

**MINUTES
TOWN COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY – JUNE 3, 2026
HYBRID REGULAR MEETING – 7:00 P.M.**

Item 1. Call to Order. Chairman Fellows called the regular meeting of the Scarborough Town Council to order at 7:00 p.m.

Item 2. Pledge of Allegiance.

Item 3. Roll Call. Roll was called by Yolande P. Justice, Clerk to the Council. Thomas J. Hall, Town Manager and Liam Gallagher, Assistant Town Manager, were present. Those Councilors present:

Councilor Scott W. Doherty	Councilor Jonathan E. Anderson
Councilor Lawrence W. Cain	Councilor William J. Donovan
Councilor Karin B. Shupe [Absent]	Councilor Michelle T. Hayes
Chairman Cory R. Fellow	

Item 4. General Public Comments.

- Denise Hamilton of Two Rod Road, voiced her concerns about the FY27 paving schedule, particularly for critical roads like Holmes Road, which need immediate grading and repaving due to heightened traffic. She argued that municipal decisions should not allow taxpayers west of the Turnpike to suffer from inadequate infrastructure. State law dictates that if roads are neglected, the DOT may intervene to fund repairs. The FedEx project faced criticism for leaving part of the road poorly maintained, and the removal of the bypass shoulder at Maple Avenue had resulted in increased congestion and accidents. She advocated for public input before any permanent road operations and safety decisions were made.
- Averill Hill of Maple Avenue, stated that at a prior town council meeting, residents expressed safety concerns about Maple Avenue, particularly regarding cars turning from Gorham Road onto Maple Avenue. She felt that the issues arose after the town removed a passing shoulder during a road project. The prior shoulder had improved safety but was removed, leading to increased collisions. She indicated that the town engineer noted a potential reinstatement of the shoulder, funding remains uncertain. She suggested to better gauge community sentiment, a survey of the Maple Avenue neighborhood was proposed to include all stakeholders' views on the passing shoulder.
- Stephen Zamierowski of Theresa Masse Lane and a member of the Parks and Conservation Land Board and the Housing Alliance, expressed gratitude to the Council, staff, and school committee for the FY2027 Budget. He advocated for a yes vote on the school budget, highlighting that it met essential needs while keeping the tax rate below inflation. He stressed the significance of strong education for democracy and the necessity for citizens to support education financially. Additionally, he recommended applying SMART principles for future reviews and goal-setting, acknowledging the difficulties of maintaining town services while minimizing taxes.
- Alyson Bristol of Bayview Avenue, commented on the workshop prior to the regular meeting. She criticized the negative changes to the area's character, which have led to unaffordability. Current zoning demanded 10% of dwelling units in developments be affordable, but none have been built recently. She proposed mandatory completion of affordable housing in each cycle, disapproved of developers meeting obligations through land sales, and suggested limiting growth permits to ensure affordable housing increase and supported the state's proposal to reduce the minimum percentage for common scheme developments to 35%.

Item 5. Minutes: May 20, 2026 - Town Council Meeting. Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval of the minutes from May 20, 2026, Town Council meeting, as written.

Vote: 6 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Item 6. Adjustment to the Agenda. None at this time.

Item 7. Items to be signed: a. Treasurer's Warrants. Treasurer's Warrants were signed prior to the meeting.

Item 8. Town Manager's Report. Thomas J. Hall, Town Manager, gave the following updates:

- **Scottow Hill Road Closure** -
 - Town Council Workshop- 2/4/26 and Councilor Corner Live - 2/12/26
 - MIAF Grant application submitted
 - Budget authority to preserve all options in the FY27 budget
 - Town Council Decision in August
- **Road Projects Update** -
 - Spring Cleaning - street sweeping and catch basin
 - Annual paving underway
 - Pine Point Watermain Replacement Project -
 - Additional drainage improvements considered
 - Potential Pilot Project for Jones Creek Drive
 - Restoration of overflow parking lot
 - Spurwink Phase 2 - Final paving/grading waiting for pole relocation
- **Eastern Trail Project** -
 - Considerably ahead of schedule
 - Contract Dispute- In process
 - June 11 meeting with MDOT for additional funding
- **Pickleball/Skate Park** - webpage/communication plan
 - Pickleball courts resurfaced - project completed by late July
 - New skate park location- Completed Fall 2026
 - Planning Board in June
 - Public engagement process- community meeting planned
- **Property Tax Stabilization** - GPCOG effort
 - County-based Local Option Sales Tax
 - Specific Proposal unveiled- seeking sponsorship for next session
- **IF&W Meeting** - May 28, 2026
 - Discussed alternatives
- **Workshop Schedule** -
 - June 17 - Mid-year Town Council Goals Review- **Please review/prepare**

- **Safe Streets for All - GPGOC**
 - Route One demonstration Project
 - Area would be Hannaford Drive to Little Dolphin Drive
 - From Mid June to Mid November
- **New Curbside Collection Group**
 - Garbage to Garden – be in Southern Maine for 10 to 15 Years
 - Coordinate with Company regarding notice
 - Routes would not change
- **Casella - Green Waste**
 - Residents would still be able to bring leaves, grass clippings, etc.
- **Possible Vacancy on the Board of Education**
 - Vacancy within 6 months of a regular election, you have two options
 - Hold a special election or
 - Wait until November
- **Address comments a BOE statement in the Town Newsletter**
 - Assistant Town Manager addressed the concern
 - Did confer with the Town’s Legal Counsel
 - Confident of no outstanding issues

Item 9. Standing and Special Committee Reports and Liaison Reports.

- Councilor Hayes noted the Communications Committee, held their inaugural Ambassadors meeting last Thursday with 15 attendees. The meeting was engaging and fruitful, generating over 50 new ideas to enhance communication in town, largely driven by recent counselor conversations. The next meeting is scheduled for Monday evening, inviting the same group to further develop a communication strategy and action items to increase public involvement and transparency.
- Councilor Anderson thanked Councilor Hayes for facilitating the inaugural Ambassadors meeting. It focused on community feedback about Scarborough. Key points included a desire for property tax relief, the need to manage growth, and concerns about social media behavior. The meeting emphasized face-to-face engagement and encouraged public participation, particularly during the upcoming election on June 9th, where information on property tax relief and council goals will be shared. For the Transportation Committee meeting the topics included the Jones Creek Pilot Project and a review of the traffic calming policy. Future meetings will aim to include expert insights on safety related to traffic slip lanes, aiming to gather further community input before decisions are made.
- Councilor Donovan – Scarborough Housing Alliance Committee held a meeting on the 27th, primarily focused on the Housing Assessment Study and engaging in a preliminary discussion regarding the scope of work, with HRNA advisors recommended due to their favorable past history. Additionally, the Sustainability Committee met to discuss its support for the metro transit proposal and topics related to the data center moratorium, anticipating a role in upcoming zoning amendments during this period.
- Chairman Fellows noted that the Long-Range Planning Committee would be meet on the 12th to continue work on zoning district consolidation and discuss new modifications related to state legislation and use area designations in select areas of town.

- Councilor Cain noted a successful collaboration between the School Committee and legal counsel regarding a school building project. Community member Matt Garrett raised concerns about traffic impact fees, which are applicable to municipal projects. After consulting with legal counsel, it was determined that the school projects can waive these fees, resulting in a budget reduction of \$500,000 to \$550,000. This initiative marked an effort by the School Committee to identify cost-saving opportunities without affecting the educational value or design of the new building.

Item 10. RESOLUTION/PROCLAMATIONS. None at this time.

Item 11. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

Order No. 26-046. 7:00 p.m. Public hearing and second reading on the request for a 180-day temporary moratorium entitled “Town of Scarborough Ordinance Establishing a Temporary Moratorium on Data Centers.” [Town Council Chair] Chairman Fellows gave a brief overview on this Order. He then opened the public hearing. The following spoke on this:

- Gregory P. Braun, Esq. representing 121 Two Rod Road, LLC and 151 Two Rod Road, LLC asked that the following letter be made part of the record:

“June 3, 2026

*Members of the Scarborough Town
Council c/o Town Clerk
Town of Scarborough
259 US Route One
P.O. Box 360
Scarborough, ME 04070*

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Re: Order No. 26-046 — Temporary Moratorium on Data Centers

Public Hearing and Second Reading — June 3, 2026

Supplemental Submission for the Hearing Record — 121 Two Rod Road, LLC and 151 Two Rod Road, LLC

Dear Members of the Scarborough Town Council:

I represent 121 Two Rod Road, LLC and 151 Two Rod Road, LLC (the “Applicants”). I write briefly, in advance of this evening’s public hearing and second reading on Order No. 26-046, to place several facts before the Council so that its record is complete as it deliberates. This submission supplements the Applicants’ and my prior correspondence of May 19 and 20, 2026; the rights and reservations stated there are reaffirmed.

The proposed Order recites a series of concerns associated with data centers — among them demand on the electrical grid and infrastructure reliability; water consumption and demand on municipal systems; noise, heat, and emissions; increased traffic, road wear, and strain on public facilities; and land-use intensity. We respectfully ask the Council to note, as it weighs those recitals, the following facts as they stand in Scarborough today.

As of June 3, 2026, no data center has been constructed, permitted, or placed in operation in the Town of Scarborough. The Town's own Summary Cover Sheet describes "interest in locating a large-scale data center" — a single, prospective expression of interest. The Town has accordingly experienced none of the conditions the proposed Order describes: no data-center demand has been placed on the electrical grid or on the Town's infrastructure; no data-center water use has drawn upon municipal or private supplies; no data-center traffic, road wear, or burden on public facilities has occurred; and no data-center noise, heat, emission, odor, vibration, or nuisance has been generated or reported. Each condition the Order recites is stated in prospective and conditional terms; none is a present circumstance in the Town.

The Council's own materials further reflect that data-center uses would currently fall under the Town's High Technology Use category, governed by the High Technology Performance Standards adopted April 16, 2008, amended July 18, 2012 and recently again March 19, 2025. As reproduced in the Town's Summary Cover Sheet, those standards require Planning Board review and address offensive smoke, dust, odor, and airborne discharge; offensive noise and vibration to abutting landowners; the handling of hazardous materials; outdoor storage; unsafe or excessive traffic and the burden on Town roads; design compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood; and the confinement of activities within the principal structure.

Again — the Town amended these standards as recently as March 19, 2025, and on information and belief as of today there are no data centers yet operating in the Town of Scarborough pursuant to these standards; and, there is but one (1) single application pending pursuant to 1 M.R.S. § 302 before the Town Planning Board set to be reviewed and considered based upon these same High Technology Performance Standards.

I respectfully request that these facts be entered into and made part of the record of the June 3, 2026 public hearing on Order No. 26-046, and that the Council weigh them in its deliberations. The Applicants remain prepared to proceed in good faith under the Town's existing ordinance and to continue working cooperatively with the Town, its staff, and its residents.

With consideration,

*/s/Gregory P. Braun, Esq.
Maine Bar No. 004636*

*cc: Daniel Dickinson, President — 121 Two Rod Road, LLC and 151 Two Rod Road, LLC
Phil Saucier, Esq., Town Attorney
Tom Hall, Town Manager
Rick Meinking, Chair, Scarborough Planning Board"*

- Sophie Warren, State Representative for Scarborough, advocated for a temporary moratorium on data center development until a regulatory framework is established. She highlighted the need

for time to address impacts on the electric grid, water resources, and land use planning. Despite the governor's veto of an 18-month pause, She called for local action due to concerns about water resources and corporate influence. She encourages community engagement for collaborative legislative efforts in the next session to create necessary guidelines.

- Boni Kabongo of Woodside Drive, commented on his roots in South Portland and life in Scarborough with spouse Sophie Warren. He expressed pride in Scarborough as a family-raising locale but recall growing up near petroleum storage tanks and later understanding the harmful emissions they produced. While community efforts and regulations have been implemented, concerns about cancerous benzene levels persist. He advocated for a moratorium on new developments, urging caution in understanding potential environmental impacts before making irreversible decisions..
- Elaine Williams of Old Blue Point Road, advocated for a moratorium on data centers due to concerns over severe water resource depletion. Citing personal drought experiences, she highlighted the negative impact of increased water demand from these facilities on local wells and referred to research warning that deeper groundwater extraction might cause land subsidence. While recognizing economic benefits, she emphasized that community needs and environmental health should outweigh short-term economic gains, urging the council to prioritize residents' well-being and natural resources.
- Tristen Hellewell of Old Blue Point Road, supported a moratorium on data center development in West Scarborough, expressing disappointment at the governor's veto of bipartisan efforts and highlighted the area's natural beauty and issues, like rising electricity costs and increasing mosquito populations. They challenged the perceived benefits of data centers, citing concerns over water usage, emissions, and health impacts and emphasized the need for transparency and public benefit, urging the Council to evaluate the long-term implications of such developments for Scarborough's future.
- Elle Saito of Gorham running for State Representative, advocated for a 180-day moratorium in Scarborough, motivated by concerns about climate change and its impacts, such as rising temperatures, flooding, and droughts and emphasized the long-term implications of current decisions on future generations and stresses the need for transparency regarding the impact of data centers. She hoped for support of the ordinance to ensure a sustainable future for the community and appreciates the opportunity to speak at the town council meeting.
- Alberta Follansbee of Arbor View Lane, voiced her support for an extended moratorium on local data centers, citing concerns over their excessive water usage and negative impacts on the community. She emphasized the urgency of addressing these issues before proceeding with any irreversible actions and expressed her deep worry for the town and advocated for more time to assess the situation, highlighting strong points made by others against the rapid development of data centers.
- Sarah Woodbury representing Maine Conservation Voters (MCV), advocated for a moratorium on data centers to protect community health and the environment, as they consume 4.4% of U.S. electricity and have caused utility rates to rise by 267% in neighboring areas. Given Maine's drought conditions and the high water usage of data centers—up to 300,000 gallons daily—regulatory oversight is necessary. Additionally, these centers use PFAS for cooling, exacerbating Maine's PFAS crisis. We urge the council to focus on environmental protection and allow the advisory council time to assess regulations. A moratorium is a prudent first step.
- Suzanne Foley-Ferguson of Black Point Road, advocated for a moratorium on data centers in Scarborough, stressing the need for a community committee to tackle water, power, and noise issues. She referenced her niece's research from Howell, Michigan, showcasing the local community's proactive approach through ordinance drafting. Concerned about environmental

degradation and pollution from data centers are raised, alongside financial risks tied to TIF financing. She highlighted noise pollution leading to lawsuits elsewhere, advising local real estate agents to factor in litigation risks.

- Averill Hill of Maple Avenue, noted that living on Maple Avenue, she initially mistook sounds from a nearby speedway for drag racing. She appreciated the consistency of the racing and also noted noises from a shooting range and data centers, highlighting the far-reaching effects of sound and vibrations. She argued that the impact of data centers extended beyond their immediate proximity, advocating for a moratorium and a ban on such developments.
- Tammy Mittman of Beech Ridge Road, emphasized the need to vote for a moratorium due to the impact of climate change on coastal properties. She pointed out that reliance on property taxes will diminish as property values decline from storm damage, potentially leading to insufficient revenue for recovery efforts. She also highlighted the high energy consumption of data centers, which exacerbate the climate issue, urging consideration of alternative revenue sources like sales tax to address the challenges posed by climate change.
- Denise Hamilton of Two Rod Road, state she and her husband supported the moratorium on data centers, viewing the recent application rejection as a reflection opportunity. They advocated pausing to consider whether we want data centers in our community, considering scale, land use, infrastructure, water consumption, noise, and long-term impacts. They appreciated Representative Sophie Warren and others for their efforts and advocated for a permanent ban on data centers in Scarborough to protect the community's future.

Via Zoom:

- Matthew Keith of Pleasant Avenue, supported the moratorium on the proposed data center in Scarborough, expressing concerns about the rapid development of AI and its implications for the community. He emphasized the need for careful consideration and education regarding data centers, which often exploit community suffering for profit. He acknowledged the importance of taking time to understand the issue and expressed hope for collective learning and thoughtful decision-making for Scarborough's future.

There being no further comments either for or against, the hearing was closed at 8:31 p.m.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval of the second reading on the request for a 180-day temporary moratorium entitled “Town of Scarborough Ordinance Establishing a Temporary Moratorium on Data Centers;” as follows:

TOWN OF SCARBOROUGH ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A TEMPORARY MORATORIUM ON DATA CENTERS

WHEREAS, the Town has experienced increasing interest in the siting and development of data centers, which are large-scale facilities used for the processing, storage, and transmission of digital information; and,

WHEREAS, data centers are intensive uses requiring substantial and continuous electrical power, raising concerns regarding impacts on local grid capacity and infrastructure reliability; and,

WHEREAS, such facilities rely on extensive machinery and systems that may generate noise, heat, and emissions affecting surrounding properties and natural resources; and,

WHEREAS, data centers may require significant water usage and place demands on local water supplies and municipal systems; and,

WHEREAS, the construction and operation of data centers may increase traffic, road wear, and strain on public infrastructure; and,

WHEREAS, data centers often occupy large areas, raising concerns regarding efficient land use and consistency with the Town's planning goals; and,

WHEREAS, there is a strong likelihood of continued development pressure for data centers due to regional and national demand; and,

WHEREAS, the Town of Scarborough's Zoning Ordinance is "designed to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the municipality" and otherwise to promote public health, safety, and welfare; and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to 30-A M.R.S § 4356(1), the Town's existing Zoning Ordinance does not provide an adequate mechanism to address the concerns related to the construction and operation of data centers and to prevent public harm from an overburdening of public facilities and potential adverse effects on neighborhoods due to environmental concerns and traffic that may create a public nuisance or hazard; and,

WHEREAS, the Town will need at least 180 days to study the impact of the construction and operation of data centers, develop and implement amendments to the Zoning Ordinance which appropriately and adequately regulate the construction and operation of data centers to minimize or eliminate the public health, safety, and welfare risks posed by the aforementioned development pressures; and,

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to the authority granted to it by 30-A M.R.S. § 4356, be it hereby ordained by the Town Council of the Town of Scarborough as follows:

1. DEFINITIONS:

As used in this Ordinance, the following term has the following meaning:

"Data center" shall mean any facility, which may be a freestanding structure or a facility within a larger structure, that primarily contains electronic equipment used to process, store, and transmit digital information that uses environmental control equipment to maintain the proper conditions for the operation of electronic equipment.

2. APPLICABILITY AND PURPOSE.

This Ordinance shall apply to any proposal to develop, establish, operate, or expand data centers on or after April 1, 2026. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in 1 M.R.S. §302 or any other law, this Ordinance applies retroactively to any application submitted on or after April 1, 2026, relating to the development, establishment, operation, or expansion of data centers in the Town of Scarborough, whether or not such application had become a "pending proceeding" as defined in 1 M.R.S. § 302.

3. PROHIBITION.

During the time this Ordinance is in effect, no official, officer, board, body, agency, agent or employee of the Town of Scarborough shall accept, process or act upon any application for any approval, including but not limited to a building permit, certificate of occupancy, site plan review, conditional use, license, or any other approval, relating to the establishment, operation, or expansion of data centers. No person shall develop, establish, operate, or expand the use of property for a data center in the Town of Scarborough based on any application for any approval submitted after April 1, 2026.

4. ENFORCEMENT, VIOLATION AND PENALTIES.

This Ordinance shall be enforced by the Code Enforcement Officer of the Town of Scarborough. Any person who violates this Ordinance shall be subject to civil penalties and other remedies as provided in 30-A M.R.S. § 4452.

5. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its adoption and shall remain in full force and effect for a period of 180 days thereafter, unless extended pursuant to law or until a new and revised set of regulations is adopted by the Town, whichever shall first occur.

6. SEVERABILITY.

Should any section or provision of this Ordinance be declared by any court to be invalid, such a decision shall not invalidate any other section or provision.

Vote: 6 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Order No. 26-048. 7:00 p.m. Public hearing and action on the new request for a Food Handlers License from Coastal Curry, located at 300 Gallery Blvd. Unit B. [Town Clerk's Office] There was a brief overview given on this Order. Chairman Fellows opened the public hearing. As there were no comments either for or against, the hearing was closed at 8:45 p.m.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval on the new request for a Food Handlers License from Coastal Curry, located at 300 Gallery Blvd. Unit B.

Vote: 6 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Order No. 26-049. 7:00 p.m. Public hearing and action on the new request for a Mobile Food Vendors License from Jim Allen d/b/a Smokin Dads BBQ. [Town Clerk's Office] There was a brief overview given on this Order. Chairman Fellows opened the public hearing. As there were no comments either for or against, the hearing was closed at 8:47 p.m.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval on the new request for a Mobile Food Vendors License from Jim Allen d/b/a Smokin Dads BBQ.

Vote: 6 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Item 12. OLD BUSINESS: None at this time.

Item 13. NEW BUSINESS:

Order No. 26-050. First reading and schedule a public hearing and second reading on the proposed amendment to Chapter 1016 – Garage/Yard Sale Ordinance. [Town Clerk's Office] A brief overview was given on this Order.

Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval of the first reading on the proposed amendment to Chapter 1016 – Garage/Yard Sale Ordinance and schedule a public hearing and second reading for Wednesday, June 17, 2026, as follows:

BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED by the Town Council of the Town of Scarborough, Maine, in Town Council assembled, that the following amendments to Chapter 1016 the Town of Scarborough Garage/Yard Sale Ordinance, be and hereby is amended, as follows as presented (additions are underlined and in red font):

CHAPTER 1016

**TOWN OF SCARBOROUGH
GARAGE/YARD SALE ORDINANCE
[Adopted March 21, 2007 – Amended June 19, 2019]**

PERMIT REQUIRED FOR GARAGE/YARD SALES.

No person shall conduct a garage/yard sale or similar sale of in excess of three (3) items of personal property without first having obtained from the Town Clerk a permit to conduct such sale. The Town Clerk may issue such permit, at a cost ~~of five dollars (\$5.00)~~ outlined in Chapter 311 Schedule of Fees. to any person proposing to conduct such sale provided that such permit and any sale conducted thereunder shall not be lawful and valid for more than three (3) consecutive days. Signs may be utilized to advertise such sale; provided, however, no such signs shall obstruct or intrude onto any public right-of-way, block the sight vision of any public right-of-way for vehicular or pedestrian traffic, be placed upon any public utility pole, or be placed upon the property of others without their prior consent. Such signs may not be erected or allowed to remain either more than twenty-four (24) hours prior to or more than twenty-four (24) hours after such sale.

The Town Clerk may issue only six (6) garage/yard sale permits for such sale, whether conducted indoors or out-of-doors, to any person or for a sale on any given residence within a consecutive twelve-month period. Any sale of this type conducted more frequently than three (3) consecutive days for each twelve (12) consecutive month period, or any sale conducted without permit, shall be considered a use of land, which must comply with the Scarborough Zoning Ordinance and all licensing ordinances of the Town of Scarborough.

ATTACHING ADVERTISING MATERIAL TO VEHICLES; PLACING MATERIALS IN VEHICLES.

No person shall attach any advertising material of any kind, such as handbills, cards or papers, to the door handle, windshield wiper or any portion of any motor vehicle parked or standing in any street or public place, nor shall any person deposit any such material or samples of any kind within or upon any such vehicle so parked or standing.

PENALTY

The first offense would be a warning; thereafter, any person who shall violate any provision of this ordinance shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten dollars (\$10.00) and not more than fifty dollars (\$50.00) may be imposed. Each day that such violation continues to exist shall constitute a separate offense.

Vote: 5 Yeas. 1 Nay [Councilor Doherty]. Motion Carried.

Order No. 26-051. Act on the request from the Police Chief to Designate the Community Services Facility (HUB), located at 418 Payne Road as a “Drug Free Zone”. [Police Chief] Deputy Chief Grover gave a brief overview on this request.

Motion by Councilor Hayes, seconded by Councilor Anderson, to move approval on the request from the Police Chief to Designate the Community Services Facility (HUB), located at 418 Payne Road as a “Drug Free Zone”.

Vote: 6 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Item 10. Non-Action Item. None at this time.

Item 11. Council Member Comments.

- Councilor Hayes reminded everyone to vote on Tuesday at the high school from 7 AM to 8 PM. Additionally, a community engagement meeting will be held on June 25th at 5 PM at the Public Safety building to discuss the skateboard park. It's important for children and parents to participate to ensure that the voices of users are considered in the decision-making process. The aim is to design a facility that meets the needs of the kids and users while respecting the budget.
- Councilor Anderson noted that he would not be in attendance at the next meeting due to work commitments, but planned to send contributions on discussion topics, particularly goals. He will not be present, but would send his thoughts regarding goals. He added that a resident provided input highlighting three key priorities: trees, taxes, and transportation. He noted that these areas resonate with residents and encouraged their consideration for future meetings, especially as the council has limited time to address them in the latter half of the year.
- Councilor Cain stated, I just want to go on record that I like the 9 o'clock finish rather than the 11 o'clock/midnight finish.
- Councilor Donovan noted each year at Scarborough's Beaches, particularly Higgins Beach, a delightful event occurs as baby chicks emerge from their nests. Residents can witness at least four chicks at a time, with numbers increasing to 20 or more as both parents care for their eggs. Living at Higgins Beach allows for an enjoyable view of this charming scene.

Item 12. Adjournment. Motion by Councilor Anderson, seconded by Councilor Hayes, to move approval to adjourn the regular meeting of the Scarborough Town Council.

Vote: 6 Yeas. Motion Carried.

Meeting adjourned at 8:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yolande P. Justice
Clerk to the Council