SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDITOR SELECTION COMMITTEE MEETING

KC Wright Administration Building

Boardroom

Date Taken: May 7, 2026
Time: 12:15 p.m. - 1:30 p.m.

Court Reporter:

Emily Scott, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
Litigation Building
633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 202
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Ms. Phyllis Shaw, Vice Chair
Mr. Robert Mayersohn
Mr. Anthony DeMeo, CPA
Ms. Debra Hixon, School Board

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:

Mr. Mark Magli, Chief Auditor, Office of the Chief Auditor (OCA)
Ms. Nakia Gouldbourne, Manager, Internal Funds, OCA

DISTRICT STAFF:

Ms. Belinda DeFoor, Procurement & Logistic Operations
Ms. Lavinia Freeman, Minority-Women (MWBE) Specialist, Economic Development Opportunities & Compliance

INVITED GUEST(S):
Ms. Emily Scott, Court Reporter, Bass/United Reporting

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:

- - -

MS. HIXSON: I would like to call this meeting to order.

Roll call?

Debbi Hixson, Chair of the Selection Committee.

MR. DE MEO: Anthony De Meo, member.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Bob Mayersohn, member.

MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, member.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Nakia Gouldbourne, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MR. MAGLI: Mark Magli, Chief Auditor.

MS. DeFOOR: Belinda DeFoor, Purchasing Agent.

MR. DE MEO: You need a mic, though.

MS. DeFOOR: Belinda DeFoor, Purchasing Agent.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. If we could all please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Thank you. You may be seated.

First, we'll have approval of the agenda for May 7th, Auditor Selection Committee Meeting?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve.

MS. SHAW: Second.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: Moved and seconded, any -- we don't do discussion here, right?

Any comments? All those in favor, say "Aye."

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MS. HIXSON: Any opposed, say "no."

Motion carries.

Next is public speakers. Do we have any public speakers?

Yes, ma'am?

MS. SHAW: While we don't have a public speaker, I do want to take the privilege in saying thank you so much. It is public service recognition week. I want to say thank you so much to all of the public servants, especially all those who work in our school district for the job that they do -- tireless job that they do, and we really, truly appreciate you.

MS. HIXSON: Thank you so much.

Any other public speakers? No?

Okay. Great. Thank you.

Next we have approval of the minutes for the February 2nd, 2026, Auditor Selection Committee Meeting. Can I have a motion and second?

MS. SHAW: So moved.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So moved.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: Moved by Ms. Shaw, seconded by Mr. Mayersohn.

All those in favor, say "Aye."

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MS. HIXSON: Any opposed, say "no."

Any comments? I guess not.

Motion carries.

Next we have the status update and review of the FRP fiscal year 26-089 external independent financial auditing services second amendment, and I will turn that over to Mr. Magli.

MR. MAGLI: So thank you.

Obviously, we've been working at this for a while. You guys have been involved with this since last fall on a number of different levels. When I came on board, I got acclimated to this, and we were in the RFP draft review process, at which point a number of recommendations came forward which were provided to Mr. White and his team over in procurement --

Are we procurement or purchasing these days?

MS. DeFOOR: Procurement.

MR. MAGLI: Procurement. Okay.

And they went to work on those things. We have been in communication over the last couple

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

months intermittently but staying attuned to it. They have come together with this latest version, which we wanted to share with you and review some of the conditions that we're faced with from a timing perspective and get your input.

I'm assuming you had the opportunity to look through and see the changes that were made. They weren't one hundred percent exactly as -- as it was wanted to be, based on the frameworks, so certainly we can have Ms. DeFoor speak to some of those things if you have any questions, relative to the -- whatever differences or things you may have expected that didn't happen. But I think it does capture a lot of the framework.

It now becomes a timing issue for us to see where we are and, if you're satisfied with it, to proceed accordingly.

So I would open that up to any questions.

MS. HIXSON: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So legislatively, there have been some changes regarding -- I'll call it, diversity, equity, inclusion in school districts or any -- any -- I don't even know if school districts are under it, but I know that counties and cities are. I don't know if it extends to school

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

districts.

Has -- has there been any legal opinion regarding -- and I go back to the M/WBE -- regarding districts giving preference to that group?

MR. MAGLI: I would speak to that.

Some discussions have occurred. I don't know where the status is at on the legal opinion and where they are with that. I know it's been discussed a few times at board meetings. I would have to follow up on where they stand, though; but I know they have had some comment on that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, and I just look at the -- you know, the release of this RFP and offering -- you know, when I say discounts or opportunities to those groups, making sure that -- because the bill, I believe, has been signed by the Governor.

I don't know -- I don't know if the effective date is July 1st and this falls in, but I just want to make sure that the District is not having any challenges with the State on some of these issues.

MS. HIXSON: Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Yes, thank you.

More specifically, 4.3.4, has that been

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

revised and updated to incorporate what Mr. Mayersohn is talking about?

MS. HIXSON: And I was going to say, I know we had a discussion about that when we talked about this last time with that same concern. So I know there were some suggestions to change the wording on that.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah.

Also, do we have a red-line version from the last version so we could see the changes marked up, red-lined and so on.

MR. MAGLI: I didn't have that to provide. I had an original copy that I sent back with some suggestions, and then we had the last version that came forward so we could --

MR. DE MEO: Okay. That might be something we want to do for the next time we meet.

But anyways, the question stands: Supplier Diversity Outreach Program Participation, that whole section, has that been revised?

MS. DeFOOR: You said 4.3.4?

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, isn't that it?

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MS. DeFOOR: I have cost of services.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. SHAW: I have supplier diversity outreach program.

MS. DeFOOR: Oh, I see what it's doing. My apologies.

That was done according to the EDOC. They still stick with the 15 points for that particular item, and I tried to get some sort of a documentation as to why it had to be 15, but I was unable to obtain that.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm really speaking about the language and whether it complies with the recent legislation.

For example, it requires contractors to, you know, make certain reports and so on and to avow whether or not they've had any incident of discrimination, that type of thing; and it also talks about our SPBC Policy 3330, which I'm not sure has been revised or needs to be revised and how it impacts this document.

MS. DeFOOR: What you're seeing in the RFP is exactly what the EDOC gave me. If any laws have changed in the last few months, then I'm not sure if they have incorporated that into the language or not.

MS. SHAW: And they wouldn't, because it

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

doesn't take effect until 2027.

MS. FREEMAN: Good afternoon. Lavinia Freeman, I'm interim manager, economic development opportunities and compliance department.

I would like the question repeated, but as it relates to Senate Bill 1134, from my reading, it does not govern school districts, and so we are not directly impacted by that. That's an unofficial interpretation, but that was my reading of it.

As it relates to this solicitation, the goal-setting committee's determination to assign 15 points to -- 15 evaluation preference points for E/S/M/V/WBE subcontractor participation is authorized by the goal-setting committee, and it's data driven, and it's legally defensible under Policy 3330, based on the disparity study update that was approved by the School Board in January of 2024.

And so the recommendation from goal-setting was for 15 points, that is -- we have the opportunity to assign anywhere between 15 and 20 points, and 15 were approved, and that really is the most conservative option that we have, based on our policy.

MS. HIXSON: Does that answer everybody's

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

questions?

I know we also had a discussion Tuesday, if I'm not mistaken, and the general counsel said she was going to look into the concern and get back to us. So I know the general counsel is looking at it, but I -- we don't have an answer about it right now.

MR. DE MEO: This is a multiyear contract which would cover the effective date of January 1st, 2027. Many districts and organizations are -- and I know you said that it doesn't apply -- are adopting this now because it affects -- you know, it's soon to be in effect.

MS. SHAW: It's only local government, guys. I work for a local government, so I know it. The bill specifically says "local government," if you read SB 1134. It doesn't say anything about other -- other similar districts, just local government.

MS. HIXSON: Any other questions?

MR. MAGLI: So, folks, relative to the information that we provided them and they incorporated, are we satisfied that they accomplished what you were trying to do relative to content?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

Specifically, the -- the approach and the methodologies piece?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I think it -- I think it does.

My only -- and just I'm looking here and this is just my own personal. The May 18th mandatory conference, it says "in person." Is there a reason that somebody can't participate telephonically or electronically?

MS. SHAW: No, they shouldn't.

MS. HIXSON: Mr. Mayersohn, can you tell us the page number you're looking at?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Hm?

MS. HIXSON: The page number, can you tell us the page number?

MR. MAYERSOHN: It's page seven, section two. It says the calendar, mandatory disclosure conference in person, May 18th.

And then there is another one in person, June 29th.

There is a reason for it?

MS. DeFOOR: This was just something that John has started doing. He started taking out some of the Teams meetings, and on this one, he said to take it out since the request had been that it was

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

mandatory, so he wanted it to be mandatory for them to come in.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Because my only, again, concern with that is let's say there is somebody up in Jacksonville that wants to attend -- you know, that wants -- you know, submits a proposal or somebody outside the state of Florida that submits a proposal, they're going to have to pay the cost to come down here potentially getting the bid or not; whereas somebody who lives in Fort Lauderdale and submits it doesn't have to deal with that.

So are we creating a balancing field, or are we discriminating, basically saying that -- and I'm just saying, message being: If you live in the circumference of Broward, Dade or Palm Beach, it's easy enough to get here. If you're outside of that area, you know, we might be -- it might be perceived as we only want somebody local.

MS. HIXSON: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: First of all, if you choose to submit a bid, it is your choice, number one.

Number two, you need to be able to show up in the room to defend your bid, just like everyone else.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

Number three, this is going to be in Broward, so you're going to have either an office in Broward or be here to be able to do some of the audit.

And number four, the other side of being on the Teams is that you're going to be able to say "Well, I didn't hear some of the information because I was having this kind of issue or that kind of issue."

I believe everyone should be in the room. I don't care if you are in Chicago and you're bidding on this, you need to show up in the room for all of the information.

I don't think exception should be made because you're in Tallahassee and you don't want to drive the eight hours to be here. That's on you. Then your bid is no longer qualified.

MS. HIXSON: Anybody else?

MR. DE MEO: That's a good point.

I have another -- I want to go back to the section four. The Keen -- that Keen study is a disparity study that predates this new law. It may contain -- I'm not an attorney -- it may, I think it does, contain language that conflicts with the new law.

I -- I would recommend to the Chair that we

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

have legal counsel look at that whole section. I think we're going to have to get rid of that inconformity with the Keen -- I think you can't mention -- you shouldn't mention that, but let's let the attorneys weigh in on that and decide --

You know, there is ways to say the same thing that probably wouldn't offend anyone or -- or put us in jeopardy of not being in compliance with the law. So that's my recommendation.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Do we -- do we need a motion on that?

MR. MAGLI: Yes, if you don't mind.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Because he's asking if -- okay.

MR. MAGLI: If you would like to take an action.

MS. HIXSON: Yes.

So you're making a motion. Is there a second on that motion?

MR. MAYERSOHN: What's the motion?

MS. HIXSON: To take Section 3.- -- I'm sorry, 4.3.4, and ask legal counsel to give an opinion based on the Senate Bill 1134.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I'll second.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Any discussion?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. SHAW: Senate Bill 1134 -- Senate Bill 1134 specifically says local government. There is no reference to school board.

There is a separate bill that touches on colleges and universities. It has not touched on school districts, school boards, whatever you want to call it, and it is very specific in the language as to local government. Nothing in it that references schools, school district, board of education.

But as I said, there is a separate language that affects colleges and universities for -- under the DEI initiative. 1134 doesn't, and there has not been any new CS/SB that is addressing this, at least not for this -- because SB 1134 is going into effect January 2027. This -- it does nothing to this.

I mean, we -- we can have the attorneys look at it and hold this up, or based on -- I don't want to say common sense, move this forward. But it doesn't affect this. We all can read SB 1134.

MS. HIXSON: You wanted to ask?

MR. MAGLI: I just wanted to ask, as a part of your process, did you send this to legal for review previously, or is that something that did not

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

occur?

MS. DeFOOR: It is not part of our process to send the RFPs and the ITBs to legal for review.

MR. DE MEO: I'll just say that there are other interpretations that say it does apply. There is a corollary bill -- I don't know its number -- board of education that piggybacks on it, and I don't think this committee -- it's appropriate for us to make that -- that call. I think we need legal counsel to do that for us.

MS. HIXSON: And, Ms. Freeman, I would just ask you, because you said your interpretation, so can you just maybe explain that to us a little better and if you had sought any of the legal counsel's opinion?

MS. FREEMAN: So I'm not an attorney, but I did review it and I agree with Ms. Shaw and what her understanding is. I share the same understanding: It's clear in the legislation that it is directed to counties and cities. It does not speak to local school districts at all.

And I know the Board has requested general counsel for an opinion on that, and so we do await the opinion that is to come.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Thank you.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

So, Mr. Magli, I would ask -- so -- because you were going to talk about a timeline, so if we were to wait on this, how would that affect having to move this forward?

MR. MAGLI: So while we -- while I was working with Procurement to follow up on this item, we were talking about the different options and relative to some of the difficulties that occurred last year, some of that stuff with transferring and such.

The issue was -- and Mrs. DeFoor can speak to what we spoke briefly about it, is, the option is, of course, to proceed. There's no telling, you know, where -- we're stuck with legal. They've laid out sort of a framework timewise, dates, on what the projected thing is, if, in fact, you guys were to approve it today.

The issue was that, if not, there was potentially another option, which was that the term of the contract, as it exists, goes through November 30th. And Ms. DeFoor could probably speak to the other option that we wanted to present, also, in the event that we elect -- you elect to -- not "we" -- if that's a choice that you would want to make.

But I'll let her present that to you.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. DeFOOR: You'll have to refresh my memory. I have like --

MR. MAGLI: So it was a matter of being able to extend and use the same firm, potentially, to do this year's engagement, to align us with the timeline and not be delayed, as it was last year and we were really rushing and cramping and changing and again that's not necessarily overall scenario, but --

MS. DeFOOR: That was the 180 extra days?

MR. MAGLI: Correct. You had mentioned that potentially an option would be, if we did not, we would still proceed with releasing this, but -- and I would like to defer to you to say what we are eligible to do relative to that.

MS. DeFOOR: Okay. This particular RFP does have a clause in it that says we can do six more months in a -- if there is an issue with the contract or getting passed in time.

So I had mentioned that to Mark, that that could be an option: Extend it for the six months, and then we have even extra time to process this.

MS. HIXSON: Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: And then I have a question as it relates to once we put it out on the floor, there

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

will be opportunities. Let's say something comes through the loop that says, "Absolutely, it's not -- it's not counties and local government. It includes school district" -- which it's not -- we have an opportunity that we can amend it and send the information to all of the potential bidders and put it out there; is that in the policy or no?

MS. DeFOOR: We can amend certain sections, if we can amend it, but we have to do it before the bid opening.

MS. HIXSON: Can you just explain that a little bit, what does that mean?

MS. DeFOOR: The bid opening?

MS. HIXSON: Yes.

MS. DeFOOR: A bid opening is the due date of the bids. It's when all of the vendors turn in their submissions.

MS. HIXSON: Which, in this instance, is?

MR. MAYERSOHN: June 8th.

MS. HIXSON: June 8th.

MS. DeFOOR: Yes, June 8th.

MR. MAYERSOHN: June 8th is the bid opening.

MS. DeFOOR: Now, these dates can be changed. It's just something I went off of trying to get it published by May 11th. So any of these dates can

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

be tweaked, as long as there is 30 days that it's advertised.

MS. HIXSON: Any thoughts on that?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So how quickly can legal opine on the question? That's the question.

MS. HIXSON: That's a great question.

Like we mentioned, there are -- it's already in the queue, because we did ask for them to do that --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. HIXSON: -- when we were discussing something else on Tuesday. It escapes me what we were talking about.

So it could be a short -- I wouldn't imagine it's a long period of time, because it was already something that the Board asked for.

MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. I mean, I guess -- I guess the question would be if, let's say, the RFP is released May 11th, for argument's sake-wise, and legal counsel chimes in and says, June 1st, prior to the -- prior to the bid opening: Yeah, this is okay. It's not an issue, where -- you know, the District is, I'll call it, insulated by this new law and the law doesn't take effect until July -- or January 1st, 2027, so it's not even

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

current law.

I would imagine that there is no -- there is no problem doing that. It just may be -- may be future funds?

I mean, I don't -- I don't know the legality of it, but I would probably venture to say there is no harm in sending it out, as long as, by June 7th, we have some sort of information from legal counsel, because then you can withdraw it or change it or amend it, correct? Or maybe a week before, June -- you know what I'm saying?

MS. DeFOOR: That's correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It gives time to amend whatever may be there.

MR. MAGLI: Relative to that, and one of the discussions that came up earlier as to whether they have to be present or not, you know, for a prebid meeting, a pre-proposal meeting, you know, that would effect, potentially, somebody that would be able to come or not come based on some of those conditions or make a determination based on that.

If that was what the committee had decided to do, then I would say go forward with it; but, you know, those conditions do come into play at that point, I think.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

I just wanted to make note of that.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So you're saying for the committee to make the decision whether we want to be present or not, I mean, whether they can --

MR. MAGLI: My only concern would be that if you were saying that you would --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Ms. Shaw was totally against that.

MR. MAGLI: Yeah, if you -- well, but if you were to release it, let's say --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MR. MAGLI: -- okay, and then it goes to legal and then they change the parameters, the 18th would have already expired. They may have been here already taking on the -- whatever -- incurred the expense and time --

MR. MAYERSOHN: That will get you through to May, right.

MR. MAGLI: -- with the idea that we can go back and change it right away on them again. I mean, I'm just saying, as a consideration for that, you know, certainly we could push it back.

I just wanted to bring that up, that that was something that could occur.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I mean, I -- I certainly

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

respect Ms. Shaw's opinion; however, my opinion is, is that, are we discriminating against somebody who may say, "Hey, if I win this bid, maybe then I'll open up an office in Broward County or put somebody down there full time or ten people"?

You know, I mean, companies do that all along, you know, hey, where we'd like to get the bid, but we're not going to make that investment, especially in today's time, to make an investment to have an office down here, unless they have so much business.

So I think there may be -- I think we are limiting ourselves in -- and, again, it's a small field of auditors that are out there that do this work, and I think we may be limiting ourselves. Now, again, they may be able to -- want to invest and afford to send somebody down for a conference.

The last bid went out -- that went out, was it a requirement that they be here in person?

MS. DeFOOR: It was not.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It was not. And now we're changing it?

MS. SHAW: I thought it was COVID.

MS. DeFOOR: The Board, everybody, actually agreed to make it mandatory.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: Yeah, I remember having a discussion about this, and we had said we wanted them to be able to come in person.

Ms. Shaw?

MS. SHAW: Belinda, through the Chair, would one week affect the -- the procurement process? So instead of -- instead of May 11th, May 18th, and then, of course, which would push everything forward the seven days, barring any holidays, et cetera, would that one week affect how we negotiate the contract, procure it and procurement?

Because then, that would be the recommendation, that's what I would recommend, is that we push it back the one week to May 11th, because -- since it's on a Monday; I don't know why. And that will allow us time, hopefully, since the information is already through the loop asking the attorney, you know, if it makes everybody feel better. And so at least, by that time, we'll have the information.

We can urge them in the next couple days to kind of opine on this, and this way, May 18th is on the floor. But keep in mind that, as long as it's not going to affect the contract negotiation and us going into -- I know there is a six months

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

additional time in that's not going to affect anything, because the Board goes on break at a certain period, and then we may have to -- we may miss that window. I'm not sure.

MS. DeFOOR: One week is not going to hold us up that much. It really isn't.

If you were talking another month, then I would be worried.

MS. SHAW: So, then, that's what I would suggest. Since the question is already in to the attorney, I would suggest -- because Monday is the 11th; next Monday is the 11th, anyway -- suggest that we push it back, the dates, back one week. That will allow us time for the attorney to provide us some information. No harm, no foul anywhere, regardless, and if we need to make the changes, then it still goes on the floor on May 18th.

MS. HIXSON: Any discussion on that? Does everybody agree?

Well, we -- so we still have a motion on the floor, so I think we have to finish that motion, and then you can make a motion to change it per week.

So any other discussion about getting legal opinion based on the Senate Bill 1134?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

All those in favor, say "Aye."

MR. DE MEO: Aye.

MS. HIXSON: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Aye.

MS. HIXSON: Any opposed, say "No."

MS. SHAW: No.

MS. HIXSON: One dissenting vote.

Okay. Ms. Shaw, would you like to make a motion?

MS. SHAW: So I move that we -- based on Ms. DeFoor's --

It's DeFoor, right?

MS. DeFOOR: DeFoor.

MS. SHAW: -- acknowledgment that one week will not impact the document going out on the floor, that we postpone it, give it one week, moving it from May 11 to May 18th, allowing us time for the attorney's -- attorney feedback.

MS. HIXSON: Is there a second?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'll second it for discussion purposes.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Second. Discussion?

Yes?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So my only -- my only -- my only concern with pushing it back one week is, if

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

the attorney doesn't get back or have an opinion or bring something forward in a week, you know, by May 18th, we're in the same place. So I don't see what that necessarily accomplishes if we don't have a timeframe from the attorney, as it seems that the attorney sometimes is on their own timeline.

So I guess -- I guess, working backwards, when does this -- when, optimally, will this have to go to the school board for a vote?

So in other words, if you're going back and saying, you know, "We need to have this voted on and approved by August 1st," then what -- then let's go back, figuring out the timeline of how we get there.

MS. DeFOOR: Right now we have the posting of the award recommendation on July 2nd.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. DeFOOR: It takes about two to three weeks to do the agreements and send them to legal, and after they hit legal, there's no timeline.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No timeline.

MS. DeFOOR: Yes.

MS. HIXSON: Did you want to weigh in?

MR. MAGLI: No. I would just say that, conservatively, Ms. Dupuy-Bruno and her team are

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

reassembling and doing a lot of really good things, but we can't really count on that exactly with the volume of things that they are dealing with at the moment.

So to your point, I respect that as something that has to be considered in this situation, which is -- which is why I brought up the other alternative.

Ideally, based on your close and so forth, you want it as close to July 1 as possible, and that's what they work to kind of accommodate, so -- but I believe last year, when you finally resolved that issue, it was into September before they started.

So, you know, a month makes a big difference that way, so certainly, whatever -- but we don't want to be -- you know, rush the process with the idea that there is some things that you are not certain about or are concerned about.

So I don't know if -- you know, in considering -- making --

The motion that you made was to send it to legal, but, you know, relative to the timeframe of what they do. I'm sure they'll do their best to get it done, but, you know, to your point, that's -- that's a consideration.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, my -- just getting back again and looking at this timeline, if we're going to stick with in person -- having your in person meeting at -- what is it -- the first meeting, which, again, you release it the 11th, so you've got -- if you're coming from, you know, further away, you have got to come down here in a week to make travel arrangements and whatever, I would -- I would at least maybe push that up or back. And so instead of the 18th, maybe do that, you know, the day after or whatever, Memorial Day, you know, the 24th, Friday.

When is the 18th? Is that Monday? Tuesday?

MS. DeFOOR: It's on a Monday.

MS. HIXSON: It's on Monday.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Monday.

MS. DeFOOR: It's a week from this coming Monday.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So the 25th would be -- whatever Memorial Day is, so that wouldn't make sense.

I'm just thinking, you know, again, somebody is going to make travel arrangements. I mean, the thing comes out on the 11th. They get it. They look at it, and all of a sudden they're like "Oh,

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

we thought we had to, you know, do it online and now we have to come down here."

That's why I said, if this is a new process, maybe that timeline takes a little while to sink into somebody.

And, again, I understand it's the bidder's obligation to read thoroughly through an RFP and understand all the parameters, because I have seen it a lot of times where somebody says, "Yeah, I won the bid. Oh, I have to deliver it on Tuesday? I'll have to withdraw because I can't meet those deadlines."

So has this been done before the requirement, mandatory?

MS. DeFOOR: We have had a few in the past. I haven't, myself, done one; but I know that Chuck High did some.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Has there been any concerns from any of the vendors that have called up and said, "Well, I can't make it. Can we do it online?" And the response is no.

MS. DeFOOR: That was way before me, so I can't answer that question. I'm sorry.

MS. HIXSON: I have a question before we -- I address that.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

Are there any other -- besides this one piece that may or may not be an issue, because, you know, we're not sure, was there anything else in the RFP, Q -- I always get the letters mixed up -- that you all had concerns on? Because that will make a difference.

If it's just this that we're stuck on, then, as was mentioned, if there -- it does turn out that this is an issue, we can make an amendment; but if there is other things, it might make sense to change the --

MR. MAYERSOHN: And my only -- like I said, my only concern is that putting it -- this in place to require a mandatory that you have to be in person, giving a week's time once the RFP is released and saying a week later you have to come or you're disqualified, correct? So if you don't make it, you're disqualified from the bid?

MS. DeFOOR: That's correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So putting a new system in place, I don't think a week or at least to remind people or -- you know, again to spoonfeed them, to say, "Hey, we obviously see that you picked up the RFP. Whether or not you want to bid on it, we want to remind you" -- or even when you send it out --

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

"reminder that these dates are now required that you be in person, as previously you were able to come to a mandatory bidder's meeting online." That's my only -- I mean, I'm -- if the will of this group is to have it in person, I'm okay with it; but I just want to make sure that we are alerting vendors of the change some way, where, when you send it out through, what, DemandStar?

MS. DeFOOR: Yes, yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: That there is a process somewhere where, you know, bells and whistles go off to say, "We made a change into our normal procedures. You have to be here."

Because there may be some people locally that just, "Oh, yeah, I've done this three years ago, and, you know, I'll just submit it." So that's . . .

MS. HIXSON: Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Yeah. To answer your question about comments other than the required meeting date, Mr. Magli, could you summarize or point to or maybe Ms. DeFoor, the changes from our last meeting that -- now, I think section four, except for the vendor, that we've talked about section four with regard to the -- the -- what do you call that, the

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

selection? What was it? Oh, supplier diversity.

So excluding that and the rest of section four, which we've already talked about and I think looks okay, were there any other changes?

MR. MAGLI: Yes, sir.

MS. DeFOOR: Yes. Yes, there were.

MR. MAGLI: So I would like to defer to Ms. DeFoor, because she did put the final draft together, but in seeing that there were -- there were some sections relative to the points -- let me just find the exact sections so I'm not speaking out of turn.

Relative -- I think it's 4.3.2, relative to the scope and services and performance and specifications and relative to the approach and methodology, the -- the points allocation and some of the criteria that were established through this committee were incorporated. So those things definitely changed.

Ms. DeFoor could speak specifically, I think, to those things, to your point about the red-line.

But those are the areas where it was reallocated, because initially, again, the proposal was to reduce by five points the section on M/WBE and so forth, and that was not approved, and I

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

think Ms. Freeman spoke to that.

So the last things that were done were to incorporate those specific line items, and it pretty much replicates kind of, sort of, the suggestion you provided and aligns that way, verbiage and all, so -- and it was combined with that scope section, so that the points in that area that you felt were most important to the approach and the methodologies and such would be captured within that.

MR. DE MEO: So item E under 5.1, I think we decided to go up five points on that last time, is what you're saying, right? The committee said 15 percent, instead of 10.

MS. HIXSON: I think we wanted to go down --

MR. DE MEO: We wanted to go down.

MS. HIXSON: -- so that we could add those points to something -- to the audit approach.

MR. DE MEO: So do we -- do we want to discuss why we went up five points or --

MS. HIXSON: Well, I think, Ms. Freeman, but if you could speak to that again, why is it that we were not allowed to reduce our -- our Supplier Diversity Outreach Program participation from 15 points to 10?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. FREEMAN: The -- in Policy 3330, the evaluation preference point spread is from 15 points to 20 points. And so 15 is the minimum that Policy 3330 allows to be allocated towards scoring of bids.

MR. DE MEO: Thank you.

Any other changes outside of section four and five that we haven't seen yet?

MS. DeFOOR: On page -- on page 17, under "Audit Approach," there were some suggestions to change a few of those items and the definition to them, so I changed quite a few there.

And then, on page 21, where the point system is, we added A and B together and made them 30 points; and then, that way, we could give the audit approach more points.

MR. DE MEO: So A -- there was an A --

A was two separate items?

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MS. DeFOOR: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, and what were they again? I don't have that.

MS. DeFOOR: Proposers, experience and qualifications was A, and B was the scope of work.

MS. HIXSON: And that's worth 30 points.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. SHAW: Actually, that was your request.

MR. DE MEO: And as we talked about the scope of work, some of that is pass or fail; you're either -- you're either qualified or you're not.

MS. DeFOOR: Yes. That was also changed on the chart.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. Any other changes, sections one through three, six and seven?

MS. DeFOOR: Just minor grammatical, just minor grammatical changes.

MR. DE MEO: Was there a typographical that we changed on the -- some number that we have, some number in there that was -- I think it looks like you took it out.

Okay. And the reason for putting those two sections together on the points?

MS. DeFOOR: Do you want the real reason, or do you want my version?

MR. DE MEO: Whatever.

MS. DeFOOR: Because I was told to.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. All right.

MS. HIXSON: I think, as she mentioned, it was a way to give them a bigger value -- because that's where we were trying to add, I think, the five points from the diversity. So she just mentioned,

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

by putting them together, you could give it a bigger number.

Mr. Mayersohn?

MS. SHAW: The numbers didn't seem that small when they were separated.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I just noticed that on page seven where it says, you know, the May 18th, in person, and it says "See Section 3.3," and when you go to page nine, on 3.3, it says: Mandatory proposer's conference, date and time, representative will be required to attend in person or via Microsoft Teams.

MS. DeFOOR: That was a mistake on my part.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, so I'm just -- I'm saying that that has to then be changed. If we're -- if we're having it in person, then we can't say refer to 3.3, which says Microsoft Teams.

MS. DeFOOR: Correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I also noticed in the previous -- in the 20- -- in what was it, 2011 bid, there was no mandatory conference. It was just, you know, submit your written questions.

So what -- what's the purpose for the mandatory -- I mean, I don't disagree with it; but is that a procedural change that you're doing now

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

from procurement?

MS. DeFOOR: No. That was voted on by the Board --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

MS. DeFOOR: -- and I changed it because that's what they wanted, what all you guys wanted.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Got you.

So you're in compliance.

MS. DeFOOR: Yes, I'm in compliance.

MS. HIXSON: So that means on page -- well, let's finish the motion about changing the time, because then we'll need a motion, I think, to change that section.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. HIXSON: Yeah. So my --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Go ahead. Go ahead.

I mean, as I said, I understand -- I don't think a week is going to make a difference, in my opinion. I think the sooner we get this in -- you know, and, again, you can or we can nudge the general counsel to look at it and review it, saying: There are timelines and deadlines that need to be met; can you just opine on it?

MS. HIXSON: Yeah, and I sent her a text, so --

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Is -- to me, that's probably more so than -- even the sense of delaying it a week, you know, by the time it's reviewed again by legal counsel in its final format, by the time you take to -- you know, there could be a hiccup in negotiating contract somewhere, you know, all these things. I'd rather get it out sooner, than later.

So I'm okay with pushing it out on the 11th. My -- like I said, my only thing is the time -- time of the -- giving somebody a week where the format and information has changed.

MS. HIXSON: That -- and that was going to be my suggestion, just based on hearing what you had to say. Maybe the week makes sense, but not on the May 11th date, on the May 18th date --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. HIXSON: -- so they'd have two weeks to come in person --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. HIXSON: -- and then adjust accordingly the other dates --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. HIXSON: -- and still let it go out because you still have time, especially if it was

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

pushed back a week from May 18th, that if there was a thing that came back that said, "Yes, you need to change that," we could make those adjustments, right, before people came to the meeting.

So I guess I want to make an amendment to the motion and move the dates back one week, starting from the May 18th date.

Is there a second?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So what's -- what's the motion?

MS. HIXSON: So it's still her motion, but the motion to -- excuse me -- move the dates back a week, but not starting May 11th, starting May 18th. So it'll still go out on the 11th, but instead of having that mandatory meeting a week later, you'd have that meeting two weeks later.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Does that conflict with Memorial Day on the 25th?

MS. HIXSON: Well, it could be --

MR. MAGLI: So we would have to do it on the 26th or 27th?

MS. HIXSON: We would have to look at -- well, when could you opine on the date maybe?

Or maybe instead of Monday, make it Friday of that week or Thursday --

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I'm -- I'm okay with that.

MS. HIXSON: -- since that's Memorial Day weekend, just to give them a little more time.

MS. SHAW: I'll accept the friendly amendment.

MS. HIXSON: Let's pick a -- so let's be date certain.

So instead of the 18th, what date do you think would . . .

MS. DeFOOR: Which day is Memorial Day?

MS. HIXSON: The 25th.

MS. SHAW: So the following Monday, after the 18th.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So either Friday the 24th or whatever the -- the 23rd . . .

MS. HIXSON: Friday is the 22nd or Tuesday, the 26th.

MS. DeFOOR: I would recommend -- with the holiday, I would recommend the 27th or the 28th.

MS. SHAW: I would just -- I would do the 28th .

MS. HIXSON: Okay. So we're going to change May 18th to May 28th, and then how will that affect the other dates?

MS. DeFOOR: Okay.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: Because you have May 20th, so -- for the written questions.

MR. MAYERSOHN: You can have written questions before the mandatory meeting.

MS. DeFOOR: No. The written questions are after.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

MS. HIXSON: So it was two days, so if you did that --

MS. DeFOOR: Typically two days.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. So if you do --

MS. DeFOOR: So June 1st.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. June 1st.

And then the June 8th date, does that stay or is there a mandatory time that you have to --

MS. DeFOOR: We could actually move that out another week. We'd have to have it posted for 30 days.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. So posted from -- because we're not changing the May 11th posting date . . .

MS. DeFOOR: Then we can move it one week, then.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. So instead of June 8th, it would be June 15th.

MS. DeFOOR: 15th.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: And then, would you still keep it June 29th?

MS. SHAW: No, you'll need to push that back a week, give you enough time.

MS. DeFOOR: Yeah, push that back, also.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. So then that's July 6th.

MS. DeFOOR: Yes.

MS. HIXSON: And then posting would be --

MS. DeFOOR: Two days later.

MS. HIXSON: -- July 8th.

Okay. So the motion is to move the dates on page seven. May 11th stays the same. Then it would be -- the mandatory conference would be May 28th, written questions June 1st, proposals are due electronically June 15th. Evaluation committee reviews proposals, so forth, July 6th, and posting July 8th.

All those in favor, say "Aye."

MR. DE MEO: Aye.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Aye.

MS. SHAW: One second. One second.

I don't want it to be on page seven, only because there are other dates in and around the entire document.

MS. HIXSON: Okay.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. SHAW: So take -- if we can take out page seven.

MS. HIXSON: Oh, sure. Okay.

So the motion to change the -- the due dates to what was read, not on page seven and wherever else it is in the document.

MS. SHAW: Throughout the document, yes.

MS. HIXSON: All those in favor, say Aye?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MS. HIXSON: Any opposed, say "No."

Motion carries.

Okay. Then there was --

MS. SHAW: I do have a comment, though, and a question.

Why doesn't the attorney review the -- review the ITB or the RFP/RFQ.

MS. DeFOOR: I only know of one instance where an attorney went over an ITB before it was published, and that was due to a protest.

MS. SHAW: Okay.

MS. DeFOOR: They actually gave us the template many years ago. So they're reviewing the templates as we speak, so hopefully we'll have a new one soon.

MS. SHAW: And one the reasons I'm also asking

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

is that, first of all, we've had several body changes and -- things change, people make mistakes, and so in those data entry, you know, unless some of the information was hard coded, there are opportunities for people to make changes or -- accidentally. So I really think they really should look at it, number one.

Number two, I don't -- could you have someone go through and remove everything of CAFR, C-A-F-R, because it is still in here.

MS. DeFOOR: What page?

MS. SHAW: Well, I see it on page -- now I can't find the page. It was --

Yeah, I saw it in several places, but just have someone do a search and just remove CAFR, because it's still on --

Right now it's on page 13, and there was another page that it was on. So a find search should be able to find them and replace them.

So there are two on page 13, but there are others in there. So a quick search should be able to remove all of them.

MS. DeFOOR: I can do that. That's not a problem.

MS. SHAW: Okay.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: Do you think we need a motion for that?

MS. SHAW: No, because that's a --

MS. HIXSON: Okay. That's just a --

MS. SHAW: -- audit requirement.

MS. HIXSON: Okay.

MS. DeFOOR: I didn't realize it was scattered through the rest of the document, either.

MS. HIXSON: Mr. Mayersohn, did you want to make a motion on page nine about the -- the mandatory proposer's conferences?

MR. MAYERSOHN: So I'd like -- I'd like to make a motion to have 3.3 reflect the in -- on page seven or Section 2.0 of the calendar, that it reflects that it's an in person only proposer's -- mandatory proposer's conference.

MS. HIXSON: Do I have a second?

MS. SHAW: I'll second it, and then a friendly amendment: Plus any other places in the entire document.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I don't think that's friendly.

MS. HIXSON: I think that's the only place it talks about it.

MS. SHAW: I know, but just in case.

MS. HIXSON: Yes, well, yeah, anywhere where

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

it says that there is a virtual option should be removed, because there is a whole -- not just that one little line, that whole section there goes into about Teams and keeping your camera on and all of that so --

MS. SHAW: Right, exactly.

MS. HIXSON: Any -- all those in favor of the motion say "Aye."

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MS. HIXSON: Any opposed, say "No."

The motion carries.

MR. MAGLI: Madam Chair, can I just make a comment?

MS. HIXSON: Yes.

MR. MAGLI: As I look back through the document and back to the former draft, I just wanted to make sure that you understood that there was, in fact, an amendment to the cost points to pull that five that they couldn't get from the other section. So there was a change based on the pointing -- point system from cost. So it was 20, and they took the five from it to put it -- so they could get the 40 points that you had asked for in the approach.

Just to make a point of it. I don't know that

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

that influences you in any way, but I wanted to throw your attention to it.

MS. HIXSON: Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, on page 21, there is a little table in the middle of the page, just before 5.2, and it refers to RFP 26-007.

What is that?

MS. SHAW: It's the old RFP. This one is 089.

MR. DE MEO: This one is 089, right?

MS. SHAW: Yeah.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah.

MS. DeFOOR: Where is that at?

MR. DE MEO: Page 21.

MS. SHAW: Below your total.

MR. DE MEO: And there is a box that says "The SDOP Evaluation Points Table."

MS. DeFOOR: Okay. That was -- that came from the EDOC, and we're told to just copy it straight over, and I didn't notice it.

MR. DE MEO: So that RFP, is that the correct RFP number?

MS. DeFOOR: No, it's not. It's not. I can change it.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. And then, while we're there, I don't understand the table. What does

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

that mean, all that?

What does the left portion mean? Percentage of total contract value, and then it says points; what does that mean?

MS. FREEMAN: It means that, for the subcontractor's participation percentage, if it's from zero to three percent, they get zero points in the evaluation process.

MR. DE MEO: I see.

MS. FREEMAN: If they commit four to six percent, they'll get five points.

MR. DE MEO: Is that based on work or dollars?

MS. FREEMAN: That's based on the participation percentage of the contract that they do.

MR. DE MEO: So it sounds like dollars, then.

MS. FREEMAN: Yes. It would be -- yes, the dollars.

MS. SHAW: It ends up being equated to dollars.

MS. FREEMAN: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: Thank you.

MS. FREEMAN: You're welcome.

MS. HIXSON: Since there has to be a change there, do we need a motion to change that one?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

Scrivener's error.

MS. SHAW: Mr. De Meo, you want to move and I'll second?

MS. HIXSON: I'll make a motion to change the --

MS. SHAW: You should let us make the motion, since you're chair.

MS. HIXSON: Yeah, go ahead. Mr. De Meo, would you like to make a motion, since you pointed it out?

MR. DE MEO: Not really, but I will.

MS. HIXSON: Okay.

MR. DE MEO: Page 21 in the SDOP evaluation points table, please update the reference to the RFP to the correct number.

MS. SHAW: I'll second.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Wait, and I'll make a friendly amendment: Anywhere it's referenced that way in the document.

MS. SHAW: I was going to say that, yes.

MR. DE MEO: Okay.

MS. HIXSON: Any other discussion?

All those in favor of the motion, say "Aye."

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MS. HIXSON: Any opposed, say "No."

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

Motion passes.

MS. FREEMAN: Madam Chair, may I just make a slight correction?

So as it relates to the percentages, if there is a change, if there happens to be a change to the contract value, then the percentages that the subcontractors are awarded within the solicitation, that would change, as well.

So it's -- it's really not specific to the contract value, because if the percentage changes up or down, then that affects the percentage.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Who is the eval- -- are we the evaluation committee that evaluates the RFP?

MS. SHAW: No. That's on page --

MR. MAYERSOHN: That's procurement?

MS. SHAW: I saw that.

MS. DeFOOR: Typically -- this is different, because you guys have an actual group that goes over this; but typically, I get four to six names from the director of that area, which would probably be Mark, and then they do the judging and the scoring.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is --

MS. DeFOOR: But you have your own committee,

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

so I'm not a hundred percent sure.

MR. MAYERSOHN: That's why I'm wondering whether or not part of the statute that requires --

MS. SHAW: It is.

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- an audit selection committee, whether or not they, A, can be used as an evaluation committee or they're prohibited from evaluating or there is no -- nothing that requires or doesn't require?

MS. DeFOOR: I'm -- I'm uncertain. I don't know.

MR. MAYERSOHN: You don't know?

MS. DeFOOR: No.

MS. SHAW: Right. I think it's part of the same process that we're going through in terms of the evaluation. She can look up the statute and talk to . . .

MS. HIXSON: Are you looking up the statute?

He's trying to look up the statute.

MR. MAYERSOHN: He's looking up the statute?

MS. HIXSON: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean I know it says that the -- at least what I remember was that the audit selection committee can then become an auditing committee.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. SHAW: Right.

MR. MAYERSOHN: But, again, that may only apply to municipalities and counties.

MS. SHAW: No, it applies to school boards specifically.

MS. HIXSON: Are there any other --

While he is looking that up, any other discussion or changes in the RFP?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm good. We have until what date to change anything? June?

MS. HIXSON: Yeah, we have a little more time, so I'm just going to go over the changes that we made so far.

MS. SHAW: I'll say this, if the rule is that dictates who the evaluation committee should be, then that needs to be updated in here. Because here, it kind of -- kind of lists who should be the evaluation committee.

MS. HIXSON: Okay.

MS. SHAW: That would need to be updated in here.

And I know it's in here. I don't know what page, but -- because I know one of them was a capital -- was the capital -- I guess this is used for everybody across the board, so that would need

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

to be updated, as well.

MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair?

MS. HIXSON: Yes, I'm sorry. Mr. De Meo?

MR. DE MEO: I'm looking at Florida Statute 218.391, "Auditor selection procedures," Section (3) -- let's see, Section (3)(d): Evaluate proposals provided by qualified firms, compensate -- and so on and so forth.

So it provides statutorily that the auditor selection committee shall evaluate.

MS. SHAW: Right.

MR. MAGLI: It was my understanding that that was the case.

MS. HIXSON: Yeah.

MR. MAGLI: There is -- there is a consideration through our procurement policy that a school board member would not be a part of a selection committee, so that's where we're kind of not a hundred percent -- we'll have to get that ironed out and get that information --

Bless you.

MS. HIXSON: Excuse me.

I actually had that question when they tasked me with being the chair, because, you know, you're part of the selection committee, regardless; but

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

there is also -- part of that statute says the chair of the school board has to be the chair of the audit committee -- the selection committee, so . . .

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, it just -- it just says that one of the members of the audit selection committee must be a member of the governing board.

MR. DE MEO: Right, doesn't say that --

MR. MAYERSOHN: It doesn't say you have to be --

MS. HIXSON: I guess, in practice, it's traditionally been the chair. That's how I landed here.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, no.

MS. HIXSON: So --

MR. MAYERSOHN: So you can stay on forever.

MS. HIXSON: All right.

MR. MAGLI: And to that end, it was decided by the body that --

MS. HIXSON: I would continue.

MR. MAGLI: -- she would stay based on familiarity on what had been done and her involvement prior to this and working it through, I guess, to the end was the consideration.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Yes, ma'am.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. FREEMAN: Not to overstep, because this isn't my role in procurement, but I noticed -- just making an observation that the timeline that was originally presented would have the evaluation process to occur in this fiscal year, as opposed to next fiscal year when a lot of the changes -- you know, the district changes that have taken place would be in effect. So the evaluation committee will meet in the new fiscal year, you know, once the changes are in. Just an observation.

MS. HIXSON: Does that make a difference?

MS. DeFOOR: I'm not sure if it will make that big a difference, because -- you said it was effective July 1 or someone did?

MS. HIXSON: So it would -- well, on the timeline that's on here, it would be June 29th; but on the timeline that we just changed it would be July 6th --

MS. DeFOOR: Correct.

MS. HIXSON: -- which is the new -- because the fiscal year changes July 1.

MS. SHAW: July 1st, right.

MS. DeFOOR: And so the question is can we do that? Yes.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. It doesn't make a

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

difference.

MS. DeFOOR: It doesn't make a difference.

MS. HIXSON: All right. Perfect.

Okay. So here is what I have for motions and changes: To ask legal to weigh in on Section 4.3.4 based on Senate Bill 1134.

Then there was a motion to change the dates. So May 11th will stay the same, but then it will be May 28th for the mandatory conference, June 1st for the written questions, June 15th for proposals to be due electronically, July 6th for the evaluation committee review, and then finally July 8th for the posting of recommendations.

The other motion that passed was on page nine and throughout the document, which was on page 9, 3.3, to remove the Microsoft Teams and virtual option or reference to that at all and also throughout the contract. On page 21, and anywhere else in the contract, to change the RFP information to the correct fiscal year date information; and then there was a suggestion to move CAFR anywhere throughout the document.

Did I miss anything? Anybody have any other suggestions or discussions?

MR. DE MEO: Madam Chair, what is the process

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

now? Are we going to issue the RFP, or is it going to come back here, or what -- how does that work?

MS. HIXSON: Well, it says May 11th, so we just -- as long as we vote on that, it will -- it will go out on Monday.

MR. DE MEO: It will go out on Monday. Okay.

MS. HIXSON: Yes.

MS. SHAW: Just the eval- -- Madam Chair, just the evaluation piece that needs to be changed, that's not in here.

MS. HIXSON: Right, and the question was, right, that we could -- they could amend the RFP, if it turns out that the general counsel has indicated we need to make some changes, and moving that date actually gives us a little more time to share that information with everybody.

MS. SHAW: Yeah, so -- and change the language for who should evaluate, because their language --

MS. HIXSON: Oh, yes.

MS. SHAW: -- doesn't conform with Florida Statute.

MS. HIXSON: Oh I didn't write that down, evaluation.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So the evaluation committee is what date? July 6th?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: Yes, yes.

MS. SHAW: Robert, please bring a cake for my birthday. My birthday is on July 2nd.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Your birthday is when?

MS. SHAW: July 2nd.

MR. MAYERSOHN: You want to have your cake and eat it, too?

MS. SHAW: Absolutely.

MS. HIXSON: Silly.

MR. MAGLI: I just wanted to ask a question, Mrs. DeFoor.

Is there a -- is there a presentation by the proposers in this, or is it strictly a proposal and evaluation?

MS. DeFOOR: It's strictly a proposal and evaluation. There was not -- no one asked for presentations for this particular one.

MR. MAGLI: I just want to make sure it's clear.

MS. HIXSON: So when they come on the -- because I remember actually have a discussion about that, but when they come on --

MR. MAYERSOHN: July 6th .

MS. HIXSON: -- July 6th, it's just to answer any questions the committee may have?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. DeFOOR: That's correct.

MS. HIXSON: Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, I -- I happen to like, I mean, as Mark had mentioned, proposers coming with some sort of information. I mean, obviously they're presenting their documents, but at least have -- you know, come before us, make a ten-minute presentation, ask questions and then move on to the next one. I mean, not have them just -- but that's up to the will of the group.

MR. MAGLI: I mean, I wasn't making a suggestion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, no, no, but -- but bringing that up --

MR. MAGLI: I'm just saying, in some instances --

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- I've been in --

MR. MAGLI: -- depending on the nature of it, yes, that's sometimes a consideration.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I mean, I've done for the city -- served on -- when I say, City of Parkland as a commissioner, audit committee selection, and had it where the proposers came --

Actually, we did it in two phases: You know, proposers came, made a presentation. We got

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

evaluation. We then, you know, evaluated it and then had a final discussion meeting.

MS. SHAW: Right, usually the norm.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I happen -- I happen to --

Again, because you're just reading it. This way somebody comes, you see who they are. You get a different feeling than just seeing something on a piece of paper.

MS. SHAW: And to Robert's point earlier, someone coming all the way down here just to sit in a room, I would -- having a presentation would make more sense and I think it would make them feel better for them, if they're going to be showing up anyway.

MS. HIXSON: So would you like to make a motion?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'd make a motion that on the July 6th evaluation committee review that there are proposals or presentations allowed by the bidders.

MS. SHAW: I'll second for discussion.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Well, we can limit the time if that's -- you know, ten minutes.

MS. HIXSON: So I have a question, because you said presentations allowed. So we're either going to require it, or are we going to say if you want

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

to?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I think it's allowed. If they want to, let them do it. If they don't, that's up to them. I mean, I'm not going to direct somebody to -- you know, mandate that they make a proposal. It's just -- I mean, these firms know what --

I mean, if a firm is bidding on this contract, they're going to make a proposal. Just my spidey sense.

MS. SHAW: So probably under 3.3, you'll have 3.3(b), and that would be -- insert that.

MS. HIXSON: 3.3 . . .

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is that a friendly amendment to my --

MS. SHAW: That's a friendly amendment, yes, sir.

MS. HIXSON: So on page nine -- no, not --

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MS. HIXSON: -- not for the proposer's conference. We're talking about the evaluation committee review, June 6th; not the May 28th meeting. So that on page 3.3 is about --

MS. SHAW: That's the conference.

MS. HIXSON: Yes, that's not the right place.

MS. SHAW: Where was that? I saw that.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MS. HIXSON: Yeah.

MS. SHAW: Wherever that is.

MS. HIXSON: I couldn't find where that is.

MS. DeFOOR: If you have presentations at the evaluation committee meeting, it will probably stretch over into two days. Just giving you a heads-up on that.

MS. SHAW: Depending on how many people you have.

MS. DeFOOR: Right. Correct.

MR. DE MEO: And that's on July 6th, that --

MS. DeFOOR: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: July 6th.

MR. DE MEO: So that means we would have to be here?

MR. MAYERSOHN: We would have to be at Oakland Park.

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: Oakland park? I don't know if my car goes that far.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It's probably a shorter distance.

MR. DE MEO: It is. It is.

MS. HIXSON: So yes? No? What do you think about adding presentations?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think it's okay. I think if they want to do it, it's fine, you know. I don't think it needs to be mandatory.

You know, that's a good way to -- I've been on some of these committees. That's a good way to get a feel for their attitude and their approach, especially, in my experience, where you have somebody low-balling a proposal and, you know, you shake that out right away, right away.

MS. HIXSON: So, Ms. DeFoor, where would that go in this RFP to that -- if you want to put -- to say presentations will be -- a presentation no longer than ten minutes would be allowed during the committee review?

MS. DeFOOR: I would have to put that in the evaluation committee meeting section.

MS. SHAW: 5.5.

MS. HIXSON: Which is?

MS. SHAW: That could also go under 5.1, right, Ms. DeFoor?

MR. DE MEO: And I do think, Madam Chair, that 10 minutes to do a presentation, I think 20 minutes is -- you know, gives you some time to --

MS. DeFOOR: 5.6 says presentations are required and will be part of the evaluation

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

process.

MS. SHAW: There you go. Problem solved.

MS. HIXSON: I remember I was talking about presentations. So it's already in there. Perfect. So we don't need the motion.

Proposer presentation will be timed and cannot be longer than 15 minutes.

So that's a good balance between our 10 and 20.

Each proposer will be allowed five minutes to set up their presentation, five minutes to disconnect and prepare for any questions, so -- be prepared by having five hard copies.

So they would have 25 minutes total, if they needed it, right? Five to set up and take down, so that's -- that should be fine.

Okay. So we already took care of that, Mr. Mayersohn. Anything else?

MR. MAYERSOHN: That's it.

MS. HIXSON: I remember talking about that last time.

MS. SHAW: We're so smart.

MS. HIXSON: Yeah.

Okay. Any -- anybody else? Go to the order?

MR. DE MEO: Can we all make it on July 6th?

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

MR. MAYERSOHN: I can.

MS. SHAW: Let me check, see what I have.

MS. HIXSON: I guess, we'll have to make it work.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I'll even bring a cake for Ms. Shaw.

MR. MAGLI: Mr. Medvin is also part of the selection committee, but we would have to reach out to him.

MS. HIXSON: Okay. Committee discussion.

Okay. Any closing remarks?

I'll just say thank you all for all the hard work, everybody, staff, the committee. Look forward to getting this done, and thanks for coming out today.

If there is no objection, I will adjourn this meeting. Thank you so much.

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

C E R T I F I C A T E

THE STATE OF FLORIDA)
COUNTY OF BROWARD)

I, EMILY SCOTT, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.

Dated this 23rd day of May, 2026.

EMILY SCOTT, Shorthand Reporter and
Notary Public, State of Florida at Large
Commission No.: HH 464418
My commission expires: December 14, 2027

a3176d06-3432-4d64-9d00-8b59988e3165

A

able 13:23 14:3,5 19:3 22:20 24:16 25:3 33:2 46:19,21
Absolutely 20:2 60:8
accept 42:5
accidentally 46:6
acclimated 5:16
accommodate 29:11
accomplished 11:24
accomplishes 28:4
acknowledgment 27:14
action 15:16
actual 52:19
add 35:17 37:24
added 36:14
adding 64:25
additional 26:1
address 31:25
addressing 16:14
adjourn 67:16
adjust 40:21
adjustments 41:3
Administration 1:9
adopting 11:12
advertised 21:2
affect 16:21 18:3 25:6 25:10,24 26:1 42:23
afford 24:17
afternoon 10:2
agenda 3:22
Agent 3:14,16
ago 33:15 45:22
agree 17:17 26:19
agreed 24:25
agreements 28:19
ahead 39:16,16 51:8
alerting 33:7
align 19:5
aligns 35:5
Allegiance 3:18,19
allocated 36:4
allocation 34:16
allow 25:16 26:14
allowed 35:23 62:19 62:24 63:2 65:13 66:10
allowing 27:17
allows 36:4
alternative 29:8
amend 20:5,8,9 22:10 22:13 59:12
amendment 5:10 32:9 41:5 42:5 47:19 48:18 51:18 63:13 63:15
Andrews 1:21
answer 10:25 11:6 31:23 33:19 60:24
Anthony 2:3 3:8
anybody 14:17 58:23 66:24
anyway 26:12 62:14
anyways 8:18
apologies 9:4
applies 54:4
apply 11:12 17:5 54:3
appreciate 4:17
approach 12:1 34:15 35:8,18 36:10,16 48:24 65:6
appropriate 17:9
approval 3:22 4:21
approve 3:24 18:16
approved 10:17,22 28:12 34:25
area 13:18 35:7 52:21
areas 34:22
argument's 21:19
arrangements 30:8 30:23
asked 21:16 48:23 60:16
asking 15:13 25:17 45:25
assign 10:11,21
assuming 6:6
attend 13:5 38:11
ATTENDANCE 2:1
attention 49:2
attitude 65:6
attorney 14:22 17:16 25:18 26:11,14 27:18 28:1,5,6 45:15,18
attorney's 27:18
attorneys 15:5 16:18
attuned 6:1
audit 14:3 35:18 36:10,15 47:5 53:5 53:23 56:3,6 61:22
auditing 5:10 53:24
auditor 1:7 2:5,6,6 3:12,13,23 4:22 55:5,9
auditors 24:14
August 28:12
authorized 10:14 68:8
Avenue 1:21
avow 9:14
await 17:23
award 28:16
awarded 52:7
Aye 4:3,4 5:3,4 27:1,2 27:3,4 44:18,19,20 45:8,9 48:8,9 51:23 51:24

B

B 36:14,24
back 7:3 8:13 11:4 14:19 23:20,22 25:14 26:13,13 27:25 28:1,10,13 30:2,10 41:1,2,6,12 44:3,5 48:15,16 59:2
backwards 28:7
balance 66:8
balancing 13:13
barring 25:9
based 6:9 10:16,23 15:23 16:19 22:20 22:21 26:25 27:10 29:9 40:14 48:20 50:12,13 56:21 58:6
basically 13:14
Bass 1:20
Bass/United 2:12
Beach 13:16
believe 7:17 14:9 29:12
Belinda 2:9 3:14,16 25:5
bells 33:11
best 29:23
better 17:14 25:19 62:13
bid 13:10,22,24 14:16 20:10,13,15,22 21:21 24:3,7,18 31:10 32:18,24 38:20
bidder's 31:6 33:3
bidders 20:6 62:19
bidding 14:10 63:7
bids 20:16 36:5
big 29:14 57:13
bigger 37:23 38:2
bill 7:17 10:6 11:16 15:23 16:1,1,4 17:6 26:25 58:6
birthday 60:3,3,4
bit 20:12
Bless 55:21
board 1:6 2:3 5:16 7:10 10:17 16:3,9 17:7,22 21:16 24:24 26:2 28:9 39:3 54:25 55:17 56:2,7
Boardroom 1:10
boards 16:6 54:4
Bob 3:9
body 46:1 56:19
box 49:15
break 26:2
briefly 18:11
bring 23:23 28:2 60:2 67:5
bringing 61:14
brought 29:7
Broward 1:6 13:16 14:1,2 24:4 68:4
Building 1:9,21
business 24:11

C

C 68:2,2
C-A-F-R 46:9
CAFR 46:9,15 58:21
cake 60:2,6 67:5
calendar 12:17 47:14
call 3:3,5 6:21 16:7 17:9 21:23 33:25
called 31:19
camera 48:4
capital 54:24,24
capture 6:14
captured 35:9
car 64:20
care 14:10 66:17
carries 4:6 5:7 45:11 48:11
case 47:24 55:13
certain 9:14 20:8 26:3 29:18 42:7
certainly 6:9 23:22 23:25 29:15
certify 68:7
cetera 25:10
chair 2:2 3:6 14:25 25:5 48:12 51:7 52:2 55:2,24 56:2,2 56:12 58:25 59:8 65:21
challenges 7:22
change 8:6 22:9 23:13,20 26:22 32:11 33:7,12 36:11 38:25 39:13 41:3 42:22 45:4 46:2 48:20 49:23 50:24 50:25 51:4 52:5,5,8 54:10 58:7,19 59:17
changed 9:22 20:23 34:19 36:12 37:5,12 38:15 39:5 40:12 57:17 59:9
changes 6:7,21 8:10 26:16 33:22 34:4 36:7 37:7,10 46:2,5 52:10 54:8,12 57:6 57:7,10,21 58:5 59:14
changing 19:8 24:22 39:11 43:20
chart 37:6
check 67:2
Chicago 14:10
Chief 2:5,6,6 3:12,13
chimes 21:20
choice 13:22 18:23
choose 13:21
Chuck 31:16
circumference 13:16
cities 6:24 17:20
city 61:21,21
clause 19:17
clear 17:19 60:19
close 29:9,10
closing 67:11
coded 46:4
colleges 16:5,12

combined 35:6
come 6:2 13:2,9 17:24 22:20,20,24 25:3 30:7 31:2 32:16 33:3 40:19 59:2 60:20,22 61:7
comes 20:1 30:24 62:6
coming 30:6,17 61:4 62:10 67:14
comment 7:12 45:13 48:13
comments 4:3 5:6 33:20
commission 68:17,18
commissioner 61:22
commit 50:10
committee 1:7 2:1 3:7 3:23 4:4,22 5:4 10:14 17:8 22:22 23:3 34:18 35:13 44:15 45:9 48:9 51:24 52:14,25 53:6 53:7,24,25 54:15,18 55:10,18,25 56:3,3 56:7 57:8 58:12 59:24 60:25 61:22 62:18 63:21 64:5 65:14,16 67:8,10,13
committee's 10:11
committees 65:5
common 16:20
communication 5:25
companies 24:6
compensate 55:8
complete 68:10
compliance 2:10 10:4 15:8 39:8,9
complies 9:11
concern 8:5 11:4 13:4 23:5 27:25 32:13
concerned 29:18
concerns 31:18 32:5
conditions 6:4 22:21 22:24
conference 12:7,18 24:17 38:10,21 44:13 47:16 58:9 63:20,23
conferences 47:11
conflict 41:17
conflicts 14:23
conform 59:20
conservative 10:23
conservatively 28:25
consideration 23:21 29:25 55:16 56:24 61:19
considered 29:6
considering 29:20
contain 14:22,23
content 11:25
continue 56:20
contract 11:8 18:19 19:19 25:11,24 40:6 50:3,14 52:6,10 58:18,19 63:7
contractors 9:13
copies 66:13
copy 8:13 49:18
corollary 17:6
correct 19:11 22:10 22:12 32:17,19 38:18 49:20 51:15 57:19 58:20 61:1 64:10
correction 52:3
cost 8:25 13:9 48:18 48:21
counsel 11:3,5 15:1 15:22 17:10,23 21:20 22:9 39:21 40:4 59:13
counsel's 17:15
count 29:2
counties 6:24 17:20 20:3 54:3
County 1:6 24:4 68:4
couple 5:25 25:21
course 18:12 25:8
Court 1:19 2:12
cover 11:9
COVID 24:23
CPA 2:3
cramping 19:7
creating 13:13
criteria 34:17
CS/SB 16:14
current 22:1

D

d 55:6
Dade 13:16
data 10:15 46:3
date 1:12 7:20 11:9 20:15 33:21 38:10 40:16,16 41:7,23 42:6,8 43:14,20 54:10 58:20 59:15 59:25
Dated 68:11
dates 18:14 20:23,25 26:13 33:1 40:22 41:6,12 42:24 44:11 44:23 45:4 58:7
day 30:11,11,20 41:18 42:3,10,10 68:11
days 5:21 19:10 21:1 25:9,21 43:8,10,18 44:9 64:6
De 3:8,8,15 7:23,24 8:8,16,23 9:10 11:8 14:18 17:4 27:2 33:18,19 35:11,16 35:19 36:6,17,21 37:2,7,11,19,21 44:19 49:3,4,9,11 49:13,15,20,24 50:9 50:12,16,22 51:2,8 51:11,13,21 55:2,3 55:4 56:8 58:25 59:6 64:11,14,19,23 65:1,21 66:25
deadlines 31:12 39:22
deal 13:11
dealing 29:3
Debbi 3:6
Debra 2:3
December 68:18
decide 15:5
decided 22:22 35:12 56:18
decision 23:3
defend 13:24
defensible 10:15
defer 19:14 34:7
definitely 34:19
definition 36:11
DeFoor 2:9 3:14,14 3:16,16 5:22 6:10 8:21,25 9:3,20 12:22 17:2 18:10,20 19:1,10,16 20:8,13 20:15,21,23 22:12 24:20,24 26:5 27:12 27:13,13 28:15,18 28:22 30:14,17 31:15,22 32:19 33:9 33:22 34:6,8,20 36:9,20,23 37:5,9 37:17,20 38:13,18 39:2,5,9 42:10,18 42:25 43:5,10,12,16 43:21,25 44:5,7,9 45:17,21 46:11,23 47:7 49:12,17,22 52:18,25 53:10,13 57:12,19,23 58:2 60:11,15 61:1 64:4 64:10,12 65:10,15 65:20,24
DeFoor's 27:11
DEI 16:13
delayed 19:6
delaying 40:2
deliver 31:10
DemandStar 33:8
DeMeo 2:3
department 10:4
depending 61:18 64:8
determination 10:11 22:21
development 2:10 10:3
dictates 54:15
difference 29:14 32:6 39:18 57:11,13 58:1 58:2
differences 6:12
different 5:15 18:7 52:18 62:7
difficulties 18:8
direct 63:4
directed 17:20
directly 10:8
director 52:21
disagree 38:24
disclosure 12:17
disconnect 66:12
discounts 7:15
discriminating 13:14 24:2
discrimination 9:16
discuss 35:19
discussed 7:10
discussing 21:11
discussion 4:2 8:4 11:2 15:25 25:2 26:18,24 27:20,22 51:22 54:8 60:21 62:2,20 67:10
discussions 7:7 22:16 58:24
disparity 10:16 14:21
disqualified 32:17,18
dissenting 27:7
distance 64:22
district 2:8 4:15 7:21 16:9 20:4 21:23 57:7
districts 6:22,23 7:1,4 10:7 11:10,18 16:6 17:21
diversity 6:22 8:19 9:1 34:1 35:24 37:25
document 9:19 27:15 44:24 45:6,7 47:8 47:20 48:16 51:19 58:15,22
documentation 9:8
documents 61:6
doing 9:3 12:23 22:3 29:1 38:25
dollars 50:12,16,18 50:20
draft 5:17 34:8 48:16
drive 14:14
driven 10:15
due 20:15 44:15 45:4 45:19 58:11
Dupuy-Bruno 28:25

E

E 35:11 68:2,2
E/S/M/V/WBE 10:13
earlier 22:16 62:9
easy 13:17
eat 60:7
economic 2:10 10:3
EDOC 9:5,21 49:18
education 16:10 17:7
effect 10:1 11:13

16:16 21:24 22:19 57:8
effective 7:19 11:9 57:14
eight 14:15
either 14:2 37:4,4 42:14 47:8 62:24
elect 18:22,22
electronically 12:9 44:15 58:11
eligible 19:15
Emily 1:20 2:12 68:7 68:16
ends 50:19
engagement 19:5
entire 44:24 47:19
entry 46:3
equated 50:19
equity 6:22
error 51:1
escapes 21:12
especially 4:14 24:8 40:25 65:7
established 34:17
et 25:10
eval- 52:13 59:8
evaluate 55:6,10 59:18
evaluated 62:1
evaluates 52:14
evaluating 53:8
evaluation 10:12 36:2 44:15 49:16 50:8 51:13 52:14 53:7,16 54:15,18 57:4,8 58:11 59:9,23,24 60:14,16 62:1,18 63:20 64:5 65:16,25
event 18:22
everybody 24:24 25:18 26:19 54:25 59:16 67:13
everybody's 10:25
exact 34:11
exactly 6:8 9:21 29:2 48:6
example 9:13
exception 14:13
excluding 34:2
excuse 41:12 55:22
exists 18:19
expected 6:13
expense 23:16
experience 36:23 65:7
expired 23:14
expires 68:18
explain 17:13 20:11
extend 19:4,21
extends 6:25
external 5:9
extra 19:10,22

F

F 68:2
faced 6:4
fact 18:15 48:18
fail 37:3
fall 5:15
falls 7:20
familiarity 56:22
far 54:13 64:20
favor 4:3 5:3 27:1 44:18 45:8 48:7 51:23
February 4:22
feedback 27:18
feel 25:18 62:12 65:6
feeling 62:7
felt 35:8
field 13:13 24:14
figuring 28:13
final 34:8 40:4 62:2
finally 29:12 58:12
financial 5:10
find 34:11 46:13,18 46:19 64:3
fine 65:2 66:16
finish 26:21 39:11
firm 19:4 63:7
firms 55:7 63:6
first 3:22 13:21 30:4 46:1
fiscal 5:9 57:5,6,9,21 58:20
five 34:24 35:12,20 36:8 37:24 48:19,22 50:11 66:10,11,13 66:15
FL 1:22
floor 19:25 25:23 26:17,21 27:16
Florida 13:7 55:4 59:20 68:4,17
folks 11:21
follow 7:11 18:6
following 3:1 42:12
foregoing 68:9
forever 56:16
format 40:4,12
former 48:16
Fort 1:22 13:10
forth 29:9 34:25 44:16 55:8
forward 5:18 8:15 16:20 18:4 22:23 25:9 28:2 67:14
foul 26:15
four 14:4,20 33:23,24 34:3 36:7 50:10 52:20
framework 6:14 18:14
frameworks 6:9
Freeman 2:9 10:2,3 17:11,16 35:1,21 36:1 50:5,10,13,17 50:21,23 52:2 57:1
Friday 30:12 41:24 42:14,16
friendly 42:5 47:18 47:21 51:17 63:13 63:15
FRP 5:9
full 24:5
funds 2:7 22:4
further 30:7
future 22:4

G

general 11:3,5 17:22 39:21 59:13
getting 13:9 19:19 26:24 30:1 67:14
give 15:22 27:16 36:15 37:23 38:1 42:4 44:4
gives 22:13 59:15 65:23
giving 7:4 32:15 40:11 64:6
go 7:3 14:19 22:23 23:19 28:8,13 33:11 35:12,15,16 38:9 39:16,16 40:24 41:14 46:9 51:8 54:12 59:5,6 65:11 65:19 66:2,24
goal-setting 10:11,14 10:19
goes 18:19 23:12 26:2 26:17 48:3 52:19 64:20
going 8:3 11:4 13:8 14:1,2,5 15:2 16:15 18:2 24:8 25:24,25 26:1,5 27:15 28:10 30:3,23 39:18 40:13 42:22 51:20 53:15 54:12 59:1,1 62:13 62:24,25 63:4,8
good 10:2 14:18 29:1 54:9 65:4,5 66:8
Gouldbourne 2:7 3:11,11
govern 10:7
governing 56:7
government 11:14,15 11:16,19 16:2,8 20:3
Governor 7:18
grammatical 37:9,10
great 4:20 21:6
group 7:5 33:5 52:19 61:10
groups 7:16
guess 5:6 21:17,18 28:7,7 41:5 54:24 56:11,24 67:3
GUEST(S) 2:12
guys 5:14 11:14 18:15 39:6 52:19

H

happen 6:13 61:3 62:4,4
happens 52:5
hard 46:4 66:13 67:12
harm 22:7 26:15
heads-up 64:7
hear 14:6
hearing 40:14
hey 24:3,7 32:23
HH 68:17
hiccup 40:6
High 31:17
hit 28:20
Hixon 2:3
Hixson 3:3,6,17,20 4:1,5,18 5:1,5 6:19 7:23 8:3 10:25 11:20 12:11,14 13:20 14:17 15:10 15:13,17,21,25 16:22 17:11,25 19:23 20:11,14,18 20:20 21:3,6,11 25:1 26:18 27:3,5,7 27:19,22 28:23 30:15 31:24 33:18 35:15,17,21 36:25 37:22 39:10,15,24 40:13,18,21,24 41:11,19,22 42:3,6 42:11,16,22 43:1,8 43:11,13,19,23 44:1 44:6,8,10,25 45:3,8 45:10 47:1,4,6,9,17 47:22,25 48:7,10,14 49:3 50:24 51:4,8 51:12,22,25 52:12 53:18,21 54:6,11,19 55:3,14,22 56:11,15 56:17,20,25 57:11 57:15,20,25 58:3 59:3,7,11,19,22 60:1,9,20,24 61:2 62:15,23 63:12,17 63:19,24 64:1,3,24 65:10,18 66:3,20,23 67:3,10
Hm 12:13
hold 16:19 26:5
holiday 42:19
holidays 25:9
hopefully 25:16 45:23
hours 14:15
hundred 6:8 53:1 55:19

I

idea 23:19 29:17
Ideally 29:9
imagine 21:14 22:2

impact 27:15
impacted 10:8
impacts 9:19
important 35:8
incident 9:15
includes 20:4
inclusion 6:22
inconformity 15:3
incorporate 8:1 35:3
incorporated 9:23 11:23 34:18
incurred 23:15
independent 5:9
indicated 59:14
influences 49:1
information 11:22 14:6,12 20:6 22:8 25:17,20 26:15 40:12 46:4 55:20 58:19,20 59:16 61:5
initially 34:23
initiative 16:13
input 6:5
insert 63:11
instance 20:18 45:17
instances 61:16
insulated 21:23
interim 10:3
intermittently 6:1
Internal 2:7
interpretation 10:9 17:12
interpretations 17:5
invest 24:16
investment 24:8,9
INVITED 2:12
involved 5:14
involvement 56:23
ironed 55:20
issue 6:15 14:7,8 18:10,17 19:18 21:22 29:13 32:2,9 59:1
issues 7:22
it'll 41:14
ITB 45:16,18
ITBs 17:3
item 9:7 18:6 35:11
items 35:3 36:11,18

J

Jacksonville 13:5
January 10:17 11:10 16:16 21:25
jeopardy 15:8
job 4:15,16
John 12:22
judging 52:22
July 7:20 21:25 28:16 29:10 44:6,10,16,17 57:14,18,21,22 58:11,12 59:25 60:3 60:5,23,24 62:18 64:11,13 66:25
June 12:20 20:19,20 20:21,22 21:20 22:7 22:11 43:12,13,14 43:23,24 44:2,14,15 54:10 57:16 58:9,10 63:21

K

KC 1:9
Keen 14:20,20 15:3
keep 25:23 44:1
keeping 48:4
kind 14:7,8 25:22 29:11 35:4 54:17,17 55:18
know 6:23,24,25 7:7 7:9,12,14,15,19,19 8:3,5 9:14 11:2,5,11 11:13,15 13:6,6,18 15:6 17:6,22 18:13 21:23 22:5,11,17,18 22:24 23:22 24:6,7 25:15,18,25 28:2,11 29:14,16,19,19,22 29:24 30:6,11,12,22 31:1,16 32:2,22 33:11,16 38:7,22 39:20 40:3,5,6 45:17 46:3 47:24 48:25 53:11,12,22 54:22,22,23 55:24 57:7,9 61:7,24 62:1 62:22 63:5,6 64:19 65:2,4,8,23

L

laid 18:14
landed 56:12
language 9:11,23 14:23 16:7,11 59:17 59:18
Large 68:17
latest 6:2
Lauderdale 1:22 13:11
Lavinia 2:9 10:2
law 14:21,24 15:9 21:24,24 22:1
laws 9:21
left 50:2
legal 7:2,8 15:1,22 16:24 17:3,10,14 18:13 21:4,20 22:8 23:12 26:24 28:19 28:20 29:22 40:4 58:5
legality 22:5
legally 10:15
legislation 9:12 17:19
legislatively 6:20
let's 13:4 15:4 20:1 21:18 23:10 28:13 39:11 42:6,6 55:6
letters 32:4
levels 5:15
limit 62:21
limiting 24:13,15
line 35:3 48:3
lists 54:17
Litigation 1:21
little 17:13 20:12 31:4 42:4 48:3 49:5 54:11 59:15
live 13:15
lives 13:10
local 11:14,15,16,18 13:19 16:2,8 17:21 20:3
locally 33:14
Logistic 2:9
long 21:1,15 22:7 25:23 59:4
longer 14:16 65:13 66:7
look 6:6 7:13 11:4 15:1 16:18 30:25 39:21 41:22 46:7 48:15 53:16,19 67:13
looking 11:5 12:5,12 30:2 53:18,20 54:7 55:4
looks 34:4 37:13
loop 20:2 25:17
lot 6:14 29:1 31:9 57:6
low-balling 65:8

M

M/WBE 7:3 34:24
ma'am 4:9 56:25
Madam 48:12 52:2 55:2 58:25 59:8 65:21
Magli 2:6 3:13,13 5:11,12,23 7:6 8:12 11:21 15:12,15 16:23 18:1,5 19:3 19:11 22:15 23:5,9 23:12,19 28:24 33:21 34:5,7 41:20 48:12,15 55:12,15 56:18,21 60:10,18 61:11,15,18 67:7
making 7:16 15:18 29:20 57:3 61:11
manager 2:7 10:3
mandate 63:5
mandatory 12:6,17 13:1,1 24:25 31:14 32:14 33:3 38:9,21 38:24 41:15 43:4,15 44:13 47:11,16 58:9 65:3
Mark 2:6 3:13 19:20 52:22 61:4
marked 8:10
matter 19:3
Mayersohn 2:2 3:9,9 3:24 4:25 5:2 6:19 6:20 7:13 8:2 12:3 12:11,13,16 13:3 15:20,24 20:19,22 21:4,10,17 22:13 23:2,7,11,17,25 24:21 27:4,20,24 28:17,21 30:1,16,19 31:18 32:12,20 33:10 38:3,6,14,19 39:4,7,14,16 40:1 40:17,20,23 41:9,17 42:1,14 43:3,7 44:20 47:9,12,21 51:17 52:13,16,24 53:2,5,12,20,22 54:2,9 56:5,9,14,16 59:24 60:4,6,23 61:3,13,17,20 62:4 62:17,21 63:2,13 64:13,16,21 66:18 66:19 67:1,5
mean 16:18 20:12 21:17 22:5 23:4,21 23:25 24:6 30:1,23 33:4 38:24 39:17 50:1,2,4 53:22 61:3 61:4,5,9,11,20 63:4 63:6,7
means 39:10 50:5 64:14
Medvin 67:7
meet 8:17 31:11 57:9
meeting 1:7 3:3,23 4:23 22:18,18 30:4 30:5 33:3,20,22 41:4,15,16 43:4 62:2 63:22 64:5 65:16 67:17
meetings 7:10 12:24
member 3:8,9,10 55:17 56:7
members 2:1 4:4 5:4 45:9 48:9 51:24 56:6
Memorial 30:11,20 41:18 42:3,10
memory 19:1
mention 15:4,4
mentioned 19:11,20 21:7 32:8 37:22,25 61:4
Meo 3:8,8,15 7:23,24 8:8,16,23 9:10 11:8 14:18 17:4 27:2 33:18,19 35:11,16 35:19 36:6,17,21 37:2,7,11,19,21 44:19 49:3,4,9,11 49:13,15,20,24 50:9 50:12,16,22 51:2,8 51:11,13,21 55:2,3

55:4 56:8 58:25 59:6 64:11,14,19,23 65:1,21 66:25
message 13:15
met 39:23
methodologies 12:2 35:9
methodology 34:16
mic 3:15
Microsoft 38:12,17 58:16
middle 49:5
mind 15:12 25:23
minimum 36:3
minor 37:9,10
Minority-Women 2:9
minutes 4:21 62:22 65:13,22,22 66:7,10 66:11,14
mistake 38:13
mistaken 11:3
mistakes 46:2
mixed 32:4
moment 29:4
Monday 25:15 26:11 26:12 30:13,14,15 30:16,18 41:24 42:12 59:5,6
month 26:7 29:14
months 6:1 9:22 19:18,21 25:25
motion 3:24 4:6,23 5:7 15:11,18,19,20 26:20,21,22 27:9 29:21 39:11,12 41:6 41:10,11,12 44:11 45:4,11 47:1,10,13 48:8,11 50:25 51:4 51:6,9,23 52:1 58:7 58:14 62:16,17 66:5
motions 58:4
move 16:20 18:4 27:10 41:6,12 43:16 43:21 44:11 51:2 58:21 61:8
moved 4:1,24,25 5:1
moving 27:17 59:14
multiyear 11:8
municipalities 54:3
MWBE 2:9

N

Nakia 2:7 3:11
names 52:20
nature 61:18
necessarily 19:8 28:4
need 3:15 13:23 14:11 15:10 17:10 26:16 28:11 39:12 39:23 41:2 44:3 47:1 50:25 54:20,25 59:14 66:5
needed 66:15
needs 9:18 54:16 59:9 65:3
negotiate 25:11
negotiating 40:6
negotiation 25:24
new 14:21,24 16:14 21:24 31:3 32:20 45:24 57:9,20
nine 38:9 47:10 58:14 63:17
norm 62:3
normal 33:12
Notary 68:17
note 23:1
notes 68:10
notice 49:19
noticed 38:6,19 57:2
November 18:20
nudge 39:20
number 5:15,18 12:12,14,15 13:22 13:23 14:1,4 17:7 37:12,13 38:2 46:7 46:8 49:21 51:15
numbers 38:4

O

Oakland 64:16,19
objection 67:16
obligation 31:7
observation 57:3,10
obtain 9:9
obviously 5:13 32:23 61:5
OCA 2:6,7
occur 17:1 23:24 57:5
occurred 7:7 18:8
offend 15:7
offering 7:15
office 2:5,6 3:11 14:2 24:4,10
Oh 9:3 30:25 31:10 33:15 34:1 45:3 59:19,22
okay 3:17,20 4:20 5:23 8:16 15:10,13 15:14,25 17:25 19:16 21:22 23:12 27:8,22 33:5 34:4 37:7,15,21 39:4 40:9 42:1,22,25 43:7,11,13,19,23 44:6,11,25 45:3,12 45:20 46:25 47:4,6 49:17,24 51:12,21 52:12 54:19 56:25 57:25 58:4 59:6 61:2 65:1 66:17,24 67:10,11
old 49:8
once 19:25 32:15 57:9
online 31:1,21 33:3
open 6:18 24:4
opening 20:10,13,15 20:22 21:21
Operations 2:9
opine 21:4 25:22 39:23 41:23
opinion 7:2,8 15:22 17:15,23,24 24:1,1 26:25 28:1 39:19
opportunities 2:10 7:16 10:4 20:1 46:5
opportunity 6:6 10:21 20:5
opposed 4:5 5:5 27:5 45:10 48:10 51:25 57:5
optimally 28:8
option 10:23 18:11 18:18,21 19:12,21 48:1 58:17
options 18:7
order 3:4 66:24
organizations 11:11
original 8:13
originally 57:4
outreach 8:19 9:1 35:24
outside 13:7,17 36:7
overall 19:8
overstep 57:1

P

p.m 1:12,12
page 12:12,14,15,16 36:9,9,13 38:6,9 39:10 44:12,22 45:1 45:5 46:11,12,13,17 46:18,20 47:10,13 49:4,5,13 51:13 52:15 54:23 58:14 58:15,18 63:17,22
Palm 13:16
paper 62:8
parameters 23:13 31:8
park 64:17,19
Parkland 61:22
part 16:23 17:2 38:13 53:3,14 55:17,25 56:1 65:25 67:7
participate 12:8
participation 8:19 10:13 35:24 50:6,14
particular 9:6 19:16 60:17
pass 37:3
passed 19:19 58:14
passes 52:1
pay 13:9
people 24:5 32:22 33:14 41:4 46:2,5 64:8
perceived 13:19
percent 6:8 35:14 50:7,11 53:1 55:19
percentage 50:2,6,14 52:10,11
percentages 52:4,6
Perfect 58:3 66:4
performance 34:14
period 21:15 26:3
person 12:7,18,19 24:19 25:3 30:3,4 32:14 33:2,5 38:8 38:11,16 40:19 47:15
personal 12:6
perspective 6:5
phases 61:24
Phyllis 2:2 3:10
pick 42:6
picked 32:23
piece 12:2 32:1 59:9 62:8
piggybacks 17:7
place 28:3 32:13,21 47:22 57:7 63:24
places 46:14 47:19
play 22:24
please 3:17 51:14 60:2
Pledge 3:18,19
Plus 47:19
point 5:18 14:18 22:25 29:5,24 33:21 34:21 36:2,13 48:21 48:25 62:9
pointed 51:9
pointing 48:21
points 9:6 10:12,12 10:20,22 34:10,16 34:24 35:7,12,18,20 35:25 36:3,3,15,16 36:25 37:16,25 48:18,23 49:16 50:3 50:7,11 51:14
policy 9:17 10:16,24 20:7 36:1,4 55:16
portion 50:2
possible 29:10
posted 43:17,19
posting 28:15 43:20 44:8,16 58:13
postpone 27:16
potential 20:6
potentially 13:9 18:18 19:4,12 22:19
practice 56:11
pre-proposal 22:18
prebid 22:17
predates 14:21
preference 7:4 10:12 36:2
prepare 66:12
prepared 66:13
present 18:21,25 22:17 23:4
presentation 60:12 61:8,25 62:11 65:12

65:22 66:6,11
presentations 60:17 62:19,24 64:4,25 65:12,24 66:4
presented 57:4
presenting 61:6
pretty 35:4
previous 38:20
previously 16:25 33:2
prior 21:21,21 56:23
privilege 4:11
probably 15:7 18:20 22:6 40:2 52:22 63:10 64:5,21
problem 22:3 46:24 66:2
procedural 38:25
procedures 33:13 55:5
proceed 6:17 18:12 19:13
proceedings 3:1 68:9
process 5:17 16:24 17:2 19:22 25:6 29:16 31:3 33:10 50:8 53:15 57:5 58:25 66:1
procure 25:11
procurement 2:9 5:20 5:21,22,23 18:6 25:6,11 39:1 52:16 55:16 57:2
program 8:19 9:2 35:24
prohibited 53:7
projected 18:15
proposal 13:7,8 34:23 60:13,15 63:5 63:8 65:8
proposals 44:14,16 55:7 58:10 62:19
proposer 66:6,10
proposer's 38:10 47:11,15,16 63:19
proposers 36:23 60:13 61:4,23,25
protest 45:19
provide 8:12 26:14
provided 5:19 11:22 35:5 55:7
provides 55:9
public 4:7,8,10,12,14 4:19 68:17
published 20:25 45:19
pull 48:19
purchasing 3:14,16 5:21
purpose 38:23
purposes 27:21
push 23:22 25:8,14 26:13 30:9 44:3,5
pushed 41:1
pushing 27:25 40:9
put 15:7 19:25 20:7 24:4 34:8 48:22 65:11,15
putting 32:13,20 37:15 38:1

Q

qualifications 36:24
qualified 14:16 37:4 55:7
question 8:18 10:5 19:24 21:5,5,6,18 26:10 31:23,24 33:19 45:14 55:23 57:23 59:11 60:10 62:23
questions 6:11,18 11:1,20 38:22 43:2 43:3,5 44:14 58:10 60:25 61:8 66:12
queue 21:8
quick 46:21
quickly 21:4
quite 36:12

R

R 68:2
reach 67:8
read 11:17 16:21 31:7 45:5
reading 10:6,9 62:5
real 37:17
realize 47:7
reallocated 34:23
really 4:17 9:10 10:22 19:7 26:6 29:1,2 46:6,6 51:11 52:9
reason 12:7,21 37:15 37:17
reasons 45:25
reassembling 29:1
recited 3:19
recognition 4:13
recommend 14:25 25:13 42:18,19
recommendation 10:19 15:9 25:13 28:16
recommendations 5:18 58:13
record 68:10
red-line 8:9 34:21
red-lined 8:11
reduce 34:24 35:23
refer 38:17
reference 16:3 51:14 58:17
referenced 51:18
references 16:9
refers 49:6
reflect 47:13
reflects 47:15
refresh 19:1
regard 33:25
regarding 6:21 7:3,4
regardless 26:16 55:25
relates 10:6,10 19:25 52:4
relative 6:11 11:21 11:24 18:7 19:15 22:15 29:22 34:10 34:13,13,15
release 7:14 23:10 30:5
released 21:19 32:15
releasing 19:13
remarks 67:11
remember 25:1 53:23 60:21 66:3,20
remind 32:21,25
reminder 33:1
remove 46:9,15,22 58:16
removed 48:2
repeated 10:5
replace 46:19
replicates 35:4
report 68:8
Reporter 1:19,20 2:12 68:16
Reporting 1:20 2:12
reports 9:14
representative 38:11
request 12:25 37:1
requested 17:22
require 32:14 53:9 62:25
required 33:1,20 38:11 65:25
requirement 24:19 31:13 47:5
requires 9:13 53:3,8
resolved 29:12
respect 24:1 29:5
response 31:21
rest 34:2 47:8
review 5:8,17 6:3 16:24 17:3,17 39:21 45:15,15 58:12 62:18 63:21 65:14
reviewed 40:3
reviewing 45:22
reviews 44:16
revised 8:1,20 9:18 9:18
RFP 5:17 7:14 9:20 19:16 21:19 31:7 32:3,15,24 49:6,8 49:20,21 51:15 52:14 54:8 58:19 59:1,12 65:11
RFP/RFQ 45:16
RFPs 17:3
rid 15:2
right 4:2 7:13 11:6 13:3 21:10,17 23:7 23:11,18,20 27:12 28:15,17 35:13 37:21 38:14 39:14 40:1,17,20,23 41:4 46:17 48:6 49:9 53:14 54:1 55:11 56:8,17 57:22 58:3 59:11,12 62:3 63:24 64:10 65:9,9,20 66:15
Robert 2:2 60:2
Robert's 62:9
role 57:2
Roll 3:5
room 13:24 14:9,11 62:11
rule 54:14
rush 29:16
rushing 19:7

S

sake-wise 21:19
satisfied 6:16 11:23
saw 46:14 52:17 63:25
saying 4:11 13:14,15 22:11 23:2,6,21 28:11 32:16 35:13 38:15 39:22 61:15
says 11:16 12:7,17 16:2 19:17 20:2 21:20 31:9 38:7,8,9 38:17 48:1 49:15 50:3 53:22 56:1,5 59:3 65:24
SB 11:17 16:15,21
scattered 47:7
scenario 19:9
school 1:6 2:3 4:15 6:22,23,25 10:7,17 16:3,6,6,9 17:21 20:4 28:9 54:4 55:17 56:2
schools 16:9
scope 34:14 35:7 36:24 37:2
scoring 36:4 52:23
Scott 1:20 2:12 68:7 68:16
Scrivener's 51:1
SDOP 49:16 51:13
search 46:15,18,21
seated 3:21
second 3:25 4:23 5:10 15:18,24 27:19,20 27:22 41:8 44:21,21 47:17,18 51:3,16 62:20
seconded 4:1 5:1
section 8:20 12:16 14:20 15:1,21 33:23 33:24 34:2,24 35:7 36:7 38:8 39:13

47:14 48:3,20 55:6 55:6 58:5 65:16
sections 20:8 34:10 34:11 37:8,16
see 6:7,15 8:10 9:3 28:3 32:23 38:8 46:12 50:9 55:6 62:6 67:2
seeing 9:20 34:9 62:7
seen 31:8 36:8
selection 1:7 3:6,23 4:22 34:1 53:5,24 55:5,10,18,25 56:3 56:6 61:23 67:8
Senate 10:6 15:23 16:1,1 26:25 58:6
send 16:24 17:3 20:5 24:17 28:19 29:21 32:25 33:8
sending 22:7
sense 16:20 30:21 32:10 40:2,15 62:12 63:9
sent 8:13 39:24
separate 16:4,11 36:18
separated 38:5
September 29:13
servants 4:14
served 61:21
service 1:20 4:12
services 5:10 8:25 34:14
set 66:11,15
seven 12:16 25:9 37:8 38:7 44:12,22 45:2 45:5 47:14
shake 65:9
share 6:3 17:18 59:16
Shaw 2:2 3:10,10,25 4:10,24 5:1 8:22,24 9:1,25 11:14 12:10 13:20,21 16:1 17:17 19:23,24 23:7 24:23 25:4,5 26:9 27:6,8 27:10,14 36:19 37:1 38:4 42:5,12,20 44:3,21 45:1,7,13 45:20,25 46:12,25 47:3,5,18,24 48:6 49:8,10,14 50:19 51:2,6,16,20 52:15 52:17 53:4,14 54:1 54:4,14,20 55:11 57:22 59:8,17,20 60:2,5,8 62:3,9,20 63:10,15,18,23,25 64:2,8,18 65:17,19 66:2,22 67:2,6
Shaw's 24:1
short 21:14
shorter 64:21
Shorthand 68:16
show 13:23 14:11
showing 62:13
side 14:4
signed 7:17
Silly 60:9
similar 11:18
sink 31:4
sir 34:5 63:16
sit 62:10
situation 29:6
six 19:17,21 25:25 37:8 50:10 52:20
slight 52:3
small 24:13 38:4
smart 66:22
solicitation 10:10 52:7
solved 66:2
somebody 12:8 13:5 13:7,10,19 22:19 24:2,4,17 30:22 31:5,9 40:11 62:6 63:4 65:8
soon 11:13 45:24
sooner 39:19 40:7
sorry 15:21 31:23 55:3
sort 9:7 18:14 22:8 35:4 61:5
sought 17:14
sounds 50:16
South 1:21
SPBC 9:17
speak 6:10 7:6 17:21 18:10,20 34:20 35:22 45:23
speaker 4:11
speakers 4:7,8,19
speaking 9:10 34:11
Specialist 2:9
specific 16:7 35:3 52:9
specifically 7:25 11:16 12:1 16:2 34:20 54:5
specifications 34:15
spidey 63:8
spoke 18:11 35:1
spoonfeed 32:22
spread 36:2
staff 2:5,8 67:13
stand 3:18 7:11
stands 8:18
started 12:23,23 29:13
starting 41:6,13,13
state 7:22 13:7 68:4 68:17
status 5:8 7:8
statute 53:3,16,18,19 53:20 55:4 56:1 59:21
statutorily 55:9
stay 43:14 56:16,21 58:8
staying 6:1
stays 44:12
stenographic 1:20 68:10
stenographically 68:8
stick 9:6 30:3
straight 49:18
stretch 64:6
strictly 60:13,15
stuck 18:13 32:7
study 10:16 14:20,21
stuff 18:9
subcontractor 10:13
subcontractor's 50:6
subcontractors 52:7
submissions 20:17
submit 13:22 33:16 38:22
submits 13:6,8,11
sudden 30:25
suggest 26:10,11,12
suggestion 35:5 40:14 58:21 61:12
suggestions 8:6,14 36:10 58:24
Suite 1:21
summarize 33:21
supplier 8:18 9:1 34:1 35:23
sure 7:16,21 9:18,22 26:4 29:23 32:3 33:6 45:3 48:17 53:1 57:12 60:18
system 32:20 36:13 48:21

T

T 68:2,2
table 49:5,16,25 51:14
take 4:11 10:1 12:25 15:15,21 21:24 40:5 45:1,1 66:15
taken 1:12 57:7
takes 28:18 31:4
talk 18:2 53:17
talked 8:4 33:24 34:3 37:2
talking 8:2 18:7 21:13 26:7 63:20 66:3,20
talks 9:17 47:23
Tallahassee 14:14
tasked 55:23
team 5:19 28:25
Teams 12:24 14:5 38:12,17 48:4 58:16
telephonically 12:8
tell 12:11,14
telling 18:12
template 45:22
templates 45:23
ten 24:5 62:22 65:13
ten-minute 61:7
term 18:18
terms 53:15
text 39:24
thank 3:20 4:12,13,18 4:20 5:12 7:24 17:25 36:6 50:22 52:12 67:12,17
thanks 67:14
they'd 40:18
thing 9:16 15:6 18:15 30:24 40:10 41:2
things 5:24 6:11,12 29:1,3,17 32:10 34:18,21 35:2 40:7 46:2
think 6:13 12:3,3 14:13,22 15:2,3 17:8,10 22:25 24:12 24:12,15 26:21 32:21 33:23 34:3,13 34:20 35:1,11,15,21 37:13,22,24 39:12 39:18,19 42:8 46:6 47:1,21,22 53:14 62:12 63:2 64:24 65:1,1,3,21,22
thinking 30:22
thoroughly 31:7
thought 24:23 31:1
thoughts 21:3
three 14:1 28:18 33:15 37:8 50:7
throw 49:2
Thursday 41:25
time 1:12 8:5,17 19:19,22 21:15 22:13 23:16 24:5,9 25:16,19 26:1,14 27:17 32:15 35:12 38:10 39:11 40:3,5 40:10,11,25 42:4 43:15 44:4 54:11 59:15 62:21 65:23 66:21
timed 66:6
timeframe 28:5 29:22
timeline 18:2 19:6 28:6,13,20,21 30:2 31:4 57:3,16,17
timelines 39:22
times 7:10 31:9
timewise 18:14
timing 6:5,15
tireless 4:16
today 18:16 67:15
today's 24:9
told 37:20 49:18
total 49:14 50:3 66:14
totally 23:7
touched 16:5
touches 16:4

traditionally 56:12
transcript 68:9
transferring 18:9
travel 30:8,23
tried 9:7
true 68:10
truly 4:17
trying 11:24 20:24 37:24 53:19
Tuesday 11:2 21:12 30:13 31:10 42:16
turn 5:11 20:16 32:8 34:12
turns 59:13
tweaked 21:1
two 12:16 13:23 28:18 36:18 37:15 40:18 41:16 43:8,10 44:9 46:8,20 61:24 64:6
type 9:16
typically 43:10 52:18 52:20
typographical 37:11

U

unable 9:9
uncertain 53:10
understand 31:6,8 39:17 49:25
understanding 17:18 17:19 55:12
understood 48:17
universities 16:5,12
unofficial 10:8
update 5:8 10:16 51:14
updated 8:1 54:16,20 55:1
urge 25:21
use 19:4
usually 62:3

V

value 37:23 50:3 52:6 52:10
vendor 33:24
vendors 20:16 31:19 33:7
venture 22:6
verbiage 35:6
version 6:2 8:9,10,14 37:18
Vice 2:2
virtual 48:1 58:16
volume 29:3
vote 27:7 28:9 59:4
voted 28:11 39:2

W

wait 18:3 51:17
want 4:11,13 7:20 8:17 13:19 14:14,19 16:6,19 18:23 23:3 24:16 28:23 29:10 29:16 32:24,24 33:6 35:19 37:17,18 41:5 44:22 47:9 51:2 60:6,18 62:25 63:3 65:2,11
wanted 6:3,9 13:1 16:22,23 18:21 23:1 23:23 25:2 35:15,16 39:6,6 48:17 49:1 60:10
wants 13:5,6
wasn't 61:11
way 25:22 29:15 31:22 33:7 35:5 36:15 37:23 49:1 51:18 62:6,10 65:4 65:5
ways 15:6
we'll 3:22 25:19 39:12 45:23 55:19 67:3
we're 6:4 15:2 18:13 24:8,21 28:3 30:2 32:3,7 38:16,16 42:22 43:20 49:18 49:24 53:15 55:18 62:24 63:20 66:22
we've 5:13 33:24 34:3 46:1
week 4:13 22:10 25:6 25:10,14 26:5,13,23 27:14,16,25 28:2 30:8,17 32:16,21 39:18 40:3,11,15 41:1,6,13,15,25 43:17,21 44:4
week's 32:15
weekend 42:4
weeks 28:18 40:18 41:16
weigh 15:5 28:23 58:5
welcome 50:23
went 5:24 20:24 24:18,18 35:20 45:18
weren't 6:8
whistles 33:11
White 5:19
win 24:3
window 26:4
withdraw 22:9 31:11
won 31:9
wondering 53:2
wording 8:6
words 28:10
work 4:15 5:24 11:15 24:15 29:11 36:24 37:3 50:12 59:2 67:4,13
working 5:13 18:5 28:7 56:23
worried 26:8
worth 36:25
wouldn't 9:25 15:7 21:14 30:20
Wright 1:9
write 59:22
written 38:22 43:2,3 43:5 44:14 58:10

X

Y

yeah 8:8,23 9:10 12:3 15:24 21:21 23:9 25:1 31:9 33:15,19 36:21 39:15,24 42:1 44:5 46:14 47:25 49:4,10,11 51:8 54:11 55:14 56:14 59:17 61:20 64:1 65:1 66:23
year 5:9 18:8 19:6 29:12 57:5,6,9,21 58:20
year's 19:5
years 33:15 45:22

Z

zero 50:7,7

0

089 49:8,9

1

1 29:10 57:14,21
1:30 1:12
10 35:14,25 65:22 66:8
11 27:17
1134 10:6 11:17 15:23 16:1,2,13,15 16:21 26:25 58:6
11th 20:25 21:19 25:7 25:14 26:12,12 30:5 30:24 40:9,16 41:13 41:14 43:20 44:12 58:8 59:3
12:15 1:12
13 46:17,20
14 68:18
15 9:6,8 10:11,12,20 10:21,22 35:14,24 36:2,3 66:7
15th 43:24,25 44:15 58:10
17 36:9
180 19:10
18th 12:6,18 23:13 25:7,22 26:17 27:17 28:3 30:10,13 38:7 40:16 41:1,7,13 42:8,13,23
1st 7:20 11:10 21:20 21:25 28:12 43:12 43:13 44:14 57:22 58:9

2

2.0 47:14
20 10:21 36:3 48:21 65:22 66:9
20- 38:20
2011 38:20
202 1:21
2024 10:18
2026 1:12 4:22 68:11
2027 10:1 11:10 16:16 21:25 68:18
20th 43:1
21 36:13 49:4,13 51:13 58:18
218.391 55:5
22nd 42:16
23rd 42:15 68:11
24th 30:12 42:14
25 66:14
25th 30:19 41:18 42:11
26-007 49:6
26-089 5:9
26th 41:21 42:17
27th 41:21 42:19
28th 42:19,21,23 44:14 58:9 63:21
29th 12:20 44:2 57:16
2nd 4:22 28:16 60:3,5

3

3 55:6,6
3.- 15:21
3.3 38:8,9,17 47:13 58:16 63:10,12,22
3.3(b) 63:11
30 21:1 36:14,25 43:17
30th 18:20
3330 9:17 10:16 36:1 36:4
33301 1:22

4

4.3.2 34:13
4.3.4 7:25 8:21 15:22 58:5
40 48:23
464418 68:17

5

5.1 35:11 65:19
5.2 49:6
5.5 65:17
5.6 65:24

6

633 1:21
6th 44:6,16 57:18 58:11 59:25 60:23 60:24 62:18 63:21 64:11,13 66:25

7

7 1:12
7th 3:23 22:7

8

8th 20:19,20,21,22
43:14,23 44:10,17
58:12

9

9 58:15