q8: Epoxy / Delamination Repair (Detail 6/S701). Clarify epoxy type and application since referenced product applies to GIR systems.

A8: Epoxy is intended for non-structural repair only. We are looking for something better than traditional wood glues to repair cracks, holes, and gaps from delamination, but this is not a structural repair by itself. We are simply looking for a product to fill the voids

that is stronger than the wood itself and does not shrink. Below product meets that intent. The structural repair is the post-tensioning scheme.

Q9: Tension Bracket Location – Grid 2 (S201) - Can the tension bracket be moved farther off the end of Grid 2 toward center to avoid removing/reinstalling a large HVAC unit?

A9: Yes, shifting the end anchor to a maximum 6'-0" from the end of the beam is structurally acceptable.

Q10: Sheet S202 – Section 2. There is a large HVAC air handler directly under the tension bracket adjacent to grid 4. Can this bracket be moved more towards center as well? If so, how far is allowable?

A10: Yes, shifting the end anchor to a maximum of 6'-0" from the end of the beam is structurally acceptable.

Q11: My understanding is that the "X" bracing is only required on beams where post tensioning occurs. In the wood and auto shops we are tensioning all beams (minus A'). "X" bracing will be required for all beams from A' to D' between grid lines 3 & 4, and from A & D' between grid lines 1 & 2.

A11: Bracing is "V" bracing for the bottom of any post-tensioned beam, not "X" between beams. Plan sheet indicates where and in which directions braces are required.

Q12: Cable vs Rod "X" Bracing. Is there a method for cable "X" bracing in place of rods?

A12: No, a cable is not allowed.