2026-27 Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Tracy Independent Study Charter School, Tracy Unified School District	Annabelle Lee Principal	alee@tusd.net 209-830-3380

Introduction

The California State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area.

This template is intended as a drafting tool and based on the Local Performance Indicator Quick Guide published by CDE in January 2024.

Performance Standards

The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at the same public meeting of the local governing board/body at which the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) is adopted.
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Local Indicators

The local indicators address the following state priority areas:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home

- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Note: LEAs are required to report the following to their local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP:

- The LEA’s Teacher Assignment Monitoring and Outcome data available at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/tamo.asp.

- The number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, and

- The number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same public meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers an annual local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness, in at least one grade within each grade span(s) the LEA serves (e.g., TK-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board/body at the same public meeting at which the LCAP is adopted and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

Note: LEAs are required to report the following to their local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the LCAP:

- The LEA’s Teacher Assignment Monitoring and Outcome data available at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/tamo.asp.
- The number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home, and
- The number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Academic Year	Total Teaching FTE	Clear	Out-of-Field	Intern	Ineffective	Incomplete	Unknown	N/A
2023-24	17	97.06	2.94	0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0%

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The “Good Repair” Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	0

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

LEAs may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, LEAs may complete the optional reflection tool (Option 2).

OPTION 1: Narrative Summary (Limited to 3,000 characters)
In the narrative box provided on the Dashboard, identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track its progress in implementing the state academic standards adopted by the state board and briefly describe why the LEA chose the selected measures or tools.

Additionally, summarize the LEA's progress in implementing the academic standards adopted by the SBE, based on the locally selected measures or tools. The adopted academic standards are:

- English Language Arts (ELA) – Common Core State Standards for ELA
- English Language Development (ELD) (Aligned to Common Core State Standards for ELA)
- Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics
- Next Generation Science Standards
- History-Social Science
- Career Technical Education
- Health Education Content Standards
- Physical Education Model Content Standards
- Visual and Performing Arts
- World Language

Tracy Charter School has standards-aligned independent study online instruction for students in all of K-12. Students all have equal access to ELA, Math, Science, Social Science, PE, CTE, World Language and Art courses. Edgenuity provides immediate progress reports and feedback to students and teachers. These reports are used by all teachers as a local measure of each individual student's progress toward their learning goals.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. **Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.**

   Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
   1 - Exploration and Research Phase
   2 - Beginning Development
   3 - Initial Implementation
   4 - Full Implementation
   5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

3. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Career Technical Education				4		
Health Education Content Standards				4		
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4		
Visual and Performing Arts				4		
World Language				4		

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

At Tracy Charter School, we implement California State Standards through a combination of online curriculum, credentialed teacher guidance, and regular student assessments.

Our primary instructional platform, Edgenuity, is aligned to the California State Standards and allows us to monitor real-time student progress across content areas. Teachers supplement the platform with targeted instruction and interventions based on student needs. Implementation progress is reviewed annually through internal assessments, FastBridge diagnostics, and stakeholder input gathered through the LCAP survey and WASC self-study process.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: [1]

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

2. Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

   1 – Exploration and Research
   2 – Beginning Development
   3 – Initial Implementation
   4 – Full Implementation
   5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.

5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
1. Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.	4
2. Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.	4
3. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.	4
4. Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.	5

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Tracy Charter continues to strengthen meaningful relationships between school staff and families by incorporating feedback from educational partners and analyzing local data. Staff receive ongoing professional development focused on building respectful, trusting relationships with families from diverse backgrounds.
The school promotes a welcoming and inclusive environment through family-friendly policies and accessible facilities. Regular communication, including a monthly community newsletter and a centralized communication hub, ensures families stay informed and connected.

Staff are supported in understanding each student's individual goals, which helps foster personalized learning experiences. In addition, Tracy Charter emphasizes two-way communication by providing multiple accessible channels, allowing all families to actively participate in their child's education.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Based on input from educational partners, as well as findings from the WASC self-study and LCAP engagement process, Tracy Charter has identified key focus areas for strengthening relationships between school staff and families. The school will continue to support staff in building their capacity to effectively connect with and serve a diverse student population.
Additionally, Tracy Charter aims to expand opportunities for family engagement by increasing both in-person and virtual offerings, including targeted workshops, events, and advisory sessions. These efforts are intended to enhance family involvement and foster a more collaborative and connected school community.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

Tracy Charter School leverages its small class sizes to promote strong, individualized relationships among staff, students, and families. Teachers dedicate at least one hour each week to in-person student support, which also provides meaningful opportunities to connect with families and strengthen home-school partnerships. These consistent, personalized interactions help build trust and support a collaborative approach to student success.

To improve engagement with underrepresented families identified through the self-reflection process, Tracy Charter utilizes a variety of communication tools, including automated phone calls, text messages, emails, district and school websites, and the Aeries Parent Portal. These tools help address diverse language, technology, and accessibility needs.

Moving forward, the school will partner with families to better understand their preferred communication methods and expand outreach using culturally responsive practices. By continuing to offer multiple inclusive communication options and incorporating ongoing family feedback, Tracy Charter aims to increase participation and ensure underrepresented voices are more fully included in the educational experience.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
5. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.	4

Practices	Rating Scale Number
6. Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.	4
7. Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.	4
8. Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.	4

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Tracy Charter continues to demonstrate strong progress in building partnerships that support positive student outcomes. The school prioritizes fostering meaningful relationships within both the school and the broader community. Staff collaborate to communicate available resources, programs, and services to students and families. In partnership with the TUSD Prevention Services Department, the school also provides targeted support for students experiencing homelessness and foster youth, ensuring families have access to essential services.

Families are regularly encouraged to engage in parent outreach events and provide input on school and district initiatives. Additionally, the school's Advisory Council plays an important role in reviewing student performance data and helping to guide responsive strategies to address schoolwide needs. These collaborative efforts have strengthened partnerships between families and the school, contributing to enhanced support systems and improved student outcomes.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Based on educational partner feedback and local data analysis, Tracy Charter School has identified the need to strengthen and expand its efforts in building partnerships for student outcomes.
Key focus areas include increasing accessibility to school resources and ensuring families have the tools to support student learning at home.

The school will also work to provide more frequent, diverse, and inclusive opportunities for families, teachers, and students to engage in meaningful dialogue about academic progress.
Sustaining and deepening collaboration with community partners remains a priority, particularly in creating shared ownership of student success and ensuring all voices are represented in school planning and decision-making.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

To improve engagement of underrepresented families, Tracy Charter School will implement a comprehensive, equity-focused approach aimed at building strong, trusting relationships within the school community. All staff will continue to play an active role in clearly communicating available resources and supports to ensure families are well-

informed. In partnership with TUSD Prevention Services, the school will provide targeted assistance to students experiencing homelessness or in foster care, ensuring that the unique needs of these families are addressed.

The school will also expand parent outreach opportunities and strengthen the role of the Advisory Council to promote more inclusive family participation in school decision-making. By increasing access to culturally responsive and inclusive engagement opportunities, Tracy Charter seeks to empower underrepresented families to actively support their child's academic success and contribute meaningfully to school improvement efforts.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Practices	Rating Scale Number
9. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.	4
10. Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.	4
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.	4
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.	4

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Tracy Charter School demonstrates strong progress in seeking input for decision-making through intentional engagement of educational partners and analysis of local data. Parents and students have provided valuable input through the TUSD LCAP and WASC accreditation processes, which included multiple opportunities for review and feedback.

The school builds staff capacity to effectively engage families in advisory groups and decision-making processes, promoting a collaborative and inclusive environment. Additionally, Tracy Charter fosters partnerships among families, teachers, site leadership, and district administrators to inform the planning, design, implementation, and evaluation of programs and initiatives at both the school and district levels.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

Tracy Charter School focuses on improving Seeking Input for Decision-Making by developing multiple, effective communication channels to gather family and community input and establishing regular opportunities for feedback through surveys, meetings, and forums. Additionally, Tracy Charter School aims to increase transparency around how input is used to inform decisions, fostering trust and accountability, and to create collaborative platforms where families, students, and staff can engage in meaningful dialogue about school initiatives and policies.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

The school provides all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs through the LCAP process, with strategies in place to reach and seek input from underrepresented groups, ensuring inclusivity in decision-making processes.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

Introduction

The initial design of the Local Control Funding Formula recognized the critical role that positive school conditions and climate play in advancing student performance and equity. This recognition is grounded in a research base demonstrating that a positive school climate directly impacts indicators of success such as increased teacher retention, lower dropout rates, decreased incidences of violence, and higher student achievement.

In order to support comprehensive planning, LEAs need access to current data. The measurement of school climate provides LEAs with critical data that can be used to track progress in school climate for purposes of continuous improvement, and the ability to identify needs and implement changes to address local needs.

Introduction
LEAs are required, at a minimum, to annually administer a local climate survey. The survey must:

- Capture a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within each grade span the LEA serves (e.g. TK-5, 6-8, 9-12); and

- At a minimum, report disaggregated data by student groups identified in California Education Code 52052, when such data is available as part of the local school climate survey.

Based on the analysis of local data, including the local climate survey data, LEAs are to respond to the following three prompts. Each prompt response is limited to 3,000 characters. An LEA may provide hyperlink(s) to other documents as necessary within each prompt:

Prompt 1 (DATA): Describe the local climate survey data, including available data disaggregated by student groups. LEAs using surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, are encouraged to report the overall score for all students as well as available student group scores. Responses may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

Tracy Charter administers an annual LCAP survey to parents, students and staff. This survey incudes questions pertaining to the safety and climate and captures a measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness.
Questions on the survey touch both on physical and emotional safety as well as how connected and comfortable students feel connecting with staff, asking for help and being motivated to learn.

CLIMATE- Positive Response Percentages
Parents - 91.28%
Students - 86.45%
Staff - 90.70%

SAFETY - Positive Response Percentage
Parents - 92.31%
Students - 89.73%
Staff - 92.4%

Prompt 2 (MEANING): Describe key learnings, including identified needs and areas of strength determined through the analysis of data described in Prompt 1, including the available data disaggregated by student group.

Analysis of the annual LCAP survey data indicates that Tracy Charter maintains a strong school climate and culture of safety across all stakeholder groups. Positive responses for school climate were high among parents (91.28%), staff (90.70%), and students (86.45%), while perceptions of safety were similarly strong, with positive responses from parents (92.31%), staff (92.40%), and students (89.73%). These results suggest that families and staff view the school as a welcoming, supportive, and safe learning environment, and that students generally feel secure and connected to the school community.

Areas of Strength:
A key area of strength is the consistently high level of positive responses across all stakeholder groups, reflecting strong relationships between students, staff, and families, as well as effective systems that support both physical and emotional safety. Survey responses indicate that students feel comfortable seeking support from staff and generally experience a positive learning environment.

Identified Needs:
An identified area of need is the gap between student perceptions and those of parents and staff. While student responses remain positive overall, they are lower than those reported by adults, indicating an opportunity to further strengthen student connectedness, engagement, and sense of belonging. Tracy Charter will continue to expand opportunities for meaningful student interaction through advisory classes, the Success Center, student leadership opportunities, and targeted outreach to ensure all students feel connected, supported, and motivated to succeed. Disaggregated student group data will continue to be monitored to identify and address any differences in experiences among student populations.

Prompt 3 (USE): Describe any changes to existing plans, policies, or procedures that the LEA determines necessary in order to address areas of need identified through the analysis of local data and the identification of key learnings. Include any revisions, decisions, or actions the LEA has, or will, implement for continuous improvement purposes.

Tracy Charter will add additional mental health professional services to provide support for students with social emotional needs. Tracy Charter will continue with monthly safety drills to ensure staff and students know how to respond during an emergency.

Students are comfortable coming to campus (as opposed to exclusively virtual), and want more opportunities to engage with their peers. The Student Success Center provides a place where they can come on campus to get help with their schoolwork or have a quiet place to work with intervention support available, if needed.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

Tracy Charter School employs several locally selected measures and tools to track students' access to and enrollment in a broad course of study, ensuring equitable opportunities across grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. Aside from monitoring student progress on the online learning platform, Tracy Charter school utilizes a full-time English Language Development (ELD) teacher dedicated to supporting English Learner (EL) students. This includes implementing differentiated instruction tailored to diverse linguistic needs and providing targeted support to ensure EL students can fully participate in the school's curriculum.

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

Tracy Charter provides each student with a laptop device and hot spot as we are primarily a virtual charter school. Having teachers provide in person, as well as, virtual support provides an effective instruction program model. There are no barriers to all students accessing this course of study, as all students can take all courses. There is no limit to how many of our students are able to take any individual courses as there are at a traditional school with traditional master schedule constraints.

Tracy Charter School conducts regular assessments and reviews student data to identify and address any barriers to access or enrollment, ensuring that all students receive the necessary resources and support to achieve academic success. Across student groups, Tracy Charter School provides access and enrollment in a broad course of study to all. Special education progress monitoring is integral to the school's approach, ensuring that students with exceptional needs receive tailored support and resources to participate effectively in the curriculum. Tracy Charter School continues to refine its strategies to address any disparities in access and enrollment, aiming to provide all students with equitable opportunities to thrive academically.

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

Despite providing laptops and hotspots, Tracy Charter School faces ongoing challenges in ensuring access to a broad course of study for all students in its independent study online format. These challenges include varying levels of digital literacy among students and families, which can impact effective use of online learning tools. Maintaining student engagement and motivation remains crucial, along with the difficulty of delivering specialized or hands-on instruction virtually. Access to comprehensive support services, equitable resource distribution, and effective assessment methods also pose some significant barriers.

4. 4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

Addressing these challenges requires continued efforts in providing tailored support, enhancing digital skills, and fostering a collaborative environment to optimize learning experiences for all students. Recognizing the importance of engagement and motivation, we are working to be more interactive and developed teaching strategies to enhance student participation. Moreover, we are expanding resources and tools to deliver specialized instruction effectively, addressing diverse learning needs across subjects. We continue to refine assessment methods and accountability measures to monitor student progress effectively and adjust instructional strategies as needed.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – COE Only (LCFF Priority 9)

Assess the degree of implementation of the progress in coordinating instruction for expelled students in your county.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):
1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Coordinating Instruction	1	2	3	4	5
1. Assessing status of triennial plan for providing educational services to all expelled students in the county, including:	[No response required]	[No response required]	[No response required]	[No response required]	[No response required]
a. Review of required outcome data.					
b. Identifying existing educational alternatives for expelled pupils, gaps in educational services to expelled pupils, and strategies for filling those service gaps.					
c. Identifying alternative placements for pupils who are expelled and placed in district community day school programs, but who fail to meet the terms and conditions of their rehabilitation plan or who pose a danger to other district pupils.					

Coordinating Instruction	1	2	3	4	5
2. Coordinating on development and implementation of triennial plan with all LEAs within the county.					
3. Establishing ongoing collaboration and policy development for transparent referral process for LEAs within the county to the county office of education or other program options, including dissemination to all LEAs within the county a menu of available continuum of services for expelled students.					
4. Developing memorandum of understanding regarding the coordination of partial credit policies between district of residence and county office of education.					

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

Assess the degree of implementation of coordinated service program components for foster youth in your county.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest):

1 - Exploration and Research Phase
2 - Beginning Development
3 - Initial Implementation
4 - Full Implementation
5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Coordinating Services	1	2	3	4	5
1. Establishing ongoing collaboration and supporting policy development, including establishing formalized information sharing agreements with child welfare, probation, Local Education Agency (LEAs), the courts, and other organizations to support determining the proper educational placement of foster youth (e.g., school of origin versus current residence, comprehensive versus alternative school, and regular versus special education).					

Coordinating Services	1	2	3	4	5
2. Building capacity with LEA, probation, child welfare, and other organizations for purposes of implementing school-based support infrastructure for foster youth intended to improve educational outcomes (e.g., provide regular professional development with the Foster Youth Liaisons to facilitate adequate transportation services for foster youth).					
3. Providing information and assistance to LEAs regarding the educational needs of foster youth in order to improve educational outcomes.					
4. Providing direct educational services for foster youth in LEA or county-operated programs provided the school district has certified that specified services cannot be provided or funded using other sources, including, but not limited to, Local Control Funding Formula, federal, state or local funding.					
5. Establishing ongoing collaboration and supporting development of policies and procedures that facilitate expeditious transfer of records, transcripts, and other relevant educational information.					
6. Facilitating the coordination of post-secondary opportunities for youth by engaging with systems partners, including, but not limited to, child welfare transition planning and independent living services, community colleges or universities, career technical education, and workforce development providers.					
7. Developing strategies to prioritize the needs of foster youth in the community, using community-wide assessments that consider age group, geographical area, and identification of highest needs students based on academic needs and placement type.					

Coordinating Services	1	2	3	4	5
8. Engaging in the process of reviewing plan deliverables and of collecting and analyzing LEA and COE level outcome data for purposes of evaluating effectiveness of support services for foster youth and whether the investment in services contributes to improved educational outcomes for foster youth.					