

School Year: 2026-27

JUN 17 2026

JUN 17 2026

Carmel Unified School District

# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

| School Name                | County-District-School (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval Date |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Carmel Middle School (CMS) | 27-65987-6026033                  | 05/07/2026                             | 06/17/2026                |

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Carmel Middle School (CMS) for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement
- Targeted Support and Improvement
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

The purpose of this plan is to define schoolwide goals that strengthen our ability to effectively meet the diverse needs of all students.

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

# Table of Contents

|                                                           |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| SPSA Title Page .....                                     | 1  |
| Table of Contents.....                                    | 2  |
| Plan Description.....                                     | 4  |
| Educational Partner Involvement .....                     | 4  |
| Resource Inequities .....                                 | 4  |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components .....           | 4  |
| California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators.....   | 5  |
| Other Needs.....                                          | 5  |
| School and Student Performance Data .....                 | 6  |
| Student Enrollment.....                                   | 6  |
| CAASPP Results.....                                       | 8  |
| ELPAC Results .....                                       | 12 |
| Student Population.....                                   | 15 |
| Overall Performance .....                                 | 16 |
| Academic Performance.....                                 | 17 |
| Academic Engagement .....                                 | 25 |
| Conditions & Climate.....                                 | 28 |
| Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....           | 30 |
| Goal 1.....                                               | 30 |
| Goal 2.....                                               | 32 |
| Goal 3.....                                               | 34 |
| Goal 4.....                                               | 36 |
| Goal 5.....                                               | 38 |
| Budget Summary .....                                      | 40 |
| Budget Summary .....                                      | 40 |
| Other Federal, State, and Local Funds .....               | 40 |
| Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan.....         | 41 |
| Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....       | 41 |
| Expenditures by Funding Source .....                      | 41 |
| Expenditures by Budget Reference .....                    | 41 |
| Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source ..... | 41 |
| Expenditures by Goal.....                                 | 41 |
| School Site Council Membership .....                      | 42 |
| Recommendations and Assurances .....                      | 43 |
| Instructions.....                                         | 44 |
| Appendix A: Plan Requirements .....                       | 51 |

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements .....54

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs .....57

## Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Carmel Middle School (CMS) for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program

- Comprehensive Support and Improvement

- Targeted Support and Improvement

- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

The purpose of this plan is to define schoolwide goals that strengthen our ability to effectively meet the diverse needs of all students.

## Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Carmel Middle School (CMS) consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

### Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Throughout the school year we have collected data and stakeholder input from a variety of groups including parents, teachers, counselors, and district office directors to design this year's SPSA. Goals align with LCAP, federal, state and local programs to ensure that all students are prepared for the rigors of high school, college, career, and global citizenship.

Wellness Center/Counselor/Admin Meetings - Ongoing Dates 2025-26 School Year

EL Teacher and Aide Support Meetings - Ongoing Dates 2025-26 School Year

CMS/HR/CBO Meeting - January 2026

District LCAP and Strategic Plan Meetings - Ongoing Dates 2025-26 School Year

Vertical Articulation Collaboration Sessions with District Elementary and High School - Ongoing 2025-26 School Year

Principal's Advisory Council Meetings - Bimonthly Meetings 2025-26 School Year

PTO Meetings - Monthly 2025-26 School Year

District Level Principal and Advisory Meetings Bimonthly 2025-26 School Year

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

CMS does not have any resource inequities to report.

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

## California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category.

Red - No red on this year's general dashboard; No Red Subgroups

Orange - No orange on this year's dashboard; Chronic Absenteeism: Two Orange Subgroups (Subgroups in Orange - Students with Disabilities, White)

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance.

No student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance indicator.

## Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Carmel Middle School (CMS). Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

### Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |                       |        |        |                    |       |       |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Student Group                  | Percent of Enrollment |        |        | Number of Students |       |       |
|                                | 23-24                 | 24-25  | 25-26  | 23-24              | 24-25 | 25-26 |
| African American               | 0.21%                 | %      | 0.41%  | 1                  |       | 2     |
| Asian                          | 4.02%                 | 3.63%  | 2.26%  | 19                 | 17    | 11    |
| Filipino                       | 0.42%                 | 0.64%  | 0.21%  | 2                  | 3     | 1     |
| Hispanic/Latino                | 20.51%                | 20.30% | 20.33% | 97                 | 95    | 99    |
| Pacific Islander               | 0.21%                 | 0.21%  | 0.41%  | 1                  | 1     | 2     |
| White                          | 63.42%                | 62.82% | 63.66% | 300                | 294   | 310   |
| Multiple/No Response           | 9.51%                 | 10.26% | 10.06% | 45                 | 48    | 49    |
| Total Enrollment               |                       |        |        | 473                | 468   | 487   |

### Enrollment By Grade Level

| Student Enrollment by Grade Level |                    |       |       |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade                             | Number of Students |       |       |
|                                   | 23-24              | 24-25 | 25-26 |
| Grade 6                           | 152                | 144   | 174   |
| Grade 7                           | 159                | 160   | 145   |
| Grade 8                           | 162                | 164   | 168   |
| Total Enrollment                  | 473                | 468   | 487   |

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

# School and Student Performance Data

## English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |                    |       |       |                     |       |       |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|
| Student Group                   | Number of Students |       |       | Percent of Students |       |       |
|                                 | 23-24              | 24-25 | 25-26 | 23-24               | 24-25 | 25-26 |
| English Learners                | 6                  | 6     | 6     | 3.6%                | 1.3%  | 1.2%  |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 61                 | 57    | 45    | 12.0%               | 12.9% | 9.2%  |

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. CMS has experienced a decline in the number of EL students on campus. This is, in part, due to the district's efforts to support EL growth in elementary schools and improved outcomes on the ELPAC and reclassification process.

# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

| Overall Participation for All Students |                        |       |       |                      |       |       |                           |       |       |                               |       |       |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                            | # of Students Enrolled |       |       | # of Students Tested |       |       | # of Students with Scores |       |       | % of Enrolled Students Tested |       |       |
|                                        | 22-23                  | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                     | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                         | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                | 158                    | 149   | 148   | 155                  | 146   | 144   | 155                       | 146   | 144   | 98.1                          | 98    | 97.3  |
| Grade 7                                | 162                    | 156   | 162   | 149                  | 149   | 161   | 149                       | 149   | 161   | 92.0                          | 95.5  | 99.4  |
| Grade 8                                | 180                    | 162   | 165   | 159                  | 140   | 161   | 159                       | 140   | 161   | 88.3                          | 86.4  | 97.6  |
| All Grades                             | 500                    | 467   | 475   | 463                  | 435   | 466   | 463                       | 435   | 466   | 92.6                          | 93.1  | 98.1  |

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |                  |       |       |                     |       |       |                |       |       |                       |       |       |                    |       |       |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                          | Mean Scale Score |       |       | % Standard Exceeded |       |       | % Standard Met |       |       | % Standard Nearly Met |       |       | % Standard Not Met |       |       |
|                                      | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23               | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23          | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23              | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                              | 2571.            | 2566. | 2577. | 32.90               | 28.08 | 33.33 | 40.65          | 40.41 | 41.67 | 14.19                 | 19.18 | 18.75 | 12.26              | 12.33 | 6.25  |
| Grade 7                              | 2602.            | 2597. | 2595. | 32.21               | 28.86 | 29.81 | 42.95          | 42.95 | 42.86 | 20.81                 | 18.79 | 16.77 | 4.03               | 9.40  | 10.56 |
| Grade 8                              | 2611.            | 2606. | 2609. | 27.04               | 30.71 | 29.19 | 45.28          | 37.14 | 39.75 | 18.24                 | 22.86 | 19.25 | 9.43               | 9.29  | 11.80 |
| All Grades                           | N/A              | N/A   | N/A   | 30.67               | 29.20 | 30.69 | 42.98          | 40.23 | 41.42 | 17.71                 | 20.23 | 18.24 | 8.64               | 10.34 | 9.66  |

| Reading<br>Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                | % Above Standard |       |       | % At or Near Standard |       |       | % Below Standard |       |       |
|                                                                            | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                                                    | 29.22            | 28.08 | 27.78 | 55.84                 | 55.48 | 61.81 | 14.94            | 16.44 | 10.42 |
| Grade 7                                                                    | 24.16            | 28.19 | 27.95 | 69.13                 | 62.42 | 60.25 | 6.71             | 9.40  | 11.80 |
| Grade 8                                                                    | 24.53            | 22.86 | 28.57 | 63.52                 | 57.86 | 56.52 | 11.95            | 19.29 | 14.91 |
| All Grades                                                                 | 25.97            | 26.44 | 28.11 | 62.77                 | 58.62 | 59.44 | 11.26            | 14.94 | 12.45 |

| <b>Writing</b><br><b>Producing clear and purposeful writing</b> |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                     | % Above Standard |       |       | % At or Near Standard |       |       | % Below Standard |       |       |
|                                                                 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                                         | 31.61            | 24.66 | 33.33 | 59.35                 | 63.01 | 57.64 | 9.03             | 12.33 | 9.03  |
| Grade 7                                                         | 44.30            | 40.94 | 40.99 | 48.99                 | 49.66 | 49.07 | 6.71             | 9.40  | 9.94  |
| Grade 8                                                         | 32.70            | 39.29 | 37.89 | 58.49                 | 50.71 | 52.17 | 8.81             | 10.00 | 9.94  |
| All Grades                                                      | 36.07            | 34.94 | 37.55 | 55.72                 | 54.48 | 52.79 | 8.21             | 10.57 | 9.66  |

| <b>Listening</b><br><b>Demonstrating effective communication skills</b> |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                             | % Above Standard |       |       | % At or Near Standard |       |       | % Below Standard |       |       |
|                                                                         | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                                                 | 18.83            | 15.07 | 18.75 | 73.38                 | 78.08 | 74.31 | 7.79             | 6.85  | 6.94  |
| Grade 7                                                                 | 15.44            | 18.12 | 16.15 | 81.21                 | 71.81 | 75.78 | 3.36             | 10.07 | 8.07  |
| Grade 8                                                                 | 18.24            | 25.71 | 22.36 | 77.36                 | 70.00 | 67.70 | 4.40             | 4.29  | 9.94  |
| All Grades                                                              | 17.53            | 19.54 | 19.10 | 77.27                 | 73.33 | 72.53 | 5.19             | 7.13  | 8.37  |

| <b>Research/Inquiry</b><br><b>Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information</b> |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                            | % Above Standard |       |       | % At or Near Standard |       |       | % Below Standard |       |       |
|                                                                                        | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                                                                | 30.32            | 26.03 | 22.22 | 64.52                 | 65.75 | 69.44 | 5.16             | 8.22  | 8.33  |
| Grade 7                                                                                | 23.49            | 24.16 | 26.09 | 71.14                 | 66.44 | 65.84 | 5.37             | 9.40  | 8.07  |
| Grade 8                                                                                | 30.82            | 22.86 | 26.71 | 59.12                 | 70.71 | 66.46 | 10.06            | 6.43  | 6.83  |
| All Grades                                                                             | 28.29            | 24.37 | 25.11 | 64.79                 | 67.59 | 67.17 | 6.91             | 8.05  | 7.73  |

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. After experiencing a three year decline in CAASPP participation rates among students, 2024-25 saw a 5% increase in rates school wide and, most notably, an 11.2% rate increase in our 8th grade class. This improvement raises the floor of our overall test scores.
2. CMS experienced positive gains in numerous categories in both Standard Exceeded and Standard Met.
3. CMS experienced declines in numerous categories in both Standard Nearly Met and Standard Not Met.

# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

| Overall Participation for All Students |                        |       |       |                      |       |       |                           |       |       |                               |       |       |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                            | # of Students Enrolled |       |       | # of Students Tested |       |       | # of Students with Scores |       |       | % of Enrolled Students Tested |       |       |
|                                        | 22-23                  | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                     | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                         | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                | 158                    | 149   | 148   | 152                  | 146   | 143   | 152                       | 146   | 143   | 96.2                          | 98    | 96.6  |
| Grade 7                                | 162                    | 156   | 162   | 149                  | 150   | 161   | 149                       | 150   | 161   | 92.0                          | 96.2  | 99.4  |
| Grade 8                                | 180                    | 162   | 165   | 159                  | 140   | 161   | 159                       | 140   | 161   | 88.3                          | 86.4  | 97.6  |
| All Grades                             | 500                    | 467   | 475   | 460                  | 436   | 465   | 460                       | 436   | 465   | 92.0                          | 93.4  | 97.9  |

\* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |                  |       |       |                     |       |       |                |       |       |                       |       |       |                    |       |       |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                          | Mean Scale Score |       |       | % Standard Exceeded |       |       | % Standard Met |       |       | % Standard Nearly Met |       |       | % Standard Not Met |       |       |
|                                      | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23               | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23          | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23              | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                              | 2562.            | 2563. | 2560. | 34.87               | 32.19 | 28.67 | 21.71          | 25.34 | 29.37 | 28.95                 | 26.03 | 25.17 | 14.47              | 16.44 | 16.78 |
| Grade 7                              | 2584.            | 2587. | 2594. | 28.19               | 34.00 | 33.54 | 30.87          | 28.67 | 30.43 | 26.85                 | 22.67 | 21.74 | 14.09              | 14.67 | 14.29 |
| Grade 8                              | 2616.            | 2596. | 2598. | 45.28               | 30.00 | 32.92 | 18.24          | 27.86 | 21.74 | 18.87                 | 21.43 | 25.47 | 17.61              | 20.71 | 19.88 |
| Grade 11                             |                  |       |       |                     |       |       |                |       |       |                       |       |       |                    |       |       |
| All Grades                           | N/A              | N/A   | N/A   | 36.30               | 32.11 | 31.83 | 23.48          | 27.29 | 27.10 | 24.78                 | 23.39 | 24.09 | 15.43              | 17.20 | 16.99 |

| <b>Concepts &amp; Procedures</b><br><b>Applying mathematical concepts and procedures</b> |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                              | % Above Standard |       |       | % At or Near Standard |       |       | % Below Standard |       |       |
|                                                                                          | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                                                                  | 35.10            | 31.51 | 28.67 | 46.36                 | 50.68 | 53.85 | 18.54            | 17.81 | 17.48 |
| Grade 7                                                                                  | 30.20            | 37.33 | 37.27 | 53.69                 | 46.00 | 48.45 | 16.11            | 16.67 | 14.29 |
| Grade 8                                                                                  | 38.99            | 22.86 | 29.19 | 44.65                 | 63.57 | 54.04 | 16.35            | 13.57 | 16.77 |
| Grade 11                                                                                 |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
| All Grades                                                                               | 34.86            | 30.73 | 31.83 | 48.15                 | 53.21 | 52.04 | 16.99            | 16.06 | 16.13 |

| <b>Problem Solving &amp; Modeling/Data Analysis</b><br><b>Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems</b> |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                                                                                        | % Above Standard |       |       | % At or Near Standard |       |       | % Below Standard |       |       |
|                                                                                                                                                    | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                                                                                                                            | 25.66            | 24.66 | 23.08 | 55.92                 | 57.53 | 59.44 | 18.42            | 17.81 | 17.48 |
| Grade 7                                                                                                                                            | 29.53            | 27.33 | 29.81 | 55.70                 | 56.67 | 58.39 | 14.77            | 16.00 | 11.80 |
| Grade 8                                                                                                                                            | 38.36            | 22.86 | 28.57 | 49.06                 | 57.14 | 55.28 | 12.58            | 20.00 | 16.15 |
| All Grades                                                                                                                                         | 31.30            | 25.00 | 27.31 | 53.48                 | 57.11 | 57.63 | 15.22            | 17.89 | 15.05 |

| <b>Communicating Reasoning</b><br><b>Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions</b> |                  |       |       |                       |       |       |                  |       |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                                        | % Above Standard |       |       | % At or Near Standard |       |       | % Below Standard |       |       |
|                                                                                                    | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| Grade 6                                                                                            | 24.34            | 25.34 | 20.98 | 63.82                 | 62.33 | 65.73 | 11.84            | 12.33 | 13.29 |
| Grade 7                                                                                            | 26.17            | 29.33 | 24.84 | 61.74                 | 58.67 | 58.39 | 12.08            | 12.00 | 16.77 |
| Grade 8                                                                                            | 34.59            | 26.43 | 24.22 | 49.06                 | 61.43 | 65.22 | 16.35            | 12.14 | 10.56 |
| All Grades                                                                                         | 28.48            | 27.06 | 23.44 | 58.04                 | 60.78 | 63.01 | 13.48            | 12.16 | 13.55 |

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. A 4%+ increase in student participation rates significantly improves overall scores.
2. 8th grade mathematics showed some strong gains in specific areas further improving overall scores.
3. Students scoring in the "Below Standard" area declined in most measured areas.

# School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's [English Language Proficiency Assessments for California \(ELPAC\)](https://elpac.org) web page or the [ELPAC.org](https://elpac.org) website for more information about the ELPAC.

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data<br>Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |         |       |       |               |       |       |                  |       |       |                           |       |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                                  | Overall |       |       | Oral Language |       |       | Written Language |       |       | Number of Students Tested |       |       |
|                                                                                              | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23         | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23            | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                     | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                            | *       | *     | *     | *             | *     | *     | *                | *     | *     | 6                         | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                            | *       |       | *     | *             |       | *     | *                |       | *     | 5                         |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                            | *       |       | *     | *             |       | *     | *                |       | *     | 7                         |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                                   |         |       |       |               |       |       |                  |       |       | 18                        | *     | 4     |

| Overall Language<br>Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |                          |       |       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                           | Level 4 |       |       | Level 3 |       |       | Level 2 |       |       | Level 1 |       |       | Total Number of Students |       |       |
|                                                                                       | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                    | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *                        | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                            | 50.00   | *     | *     | 22.22   | *     | *     | 16.67   | *     | *     | 11.11   | *     | *     | 18                       | *     | *     |

| Oral Language<br>Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |                          |       |       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                        | Level 4 |       |       | Level 3 |       |       | Level 2 |       |       | Level 1 |       |       | Total Number of Students |       |       |
|                                                                                    | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                    | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                  | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *                        | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                  | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                  | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                         | 66.67   | *     | *     | 16.67   | *     | *     | 5.56    | *     | *     | 11.11   | *     | *     | 18                       | *     | *     |

| Written Language<br>Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |                          |       |       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                           | Level 4 |       |       | Level 3 |       |       | Level 2 |       |       | Level 1 |       |       | Total Number of Students |       |       |
|                                                                                       | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23   | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                    | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *       | *     | *     | *                        | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *       |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                            | 16.67   | *     | *     | 38.89   | *     | *     | 27.78   | *     | *     | 16.67   | *     | *     | 18                       | *     | *     |

| Listening Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |       |                     |       |       |           |       |       |                          |       |       |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                             | Well Developed |       |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       |       | Beginning |       |       | Total Number of Students |       |       |
|                                                                                         | 22-23          | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23               | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23     | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                    | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                       | *              | *     | *     | *                   | *     | *     | *         | *     | *     | *                        | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                       | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                       | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                              | 27.78          | *     | *     | 55.56               | *     | *     | 16.67     | *     | *     | 18                       | *     | *     |

| Speaking Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |       |                     |       |       |           |       |       |                          |       |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                            | Well Developed |       |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       |       | Beginning |       |       | Total Number of Students |       |       |
|                                                                                        | 22-23          | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23               | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23     | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                    | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                      | *              | *     | *     | *                   | *     | *     | *         | *     | *     | *                        | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                      | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                      | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                             | 77.78          | *     | *     | 11.11               | *     | *     | 11.11     | *     | *     | 18                       | *     | *     |

| Reading Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |       |                     |       |       |           |       |       |                          |       |       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                           | Well Developed |       |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       |       | Beginning |       |       | Total Number of Students |       |       |
|                                                                                       | 22-23          | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23               | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23     | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                    | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                     | *              | *     | *     | *                   | *     | *     | *         | *     | *     | *                        | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                     | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                     | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                            | 27.78          | *     | *     | 44.44               | *     | *     | 27.78     | *     | *     | 18                       | *     | *     |

| Writing Domain<br>Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |                |       |       |                     |       |       |           |       |       |                          |       |       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Grade Level                                                                           | Well Developed |       |       | Somewhat/Moderately |       |       | Beginning |       |       | Total Number of Students |       |       |
|                                                                                       | 22-23          | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23               | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23     | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23                    | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| 6                                                                                     | *              | *     | *     | *                   | *     | *     | *         | *     | *     | *                        | *     | *     |
| 7                                                                                     | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| 8                                                                                     | *              |       | *     | *                   |       | *     | *         |       | *     | *                        |       | *     |
| All Grades                                                                            | 27.78          | *     | *     | 61.11               | *     | *     | 11.11     | *     | *     | 18                       | *     | *     |

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. Reclassification efforts have netted significant growth and positive results at the elementary school levels significantly reducing the number of EL students at CMS.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2024-25 Student Population                                       |                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                              |                                                             |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total Enrollment                                                 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged                                                                                                  | English Learners                                                                                                                                             | Foster Youth                                                |
| 468                                                              | 17.5%                                                                                                                            | 1.3%                                                                                                                                                         | 0.0%                                                        |
| Total Number of Students enrolled in Carmel Middle School (CMS). | Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. | Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court. |

| 2024-25 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |       |            |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Student Group                                     | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners                                  | 6     | 1.3%       |
| Foster Youth                                      | 0     | 0.0%       |
| Homeless                                          | 6     | 1.3%       |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged                   | 82    | 17.5%      |
| Students with Disabilities                        | 78    | 16.7%      |

| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |       |            |
|------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Student Group                | Total | Percentage |
| African American             | 0     | 0.0%       |
| American Indian              | 0     | 0.0%       |
| Asian                        | 17    | 3.6%       |
| Filipino                     | 3     | 0.6%       |
| Hispanic                     | 95    | 20.3%      |
| Two or More Races            | 48    | 10.3%      |
| Pacific Islander             | 1     | 0.2%       |
| White                        | 294   | 62.8%      |

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



### 2025 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance                                                                                                                                               | Academic Engagement                                                                                                                            | Conditions & Climate                                                                                                                        |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <div>English Language Arts</div> <div></div> <div>Green</div>                    | <div>Chronic Absenteeism</div> <div></div> <div>Yellow</div> | <div>Suspension Rate</div> <div></div> <div>Green</div> |
| <div>Mathematics</div> <div></div> <div>Green</div>                             |                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                             |
| <div>English Learner Progress</div> <div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> |                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                             |

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- Carmel Middle School experienced positive gains in every measurable area based on CA dashboard metrics.
- Chronic absenteeism continues to be an area of focus and is particularly of note amongst students with disabilities.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance English Language Arts

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

| 2025 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group                          |                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                             |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>All Students</b><br><br>Green<br>43 points above standard<br>Increased 7.5 points<br>457 Students          | <b>English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>41 points below standard<br>Increased 47.4 points<br>25 Students | <b>Long-Term English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>4 Students |
| <b>Foster Youth</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>0 Students | <b>Homeless</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>6 Students               | <b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b><br><br>Blue<br>10.3 points above standard<br>Increased 21.9 points<br>86 Students    |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Students with Disabilities</b><br><br>Yellow<br>31.7 points below standard<br>Increased 37.2 points<br>85 Students | <b>African American</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                                | <b>American Indian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                  |
| <b>Asian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>87.6 points above standard<br>Declined 26.4 points<br>17 Students         | <b>Filipino</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>3 Students        | <b>Hispanic</b><br><br>Blue<br>20.4 points above standard<br>Increased 18.9 points<br>91 Students |
| <b>Two or More Races</b><br><br>Blue<br>57.6 points above standard<br>Increased 11.8 points<br>45 Students            | <b>Pacific Islander</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>1 Student | <b>White</b><br><br>Blue<br>45.2 points above standard<br>Increased 7 points<br>291 Students      |

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Noted improvements in performance from Hispanic Students, Students with Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students.
2. Although not considered "statistically significant" our EL students improved by 47.4 points, the largest gain among any defined group.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance Mathematics


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

| 2025 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>All Students</b><br><br>Green<br>15.5 points above standard<br>Increased 5.4 points<br>456 Students        | <b>English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>89 points below standard<br>Increased 16 points<br>25 Students | <b>Long-Term English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>4 Students |
| <b>Foster Youth</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>0 Students | <b>Homeless</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>6 Students             | <b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b><br><br>Yellow<br>28.4 points below standard<br>Increased 16.6 points<br>87 Students  |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Students with Disabilities</b><br><br>Yellow<br>70.7 points below standard<br>Increased 21.7 points<br>85 Students | <b>African American</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                                | <b>American Indian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                |
| <b>Asian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>63.9 points above standard<br>Declined 39.4 points<br>17 Students         | <b>Filipino</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>3 Students        | <b>Hispanic</b><br><br>Green<br>22 points below standard<br>Increased 8.7 points<br>91 Students |
| <b>Two or More Races</b><br><br>Blue<br>52.8 points above standard<br>Increased 8.7 points<br>45 Students             | <b>Pacific Islander</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>1 Student | <b>White</b><br><br>Green<br>18.3 points above standard<br>Increased 5.7 points<br>290 Students |

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. CMS experienced positive gains in every measurable area.
2. SED, SwD, and EL students groups experienced significant positive improvements.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance Science


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Science assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

| 2025 Fall Dashboard Science Performance for All Students/Student Group                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>All Students</b><br><br>Blue<br>66.7 science points<br>Increased 4.6 points<br>160 Students | <b>English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>40.5 science points<br>11 Students                 | <b>Long-Term English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>2 Students |
| <b>Foster Youth</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                  | <b>Homeless</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>2 Students | <b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b><br><br>Blue<br>61.5 science points<br>Increased 11.7 points<br>31 Students           |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Students with Disabilities</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>47.3 science points<br>Increased 9.6 points<br>36 Students | <b>African American</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                         | <b>American Indian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                           |
| <b>Asian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>72.4 science points<br>12 Students                                              | <b>Filipino</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>2 Students | <b>Hispanic</b><br><br>Blue<br>61.6 science points<br>Increased 15.3 points<br>35 Students |
| <b>Two or More Races</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>66.2 science points<br>Declined 3.2 points<br>17 Students           | <b>Pacific Islander</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                         | <b>White</b><br><br>Blue<br>67.8 science points<br>Increased 4.2 points<br>92 Students     |

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. The CMS Science program is an area of tremendous strength with all subgroups performing well above standard.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

| 2025 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| English Learner Progress                                                                                                                                                                 | Long-Term English Learner Progress                                                                                                                                                         |
| <div></div> <div>No Performance Color<br/>making progress.</div> <div>Number Students: 3 Students</div> | <div></div> <div>No Performance Color<br/>making progress.</div> <div>Number Students: 2 Students</div> |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

| 2025 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results |                                               |                            |                                       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Decreased<br>One ELPI Level                                      | Maintained ELPI Level 1,<br>2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H | Maintained<br>ELPI Level 4 | Progressed At Least<br>One ELPI Level |

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

# School and Student Performance Data


## Academic Performance College/Career Report

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



Explore information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

| 2025 Fall Dashboard College/Career Performance for All Students/Student Group |                  |                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| All Students                                                                  | English Learners | Long-Term English Learners      |
| Foster Youth                                                                  | Homeless         | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |
| Students with Disabilities                                                    | African American | American Indian                 |
| Asian                                                                         | Filipino         | Hispanic                        |
| Two or More Races                                                             | Pacific Islander | White                           |

Conclusions based on this data:  
1.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



Red

Lowest Performance



Orange



Yellow



Green



Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

### 2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

### 2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group

#### All Students



Yellow

10.2% Chronically Absent

Declined 1.5

482 Students

#### English Learners



No Performance Color

Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy

7 Students

#### Long-Term English Learners



No Performance Color

Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy

5 Students

#### Foster Youth



No Performance Color

Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy

1 Student

#### Homeless



No Performance Color

Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy

7 Students

#### Socioeconomically Disadvantaged



Yellow

17.2% Chronically Absent

Declined 2.8

93 Students

|                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Students with Disabilities</b><br><br>Orange<br>19.6% Chronically Absent<br>Increased 4.8<br>92 Students | <b>African American</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                                | <b>American Indian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                         |
| <b>Asian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0% Chronically Absent<br>Declined 5.3<br>17 Students            | <b>Filipino</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>3 Students        | <b>Hispanic</b><br><br>Yellow<br>10.2% Chronically Absent<br>Declined 6<br>98 Students   |
| <b>Two or More Races</b><br><br>Yellow<br>11.5% Chronically Absent<br>Declined 3.1<br>61 Students           | <b>Pacific Islander</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>1 Student | <b>White</b><br><br>Orange<br>10.6% Chronically Absent<br>Maintained 0.4<br>302 Students |

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- Overall school attendance rates remain high (94%+), however, two student subgroups (SwD 19.6% and SED 17.2) continue to struggle with chronic absenteeism.
- The only subgroup that moved down one color band was White students, moving from Yellow to Orange while declining less 0.4% in year to year progress.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red  
Lowest Performance

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue  
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

## 2025 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report

Red

## Orange

## Yellow

## Green

Blue

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

## 2025 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

### All Students

## English Learners

## Long-Term English Learners

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

### Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

## Students with Disabilities

## African American

## American Indian

## Asian

## Filipino

**Hispanic**

## Two or More Races

## Pacific Islander

## White

### Conclusions based on this data:

**1.**

# School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

| 2025 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group                                           |                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                             |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>All Students</b><br><br>Green<br>2.9% suspended at least one day<br>Declined 1.9%<br>485 Students         | <b>English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>7 Students | <b>Long-Term English Learners</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>5 Students |
| <b>Foster Youth</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>1 Student | <b>Homeless</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>7 Students         | <b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b><br><br>Green<br>4.3% suspended at least one day<br>Declined 0.4%<br>93 Students      |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Students with Disabilities</b><br><br>Green<br>5.4% suspended at least one day<br>Declined 3.2%<br>92 Students | <b>African American</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                                                | <b>American Indian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>0 Students                             |
| <b>Asian</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>5.9% suspended at least one day<br>Increased 5.9%<br>17 Students      | <b>Filipino</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>3 Students        | <b>Hispanic</b><br><br>Green<br>3% suspended at least one day<br>Declined 2%<br>100 Students |
| <b>Two or More Races</b><br><br>Green<br>6.5% suspended at least one day<br>Declined 0.8%<br>62 Students          | <b>Pacific Islander</b><br><br>No Performance Color<br>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy<br>1 Student | <b>White</b><br><br>Green<br>2% suspended at least one day<br>Declined 2.6%<br>302 Students  |

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. CMS administration believes in use of suspensions to mitigate against inappropriate student behaviors. While suspensions from school are used judiciously, suspensions are necessary at times for a myriad of reasons including removing a student from campus so that educational plans can be made to better accommodate the student upon their return, separating students who have engaged in conflict for a “cooling off” period, and finally, sending the message to the general student population that certain behaviors are not tolerated on campus. The use of suspension as part of a progressive disciplinary plan has helped make Carmel Middle School a safe environment for all students. While our suspension levels are comparatively high when looking at other middle schools in CA, our campus environment is rated as consistently “very safe” by our students on both internal and external surveys.

With that in mind, CMS did experience a decline in suspension rates last year. While this one year sample size creates desired colors on our school dashboard, there is no guarantee the trend is statistically significant. As a small school our statistics can change significantly from year to year depending on the number of disciplinary incidents that take place on campus. For example, if a group of students make the choice to engage in drug use together on campus and all of them are suspended for possession/use our suspension data is immediately, significantly, and negatively impacted. Even a slight increase in suspensions would create a large statistical increase and would likely result in a move from Blue or Green to Orange or Red based on one or two incidents.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 1

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

#### Providing Innovative Learning Experiences

Maintenance of Effort Goal: All students engage in challenging, innovative, and relevant learning experiences that prepare them for high school, college, career, and global citizenship.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal 3: All students engage in challenging, innovative, and relevant learning experiences that prepare them for high school, college, career, and global citizenship.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Provide a rigorous, meaningful, engaging educational experience in the online, distance learning platform that ensures continuity of learning.

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

| Metric/Indicator                                                                                                                                                                               | Baseline/Actual Outcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Expected Outcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Maintain Enrollment Numbers in our Innovative Elective Offerings including:<br><br>Robotics and Engineering<br>Video Productions<br>Domestic Arts<br>Computer Science - Game Theory and Design | 2025-26<br>Robotics/Engineering - Enrollment exceeds 45 students<br><br>Video Productions Class - Enrollment exceeds 15 students<br><br>Domestic Arts - Enrollment exceeds 15 students<br><br>Computer Science - Game Theory and Design (New content added to course intended to meet student interests and CTE tech opportunities. Course was closed in 2025-26 due to lack of enrollment) | 2026-27 Expected Outcomes<br>Robotics/Engineering - Enrollment exceeds 60 Students (Current Requests - 72)<br><br>Video Productions Class - Enrollment exceeds 15 students (Current Requests - 12)<br><br>Domestic Arts - Enrollment exceeds 15 students (Current Requests - 14)<br><br>Computer Science - Game Theory and Design - 15 students (Current Requests - 21) |

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

| Strategy/Activity # | Description | Students to be Served | Proposed Expenditures |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                     |             |                       |                       |

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |              |                                                                                              |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.1 | Using student enrollment request data from 2026-27, CMS will continue to support interest based electives tied to CHS programs and connected to CTE/real world skills. Courses include Robotics and Engineering, Video Productions, Computer Science, and Domestic Arts. | All Students | District Funded<br><br>CTE continues to provide amazing financial support for these programs |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Continued support of elective programs offering students choice, real world application/experience, CTE connections and a direct link to CHS elective courses.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Each program requires a significant financial investment to create, maintain and sustain.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

We will continue to monitor interest in these classes based on course requests in addition to anecdotal data from students enrolled in these programs.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 2

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

**Improved Attendance Rates for Students with Disabilities and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students**

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal 2: All students will achieve personal wellness through supportive and engaging school environments that foster health, happiness, and collaboration between our schools and families.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Two significant subgroups continue to struggle with regular attendance. Students with Disabilities - 19.6% Chronically Absent; Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students - 17.2%

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

| Metric/Indicator                                                                                                                | Baseline/Actual Outcome                                                     | Expected Outcome                                                                |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Regular evaluation of student attendance rates (with specific focus on subgroup populations in addition to overall attendance). | CMS improved attendance in all subgroup categories except those identified. | Reduce Chronic Absenteeism Rates by 3-5% in each identified subgroup (SwD, SED) |

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

| Strategy/Activity # | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Students to be Served | Proposed Expenditures |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 2.1                 | <p>1. CMS will provide clear information to all families about Chronic Absenteeism Rates and the negative impact absenteeism has on student achievement. Regular updates on the school's attendance rates will be published in weekly newsletters.</p> <p>2. Using student data systems, school administration and counselors will meet regularly with school attendance secretary to work with specific families and individual students on improved attendance.</p> | All Students          | General Fund          |

# Annual Review

**SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26**

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

## Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 3

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

#### Improve Student Performance in Mathematics

Maintenance of Effort Goal - Subgroups experienced substantial gains again in 2024-25 but achievement gap discrepancies still exist.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal 1: All students engage in challenging, innovative, and relevant learning experiences to prepare them for college, career, and global citizenship.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Improved performance in the area of Mathematics specifically targeting students with disabilities, socioeconomically disadvantaged students, and Hispanic students with the goal of closing the achievement gap.

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

| Metric/Indicator                                      | Baseline/Actual Outcome                                                                    | Expected Outcome                                                             |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Annual School Achievement Data Analysis - CAASPP Data | Significant gaps between SWD, SED, and Hispanic Student achievement and general population | Reduction in the identified gap in school achievement as noted CAASPP scores |

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

| Strategy/Activity # | Description                                                                                                                                 | Students to be Served                                                                                                          | Proposed Expenditures             |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                     |                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                |                                   |
| 3.1                 | CMS has adopted i-Ready Mathematics curriculum and teachers are excited about implementation beginning in the 2026-27 school year.          | All students                                                                                                                   | District Funded                   |
| 3.2                 | Identification and progress monitoring of students identified within specific student groups. Enrollment in intervention classes as needed. | Students identified in student groups: Students with disabilities, socioeconomically disadvantaged students, Hispanic students | None Specified<br>District Funded |
| 3.3                 | CMS will continue work with WestEd Math consultant in the 2026-27 school year.                                                              | All students                                                                                                                   |                                   |

# Annual Review

**SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26**

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

## Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Last year we experienced significant statistical growth in all identified subgroup areas, exceeding our previous goals.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

We are targeting continued improvement in the same subgroup populations with an emphasis on closing the achievement gap.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 4

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

**Maintenance of effort goal: Continue to reduce student use of vaping devices containing nicotine and THC through enhanced educational offerings.**

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal 2: All students will achieve personal wellness through supportive and engaging school environments that fosters health, happiness, and collaboration between our schools and families.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Cumulative data from the 2025-26 school year indicated a year over year decline in the use of vaping devices and THC on the CMS campus. This will still remain an area of focus for CMS in the 2026-27 school year.

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

| Metric/Indicator                                        | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome                                                                                                |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CHKS Data, Challenge Success Data, Internal Survey Data |                         | Reductions in "at risk indicators" stress, drug/alcohol use, vaping, etc.                                       |
| Aeries Disciplinary Data                                |                         | Reduction in incidents related to vaping, THC, Nicotine                                                         |
| Wellness Center Data                                    |                         | Increase educational presentations specifically focused on the risks associated with vaping, THC, nicotine use. |

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

| Strategy/Activity # | Description                                                                                                                                           | Students to be Served | Proposed Expenditures |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 4.1                 | Continue improvements to the CMS Wellness Center specifically examining access and programmatic offerings designed to meet the needs of all students. | All students          | District Funded       |

## Annual Review

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The CMS Wellness Center continues to serve as a powerful resource for students in need of support. Creating further outreach educational programs and presentations focusing on vaping education/risks will benefit schoolwide audience.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal 5

### Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

### LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

### Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

### Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|                  |                         |                  |

### Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

| Strategy/<br>Activity # | Description | Students to be Served | Proposed Expenditures |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                         |             |                       |                       |

## Annual Review

**SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26**


Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.



# Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

## Budget Summary

| DESCRIPTION                                                             | AMOUNT |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application | \$     |
| Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA       | \$     |
| Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI         | \$     |

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

**Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.**

| Federal Programs | Allocation (\$) |
|------------------|-----------------|
|                  |                 |

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

| State or Local Programs | Allocation (\$) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
|                         |                 |

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$

# Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

## Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Amount | Balance |
|----------------|--------|---------|
|----------------|--------|---------|

## Expenditures by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Amount |
|----------------|--------|
|----------------|--------|

## Expenditures by Budget Reference

| Budget Reference | Amount |
|------------------|--------|
|------------------|--------|

## Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

| Budget Reference | Funding Source | Amount |
|------------------|----------------|--------|
|------------------|----------------|--------|

## Expenditures by Goal

| Goal Number | Total Expenditures |
|-------------|--------------------|
|-------------|--------------------|

# School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Dan Morgan School Principal

Kenna Little Classroom Teachers

Roeya Banuazizi Other School Staff

Jessica Ortega, Jessica Hartley, Kristi Kahle, Sarah Brogden Parent or Community Members

Peyton Haag Secondary Students

| Name of Members | Role                       |
|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Kenna Little    | Classroom Teacher          |
| Roeya Banuazizi | Other School Staff         |
| Jen Barnes      | Principal                  |
| Jessica Ortega  | Parent or Community Member |
| Jessica Hartley | Parent or Community Member |
| Kristi Kahle    | Parent or Community Member |
| Sarah Brogden   | Parent or Community Member |
| Peyton Haag     | Secondary Student          |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

# Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

| Signature | Committee or Advisory Group Name |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
|           | Other: Principal's Advisory Team |

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 05/02/25.

Attested:

Dan Morgan

Principal, Dan Morgan on 04.24.26

SSC Chairperson, Roeya Banuazizi on 04.24.26

# Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

## Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at [LCFF@cde.ca.gov](mailto:LCFF@cde.ca.gov).

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at [TITLEI@cde.ca.gov](mailto:TITLEI@cde.ca.gov).

## Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

### **Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

### **Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

## Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

### **Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

### **Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

## Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

**SWP Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

**CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

**ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

## **Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures**

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA’s LCAP.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

**Goal**

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach.

A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **Specific,**
- **Measurable,**
- **Achievable,**
- **Realistic, and**
- **Time-bound.**

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

**Identified Need**

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

**Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

## **Strategies/Activities Table**

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Strategy/Activity #:** Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- **Description:** Describe the strategy/activity.
- **Students to be Served:** Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- **Proposed Expenditures:** List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to *EC* Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- **Funding Sources:** List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

**Note:** Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

## Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

## Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

**Note:** If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

### Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

### Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

## Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

**Note:** *If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.*

**Additional CSI Planning Requirements:**

- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

**Note:** *CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.*

**Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:**

**Note:** *Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.*

## **Budget Summary Table**

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

# Appendix A: Plan Requirements

## Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

## Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
  - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
    1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
      - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
      - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
        - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
        - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
        - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
        - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
        - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
    - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

## Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
  - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
  - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
  - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
  - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
    - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
    - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
    - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
  - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
    - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
    - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
    - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
    - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
    - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
  1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
  2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
  3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
  - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
  - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (34 *CFR*), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

# Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at [SISO@cde.ca.gov](mailto:SISO@cde.ca.gov).

## Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

## Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

## **CSI Resources**

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab):  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp>
- **CSI Webinars:** <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp>
- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:**  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp>

## Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

## Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

## ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab):  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar:  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:  
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp>

## Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>
- Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023