School Year: **2026-27**



School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date
El Morro Elementary School	30-66555-6028906	5/19/26

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page 1
Table of Contents....2
School Vision and Mission 4
School Profile....4
Evaluation and Impact of Previous Year's SPSA....5
Educational Partner Involvement 6
School and Student Performance Data 7
Student Enrollment....7
CAASPP Results....9
ELPAC Results 13
Student Population....16
Overall Performance 17
Academic Performance....18
Academic Engagement 26
Conditions & Climate....29
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures....31
School Goal 1....31
School Goal 2....34
School Goal 3....36
School Goal 4....38
School Goal 5....39
District Goal 1....41
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan 44
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source....44
Expenditures by Funding Source 44
Expenditures by Goal....44
School Site Council Membership 45
Recommendations and Assurances 46
Instructions....47
Instructions: Linked Table of Contents....47
Purpose and Description....48
Educational Partner Involvement 48
Resource Inequities 48
Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review 49
Annual Review 50
Budget Summary 51

Appendix A: Plan Requirements 53
Appendix B: 56
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs 58

School Vision and Mission

Vision - We take ownership of each child's learning accepting no limits on potential.
Mission - Our mission is for each student to gain the knowledge, experience. global perspective, and skills needed to become a lifelong learner in a competitive and interconnected world.

School Profile

El Morro Elementary School is located on a bluff overlooking the Pacific Ocean near the northern border of Laguna Beach and is surrounded by Crystal Cove State Park on its southern and eastern borders. This ideal location allows our students to participate in hands-on and interactive learning experiences with the local environment. We serve a wide range of students from all over the community of Laguna Beach and a portion of Crystal Cove, including English Learners and students with unique needs.

The main goal of our Single Plan for Student Achievement is to increase all students' academic achievement and social-emotional strength through collaboration, communication, creativity, and critical thinking. Our school community is focused on providing our students with a world-class education allowing students to compete and participate in a global society by implementing rigorous California State Standards and having high expectations for all students. El Morro Elementary School was awarded the National Blue Ribbon award in 2019 for academic excellence and recognized as a California Distinguished School in 2008 and 2014, as well as a California Gold Ribbon School in 2016. Our collaborative MTSS (Multi-Tiered System of Supports) model is a systems-based approach to education that has helped student learning continue to grow. These results were accomplished by a hard-working, highly qualified staff of teachers who have embraced the Professional Learning Community (PLC) model.

El Morro Elementary School is a Professional Learning Community dedicated to meeting the needs of our students by using data to support our decision-making. We are so dedicated to the PLC model, that we modified our bell schedule to allow time for teacher collaboration during the school day. The weekly schedule was modified at the district level to accommodate early Wednesday dismissal allowing for additional collaboration time. During grade level meetings our teachers and staff work together to review assessment data, determine which students are in need of intervention or extension, and create standards-based lessons built on research-validated instructional strategies. Teachers use a wide range of screeners, Essential Learning Outcomes (ELOs), common formative assessments, and summative assessments in English Language Arts and Math to monitor student progress. The PLC model is one of the key ingredients to the success of our school.

Although providing a rigorous curriculum where student learning thrives is our top priority in our MTSS model, we also believe it is equally important to educate the whole child. We strive to create an outstanding social-emotional environment for students to develop and grow through Positive Behavior Intervention and Support program (PBIS), Second Step Social Learning curriculum (K-5), Thrively curriculum (3-5), and by encouraging a positive growth mindset within our instruction. Our PBIS program explicitly teaches the students our schoolwide expectations and positively reinforces the students for following schoolwide expectations. This program emphasizes using a ratio of 5 to 1 positive to negative adult interactions with students and uses a "catching" students doing the right thing mentality. The Second Step curriculum teaches students about the values and behaviors needed for school success such as respect, empathy, self-regulation, problem-solving, and self-confidence. Through a positive growth mindset, we teach the students the power of "Yet" and that mistakes are part of the learning process, not the end of it.

To ensure we are educating the whole child, we have created what we call an Enrichment Schedule, not a "pullout" schedule. English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Science are our core subjects. Music, Physical Education, Library/Media Center, Science Lab, Computer Science and Coding, and Foreign Language (Spanish for 4th and 5th grades) are our encore subjects. TK through 2nd-grade students participates in Chorus once a week. Third-grade students receive instruction in recorder, violin, and chorus, and in 4th and 5th-grade, the students get to choose chorus, band instruments, or string instruments. PE is led by coaches under the supervision of the Elementary PE teacher. Students learn to code and media literacy with our Technology TOSA and grades 4th and 5th learn Spanish once each week. All students regardless of their ability, are included in the Science lab each week. This provides all students the opportunity to participate in hands-on learning, giving them another avenue to demonstrate strengths they may not otherwise develop. Finally, Laguna Beach is an artists' community and we enthusiastically include the visual arts and performing arts as part of the regular school day. Teachers use curriculum-based art projects and performances to teach the visual arts and performing arts standards at each grade level. Local artists come to campus to teach in-person lessons. We work together with the Laguna Art Museum to provide rich instruction using a variety of mediums.

Each day of the school year, it is through these well-rounded programs focusing on meeting the needs of the whole child, that we strive to attain our school district's vision: "We take ownership of each child's learning in our schools, accepting no limits on potential."

Evaluation and Impact of Previous Year's SPSA

Directions: Answer the questions below to determine whether the strategies, actions/tasks and expenditures written in the SPSA have increased students' achievement.

Performance Goal #1: Increase student academic achievement in the area of mathematics through mastery of the Essential Learning Outcomes and by creating a Multi-Tiered System of Support for math intervention for all grade levels.
Did the school meet the School's Goal last year? If "yes," identify the strategies that contributed most to meeting the goal. If "no," identify the main barriers that prevented the school from meeting its goal.

The goal was not met in the area of ELA based on iReady scores as follows:

School-wide baseline iReady Math data for 24-25 (Tier 1) by grade level, see below:

K = 100%
1 = 80%
2 = 82%
3 = 88%
4 = 71%
5 = 78%

Schoolwide - 82%

K = 92%
1 = 76%
2 = 73%
3 = 89%
4 = 71%
5 = 63%

Current Schoolwide - 77% - still completing testing as of report date.

Tier III intervention support and progress monitoring contributed to higher proficiency levels on the iReady. Identified barriers include providing support for Tier II interventions. As Tier III students have lessened, we will divert resources to strengthen Tier II interventions during WIN Time and we will more closely monitor alignment of iReady to CAASPP to better prepare students for this type of assessment. We will also utilize our newly trained CGI teachers to assist with PD and modeling mathematical practices.

Performance Goal #2: Expand our Multi-Tiered System of Support for Social-Emotional Learning to increase effectiveness and accessibility of social-emotional support available to students during the school day. Additionally, we want students to have at least one adult at school they feel comfortable talking to about their social-emotional concerns. (Caring Relationship)
Did the school meet the School's Goal last year? If "yes," identify the strategies that contributed most to meeting the goal. If "no," identify the main barriers that prevented the school from meeting its goal.

The goal for 24-25 was met in the area of Mathematics based on iReady scores as follows:

School-wide baseline iReady ELA data for 22-23 is 84% at or above standard (Tier 1). By grade level, see below.
K = 93%
1 = 68%
2 = 73%
3 = 72%
4 = 79%

5 = 78%

K = 87% - nearly met
1 = 62% - nearly met
2 = 58% - not met
3 = 73% - nearly met
4 = 85% - exceded
5 = 73% - nearly met

Schoolwide current - 74% - goal not met (-3%)

Identified barriers include providing support for Tier II interventions. As Tier III students have lessened, we will divert resources to strengthen Tier II interventions during WIN Time and we will more closely monitor alignment of iReady to CAASPP to better prepare students for this type of assessment. Math Pilot may provide barriers to goal attainment.

Performance Goal #3: Preparing students for college and career by building on students' interests and knowledge of careers related to their interests.
Did the school meet the School's Goal last year? If "yes," identify the strategies that contributed most to meeting the goal. If "no," identify the main barriers that prevented the school from meeting its goal.

This goal was met based on the following stakeholder survey data:

89.1%% of students reported relevant learning opportunities
91% of students reported that staff get to know student strengths and interests while 86% of parents reported the same

This goal was met.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

El Morro School Leadership Team and School Site Council met on 2/25/26, 5/6/26 and 5/19/26 to discuss the current year's data and current site goals. Student data was shared with the Site Council and reviewed with staff and the site leadership team. As a result of these discussions, the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures will be put in place to raise the academic performance for all students in ELA and math with an emphasis on those not meeting grade-level Essential Learning Outcomes. Also, goals were written to work with students to emphasize expected "upstander behavior" and integrate opportunities for integration of career education at El Morro Elementary.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for El Morro Elementary School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26	23-24	24-25	25-26
American Indian	0.72%	0.74%	0.72%	3	3	3
African American	0.48%	0.50%	0.24%	2	2	1
Asian	3.82%	5.69%	5.77%	16	23	24
Filipino	0.48%	0.74%	0.72%	2	3	3
Hispanic/Latino	15.04%	12.87%	13.46%	63	52	56
Pacific Islander	%	%	0.24%			1
White	62.29%	69.80%	69.47%	261	282	289
Two or More Races	7.16%	9.65%	9.38%	30	39	39
Not Reported	10.02%	%	%	42		
			Total Enrollment	419	404	416

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26
Transitional Kindergarten		24	33
Kindergarten	53	55	64
Grade 1	46	57	63
Grade 2	61	51	60
Grade3	74	61	59
Grade 4	84	72	61
Grade 5	83	84	76
Total Enrollment	419	404	416

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26	23-24	24-25	25-26
English Learners	12	15	19	2.9%	3.7%	4.6%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	37	34	34	8.8%	8.4%	8.2%

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's Smarter Balanced Assessment System web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	82	74	62	80	74	61	80	74	61	97.6	100	98.4
Grade 4	80	81	74	76	77	74	76	77	74	95.0	95.1	100
Grade 5	69	81	85	66	80	80	66	80	80	95.7	98.8	94.1
All Grades	231	236	221	222	231	215	222	231	215	96.1	97.9	97.3

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	2477.	2469.	2494.	43.75	36.49	55.74	31.25	31.08	19.67	13.75	25.68	16.39	11.25	6.76	8.20
Grade 4	2538.	2519.	2525.	56.58	45.45	44.59	21.05	35.06	36.49	10.53	9.09	9.46	11.84	10.39	9.46
Grade 5	2570.	2590.	2550.	43.94	52.50	35.00	37.88	32.50	36.25	9.09	8.75	18.75	9.09	6.25	10.00
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	48.20	45.02	44.19	29.73	32.90	31.63	11.26	14.29	14.88	10.81	7.79	9.30

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	30.00	29.73	31.15	65.00	60.81	60.66	5.00	9.46	8.20
Grade 4	40.79	35.06	31.08	48.68	57.14	62.16	10.53	7.79	6.76
Grade 5	33.33	43.75	28.75	59.09	50.00	65.00	7.58	6.25	6.25
All Grades	34.68	36.36	30.23	57.66	55.84	62.79	7.66	7.79	6.98

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	28.75	24.32	52.46	62.50	66.22	37.70	8.75	9.46	9.84
Grade 4	44.74	41.56	25.68	46.05	49.35	68.92	9.21	9.09	5.41
Grade 5	43.94	51.25	41.25	50.00	45.00	53.75	6.06	3.75	5.00
All Grades	38.74	39.39	39.07	53.15	53.25	54.42	8.11	7.36	6.51

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	23.75	17.57	21.31	68.75	77.03	67.21	7.50	5.41	11.48
Grade 4	19.74	10.39	16.22	76.32	87.01	72.97	3.95	2.60	10.81
Grade 5	18.18	27.50	15.00	78.79	70.00	75.00	3.03	2.50	10.00
All Grades	20.72	18.61	17.21	74.32	77.92	72.09	4.95	3.46	10.70

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	27.50	25.68	34.43	66.25	66.22	52.46	6.25	8.11	13.11
Grade 4	36.84	12.99	22.97	59.21	80.52	71.62	3.95	6.49	5.41
Grade 5	31.82	37.50	25.00	60.61	57.50	65.00	7.58	5.00	10.00
All Grades	31.98	25.54	26.98	62.16	67.97	63.72	5.86	6.49	9.30

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's Smarter Balanced Assessment System web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	82	74	62	80	74	60	80	74	60	97.6	100	96.8
Grade 4	80	81	74	76	77	74	76	77	74	95.0	95.1	100
Grade 5	69	81	85	67	80	80	67	80	80	97.1	98.8	94.1
All Grades	231	236	221	223	231	214	223	231	214	96.5	97.9	96.8

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	2485.	2499.	2511.	41.25	47.30	56.67	37.50	32.43	26.67	13.75	17.57	10.00	7.50	2.70	6.67
Grade 4	2524.	2525.	2522.	42.11	41.56	33.78	31.58	25.97	37.84	18.42	24.68	25.68	7.89	7.79	2.70
Grade 5	2562.	2562.	2535.	40.30	42.50	31.25	29.85	30.00	21.25	16.42	13.75	31.25	13.43	13.75	16.25
Grade 11															
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	41.26	43.72	39.25	33.18	29.44	28.50	16.14	18.61	23.36	9.42	8.23	8.88

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	45.00	52.70	66.67	46.25	43.24	28.33	8.75	4.05	5.00
Grade 4	50.00	48.05	44.59	42.11	44.16	52.70	7.89	7.79	2.70
Grade 5	46.27	45.00	32.50	40.30	42.50	47.50	13.43	12.50	20.00
Grade 11									
All Grades	47.09	48.48	46.26	43.05	43.29	43.93	9.87	8.23	9.81

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	47.50	45.95	50.00	47.50	51.35	45.00	5.00	2.70	5.00
Grade 4	35.53	37.66	32.43	50.00	50.65	60.81	14.47	11.69	6.76
Grade 5	34.33	28.75	23.75	52.24	62.50	58.75	13.43	8.75	17.50
All Grades	39.46	37.23	34.11	49.78	54.98	55.61	10.76	7.79	10.28

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	37.50	48.65	53.33	51.25	50.00	36.67	11.25	1.35	10.00
Grade 4	36.84	33.77	24.32	50.00	55.84	66.22	13.16	10.39	9.46
Grade 5	34.33	35.00	26.25	55.22	55.00	53.75	10.45	10.00	20.00
All Grades	36.32	38.96	33.18	52.02	53.68	53.27	11.66	7.36	13.55

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) web page or the ELPAC.org website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	*	4
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	*	4
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	*	6
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades										23	15	18

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	43.48	6.67	38.89	26.09	66.67	38.89	17.39	20.00	0.00	13.04	6.67	22.22	23	15	18

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	47.83	33.33	50.00	34.78	60.00	27.78	17.39	6.67	5.56	0.00	0.00	16.67	23	15	18

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	21.74	13.33	22.22	43.48	33.33	50.00	17.39	40.00	16.67	17.39	13.33	11.11	23	15	18

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	47.83	40.00	50.00	52.17	60.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	16.67	23	15	18

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	39.13	40.00	44.44	56.52	60.00	38.89	4.35	0.00	16.67	23	15	18

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	26.09	6.67	22.22	52.17	66.67	61.11	21.74	26.67	16.67	23	15	18

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	34.78	6.67	33.33	56.52	80.00	61.11	8.70	13.33	5.56	23	15	18

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2024-25 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
404	**24.5%**	**3.7%**	**0.0%**
Total Number of Students enrolled in El Morro Elementary School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2024-25 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
English Learners	15	3.7%
Foster Youth	0	0.0%
Homeless	1	0.2%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	99	24.5%
Students with Disabilities	42	10.4%

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
African American	2	0.5%
American Indian	3	0.7%
Asian	23	5.7%
Filipino	3	0.7%
Hispanic	52	12.9%
Two or More Races	39	9.7%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
White	282	69.8%

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”


Red
Lowest Performance


Orange


Yellow


Green


Blue
Highest Performance

2025 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts  Green	**Chronic Absenteeism**  Orange	**Suspension Rate**  Yellow
Mathematics  Green		
English Learner Progress  No Performance Color		

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
English Language Arts

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



Red

Lowest Performance



Orange



Yellow



Green



Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	1	0	2	0

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Long-Term English Learners**
 Green	 No Performance Color	
52.8 points above standard	5 points above standard	
Declined 5.9 points	Maintained -1.3 points	
209 Students	15 Students	

Foster Youth	**Homeless**	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Green
0 Students	0 Students	22.8 points above standard
		Declined 3.1 points
		53 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
 Orange	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
22.7 points below standard	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy
Declined 17.8 points	1 Student	1 Student
30 Students		

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
53.5 points above standard	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	25.5 points above standard
13 Students	2 Students	Declined 8.9 points
		26 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Green
68 points above standard	0 Students	56.8 points above standard
Declined 10.3 points		Declined 4.3 points
21 Students		145 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall ELA performance has maintained
2. Fewer students in each subgroup have impacted overall performance.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
Mathematics

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



Red

Lowest Performance



Orange



Yellow



Green



Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	1	0	1	1

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
 Green	 No Performance Color	
36.5 points above standard	10.5 points below standard	
Declined 7.6 points	Maintained 0.4 points	
208 Students	15 Students	

Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Blue
0 Students	0 Students	13.5 points above standard
		Increased 17.1 points
		53 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
 Orange	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
42.5 points below standard	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy
Declined 29.1 points	1 Student	1 Student
30 Students		

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
50.9 points above standard	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	9.7 points above standard
13 Students	2 Students	Declined 11.2 points
		26 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Green
48.5 points above standard	0 Students	40 points above standard
Increased 6.5 points		Declined 7.8 points
21 Students		144 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall performance in mathematics has maintained
2. Fewer students in each subgroup has made it challenging to maintain

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
Science

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



Red

Lowest Performance



Orange



Yellow



Green



Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Science Equity Report

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	1	0

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Science assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Science Performance for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
 Green	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
63.3 science points	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	0 Students
Declined 7.8 points	4 Students	
80 Students		

Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
0 Students	0 Students	61.3 science points
		Maintained 0.1 points
		23 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
48.8 science points	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	0 Students
Declined 8.9 points	1 Student	
13 Students		

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy
6 Students	1 Student	9 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Green
Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	0 Students	62.8 science points
9 Students		Declined 9.6 points
		55 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
English Learner Progress

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator	
English Learner Progress	**Long-Term English Learner Progress**
	
No Performance Color	No Performance Color
75 making progress.	making progress.
Number Students: 12 Students	Number Students: 0 Students

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	**Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H**	**Maintained ELPI Level 4**	**Progressed At Least One ELPI Level**
0%	25%	0%	75%

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
College/Career Report

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

Explore information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2025 Fall Dashboard College/Career Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement
Chronic Absenteeism

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



Red

Lowest Performance



Orange



Yellow



Green



Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
 Orange	 No Performance Color	
12.6% Chronically Absent	11.1% Chronically Absent	
Maintained 0.3	Declined 8.1	
427 Students	18 Students	

Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Yellow
0 Students	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	19.4% Chronically Absent
	2 Students	Declined 4
		108 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
 Orange	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
18% Chronically Absent	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy
Increased 2.5	1 Student	3 Students
61 Students		

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Yellow
0% Chronically Absent	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	19% Chronically Absent
Maintained 0	4 Students	Declined 0.7
25 Students		58 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Yellow	 No Performance Color	 Orange
12.2% Chronically Absent	0 Students	12.2% Chronically Absent
Declined 10.4		Increased 1.7
41 Students		295 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall chronic absenteeism has declined.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement
Graduation Rate

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2025 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate
Suspension Rate

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



Red

Lowest Performance



Orange



Yellow



Green



Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	1	1	0	3

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2025 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
 Yellow	 No Performance Color	
1.1% suspended at least one day	15.8% suspended at least one day	
Maintained 0%	Increased 11.9%	
435 Students	19 Students	

Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Yellow
Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	1.8% suspended at least one day
1 Student	2 Students	Maintained 0.1%
		112 Students

Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
 Blue	 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color
0% suspended at least one day	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy
Declined 1.7%	2 Students	3 Students
63 Students		

Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
 No Performance Color	 No Performance Color	 Blue
0% suspended at least one day	Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy	0% suspended at least one day
Maintained 0%	4 Students	Declined 1.5%
26 Students		59 Students

Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Blue	 No Performance Color	 Orange
0% suspended at least one day	0 Students	1.7% suspended at least one day
Maintained 0%		Increased 0.4%
42 Students		299 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of students suspended has increased.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Develop COLLEGE and CAREER-READINESS SKILLS through meaningful collaboration, creativity, communication, critical thinking, problem-solving, and stewardship.

School Goal 1

Teachers will administer iReady formative and summative assessments in English/Language Arts and Math to measure specific learning outcomes, ensuring that 85% of students will end the year within the Tier I instructional range. Data from assessments will be analyzed by individual teachers and grade-level Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with a targeted focus on Tier 2 instructional interventions during WIN time.

Identified Need

To increase student achievement in reading and math at all grade levels. By the end of the 2026-2027 school year, 85% of students will be proficient in meeting grade level standards in ELA and Math as measured by iReady, DIBELS, and grade level CFAs taken from end-of-year summative data.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
iReady ELA Summative EOY Assessment grades K-5	Current iReady data as of SSC Approval Date: iReady ELA K = 90% 1 = 56% 2 = 72% 3 = 65% 4 = 79% 5 = 63% Current Schoolwide - 70% CAASPP ELA 3 = 75.5% 4 = 81.08% 5 = 71.25% Current Schoolwide - 75.82%	* Increase of students at Tier 1 instructional level to 85%
i-Ready Math Summative EOY Diagnostic K-5	iReady Math K = 66% 1 = 41%	* Increase of students at Tier 1 instructional level to 85%

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
	2 = 50% 3 = 42% 4 = 74% 5 = 70% Current Schoolwide- 58% 3 = 83.34% 4 = 71.62% 5 = 52.5% Current Schoolwide - 67.75%	

Complete a copy of the Action/Service table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Action/Service 1

Students to be Served by this Action/Service

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Action/Service

Tier 1 (ALL): Best first instruction in reading using district-adopted curriculum and i-Ready Reading. Teaching utilizes signature practices: small group instruction, OG strategies, access to tiered intervention, Academic Vocabulary, Essential Learning Outcomes & Common Formative Assessments
Tier 2 (SOME): Reading Intervention Team and classroom teachers: teachers, and IAs to use Tier II reading materials, i-Ready printable lessons including Magnetic Reading Lessons, i-Ready Teacher Tool Kit, prescriptive lessons, small group instruction, OG strategies, and access to tiered intervention.
Tier 3 (FEW): Intensive small-group interventions also utilize materials listed above

*Teachers will continue to provide the best Tier 1 instruction for all students (on grade level)
*Teachers will be trained to provide differentiated support for identified students, focusing heavily on Tier II instruction based on DIBELS, CFA, and iReady assessment data.
*PLC meetings will be focused on data analysis & targeted plans to close achievement gaps- agendas collected & reviewed
*Substitutes will be provided to release teachers to attend weekly MTSS/CAST and SST meetings to plan for student interventions and supports.
*MTSS team will review data from 6-week intervention cycles to monitor/adjust Tier 2/3 support
*Flexible utilization of IAs will help provide needed Tier 2 and enrichment support for both Reading and Math
*Grade-level teams will utilize the newly adopted math curriculum, grade-level standards, and the math framework
*Math PD, new curriculum training, and routinely scheduled lesson PLCs and Unit overviews with the site Instructional Coach will further build content knowledge and best teaching practices amongst certificated and classified staff

*All K-2 students will be screened annually following the new state guideline (RDRS), and parents will be sent results letters and next steps
*MTSS Reading team will work with SLP to identify ways to support students with identified dyslexia outside of IEP support
*Targeted support for all multilingual learners will include support from the Multi-Lingual IA
*Transportation services for after-school programs/intervention

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
5000	Categorical (State) - LCFF Supplemental (Unduplicated Students)
1000	General Fund - GATE
5000	Categorical (State) - LCFF Supplemental (Unduplicated Students)
32404	Categorical (Federal) - Title I (At-Risk/Low Income)
8000	Categorical (Federal) - Title I (At-Risk/Low Income)

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Ensure SAFE, EQUITABLE, and INCLUSIVE SCHOOLS through caring learning environments, targeted support, strategic planning, and stakeholder engagement.

School Goal 2

Maintain a positive school climate and student perceptions of safety: students feel safe at school, including during student-to-student interactions.

Identified Need

While datasets are relatively positive for this LCAP goal, El Morro would like to continue using PBIS to decrease referrals and the number of students reporting direct incidents of bullying, and to increase the number of students who feel completely or almost completely comfortable reporting bullying.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
School Climate Survey Data	61.1% of students feel comfortable reporting bullying.	70% of students feel comfortable reporting bullying.
School Climate Survey Data	52.2% of students say other students stand up for others.	70% of students say other students stand up for others.
School Climate Survey Data	58% of students feel comfortable reporting bullying.	70% of students feel comfortable reporting bullying.

Complete a copy of the Action/Service table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Action/Service 1

Students to be Served by this Action/Service

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Action/Service

*El Morro will continue to utilize restorative practices and community circles to build empathy, respect, and connectivity among staff and students.
*Tier 1 SEL lessons will continue to be integrated into grade level curriculum
*Tier 2 & 3 counseling will provide targeted assistance to students in need as identified by SEL screeners and behavioral data analysis
*PBIS will recognize updstander citizenship at Sea Lion Assemblies
*El Morro will educate parents and students about "We Tip" for reporting incidents of bullying
*Staff education on student perceptions

*Explicit instruction regarding reporting/expectations/upstander behavior & identification of safe adult relationships on campus

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
2000	Categorical (State) - LCFF Supplemental (Unduplicated Students)
1000	General Fund - GATE
5000	Categorical (State) - LCFF Supplemental (Unduplicated Students)
10000	General Fund - Site Discretionary

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Foster Social-Emotional competencies and self-identity

School Goal 3

Maintain student and parent sense of connectivity, belonging, & understanding

Identified Need

Students desire more student-to-adult connection, & parent/community desire to increase awareness and engagement in school activities

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Annual Community Survey	60% of students report having time to discuss concerns	80% of students report having time to discuss concerns
Participation in clubs including PALs, Sea Lion Squad & Green Team	Current participation in 75 students	Increase number of students involved in student organizations.
Continue to decrease chronic absenteeism	Current chronic absenteeism is 13% - 55 Students	Decrease chronic absenteeism to no more than 10%

Complete a copy of the Action/Service table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Action/Service 1

Students to be Served by this Action/Service

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Action/Service

Staff members will lead Sea Lion Squad teams to oversee activities to connect El Morro students to the campus, community, and one another.

Staff will partner with PTA to seek parent input and improve connectivity based on identified needs.

Staff will provide in-person opportunities for parents to learn more about the new math curriculum and ways to support their students.

PALs will increase student empathy, problem-solving, and advocacy.

PBIS will be refined to provide consistency, clarity, and motivation for upper-grade student involvement & focus on empathetic citizenship

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
5000	Categorical (State) - LCFF Supplemental (Unduplicated Students)
6000	General Fund - Site Discretionary
3000	General Fund - Site Discretionary
5000	General Fund - Site Discretionary

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

School Goal 4

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Complete a copy of the Action/Service table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Action/Service 1

Students to be Served by this Action/Service
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Action/Service

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

	General Fund - Site Discretionary

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

School Goal 5

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Complete a copy of the Action/Service table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Action/Service 1

Students to be Served by this Action/Service
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Action/Service

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Action/Service 2

Students to be Served by this Action/Service
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Action/Service

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

	General Fund

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

District Goal 1

Ensure safe, equitable, and inclusive schools through caring learning environments, targeted support, strategic planning, and stakeholder engagement.

Identified Need

Students who are at risk of performing below grade level need additional support. [The following actions and related expenditures support this goal and is in alignment with the Consolidated Application.]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP/i-Ready ELA & Math Outcomes	Districtwide CAASPP ELA: All students: 77.5% met/exceeded standard EL: 32% met/exceeded standard SWD: 42% met/exceeded standard SED: 65% met/exceeded standard (2024-2025) Districtwide: CAASPP Math: All students: 72.1% met/exceeded standard EL: 59% met/exceeded standard SWD: 32% met/exceeded standard SED: 54% met/exceeded standard (2024-2025) i-Ready End of Year Reading Diagnostic: 77% of students in grades K-10 scored in the Tier 1 (within-to-above grade level) band SWD: 37% EL: 52% SED: 71% (2024-25) i-Ready End of Year Math Diagnostic:	Lower percentage of students not achieving at grade level based on the CAASPP. Decrease in the achievement gap between English learners, students with disabilities, and schoolwide average.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
	79% of students in grades K-10 scored in the Tier 1 (within-to-above grade level) band SWD: 42% EL: 57% SED: 74% (2024-25) *Homeless, FY, student groups are not large enough to be included as a significant student group	

Complete a copy of the Action/Service table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Action/Service 1

Students to be Served by this Action/Service

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

English learners

Action/Service

Provide centralized ELD program coordination.

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
198,247	Categorical (Federal) - Title I (At-Risk/Low Income)

Action/Service 2

Students to be Served by this Action/Service

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

At-promise students

Action/Service

Provide target interventions, including summer and after-school support.

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)

50,000	Categorical (Federal) - Title I (At-Risk/Low Income)

Action/Service 3

Students to be Served by this Action/Service

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

At-promise students

Action/Service

Parent engagement, staff development, direct or indirect services to foster and homeless children.

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
10,000	Categorical (Federal) - Title I (At-Risk/Low Income)

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
Categorical (Federal) - Title I (At-Risk/Low Income)	298,651.00
Categorical (State) - LCFF Supplemental (Unduplicated Students)	22,000.00
General Fund - GATE	2,000.00
General Fund - Site Discretionary	24,000.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	51,404.00
Goal 2	18,000.00
Goal 3	19,000.00
Goal 6	258,247.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers

1 Other School Staff

5 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Dr. Julie Hatchel	Principal
Kimberly Mattson	Classroom Teacher
Karly Kovac	Classroom Teacher
Ian Corso	Classroom Teacher
Mary Stinnett	Other School Staff
Ashley Walden	Parent or Community Member
Erin Beutin	Parent or Community Member
Loujean Potter	Parent or Community Member
Anne-Gaelle Carlton	Parent or Community Member
Anne-Gaelle Carlton	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature	Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 5/16/24.

Attested:



Principal, Dr. Julie Hatchel on 5/19/26



SSC Chairperson, Ian Corso on 5/19/26

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Planned Strategies/Activities

Annual Review and Update

Budget Summary

Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime-bound. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school's identification.]

Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the Action/Service using the "Action/Service #" for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]

Students to be Served by this Action/Service

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

Proposed Expenditures for this Action/Service

For each Action/Service, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and Action/Service where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Annual Review

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.
From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.

- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:

   A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.

      1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:

         a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and

         b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—

            i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and

            ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and

            iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.

            iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.

            v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.

   B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

II. The SPSA shall include the following:

   A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--

   a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards

   b. use methods and instructional strategies that:

      i. strengthen the academic program in the school,

      ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and

      iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.

   c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:

      i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;

      ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;

      iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;

      iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and

      v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.

D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).

1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;

2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and

3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).

F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to

   1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and

   2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.

G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.

H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).

I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf);
3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Educational Partner Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:
Programs included on the Consolidated Application: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/
ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp
Available Funding: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019