

SOUTH HADLEY PUBLIC SCHOOLS LIST OF EMAIL CORRESPONDENCES

May 26, 2026 School Building Committee Meeting

Emails received May 7, 2026 (after 5:30 pm) to May 22, 2026 (10:00 am)

Project Email (shbuilds@shschools.com)

1. 05/07/2026 Emails from Dan Thomas – opposing options 4 & 5
2. 05/07/2026 Emails from Susan Crowther – opposing options 4 & 5
3. 05/07/2026 Emails from Crystal Williams – opposing options 4 & 5
4. 05/07/2026 Emails from Christine Gurek – opposing options 4 & 5
5. 05/07/2026 Emails from Shannon Gurek – opposing options 4 & 5
6. 05/07/2026 Emails from Ilene Tillman – opposing options 4 & 5
7. 05/07/2026 Emails from Kristyn Gleason – opposing options 4 & 5
8. 05/08/2026 Emails from Erin Tucker – opposing options 4 & 5
9. 05/08/2026 Emails from Lee Neikirk – opposing options 4 & 5
10. A 05/08/2026 Email and letter from Keith Watson and Eva Luneta – opposing options 4&5
B 05/08/2026 Letter from Keith Watson and Eva Luneta (attached to their email)
11. A 05/08/2026 Emails from Siri Lewis – opposing options 4 & 5
B 05/08/2026 Letter was hand delivered to town hall from Siri Lewis and emailed to Lisa Wong. I have added to the email report as formal record.
12. A 05/08/2026 Emails from Bruce Bailly and Therese O'Brien-Bailly – requesting their attached letter be entered into public records and opposing options 4 & 5
B 05/08/2026 Letter from Bruce Bailly and Therese O'Brien-Bailly (attached to their email)
13. 05/08/2026 Email from John Kovalchik - opposing options 4 & 5
14. 05/08/2026 Email from Linda Gagne - opposing options 4 & 5
15. A 05/08/2026 Email from Alfred Lerdahl & Louise Litterick - opposing options 4 & 5
B 05/08/26 Letter from Alfred Lerdahl & Louise Litterick (attached to their email)
16. 05/08/2026 Email from Gerard Gagne
17. 05/08/2026 Email from Bryan Gleason
18. 05/08/2026 Email from George and Karen Johnson
19. 05/08/2026 Email from Patrick Goff
20. A 05/08/2026 Email from Catherine Le Gouis and Brian Carter – opposing options 4 & 5
B 05/08/26 Letter from Catherine Le Gouis and Brian Carter (attached to their email)
21. 05/08/2026 Email from Kelsey Duggan - opposing options 4 & 5
22. 05/08/2026 Email from Linda Duggan - opposing options 4 & 5
23. 05/10/2026 Email from Jeff Kristek - opposing options 4 & 5
24. 05/11/2026 Email from Lisa Cauley – revised email report (removed her place of employment)
25. 05/11/26 Photo of neighborhood visual houses for option 4 and 5 was handed out during the 05/11/26 SBC meeting.
26. 05/13/2026 Email from Lisa Cauley meeting be included in our next documents received batch.
27. 05/15/2026 Email from Dr. Kenneth Tucker and Rev. Sherry Tucker - opposing options 4 & 5

Note: Certain sender identifying information may be redacted in order to protect personal privacy while maintaining the substance of the correspondence for public record purposes.



no option 4/5

1 message

Dan Thomas <dancanard@comcast.net>

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 6:02 PM

To: "SHBuilds@SHSchools.com" <SHBuilds@shschools.com>

The traffic the way it is now is not good for when I commute to work daily. I can't imagine how much of a cluster it would be with option 4 or 5 to be the result with an entrance and egress on parkview drive. I would prefer Alternate 8 site plan. I also agree with this cut and paste job following. This info should be entered into the public record. And more information should be available about the total cost and alternatives.

Thanks,
Dan Thomas
27 W Parkview Dr
South Hadley, MA

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is **Daniel Thomas**, and I am a resident of [27 West Parkview Drive, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions

which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.

2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction

workers etc.

- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



Mosier School Project

1 message

Susan Crowther <seocrowther@gmail.com>

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 7:14 PM

To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>, "jcyr@southhadley.ma.gov" <jcyr@southhadley.ma.gov>, "lwong@southhadley.ma.gov" <lwong@southhadley.ma.gov>, Kristin Maher <kmaher@southhadley.ma.gov>

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is **Susan Crowther**, and I am a resident of **Ashton Lane**, South Hadley.

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the “Mosier on Mosier” Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.



PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

Crystal Williams <crystalw10@gmail.com>
To: shbuilds@shschools.com

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 8:40 PM

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Crystal Williams, and I am a resident of [26 Parkview Drive, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.





PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

Christine Gurek <ccgurek@gmail.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 8:42 PM

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Christine Gurek , and I am a resident of [18 Ashton Lane, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.

We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The *Statement of Intent* on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access

Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

Appropriate residential setbacks

Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.

Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

SHANNON GUREK <sdgurek@comcast.net>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 8:52 PM

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Shannon Gurek, and I am a resident of [18 Ashton Lane, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.

We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The *Statement of Intent* on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access

- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

- Appropriate residential setbacks

- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.

- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier on Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Shannon Gurek

Dale Gurek



PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

ositomama@gmail.com <ositomama@gmail.com>
To: shbuilds@shschools.com

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 9:25 PM

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Ilene Tillman, and I am a resident of [26 Parkview Drive, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet

been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there

are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr,
Blueberry Bend, and Ashton





Mosier plans

1 message

Kristyn Gleason <kristynann722@yahoo.com>
To: SHBuilds@shschools.com

Thu, May 7, 2026 at 9:33 PM

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Kristyn Gleason , and I am a resident of [11 Ashton Lane, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.

We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.

We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The *Statement of Intent* on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access

Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

Appropriate residential setbacks

Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.


Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.





PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

Erin Tucker <emtucker328@gmail.com>
To: SHBuilds@shschools.com

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 7:15 AM

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Erin Tucker and I am a resident of [3 Blueberry Bend, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the “Mosier on Mosier” Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

Lee Neikirk <leeneikirk@gmail.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 8:39 AM

To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Lee Neikirk and I am a resident of [22 Ashton Lane](#) in South Hadley.

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall

infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Re: the attached image

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.



CE232C7F-6948-41ED-9164-84A0B8A2861C.png
606K



Re- Mosier Street Construction

1 message

info@acupunctureofsouthhadley.com <info@acupunctureofsouthhadley.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 10:54 AM

To: shbuilds@shschools.com

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

We Keith Watson and Eva Luneta, residents of **(28 West Parkview Drive), South Hadley.**

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.



Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

We Keith Watson and Eva Luneta, residents of **(28 West Parkview Drive)**, South Hadley.

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles

governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the “Mosier on Mosier” Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:


- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.





PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

Siri Lewis <siril01075@gmail.com>
To: SHBUILDS@shschools.com

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 11:19 AM

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is **Siri Lewis** resident of **6 Parkview** South Hadley.

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The *Statement of Intent* on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access

and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the “Mosier on Mosier” Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.



Sent from my iPhone

05/08/2026

To The select board:

Carol Constant, Kevin Hennessey, Rene Sweeney, Jess Seyr, and all members,

PROPOSALS 4/5

I Live at 6 Parkview Dr., which is true to its name. My property borders East View Dr., which is a regular, sign posted street, to Saint Theresa's church campus. In the recent meetings I've attended, I've not even heard mention of East Parkview Drive.

L

I face the Mosier school athletic field directly, and, as a retired Spanish teacher, I delight in having students to watch as they do sports, and

PLAY on The beautiful athletic Field!!


Ahhh, The value of this athletic field cannot be emphasized enough!! It is VITAL for the students, for team play and is treasured by neighbors who walk on the field with their dogs, or just play! It is also a central location in town for these activities.

Please consider the whole "lay of the land"!

The TRAFFIC is Critical to consider in The proposals!! The best proposals for safety, are" Mosier on Mosier.". When there is emergency at school, Mosier on Mosier has fastest access to Main Road, Newton Street (route 116). WHEN THERE IS EMERGENCY AT THE CHURCH, it's adding two more roads of egress (East Parkview and Parkview), and the church experiences it's traffic every morning for Mass, for the nursery school, and throughout the day for many functions. Therefore, IT WOULD BE A GRAVE MISTAKE to create a major squeeze or bottlenecking on Parkview, on top of the traffic flow to church and one route of egress for the neighborhood

I am vehemently opposed to Proposals 4/5 !!!

Thank you for your serious attention in this matter.



Siri Lewis



Building Project, SHPS <shbuilds@shschools.com>

We OBJECT to option's 4 & 5. We SUPPORT Mosier on Mosier

1 message

Bruce B <bbailly16@gmail.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 1:14 PM

To: Lisa Wong <lwong@southhadley.ma.gov>, "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>, "townclerk@southhadley.ma.gov" <townclerk@southhadley.ma.gov>, Sarah Gmeiner <sgmeiner@southhadley.ma.gov>
Cc: lisa cauley <cauleylisa123@gmail.com>

Please add the attached letter to the public record regarding the Mosier School Replacement Project.

Thank you,

Bruce Bailly & Therese O'Brien-Bailly



Letter to the School Board Building Committee, et al.pdf
652K

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: ⁱPublic Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Comm ttee and Board Members,

Our names are Bruce Bailly and Therese O'Brien-Bailly, residents of 15 West Parkview Dr South Hadley.

We are writing as concerned taxpayers and abutters to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. We urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The *Statement of Intent* on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles

governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Bruce Bailly & Therese O'Brien-Bailly



PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

John Kovalchik <jskovalchik@gmail.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 1:39 PM

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is John Kovalchik, resident of [20 West Parkview Drive South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The *Statement of Intent* on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "**Mosier on Mosier**" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

John Kovalchik.

Ps. I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community’s concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.





(no subject)

1 message

Linda Gagne <gagne0718@gmail.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 3:00 PM

PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Linda M Gagne, resident of [21 Ashton Lane, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.

We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access

Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

Appropriate residential setbacks

Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.

Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.



Building Project, SHPS <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Mosier School Project

1 message

Alfred W. Lerdahl <awl1@columbia.edu>
To: SHBuilds@shschools.com

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 3:56 PM

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

Please see the attached and signed letter.

Sincerely,

Alfred Lerdahl & Louise Litterick
[6 Ashton Lane, South Hadley](#)



Mosier School Project.PDF
1488K

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

Our names are **Alfred Lerdahl and Louise Litterick**, residents of **6 Ashton Lane**, South Hadley.

We are writing as concerned taxpayers and abutters to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. We urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with

established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. We appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

ack L. L. L.
Theresa L. L.



No option 4/5

1 message

Gerard Gagne <gerardjgagne@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Gerard Gagne <gerardjgagne@yahoo.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 4:17 PM

PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Gerard Gagne, resident of [21 Ashton Lane, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.

We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.

We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-

"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access

Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

Appropriate residential setbacks

Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.

Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Yahoo Mail: [Search](#), [Organize](#), [Conquer](#)



Mosier School Project

1 message

Bryan Gleason <gleason.bryan@gmail.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 5:12 PM



Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Bryan Gleason , resident of [11 Ashton Lane, South Hadley](#).

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building

Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.

2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.
4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access
- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The

“Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community’s concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.

 Lyon. AI-generated content may be incorrect.



Mosier School

1 message

laxmom11 <laxmom11@comcast.net>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 5:49 PM

To: SHBuilds@shschools.com, "george.e.johnson" <george.e.johnson@comcast.net>

Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

Our names are George and Karen Johnson of [23 West Parkview Drive South Hadley, MA](#).

We are writing as concerned taxpayers and abutters to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. We urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.

We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.

We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-

"We object to alternative options 4/5".

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access

Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

Appropriate residential setbacks

Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.

Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. We appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
George and Karen Johnson

Sent from my Galaxy



Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

Patrick Goff <goffmpatrick@gmail.com>
To: shbuilds@shschools.com

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 7:35 PM

Dear School Building Committee and Board Members,

My name is Patrick Goff, resident of **38 Ashton Lane South Hadley**.

I am writing as a concerned taxpayer and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

1. We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option.
2. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.
3. We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public

record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

4. Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

- Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations
- Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access
- Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

- Appropriate residential setbacks
- Consistent with surrounding land use patterns
- Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.
- Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The “Mosier and Mosier” options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.





Memorandum re. Mosier Elementary School

1 message

Catherine Le Gouis <clegouis@mtholyoke.edu>
To: shbuilds@shschools.com

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 7:40 PM

Dear Chairperson Mulvaney and Members of the South Hadley Elementary School Building Project Committee,

Please find attached our memorandum regarding the proposed elementary school project and our strong support for Alternative #8 over Options 4 and 5. We respectfully ask that the Committee consider the significant safety and neighborhood concerns we have outlined.

Thank you very much,
Catherine Le Gouis and Brian Carter
[34 Ashton Lane](#)
[South Hadley](#)

--

Catherine Le Gouis
Reverend Joseph James Hilary Paradis Professor of French
Chair, French Department
Mount Holyoke College
South Hadley, MA 01075-6430



Mosier_Elementary_School.docx
16K

MEMORANDUM

Date: May 8, 2026

To: Diane Mulvaney, Chairperson

Members of the South Hadley Elementary School Building Project Committee

From: Catherine Le Gouis and Brian Carter, 34 Ashton Lane

Re: Strong Support for Alternative #8 and Opposition to Options 4 and 5

We are writing to strongly support Alternative #8 for the proposed elementary school project and to respectfully urge the Committee not to move forward with Options 4 or 5.

Our greatest concern with Options 4 and 5 is public safety and neighborhood access. These plans would significantly increase traffic and create serious egress concerns for residents in our neighborhood. There is currently no other practical way in or out of this area, and additional congestion surrounding a large school campus would place families, children, and residents at unnecessary risk during emergencies, daily school traffic, severe weather events, or any situation requiring rapid access for emergency responders.

In addition, these options create the potential for dangerous interactions between children and increased vehicle traffic in an already constrained neighborhood. More cars, buses, delivery vehicles, and congestion in a residential area raise legitimate safety concerns for students walking to and from school, children playing outside, and families navigating narrow and heavily traveled streets.

This neighborhood has already experienced the impact of having a school located on the former Mosier School site. Residents understand firsthand the traffic patterns, congestion, and roadway limitations in this area. The concerns being raised are based on real experience and the day-to-day realities of living here.

This is also not a small concern affecting only a few households. Approximately 200 registered voters live in this neighborhood, not including the many children and families who would also be directly impacted by these decisions. The voices and safety concerns of the residents who live here every day deserve serious consideration as the Committee evaluates these options.

Alternative #8 represents the more responsible and balanced approach. It better protects neighborhood safety, preserves access for residents and emergency services, and minimizes the long-term negative impact on the surrounding community while still allowing the town to move forward with an important school project.

We respectfully ask the Committee to prioritize the safety and well-being of current residents and support Alternative #8 over Options 4 and 5.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Catherine Le Gouis and Brian Carter

34 Ashton Lane

South Hadley, MA



Safety and Transparency Concerns: Mosier School Project Options 4/5

1 message

Kelsey Duggan <kelsa.duggan@gmail.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 7:54 PM

Dear School Building Committee Members and Board Members,

My name is Kelsey Duggan and I am a resident and taxpayer in South Hadley.

I am writing to respectfully submit public comment regarding the Mosier School Project, specifically the proposed Options 4 and 5 currently under consideration.

While I am not an abutter to the project site, I have concerns as a community member regarding public safety, infrastructure impacts, and the transparency of the decision-making process as the Committee approaches its final vote.

My primary concern is the potential impact of Options 4 and 5 on traffic flow, roadway capacity, and most importantly, emergency access. Given that more than 80 residential homes rely on the single ingress and egress point on Parkview Drive, I believe the Committee must clearly demonstrate how emergency vehicle access, neighborhood circulation, and student/family safety will be maintained under the preferred option.

Before a final decision is made, I respectfully request clarification on the following:

- Whether the public traffic study and related roadway impact analysis, including data specific to Parkview Drive and any independent third-party review, will be available for public review prior to the final vote.
- Whether total projected costs for the remaining options, including swing space and associated soft costs, will be publicly shared prior to selection
- Whether a public comment opportunity will be provided before the Committee's final vote
- Will a remote attendance option be considered to ensure broader public participation, especially since no-cost virtual meeting platforms like Zoom or Google Meet make remote access readily achievable?

As a taxpayer, I believe major public infrastructure decisions benefit from transparency, complete information, and meaningful public engagement, particularly when questions remain regarding safety, traffic, and total project cost.

I appreciate the significant work that the Committee, School Department, and design team have invested in this process. My comments are offered to ensure that the selected option reflects both educational needs and broader public safety considerations.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Kelsey Duggan

--

Kelsey Duggan,
kelsa.duggan@gmail.com



Safety and Transparency Concerns: Mosier School Project Options 4/5

1 message

Linda Duggan <linda.laduggan@gmail.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 7:56 PM

Dear School Building Committee Members and Board Members,

My name is Linda Duggan and I am a resident and taxpayer in South Hadley.

I am writing to respectfully submit public comment regarding the Mosier School Project, specifically the proposed Options 4 and 5 currently under consideration.

While I am not an abutter to the project site, I have concerns as a community member regarding public safety, infrastructure impacts, and the transparency of the decision-making process as the Committee approaches its final vote.

My primary concern is the potential impact of Options 4 and 5 on traffic flow, roadway capacity, and most importantly, emergency access. Given that more than 80 residential homes rely on the single ingress and egress point on Parkview Drive, I believe the Committee must clearly demonstrate how emergency vehicle access, neighborhood circulation, and student/family safety will be maintained under the preferred option.

Before a final decision is made, I respectfully request clarification on the following:

- Whether the public traffic study and related roadway impact analysis, including data specific to Parkview Drive and any independent third-party review, will be available for public review prior to the final vote.
- Whether total projected costs for the remaining options, including swing space and associated soft costs, will be publicly shared prior to selection.
- Whether a public comment opportunity will be provided before the Committee's final vote.
- Will a remote attendance option be considered to ensure broader public participation, especially since no-cost virtual meeting platforms like Zoom or Google Meet make remote access readily achievable?

As a taxpayer, I believe major public infrastructure decisions benefit from transparency, complete information, and meaningful public engagement, particularly when questions remain regarding safety, traffic, and total project cost.

I appreciate the significant work that the Committee, School Department, and design team have invested in this process. My comments are offered to ensure that the selected option reflects both educational needs and broader public safety considerations.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Duggan



Reject Options 4 & 5 Support the "Mosier on Mosier" Option

1 message

Jeff Kristek <jkristek115@gmail.com>

Sun, May 10, 2026 at 10:01 PM

To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Cc: "dcooke@shschools.com" <dcooke@shschools.com>, "cmiles@shschools.com" <cmiles@shschools.com>, "tkennedy@shschools.com" <tkennedy@shschools.com>, "lwong@southhadley.ma.gov" <lwong@southhadley.ma.gov>

Dear School Building Committee,

My name is Jeff Kristek and I live at 8 Parkview Dr. I'm again writing to you to urge you to reject options 4 & 5 and endorse the "Mosier on Mosier" option. As mentioned by many of my neighbors, options 4 and 5 present serious safety concerns to our neighborhood. Most notably, there is only one way in and out of our street which could hinder the availability of emergency vehicle access. I'm also concerned with the potential traffic increase with having a large school at the corner of Parkview Dr and Mosier St. This would make our street more dangerous for the children of the neighborhood who regularly walk and ride bikes on our road. Finally, options 4 & 5 will alter the character of our neighborhood, and we will lose our amazing view of Mt Tom.

The "Mosier on Mosier" option will avoid these serious alterations to our neighborhood while providing for the future of our students.

Thank you for your time,

Jeff



Fwd: Place of employment removed.

3 messages

Lisa Wong <lwong@southhadleyma.gov> Mon, May 11, 2026 at 3:23 PM
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com> [REDACTED] Diane Mulvaney <dsmulvaney@shadleyma.org>

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **lisa cauley** <cauleylisa123@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, May 11, 2026 at 2:44 PM
Subject: Place of employment removed.
To: Lisa Wong <lwong@southhadleyma.gov>
CC: bshute@comcast.net <bshute@comcast.net>

Good afternoon, Lisa,


I formally ask that my place of employment be removed and blacked out from the updated correspondences. I left you a voice mail today on 5/11/16 at 2:41pm requesting this be removed. Everything else may remain public record at this time.

Thank you

 **Fraud Alert – Payment Requests** Scammers often impersonate colleagues, vendors, or executives with urgent payment demands.
Always verify by calling the sender using a known number (never reply to the email or click links). When in doubt, stop and confirm. Thank you for staying vigilant!



From: lisa cauley <cauleylisa123@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 10:07 AM
To: Lisa Wong <lwong@southhadley.ma.gov>; shbuilds@shschools.com <shbuilds@shschools.com>; townclerk@southhadley.ma.gov <townclerk@southhadley.ma.gov>
Cc: BBAILLY16@gmail.com <BBAILLY16@gmail.com>; gmamodio@gmail.com <gmamodio@gmail.com>; jkristek115@gmail.com <jkristek115@gmail.com>; Sarah Gmeiner <sgmeiner@southhadley.ma.gov>
Subject: Re: Committee to immediately remove Options 4 and 5 from the Preferred Schematic Report



If they plan on speaking or doing anything further that is on them at this point in time.

I guess for me personally at this time.... all I am formally asking is that [REDACTED] be removed from what is posted online due to my mistake of sending this communication not from my personal email.

I am concerned about my personal matters being associated with where I work.

If that can please be resolved ASAP I appreciate it. I did call you twice to discuss this matter this morning and will await your call back which I hope is prior to 5:30pm tonight.

I know I shared my personal thoughts online with my you tube channel that I see is now tied to the school website.

I am fine to speak towards that video but only if asked. I am stating my intention to just sit and listen at tonight's meeting.

I just don't want [REDACTED] and myself associated publicly at this time.

I am also seeing that you have my tube video also online and I believe it might be a topic discussed

From: Lisa Wong <lwong@southhadley.ma.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2026 3:24 PM
To: shbuilds@shschools.com; Bussiere, Hope <hope.Bussiere@skanska.com>; Diane Mulvaney <dsmulvaney@shadley.ma.org>
Subject: Fwd: Place of employment removed.

----- Forwarded message -----

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.



Option
#5



From: lisa cauley <cauleylisa123@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 1:11 PM

To: SGMEINER@SOUTHHADLEYMA.GOV <SGMEINER@SOUTHHADLEYMA.GOV>; bbailly16@gmail.com <BBAILLY16@GMAIL.COM>; gmamodio@gmail.com <gmamodio@>

Subject: Committee to immediately remove Options 4 and 5 from the Preferred Schematic Report

Hello,

My Name is Lisa Cauley I live off 9 Parkview Drive in South Hadley a direct abutter to option 4 & 5. I spoke with Sara today on 3/13/2026 at 10AM to discuss what is the best course of action to ensure **Options 4 and 5** are removed from the School Building Committees submission that's taking place in April 2026 for the MSBA submission. In a very short amount of time since I started this petition on 3/12/2026 there is a significant clear objection to options 4&5. I want to ensure a formal record of objection to **Options 4 and 5**. I am looking to ensure that the truly "preferred" alternative solutions for submission are **option 6 or 7** that is submitted to the MSBA submission in April. We have clear intent that we are not objecting to the MSBA submission at this very moment in time, however we can *take any other action relative thereto*. We are wanting to ensure South Hadley School District and Town of South Hadley are provided the opportunity to work with the MSBA on behalf of the students and families of this community however only for the proposal to be **6, or 7**. We believe the South Hadley School Board could either utilize the following existing Mosier footprint (option 1, 2, & 3) to avoid high-cost environmental and legal risks. Options 4 and 5 threaten the long-term integrity of our residential neighborhood, leading to a depletion of home values, permanent light/noise pollution, and traffic safety risks. We formally request that the School Committee and Mount Vernon Group Architects re-evaluate previous options and provide an updated site plan reflecting respectful residential setbacks and traffic mitigation prior to the April MSBA submission which is option 6 & 7. Taxpayers have the right to object to Options 4 and 5 in favor of a plan that fits our community before the **Winter 2027 Debt Exclusion Vote**. *Or take any other action relative thereto*.

That being said: as of now this is what I have written below. Your guidance is helpful during navigating the best course of action to ensure this circumstance is completed on our community's behalf.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL TOWN MEETING ARTICLE: SOUTH HADLEY, MA

TO THE SELECTBOARD: We, the undersigned registered voters of South Hadley, petition to include the following Article in the Warrant for a Special Town Meeting:

ARTICLE: To see if the Town will vote to instruct the School Building Committee to immediately **remove Options 4 and 5** from the Preferred Schematic Report (PSR) and all future state submissions; and further, to require the Committee to prioritize and submit a PSR based on **Options 1, 2, 3, 6, or 7**.

STATEMENT OF INTENT: We believe the South Hadley School Board should utilize the existing Mosier footprint (option 1, 2, & 3) to avoid high-cost environmental and legal risks. Options 4 and 5 threaten the long-term integrity of our residential neighborhood, leading to a depletion of home values, permanent light/noise pollution, and traffic safety risks. We formally request that the School Committee and Mount Vernon Group Architects re-evaluate previous options and provide an updated site plan reflecting respectful residential setbacks and traffic mitigation prior to the April MSBA submission. Taxpayers have the right to object to Options 4 and 5 in favor of a plan that fits our community before the **Winter 2027 Debt Exclusion Vote**. *Or take any other action relative thereto*.

Exhibit D & F Copy of town clerk receipt and one of the 32 pages.

RECEIVED
TOWN OF SOUTH HADLEY
TOWN CLERK'S OFFICE
2026 MAR 13 PM 3:23

Date: March 13, 2026

Subject: Formal Petition along with Formal Abutter Testimony.

TO: South Hadley Elementary School Building Committee

CC: South Hadley Town Clerk; Town Administrator; Town Counsel; Selectboard; Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA)

FROM:

Lisa Cauley

9 Parkview View

South Hadley, MA 01075

413-335-2989

I am writing as the owner of 9 Parkview View and a "Party of Interest" (direct abutter.) While I support modernizing our schools, I challenge the selection of Options 4 and 5 on our town's behalf. I formally request for the record options 4 and 5 be removed from consideration to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA)

Attached is a formal petition of intent that supports this request.

Lisa Cauley

TOWN OF
SOUTH HADLEY
MASSACHUSETTS

SARAH GAMBER, Town Clerk
ZOE INGRAM, Assistant Town Clerk

CLERK

RECEIPT FOR PETITION PAPERS

Candidates Copy

Date received: *March 13, 2026*

Submitted by: *Lisa Cauley*

Phone Number to contact: *413-335-2989*

Petition Description: *Direct Abutter's clear objection to 4/5*

RECEIVED
TOWN OF SOUTH HADLEY
TOWN CLERK'S OFFICE
2026 MAR 13 PM 3:23

PETITION FOR SPECIAL TOWN MEETING ARTICLE: SOUTH HADLEY, MA

TO THE SELECTBOARD: We, the undersigned registered voters of South Hadley, petition to include the following Article in the Warrant for a Special Town Meeting:


ARTICLE: To see if the Town will vote to instruct the School Building Committee to immediately remove Options 4 and 5 from the Preferred Schematic Report (PSR) and all future state submissions; and further, to require the Committee to prioritize and submit a PSR based on Options 1, 2, 3, 6, or 7.

STATEMENT OF INTENT: We believe the South Hadley School Board should either utilize the existing Mosier footprint (option 1, 2, & 3) or the Michael E Smith (Option 6 & 7) to avoid high-cost environmental and legal risks. Options 4 and 5 threaten the long-term integrity of our residential neighborhood, leading to a depletion of home values, permanent light/noise pollution, and traffic safety risks. We formally request that the School Committee and Mount Vernon Group Architects re-evaluate previous options and provide an updated site plan reflecting respectful residential setbacks and traffic mitigation prior to the April MSBA submission. Taxpayers have the right to object to Options 4 and 5 in favor of a plan that fits our community before the Winter 2027 Debt Exclusion Vote. Or take any other action relative thereto.

#	SIGNATURE (As Registered)	PRINTED NAME	RESIDENTIAL ADDRESS	DATE
1	<i>[Signature]</i>	Kristen Hargrave-Amadio	2 Parkview Drive	3/12/26
2	<i>[Signature]</i>	Gina Hargrave-Amadio	2 Parkview Drive	3/12/26
3	<i>[Signature]</i>	Paula Trasatti	114 Mosier St	3/12/26
4	<i>[Signature]</i>	JIM LEWIS	108 MOSIER	3/12/26
5	<i>[Signature]</i>	John H. Adams	104 MOSIER	3/12/26
6	<i>[Signature]</i>	Steven S. Jr	165 MOSIER ST	3/12/26
7	<i>[Signature]</i>	JOHN LUCEY	210 MOSIER ST	3/12/26
8	<i>[Signature]</i>	Linda Zorlino	207 Mosier St.	3/12/26
9	<i>[Signature]</i>	Lance St. Jean	207 Mosier St.	3/12/26
10	<i>[Signature]</i>	Joe Jenkins	188 Mosier St	3/12/26

Exhibit G on February 4th, 2026 - The School Committee board short listed voters to only vote on options 4/5 which is the same site location. Only Options 4 and 5 were eligible for voting from the seven available choices. A member who was one of the 7 (Linda Young) signed the petition and was upset she didn't get to cast a vote on one through seven.

Which design solution do you feel is most advantageous for the community, based on what you've seen tonight are shortlisted by the SBC.





Subject: PUBLIC RECORD: Public Comment Request / Concerns - Mosier School Project (Options 4/5) - May 11 Meeting

1 message

Sherry Tucker <sherrytucker016@gmail.com>
To: "shbuilds@shschools.com" <shbuilds@shschools.com>

Fri, May 15, 2026 at 8:53 AM

Dear School Building Committee

Our names are Dr. Kenneth Tucker and Rev. Sherry Tucker, residents of 17 Ashton lane, South Hadley.

We are writing as concerned taxpayers and abutter to the proposed Mosier School Project options 4/5. I urge the board to take public concerns seriously prior to the final vote.

We, the undersigned registered voters of the Town of South Hadley, pursuant to applicable provisions of the Massachusetts General Laws, formally request that this email be included in the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) as public record and included as public meeting minutes / Committee record.

Urgent Questions Regarding the May 11th Meeting:

We formally request that Chair Diane Mulvaney create an agenda that includes a 3-minute public comment period, limited to two questions which is included in the public records for Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) meeting minutes on May 11th, 2026, agenda. This comment period should occur before the Boards final vote on the preferred schematic report option. We formally request that the May 11th meeting includes a Zoom/remote option to ensure maximum public awareness and participation.

We formally ask why our submitted petition with a record of receipt dated March 13, 2026 by the Town Clerk (32 pages, 248 signatures collected within 72 hours) has not yet been uploaded as public record, whereas other community members' emails have been included.

Following input from public comment in the previous building meeting regarding construction vs. soft costs, we request to see the actual, total projected cost for the final three options prior to the May 11th vote, swing space included.

Petition Position & Safety Concerns

**The Statement of Intent on our petition was clear-
"We object to alternative options 4/5".**

We believe that Options 4 and 5 present significant concerns related to public safety, including increased traffic congestion, impacts to residential roadways, and potential interference with reliable emergency vehicle access. These concerns are particularly acute given the reliance on limited points of ingress and egress serving surrounding neighborhoods. Such conditions may adversely affect safe and continuous access and raise concerns regarding compliance with established planning principles governing roadway capacity and public safety. Environmental and other impacts further compound these concerns.

We further believe the "Mosier on Mosier" Options represents more appropriate alternatives that better align with existing neighborhood conditions, roadway capacity, educational needs and safety of students, overall infrastructure, and are more consistent with the intent of applicable zoning and land use standards.

We believe the "Mosier on Mosier" options are the best preferred options since these options are in accordance with the following:

Compliance with local zoning bylaws and applicable planning board regulations

Careful consideration of roadway capacity and the adequacy of access, including safe and reliable emergency vehicle access

Comprehensive traffic mitigation strategies sufficient to prevent congestion and obstruction of residential access

Appropriate residential setbacks

Consistent with surrounding land use patterns

Efficient industrial lay-down areas and safe lay down stage areas for construction requirements: Equipment, Contractor trailers, construction workers etc.

Less educational disruption due to noise and safety risk to students near open construction.

We further assert that taxpayers and residents have the right to fully evaluate and provide input on site selection options prior to submission to the Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA), and in advance of any future debt exclusion vote currently anticipated for Winter 2027. There still hasn't been a public release of the traffic study, nor the swing space cost. Or the total projected cost of each project.

Thank you for your time and for your hard work on this project. I appreciate the time the School Board Building Committee and the design team at Mount Vernon Group Architect took to re-evaluate the previous options. The "Mosier and Mosier" options reflect respectful residential setbacks. Options 4 and 5 are a radical departure from the character of our neighborhood. Options 4/5 threaten the residential feel of the community we value so much.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

I am a visual person, and this might be slightly off scale. However I believe it shows enough visual representation of our community's concerns outlined in this email regarding options 4/5. Please note there are over 70 homes off of Parkview Dr, East & West Parkview Dr, Blueberry Bend, and Ashton Lane.