



School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Lee Richmond Elementary	6010409	5/11/2026	5/27/2026

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Lee Richmond Elementary for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page	1
Table of Contents.....	2
Plan Description.....	4
Educational Partner Involvement	6
Resource Inequities	6
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	6
California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators.....	6
Other Needs.....	7
School and Student Performance Data	8
Student Enrollment.....	8
CAASPP Results.....	10
ELPAC Results	14
Student Population.....	18
Overall Performance	20
Academic Performance.....	21
Academic Engagement	29
Conditions & Climate.....	32
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....	34
Goal 1.....	34
Goal 2.....	50
Goal 3.....	55
Goal 4.....	64
Goal 5.....	68
Budget Summary	69
Budget Summary	69
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	69
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan.....	70
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....	70
Expenditures by Funding Source	70
Expenditures by Budget Reference	70
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	70
Expenditures by Goal.....	71
School Site Council Membership	72
Recommendations and Assurances	73
Instructions.....	74
Appendix A: Plan Requirements	81

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements 84

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs 87

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Lee Richmond Elementary for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

The goals, actions, and services in this plan meet ESSA requirements for a schoolwide plan (SWP) and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement and are aligned with the goals, actions, and services detailed in the district's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP).

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The school plan shall be based on a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that takes into account information on the academic achievement of children in relation to the challenging state academic standards, particularly the needs of those children who are failing, or are at risk of failing, to meet the challenging state academic standards and any other factors as determined by the LEA.

(20 U.S.C. Section 6314[b][6])

Our School's Process:

School planning is an ongoing process. Each year, our school's leadership team with technical assistance from district leadership and input from site councils (SSC) and English learner advisory committees (ELAC), review our school's progress toward achieving the established goals.

Analysis of Data:

The comprehensive needs assessment shall include an analysis of verifiable data, consistent with all state priorities and informed by all indicators. (EC Section 64001[g][2][A]; 34 CFR Section 200.26[a])

Our school's process begins with a thoughtful analysis of student achievement data including data from:

- The California School Dashboard
- District formative and summative assessments
- School level assessments
- The HESD Parent Survey
- The HESD LCAP Student Survey
- Input from the district's curriculum committee (a representative body comprised of a majority of teachers)
- Teacher surveys to gather input on professional development needs.

Each school year, after data from the California School Dashboard is published by the California Department of Education (CDE), our school's leadership team meets with district leaders to analyze achievement and school climate data and to set proposed targets, called Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes, for "All Students" and for each student subgroup on both academic and school climate indicators.

Data from the Annual HESD Parent Survey and Student Survey are reviewed by our school site leadership team and proposed Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes are set for metrics relating to parent and student satisfaction with the instructional program, the school's services, programs, extra-curricular activities, and school safety.

These proposed Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes are taken to our SSC and ELAC for input and, if requested, additional revisions. These Annual Measurable Outcomes/Expected Outcomes are part of this school plan and can be found immediately after each goal in the pages that follow.

The results of this analysis are summarized in a statement of "Identified Need" that follows each goal in the school plan.

School Site Councils and English Learner Advisory Committees

Our school holds four school site council meetings each school year. The first meeting is held in September/October. The purpose of the first meeting is to establish the school site council, elect members and officers for the year, and to train council members on their roles and responsibilities.

The second meeting is held in January/February after the publication of the California School Dashboard by the California Department of Education (CDE). At the second meeting, student achievement is reviewed, including the achievement of English learners. The school's professional development needs and activities are reviewed. The implementation of the school plan is monitored, the needs assessment is reviewed, and any changes in the plan's goals,

action strategies, or expenditures are discussed and approved.

The third meeting is held in March. At the third meeting, student achievement is once again reviewed, but at this meeting, the focus is on school climate and parent involvement. Once again implementation of the school plan is monitored and any changes to the plan's goals, action strategies, or expenditures are discussed and approved.

The fourth meeting is held in May. At this meeting, the Annual Update/Evidence Based Evaluation of the school plan is reviewed and revised based on recommendations from the committees. Using the Annual Update/Evidence Based Evaluation, the individual Actions/Strategies in the plan that were well-implemented and effective, and that should continue in the next school year, are discussed. Areas of need along with any recommended changes to the Actions/Strategies are also discussed. Major differences between intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures are reviewed. The school site leadership and school site council propose changes to the goals, the annual outcomes, metrics, strategies/activities, and/or expenditures that will be made to next year's plan. Finally, the school plan for the next school year is approved by the SSC and the plan is recommended to the governing board for approval

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Documentation:

The documents listed below, most of which are embedded in the pages of this school plan, shall comprise our school's Comprehensive Needs Assessment, our process, and document the results of the needs assessment.

- Student Performance Data: English Learners
- Student Performance Data: CAASPP (English Language Arts)
- Student Performance Data: CAASPP (Mathematics)
- Student Performance Data: ELPAC (Summative Assessment)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Student Population)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Overview)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (English Language Arts)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Mathematics)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (English-Learner Progress)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Chronic Absenteeism)
- Student Performance Data: Dashboard (Suspension Rate)
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 1
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 2
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 3
- Statement of Identified Need Goal 4
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 1
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 2
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 3
- Annual Measurable Objectives including Metric/Indicator for each subgroup, Baseline/Actual Outcome, and Expected Outcome: Goal 4
- Minutes From School Site Council/English Learner Advisory Committee Meetings
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 1
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 2
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 3
- Annual Review that includes a description of the overall implementation of the plan's actions/strategies, a description of major differences between budgeted and actual expenditures, and changes to the goal, annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/actions: Goal 4
- Evidence-based Title I Funded Program Evaluation (A review of each action under each goal in the plan)

The goals, actions, and services in this plan meet ESSA requirements for a schoolwide plan (SWP) and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement, and are aligned with the goals, actions, and services detailed in the district's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP).

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Lee Richmond Elementary consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

School Site Council (SSC)

The school maintains a school site council. The SSC is comprised of parents, teachers, and school staff. Parents make up 50% of the SSC with parents of EL students representing at least the same percentage on the committee as the percentage of EL students enrolled at the school. The school holds four school site council meetings each year in September/October, January/February, March, and May. During these meetings student achievement is reviewed, the school's/students' needs are assessed, and based on this analysis the school plan is developed. The school plan is approved by the school site council each year in May (for the upcoming school year), and again the following February. The Hanford Elementary School District Board of Trustees approves the school plans after the school site council has given their approval.

English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)

The school's English Learner Advisory Committee has designated the SSC, established pursuant to Education Code Section 52852, to function as the advisory committee for English Learners (Education Code 52176) at the school. This means that the SSC acts as the advisory committee for ELs and is the voice of EL parents at the school site. The SSC performs all of the duties of the ELAC. Both the ELAC and the SSC have approved this designation.

District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC)

The district maintains a District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC). The DELAC is comprised of EL parents from each school site. The DELAC meets four times per school-year in November, January, March, and May. The DELAC advises the board on conducting a district-wide needs assessment on a school-by-school basis; advises the board on the district's plan for educational programs and services for English learners that takes into consideration the Single Plan for Student Achievement; advises the governing board on the district's (and school site) annual needs assessment.

Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)

The district maintains a Parent Advisory Committee (PAC). The PAC is comprised of parents from each school site and one student from each of the two Junior High Schools. The PAC meets four times per school-year in November, January, March, and May. The PAC advises the board on conducting a district-wide needs assessment on a school-by-school basis; advises the board on the district's plan for educational programs and services that takes into consideration the Single Plan for Student Achievement; advises the governing board on the district's (and school site) annual needs assessment.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category.

Priority Area 4 (Academics) – Areas of Red or Orange

ELA:

- None

MATH:

- None

In goal 1 of the SPSA, the strategies and actions describe the steps we are planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Priority Area 5 (Chronic Absenteeism) – Areas of Red or Orange

- All Students (Orange)
- English Learners (Orange)
- Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (Orange)
- Students with Disabilities (Orange)

In goal 3 of the SPSA, the strategies and actions describe the steps we are planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Priority Area 6 (Suspension Rate) – Areas of Red or Orange

- All Students (Orange)
- English Learners (Orange)
- Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (Orange)
- Students with Disabilities (Orange)
- Hispanic Students (Orange)
- White Students (Red)

In goal 3 of the SPSA, the strategies and actions describe the steps we are planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance.

In Priority Area 4 (ELA), no student groups scored two or more performance levels below “all student” performance.

In Priority Area 4 (MATH), no student groups scored two or more performance levels below “all student” performance.

Priority Area 5 (Chronic Absenteeism), no student groups scored two or more performance levels below “all student” performance.

Priority Area 6 (Suspension Rate), no student groups scored two or more performance levels below “all student” performance.

In goal 1 & goal 3 of the SPSA, the strategies and actions describe the steps we are planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Lee Richmond Elementary. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26	23-24	24-25	25-26
American Indian	0.49%	%	%	2		
African American	2.67%	1.99%	2.24%	11	8	9
Asian	0.49%	1.24%	%	2	5	
Filipino	0.97%	1.00%	1.00%	4	4	4
Hispanic/Latino	84.71%	85.07%	87.56%	349	342	352
White	7.77%	7.96%	7.96%	32	32	32
Multiple/No Response	2.67%	2.49%	1.24%	11	10	5
Total Enrollment				412	402	402

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26
Transitional Kindergarten		23	23
Kindergarten	52	45	55
Grade 1	62	52	50
Grade 2	60	61	51
Grade3	61	63	52
Grade 4	44	57	60
Grade 5	54	48	61
Grade 6	63	53	50
Total Enrollment	412	402	402

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	23-24	24-25	25-26	23-24	24-25	25-26
English Learners	123	103	100	34.2%	29.9%	24.9%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	40	39	40	7.7%	9.7%	10.0%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	42	61	61	42	60	61	42	60	61	100.0	98.4	100
Grade 4	52	44	60	52	44	60	52	44	60	100.0	100	100
Grade 5	62	55	51	62	55	51	62	55	51	100.0	100	100
Grade 6	68	65	54	67	65	53	67	65	53	98.5	100	98.1
All Grades	224	225	226	223	224	225	223	224	225	99.6	99.6	99.6

The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	2418.	2408.	2408.	21.43	23.33	18.03	26.19	21.67	24.59	26.19	23.33	24.59	26.19	31.67	32.79
Grade 4	2443.	2457.	2496.	15.38	20.45	35.00	25.00	22.73	21.67	15.38	20.45	26.67	44.23	36.36	16.67
Grade 5	2485.	2453.	2514.	19.35	9.09	17.65	20.97	21.82	43.14	32.26	23.64	17.65	27.42	45.45	21.57
Grade 6	2515.	2510.	2523.	17.91	18.46	18.87	28.36	24.62	28.30	28.36	24.62	24.53	25.37	32.31	28.30
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.39	17.86	22.67	25.11	22.77	28.89	26.01	23.21	23.56	30.49	36.16	24.89

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	14.29	15.00	14.75	64.29	60.00	49.18	21.43	25.00	36.07
Grade 4	11.54	18.18	11.67	63.46	61.36	71.67	25.00	20.45	16.67
Grade 5	16.13	5.45	9.80	66.13	61.82	68.63	17.74	32.73	21.57
Grade 6	13.43	16.92	20.75	62.69	49.23	45.28	23.88	33.85	33.96
All Grades	13.90	13.84	14.22	64.13	57.59	58.67	21.97	28.57	27.11

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	16.67	16.67	14.75	54.76	55.00	60.66	28.57	28.33	24.59
Grade 4	7.69	22.73	30.00	55.77	54.55	58.33	36.54	22.73	11.67
Grade 5	22.58	5.45	29.41	51.61	58.18	62.75	25.81	36.36	7.84
Grade 6	17.91	15.38	20.75	55.22	50.77	54.72	26.87	33.85	24.53
All Grades	16.59	14.73	23.56	54.26	54.46	59.11	29.15	30.80	17.33

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	21.43	16.67	4.92	66.67	68.33	75.41	11.90	15.00	19.67
Grade 4	11.54	18.18	11.67	73.08	63.64	76.67	15.38	18.18	11.67
Grade 5	11.29	10.91	13.73	72.58	69.09	68.63	16.13	20.00	17.65
Grade 6	5.97	10.77	7.55	76.12	72.31	75.47	17.91	16.92	16.98
All Grades	11.66	13.84	9.33	72.65	68.75	74.22	15.70	17.41	16.44

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	16.67	13.33	13.11	61.90	61.67	65.57	21.43	25.00	21.31
Grade 4	11.54	11.36	18.33	69.23	75.00	66.67	19.23	13.64	15.00
Grade 5	6.45	12.73	23.53	70.97	63.64	62.75	22.58	23.64	13.73
Grade 6	17.91	15.38	20.75	64.18	66.15	54.72	17.91	18.46	24.53
All Grades	13.00	13.39	18.67	66.82	66.07	62.67	20.18	20.54	18.67

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	42	61	61	42	60	61	42	60	61	100.0	98.4	100
Grade 4	52	44	60	52	44	60	52	44	60	100.0	100	100
Grade 5	63	55	51	63	55	51	63	55	51	100.0	100	100
Grade 6	68	65	54	67	65	53	67	65	53	98.5	100	98.1
All Grades	225	225	226	224	224	225	224	224	225	99.6	99.6	99.6

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	2397.	2415.	2395.	9.52	11.67	8.20	11.90	31.67	19.67	38.10	21.67	31.15	40.48	35.00	40.98
Grade 4	2413.	2437.	2471.	3.85	9.09	21.67	17.31	29.55	20.00	30.77	22.73	33.33	48.08	38.64	25.00
Grade 5	2471.	2443.	2499.	12.70	5.45	31.37	14.29	12.73	17.65	26.98	25.45	13.73	46.03	56.36	37.25
Grade 6	2471.	2500.	2492.	5.97	20.00	11.32	17.91	12.31	26.42	22.39	29.23	24.53	53.73	38.46	37.74
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.04	12.05	17.78	15.63	20.98	20.89	28.57	25.00	26.22	47.77	41.96	35.11

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	14.29	15.00	8.20	47.62	56.67	54.10	38.10	28.33	37.70
Grade 4	5.77	13.64	26.67	32.69	45.45	46.67	61.54	40.91	26.67
Grade 5	17.46	7.27	27.45	42.86	43.64	41.18	39.68	49.09	31.37
Grade 6	5.97	20.00	18.87	49.25	43.08	43.40	44.78	36.92	37.74
All Grades	10.71	14.29	20.00	43.30	47.32	46.67	45.98	38.39	33.33

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	9.52	11.67	11.48	47.62	56.67	59.02	42.86	31.67	29.51
Grade 4	3.85	11.36	11.67	50.00	47.73	55.00	46.15	40.91	33.33
Grade 5	9.52	0.00	19.61	41.27	54.55	52.94	49.21	45.45	27.45
Grade 6	2.99	12.31	9.43	47.76	44.62	56.60	49.25	43.08	33.96
All Grades	6.25	8.93	12.89	46.43	50.89	56.00	47.32	40.18	31.11

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	9.52	11.67	8.20	64.29	63.33	68.85	26.19	25.00	22.95
Grade 4	7.69	18.18	21.67	51.92	50.00	65.00	40.38	31.82	13.33
Grade 5	7.94	3.64	19.61	65.08	47.27	54.90	26.98	49.09	25.49
Grade 6	7.46	9.23	7.55	53.73	58.46	64.15	38.81	32.31	28.30
All Grades	8.04	10.27	14.22	58.48	55.36	63.56	33.48	34.38	22.22

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's [English Language Proficiency Assessments for California \(ELPAC\)](https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/eng/elpac/) web page or the [ELPAC.org](https://elpac.org) website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	1419.4	1352.9	1388.7	1422.1	1360.4	1397.7	1412.2	1335.4	1367.8	16	19	18
1	1439.1	1430.3	1362.3	1452.2	1428.2	1365.4	1425.5	1431.7	1358.6	20	19	12
2	1485.6	1461.3	1461.7	1485.8	1462.8	1459.1	1485.0	1459.1	1463.6	24	21	21
3	1502.4	1491.1	1489.5	1506.1	1482.0	1483.2	1498.3	1499.7	1495.2	15	21	20
4	1517.6	1510.4	1519.4	1520.5	1507.9	1516.1	1514.2	1512.4	1522.2	16	14	17
5	1539.1	1506.0	1511.0	1550.1	1502.9	1501.7	1527.6	1508.5	1519.5	31	13	11
6	1537.3	1540.8	1531.2	1533.3	1539.5	1532.2	1540.8	1541.9	1529.8	24	24	11
All Grades										146	131	110

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	6.25	0.00	5.56	18.75	15.79	27.78	68.75	15.79	44.44	6.25	68.42	22.22	16	19	18
1	0.00	5.26	8.33	45.00	15.79	8.33	45.00	52.63	16.67	10.00	26.32	66.67	20	19	12
2	8.33	4.76	0.00	54.17	47.62	42.86	33.33	38.10	38.10	4.17	9.52	19.05	24	21	21
3	26.67	19.05	15.00	33.33	42.86	35.00	26.67	23.81	40.00	13.33	14.29	10.00	15	21	20
4	31.25	35.71	17.65	31.25	21.43	58.82	31.25	21.43	17.65	6.25	21.43	5.88	16	14	17
5	32.26	15.38	27.27	38.71	23.08	27.27	25.81	53.85	27.27	3.23	7.69	18.18	31	13	11
6	20.83	33.33	18.18	50.00	37.50	45.45	16.67	20.83	36.36	12.50	8.33	0.00	24	24	11
All Grades	18.49	16.03	11.82	40.41	30.53	36.36	33.56	31.30	32.73	7.53	22.14	19.09	146	131	110

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	6.25	0.00	5.56	25.00	15.79	33.33	43.75	21.05	38.89	25.00	63.16	22.22	16	19	18
1	20.00	5.26	8.33	35.00	31.58	16.67	40.00	42.11	0.00	5.00	21.05	75.00	20	19	12
2	20.83	9.52	19.05	50.00	52.38	33.33	25.00	28.57	28.57	4.17	9.52	19.05	24	21	21
3	53.33	33.33	30.00	26.67	33.33	40.00	13.33	14.29	20.00	6.67	19.05	10.00	15	21	20
4	43.75	35.71	52.94	37.50	35.71	29.41	18.75	14.29	11.76	0.00	14.29	5.88	16	14	17
5	64.52	23.08	36.36	29.03	30.77	27.27	3.23	46.15	18.18	3.23	0.00	18.18	31	13	11
6	45.83	37.50	18.18	33.33	54.17	81.82	12.50	4.17	0.00	8.33	4.17	0.00	24	24	11
All Grades	38.36	20.61	24.55	34.25	37.40	36.36	20.55	22.90	19.09	6.85	19.08	20.00	146	131	110

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K		0.00	5.56		10.53	5.56		31.58	77.78		57.89	11.11		19	18
1		5.26	0.00		15.79	25.00		47.37	8.33		31.58	66.67		19	12
2		4.76	0.00		47.62	38.10		28.57	42.86		19.05	19.05		21	21
3		4.76	10.00		42.86	40.00		38.10	35.00		14.29	15.00		21	20
4		21.43	5.88		7.14	41.18		42.86	52.94		28.57	0.00		14	17
5		0.00	9.09		23.08	27.27		61.54	36.36		15.38	27.27		13	11
6	12.50	16.67	9.09	37.50	33.33	9.09	33.33	25.00	72.73	16.67	25.00	9.09	24	24	11
All Grades	8.22	7.63	5.45	34.25	27.48	28.18	37.67	37.40	47.27	19.86	27.48	19.09	146	131	110

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	6.25	5.26	11.11	81.25	36.84	61.11	12.50	57.89	27.78	16	19	18
1	40.00	15.79	16.67	55.00	63.16	25.00	5.00	21.05	58.33	20	19	12
2	20.83	4.76	28.57	79.17	80.95	47.62	0.00	14.29	23.81	24	21	21
3	20.00	19.05	15.00	60.00	57.14	65.00	20.00	23.81	20.00	15	21	20
4	43.75	50.00	47.06	50.00	42.86	41.18	6.25	7.14	11.76	16	14	17
5	25.81	0.00	18.18	64.52	76.92	72.73	9.68	23.08	9.09	31	13	11
6	20.83	29.17	18.18	70.83	54.17	81.82	8.33	16.67	0.00	24	24	11
All Grades	25.34	17.56	22.73	66.44	58.78	55.45	8.22	23.66	21.82	146	131	110

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	12.50	0.00	5.56	62.50	36.84	61.11	25.00	63.16	33.33	16	19	18
1	20.00	5.26	8.33	60.00	52.63	16.67	20.00	42.11	75.00	20	19	12
2	41.67	9.52	23.81	50.00	85.71	52.38	8.33	4.76	23.81	24	21	21
3	66.67	47.62	50.00	20.00	33.33	35.00	13.33	19.05	15.00	15	21	20
4	50.00	42.86	58.82	50.00	28.57	35.29	0.00	28.57	5.88	16	14	17
5	80.65	53.85	45.45	16.13	46.15	36.36	3.23	0.00	18.18	31	13	11
6	62.50	83.33	81.82	33.33	12.50	18.18	4.17	4.17	0.00	24	24	11
All Grades	50.68	35.11	37.27	39.73	41.98	39.09	9.59	22.90	23.64	146	131	110

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	12.50	0.00	0.00	87.50	47.37	72.22	0.00	52.63	27.78	16	19	18
1	15.00	10.53	0.00	45.00	57.89	33.33	40.00	31.58	66.67	20	19	12
2	12.50	0.00	0.00	75.00	80.95	66.67	12.50	19.05	33.33	24	21	21
3	6.67	4.76	5.00	60.00	66.67	50.00	33.33	28.57	45.00	15	21	20
4	6.25	21.43	5.88	50.00	35.71	70.59	43.75	42.86	23.53	16	14	17
5	12.90	0.00	9.09	54.84	46.15	63.64	32.26	53.85	27.27	31	13	11
6	20.83	20.83	9.09	41.67	41.67	36.36	37.50	37.50	54.55	24	24	11
All Grades	13.01	8.40	3.64	58.22	54.96	58.18	28.77	36.64	38.18	146	131	110

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	50.00	15.79	27.78	37.50	15.79	61.11	12.50	68.42	11.11	16	19	18
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	68.42	41.67	25.00	31.58	58.33	20	19	12
2	16.67	9.52	4.76	75.00	71.43	80.95	8.33	19.05	14.29	24	21	21
3	13.33	9.52	10.00	73.33	80.95	80.00	13.33	9.52	10.00	15	21	20
4	31.25	21.43	11.76	56.25	64.29	88.24	12.50	14.29	0.00	16	14	17
5	19.35	15.38	9.09	64.52	61.54	54.55	16.13	23.08	36.36	31	13	11
6	25.00	29.17	18.18	66.67	58.33	81.82	8.33	12.50	0.00	24	24	11
All Grades	21.23	14.50	11.82	65.07	60.31	71.82	13.70	25.19	16.36	146	131	110

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2024-25 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
402	90.8%	25.6%	0.2%
Total Number of Students enrolled in Lee Richmond Elementary.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2024-25 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	103	25.6%
Foster Youth	1	0.2%
Homeless	7	1.7%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	365	90.8%
Students with Disabilities	49	12.2%

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	8	2%
American Indian	0	0.0%
Asian	5	1.2%
Filipino	4	1%
Hispanic	342	85.1%
Two or More Races	10	2.5%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
White	32	8%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



2025 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts  Green	Chronic Absenteeism  Orange	Suspension Rate  Orange
Mathematics  Yellow		
English Learner Progress  Blue		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students Green 6.2 points above standard Increased 23.7 points 210 Students	English Learners Yellow 5.8 points below standard Increased 31.5 points 83 Students	Long-Term English Learners No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students
Foster Youth No Performance Color 0 Students	Homeless No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 3 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Green 3 points above standard Increased 25.6 points 198 Students

Students with Disabilities  Yellow 59 points below standard Increased 28.8 points 31 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 4 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color 0 Students
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Filipino  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 3 Students	Hispanic  Green 6.6 points above standard Increased 29.2 points 179 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color 9.2 points above standard Declined 16.6 points 18 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Yellow 30.6 points below standard Increased 14.3 points 210 Students	English Learners  Yellow 37.1 points below standard Increased 25.1 points 83 Students	Long-Term English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students
Foster Youth  No Performance Color 0 Students	Homeless  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 3 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Yellow 34.1 points below standard Increased 17.8 points 198 Students

Students with Disabilities  Yellow 94.9 points below standard Increased 30.5 points 31 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 4 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color 0 Students
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Filipino  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 3 Students	Hispanic  Yellow 28.1 points below standard Increased 21.9 points 179 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color 24.4 points below standard Declined 55.8 points 18 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Science


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Science assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Science Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Green 53.5 science points Increased 10.4 points 44 Students	English Learners  No Performance Color 50 science points Increased 11.8 points 21 Students	Long-Term English Learners  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 0 Students
Foster Youth  No Performance Color 0 Students	Homeless  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Green 53.4 science points Increased 11.6 points 43 Students

Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	American Indian  No Performance Color 0 Students
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 0 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color 0 Students	Hispanic  Green 54.5 science points Increased 10.1 points 39 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 0 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 4 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator	
English Learner Progress	Long-Term English Learner Progress
 Blue	 No Performance Color
59.6 making progress.	making progress.
Number Students: 89 Students	Number Students: 4 Students

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
5.6%	34.8%	0%	59.6%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data


Academic Performance College/Career Report

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



Explore information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2025 Fall Dashboard College/Career Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students Orange 8.1% Chronically Absent Increased 0.6 433 Students	English Learners Orange 7.1% Chronically Absent Increased 3.2 126 Students	Long-Term English Learners No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students
Foster Youth No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Homeless No Performance Color 13.6% Chronically Absent Declined 17.6 22 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Orange 8.4% Chronically Absent Increased 0.6 405 Students

Students with Disabilities  Orange 9.2% Chronically Absent Increased 1.8 65 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 8 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color 0 Students
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 4 Students	Hispanic  Green 7.7% Chronically Absent Declined 0.5 366 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color 15.4% Chronically Absent Increased 15.4 13 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  Green 5.7% Chronically Absent Declined 2.2 35 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red Orange Yellow Green Blue
 Lowest Performance Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2025 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2025 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students

English Learners

Long-Term English Learners

Foster Youth

Homeless

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Students with Disabilities

African American

American Indian

Asian

Filipino

Hispanic

Two or More Races

Pacific Islander

White

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2025 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Orange 3.1% suspended at least one day Increased 1.4% 453 Students	English Learners  Orange 3.7% suspended at least one day Increased 1.8% 134 Students	 No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students
Foster Youth  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student	Homeless  No Performance Color 3.6% suspended at least one day Declined 1.2% 28 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Orange 3.1% suspended at least one day Increased 1.2% 422 Students

Students with Disabilities  Orange 4.5% suspended at least one day Increased 1.6% 67 Students	African American  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 8 Students	American Indian  No Performance Color 0 Students
Asian  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students	Filipino  No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 4 Students	Hispanic  Orange 2.3% suspended at least one day Increased 0.8% 386 Students
Two or More Races  No Performance Color 7.7% suspended at least one day Increased 7.7% 13 Students	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color 0 Students	White  Red 11.4% suspended at least one day Increased 9% 35 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. See Identified Need Goals #1-4

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

All students will make progress toward proficiency on the state adopted standards and English learners will make progress learning the English language.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

All students will make progress toward proficiency on the state adopted standards and English learners will make progress learning the English language.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Data from the 2025 CAASPP scores indicate that students have continued to make academic gains over the last several years. Although there was an increase in CAASPP scores, the scores in ELA indicate that English Learners and Students with Disabilities continue to perform below standard, while all other subgroups (All students, Hispanic, and Socio-economically Disadvantaged) increased are above standard. The scores in math indicate that all subgroups increased significantly, however continue to be below standard. There is a need to provide students with a strong educational program along with significant supplemental interventions and instruction, especially for our most at-risk student groups.

With most subgroups performing at levels close to or similar to the school's overall average, the CAASPP scores indicate a need to provide support to all high-needs students across all subgroups. There is a need to provide at-risk students with instructional support that includes a strong core instructional program coupled with support and intervention.

English learners performed just below overall levels, indicating there is a need to continue providing students who are English Learners with language support in all academic areas and to provide these students with English language development instruction (instruction in learning the English language).

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA - All Students	Color Green—Increased 23.7 pts (6.2 points above standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Green--Increase by 3.8 pts (10.0 pts above standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA - Hispanic	Color Green—Increased 29.2 pts (6.6 points above standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Blue--Increase by 3.4 pts (10 pts above standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA - English Learner	Color Yellow—Increased 31.5 pts (5.8 points below standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Blue--Increase by 5.8 pts (0 pts above/below standard)

2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA - Economically Disadvantaged	Color Green—Increased 25.6 pts (3.0 points above standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Blue-- Increase by 7.0 pts (10.0 pts above standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: ELA - Students with Disabilities	Color Yellow —Increased 28.8 pts (59.0 points below standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Yellow -- Increase by 15 pts (44.0 pts below standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math - All Students	Color Yellow —Increased 14.3 pts (30.6 points below standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Green-- Increase by 15 pts (15.6 pts below standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math - Hispanic	Color Yellow—Increased 21.9 pts (28.1 points below standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Green -- Increase by 10.0 pts (18.1 pts below standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math - English Learner	Color Yellow—Increased 25.1 pts (37.1 points below standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Green-- Increase by 13.0 pts (24.1 pts below standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math - Economically Disadvantaged	Color Yellow—Increased 17.8 pts (34.1 points below standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Green-- Increase by 10.0 pts (24.1 pts below standard)
2025 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results: Math - Students with Disabilities	Color Yellow—Increased 30.5 pts (94.9 points below standard) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Color Yellow-- Increase by 15.0 pts (79.9 pts below standard)

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	Universal Support (Tier 1) Core Instruction Implement standards-based reading and mathematics instruction incorporating all essential components of core instructional materials, and using supplemental materials, as defined by the reading / language arts and mathematics frameworks for California public schools and HESD ELA Pacing Guide. Standards aligned instruction in ELA and Math will be in place in all classrooms. Supplemental supplies and materials will be utilized to support and enhance the core instructional program. ELA and Math lesson planning is based on backwards mapping of the essential CCSS and/or aligned to the district pacing calendar. Essential standards/units will be instructed prior to state testing using the state adopted program. In addition, supplemental hands on learning experiences, outside consultants, and possible video conferencing opportunities will provide students with extended learning opportunities to further support the instruction in the classroom around CCSS standards. The analysis of data from District and Site assessments will be reviewed weekly during school site collaboration, utilizing the formative assessment cycle to inform future instruction. Teachers will utilize the formative assessment cycle to make decisions about reteaching, small	All Students, including English Learners	36,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Supplemental Supplies and Materials 2,500 School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Books/Leveled Books 1,254 School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies LMT Supplies/Materials other than books 1,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs TRC for Academics 3,495 LCFF - Supplemental 4000-4999: Books And Supplies PE Supplies (0100-0332-0-1160-1000-430000-020-0025)

group instruction, and if students will need additional supports or extensions with learning the essential standards. Site Leadership, along with teachers, will monitor instruction and district/site assessments. ELA Assessments may include: Benchmarks, CARS, CAP, IRL's, IAB's, Sight Word Assessments, Writing Assessments, Phonemic Awareness, Alphabetic Principle, Letters/Sounds, and any electronic app assessments such as those from our supplemental digital content such as Lexia, RazKids, and Khan Academy. Math Assessments may include: Benchmarks, topic tests, IAB's, math facts, and any electronic app assessments such as those from our supplemental digital content such as Reflex and Khan Academy. Implementation of a standards-based TK program that prepares students for Kindergarten, with a specific focus on language, literacy, and early math skills, and an emphasis on social and motor skill development through structured hands-on, play-based learning incorporating exploration and inquiry. Shared reading, reader's workshop, writer's workshop, small group instruction in reading, writing and math, read aloud, close reading and interactive writing teaching points using the state adopted text and supplemental nonfiction instructional materials will be derived from the Common Core Standards. The Library Media Technician promotes regular use of literacy to enrich the school experience and encourages regular participation in library activities to develop students' literacy skills. Title 1 funds will be utilized to supplement literacy across all grade levels. ELA Leadership team will provide support as needed. Leadership team will monitor monthly: classroom observations lesson plans minimum day collaboration agendas formative & summative assessments overall student academic progress and academic needs Math Leadership team monitors monthly to ensure that essential standards/units will be instructed prior to state testing: Lesson plans District assessment data Formative classroom/chapter assessment results Physical Education		1,500 School Wide Program (SWP) 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs Print Shop for Academics 1,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Instructional Consultant for Academics
---	--	--

Students will receive instruction in physical education for a total period of time of not less than 200 minutes for 10 school days, exclusive of recesses and the lunch period. The Physical Education Model Content Standards will follow a sequential, developmentally appropriate curriculum designed and implemented to help students acquire the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and confidence needed to adopt and maintain a physically active, healthy lifestyle. Supplemental supplies and materials will be utilized to support and enhance the core PE program including the possible use of an online data subscription for tracking such as Fitness Finders. (LCFF)

EVIDENCE

Strong Evidence

Note: The HESD Mathematics Pacing Calendar provides teachers with specific information and support to carry out each of the following recommendations:

* shows strong evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented experimental study:

1. Review and integrate previously learned content throughout intervention to ensure that students maintain understanding of concepts and procedures.
 2. When introducing new concepts and procedures, use accessible numbers to support learning.
 3. Sequence instruction so that the mathematics learning builds incrementally.
 4. Provide visual and verbal supports.
 5. Provide immediate, supportive feedback to students to address any misunderstandings.
- *U.S. Department of Education. (2021). Assisting Students Struggling with Mathematics in the Elementary Grades. Washington D.C.: What Works Clearinghouse.

Strong/Moderate/Minimal Evidence

Note: The HESD English Language Arts Pacing Calendar provides teachers with specific information and support to carry out each of the following recommendations

* shows strong evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented experimental study:

1. Teach students academic language skills, including the use of inferential and narrative language, and vocabulary knowledge. (Minimal)
2. Develop awareness of the segments of sounds in speech and how they link to letters. (Strong)
3. Teach students to decode words, analyze word parts, and write and recognize words. (Strong)
4. Ensure that each student reads connected text every day to support reading accuracy, fluency, and comprehension. (Moderate)

*U.S. Department of Education. (2016). Foundational Skills to Support Reading for

	Understanding in Kindergarten Through 3rd Grade. Washington D.C.: What Works Clearinghouse. Strong/Moderate Evidence Note: The HESD English Language Arts Pacing Calendar provides teachers with specific information and support to carry out each of the following recommendations * shows strong evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented experimental study: 1. Provide explicit vocabulary instruction (Strong) 2. Provide direct and explicit comprehension strategy instruction. (Strong) 3. Provide opportunities for extended discussion of text meaning and interpretation. (Moderate) 4. Increase student motivation and engagement in literacy learning. (Moderate) 5. Make available intensive and individualized interventions for struggling readers that can be provided by trained specialists. (Strong) *U.S. Department of Education. (2008). Improving Adolescent Literacy: Effective Classroom and Intervention Practices. Washington D.C.: What Works Clearinghouse.		
1.2	Supplemental & Intensified Support (Tier 2 & 3) Tier 2: Students Identified as needing additional support/supplemental Interventions. As students demonstrate a need for additional support through the analysis of formative assessment data, they receive increasingly more targeted and intensive help. Responding to academic needs through a Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS), ensures students receive the additional time and support needed to learn at high levels. Tier 2 supplemental interventions will provide timely, targeted, and systematic interventions to students who demonstrate a need through differentiated instruction/small group instruction provided by the classroom teacher, an Educational Tutor or paraprofessional. Formative assessments may include: Running Records (Rigby unseen, Teacher College, and Next Steps Forward in Guided Reading (NSFGR)), Fountas & Pinnell assessment kits, site-based assessments, and/or teacher anecdotal notes. Students (including English Learners) will participate in explicit instruction in reading and math and make appropriate academic growth. The school will provide differentiated small group language arts instruction, within the classroom, based on identified student need. Differentiated small group language instruction will be focused on gaps within literacy skills that can include/cover:	Some identified students - EL, Special Education, At-risk, Advanced	

reading comprehension, word work, vocabulary, fluency, phonemic awareness, and letters/sounds. Students not responding to differentiated small group language arts instruction will be monitored for their need of intervention services. Students (including English Learners) will participate in explicit math instruction and make appropriate academic growth. The school site will provide differentiated small group mathematics instruction, within the classroom, based on identified student need. Focus of differentiated small group math instruction will be focused on gaps within a student's foundational math skills. Site Leadership and Teachers will monitor students' academic levels within small group instruction, as well as monitoring of goals and progress. Students not responding to differentiated small group ELA or math instruction will be monitored for their need of intervention services. The school will implement school-wide differentiated small group reading, writing, and mathematics instruction and in-class interventions. "At risk" students will participate in explicit reading and/or math instruction delivered by the teacher and will progress in reading levels. Students may receive small group instruction from Educational Tutors using a push-in model. (Students may receive an additional small group lesson, before or after their small group lesson with the teacher, when they would otherwise be working independently.) Teachers will monitor progress and adjust small group instruction based on the assessment of students at a minimum of once per month. Students needing additional support may participate in a reading intervention program supported by an Educational Tutor. The school will provide tutoring and intervention opportunities for identified students scoring in the intensive range on language arts and/or mathematics assessments for grades TK-6. Additional supplemental materials and supplies, such as leveled books and intervention materials, will be to support students' academic needs. Evidence: Student goals/records Assessment results (Formative and Summative) Lesson plans/group calendars Status of the Class notes Supplemental digital content/app reports Tier 3: Students identified as needing intensive student supports/interventions.		
--	--	--

As students demonstrate a need for additional support, beyond what Tier 2 supplemental interventions/small group instruction can provide, students are identified as Tier 3 and needing intensive supports/interventions. Tier 3 students need intensive remediation in universal skills that goes above what students receive in Tier 1 (core instructional program) and Tier 2 (Small group instruction). Tier 3 intensive student supports and supplemental interventions will provide timely, targeted, and systematic interventions to students who demonstrate a need through a lack of progress within differentiated instruction/small group instruction.

Teachers, alongside the Learning Director, will begin to identify students who are considerably below grade level and in need of intervention services at the beginning of and throughout the year. Intervention will be provided in cycles depending on student needs. Teachers and/or Educational Tutors/Paraprofessionals will provide additional intervention instruction that supplements the instruction that the students receive from the classroom teacher and is focused on building foundational skills in reading and math including phonemic awareness, letters and sounds, sight words, early reading fluency, decoding multisyllabic unknown words, reading comprehension skills, and/or improve number sense and basic mathematical problem solving skills.

Students in TK-6 (including English Learners) that are identified as struggling and have not responded to Tier 2 differentiated instruction/small group instruction within the classroom will be identified as needing additional supports/interventions. The Learning Director will secure teachers to provide identified students before school, lunch time, or after school intervention instruction. The intervention teacher and classroom teacher will monitor student progress during weekly grade level collaboration. Site Administration and teachers will monitor student achievement data. Site Administration will also monitor schedules and rosters.

Site leadership and teachers will monitor formative assessment data to monitor student progress and determine student needs. Teachers will monitor student needs on an ongoing basis. Teachers will use multiple assessments to assess student instructional needs in ELA and Math. Site leadership will monitor assessment data from both formative & summative assessments. Teachers will monitor student growth by conducting pre and post assessments during an intervention cycle.

Evidence may include:

Data monitoring sheets

Student attendance records/tracking

	Student goals/records Assessment results (Formative and Summative) Intervention calendars Intervention rosters Timecards Status of the Class notes EVIDENCE Strong Evidence Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (Tier 1 Strong) Center for Research in Educational Policy (CREP). Implementation of Effective Intervention: An Empirical Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of Fountas & Pinnell's Leveled Literacy Intervention System (LLI). 2009-2010. Washington D.C.: What Works Clearinghouse.		
1.3	Academic Enrichment To further support and enhance student learning, students will be provided with the opportunity to participate in enrichment activities such as clubs, assemblies, awards assemblies, art lessons (LCFF), guest speakers, study trips, video conferences, Read Across America activities and virtual study trips that align to grade level content and themes. These enrichment opportunities are developmentally appropriate for students ages. In addition, in order to increase student background knowledge and vocabulary necessary to access grade level content in units of study, grade level teams will collaborate to develop an instructional calendar that will include academic study trips and virtual study trips that support units of study. Supplemental academic and enrichment activities to support instruction in ELA, Math, Science, and History/Social Science: Videoconferencing Study Trips Zoom Field Trip Read Across America Activities Medals and awards that celebrate academic achievement Art lessons (LCFF) Most afterschool enrichment will be ran through the ELOP (Extended Learning Opportunities Program) funding by the ELOP funds. Prop 28 funds are utilized to expand the Art and Music programs.	All Students including Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and English Learners	775 School Wide Program (SWP) 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Teacher Overcontract for Academic Enrichment 4,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs Transportation for Academic Study Trips
1.4	Digital Subscriptions The school will supplement the school board approved instructional materials in ELA, math, science, and history/social science/Physical	All Students	1,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

Education with a suite of digital/online tools and subscriptions that are aligned with the State Standards, are accessible at school and home, are adaptive (able to remediate and/or accelerate learning), can identify and target missing skills or gaps in skills/knowledge/understanding, and that provide data on student progress. Supplemental digital content through Title 1 centralized services may include, but is not limited to: Renaissance Learning (School City) Parent Square Document Tracking Services (DTS) Empowering Education (Homeless Students) Supplemental digital content through the LCAP (LCFF) or other funding sources may include, but is not limited to: Lexia Learning Systems IXL Learning Learning A-Z (Raz-Plus) Explore Learning LLC (Reflex) Kahn Academy Kids Newsela Discovery Education Zoom IVS Computer Technology & Renewals (SMART Notebook) SHI International Corp (Adobe Creative Cloud) Learning A-Z ELL Edition (Title III) Learning A-Z Parent Academies (Raz-Plus & ELL-Title III) Gravic Document Tracking Services (DTS) Survey Monkey Edupoint Synergy MTSS Module Supplemental digital content through the School Site Title 1 fund may include, but is not limited to: Flocabulary Kahn Academy Seesaw Learning Nearpod (Flocabulary) WeVideo IXL-ELA Up The Ladder Live School Starfall Writebright AR STAR MyON Mystery Science Education.com Screen-Cast-O-Matic Field Trip Zoom Omega Labs - Boom Learning Committee for Children - Second Step Learning Without Tears Tumbleweed Read Naturally		Digital Subscriptions which may include Starfall, LiveSchool 19,083.42 Title I Centralized Service 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Digital Content (Subscriptions)
---	--	---

	Educational Design Discovery Techbooks Kuta Software Online books, periodicals, etc. Pioneer Valley Books - Digital Reader Kuypers Consulting, Inc. Novel Effect, Inc. BrainPop EVIDENCE Strong Evidence Lexia® Core5® Reading Program – Struggling Readers (Essa Rating: Strong) Evidence for ESSA: Hurwitz, L.B., & Vanacore, K.P. (2020). Impact of the Lexia® Core5® Reading program on students with reading and language impairments. Concord, MA: Lexia Learning Systems LLC, a Rosetta Stone company. Strong Evidence Lexia PowerUp Literacy (Essa Rating: Strong) Evidence for ESSA: Hurwitz, L. B. (2020). Supporting Struggling and Non-Proficient Middle School Readers with the Lexia® PowerUp Literacy® Program. Concord, MA: Lexia Learning Systems LLC, A Rosetta Stone Company.		
1.5	Integrated ELD (Core Program ELD/EL Support) The foundation of the district's support for English Learners are its integrated and designated English Language Development instructional programs. These are the district's core ELD programs. ELD instruction and EL support in academic content areas will be provided to all EL students using the state adopted core and ancillary materials ensuring that English Learners are receiving language instruction that supports access to the state-adopted content standards in ELA, mathematics, science, and history social science. EL students are supported across the school day in all content areas. English Learners in Hanford Elementary are referred to as ELITE Learners because being an English Learner, knowing two languages and working toward becoming bilingual and biliterate, is considered an asset rather than a handicap. Integrated ELD: • Includes intentional supports and scaffolds that happen by design • Is planned in advance as part of the lesson to eliminate barriers to learning • Often includes a preview or pre-teach to build background knowledge for the topic • May include small group • Provides connections to what students already know	English Learners	None Specified None Specified Director of Curriculum (Core Program funded with LCFF) None Specified None Specified School Site Learning Director (Core Program funded with LCFF)

	• Often includes hands on activities and discussion (with language supports as needed) • May include the use of charts and graphic organizers The school will provide all EL students with ELD instruction and EL support using the state adopted materials. This is the district's core program of integrated ELD. ELD instruction and EL support in academic content areas will be provided to all EL students using the state adopted core and ancillary materials ensuring that English Learners are receiving language instruction that supports access to the state-adopted content standards in ELA and mathematics. District level administrators for English Learners provide leadership and monitoring of the district's integrated and designated ELD programs. The administrators work with school site Principals and Learning Directors ensuring that implementation of ELD is in place and that teaching staff receive professional development in ELD instruction. The administrators develop, implements, supervise, and monitor all activities funded with Title III. A Learning Director at each school site, funded with LCFF, provides leadership and monitoring of ELD instruction at the school site level. Learning Directors ensure that both integrated and designated ELD are in place and are effective. Learning Directors provide leadership and support to teaching staff in identifying EL students requiring interventions. Learning Directors monitor the implementation of interventions at their sites. Learning Directors, working with district level administrators, assess the ELD professional development needs of their individual school sites, and work together to implement PD that is targeted to individual school site needs.		
1.6	Designated ELD (Core Program) EL students are provided with consistent, effective, research-based designated English Language Development instruction that is carefully planned and explicitly taught, that includes: opportunities to develop fluency through authentic oral and written practice; that includes activities with language beyond students' current level of proficiency; and that is specifically targeted to students' English proficiency levels. Students will receive ELD instruction at their English language development level during the ELD instructional block. Each school will implement a 30-minute block of time each day for English Language Development instruction. The district leadership team will monitor	English Learners	None Specified None Specified Director of Curriculum (Core Program funded with LCFF) None Specified None Specified School Site Learning Director (Core Program funded with LCFF)

	and provide technical assistance and professional development for the implementation of ELD at school sites. Student ELD levels will be appropriately and promptly identified. District level leadership team will provide school sites with professional development/technical assistance in developing deployment schedules so that all students are provided with ELD instruction at their ELD level. The district leadership team will continue to monitor the implementation of deployment for supplemental ELD instruction. Students will receive ELD instruction at their English Language Development level during the ELD instructional block. This is the districts core designated ELD program. Dedicated ELD Time Block: Each school will implement a 30 minute block of time each day for English Language Development instruction. The district leadership team will monitor and provide technical assistance and professional development for the implementation of ELD at school sites. Identify Students' ELD Level: Student ELD levels will be appropriately and promptly identified. All school sites will locally score the ELPAC annually. EL Deployment School Site: School site leadership teams will use the results of assessments including local scoring of the ELPAC and district formative assessments to plan deployments to ensure that ELs are instructed at their ELD level. EL Deployment LEA: District level leadership team will provide school sites with professional development/technical assistance in developing deployment schedules so that all students are provided with ELD instruction at their ELD level. Monitor ELD Deployment: The district leadership team will continue to monitor the implementation of deployment for supplemental ELD instruction.		
1.7	Trimester Monitoring of ELs Once each trimester, all teachers will document the progress of each of their EL students. This documentation will be aligned to the 2012 ELD standards and will be based on ELPAC scores, results of district benchmark and formative assessments, student work samples, and teacher observations. Upload EL Documentation:	English Learners	None Specified None Specified Core Program: Not Supported with Federal Funds

	Once each trimester teachers will upload documentation of each EL student's progress to a master database. School Site Progress Monitoring School site leadership will access the master database to monitor the progress of EL students. Identify Students At Risk of LTEL: The district will identify students at-risk of becoming LTEL and will monitor the progress of these students through their elementary and junior high school years. Data Analysis Data, including local scoring of ELPAC, information from the master EL database, district benchmarks, and formative assessments will be used to develop a timely analysis of student progress and will be used to identify students at risk of becoming LTEL. Intervention for At Risk LTEL: Once identified, students at risk of becoming LTEL will be provided with both language and academic interventions based on their language and academic needs. Monitor Progress LTEL: The progress of students identified as being at risk of becoming LTEL will be monitored at both the school site and LEA level.		
1.8	Supplemental, After-Hours ELD Instruction English Learners who are identified as making insufficient progress in learning English may be provided with supplemental, after-hours ELD instruction. These students will be provided with after-hours intervention and enrichment with an English Language Development focus. Teachers will be recruited to from school sites to provide after-school ELD to EL students. (Teacher will provide instruction to EL students at their own school site.) District level administrators will monitor student attendance and progress and will provide technical assistance to the school sites in implementing supplemental ELD. After-School ELD: Deliver supplemental, after-hours ELD instruction to students identified as making insufficient progress in learning English that is aligned to students' proficiency levels, the ELD standards, and is research/evidence-based. Recommendations found in: California Department of Education. (2010). Improving Education for English Learners: Research Based Approaches. Sacramento: California Department of Education.	English Learners	None Specified None Specified Funding for this action is detailed in the LCAP Federal Addendum Spending Plan

	EL students will be provided with after-hours intervention and enrichment with an English Language Development focus. Recruit Teachers: Teachers will be recruited from school sites to provide after-school ELD to EL students. (Teacher will provide instruction to EL students at their own school site.) Monitor After School ELD: District level administrators will monitor student attendance and progress and will provide technical assistance to the school sites in implementing supplemental ELD.		
1.15			

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

In comparing the ELA and Math CAASPP scores to years past we have continued to see an increase in most areas and subgroups. Here is a breakdown of how our school performed based on Percent Proficient:

ELA: All Students: 23-24: 40.63% vs 24-25: 51.56% (Increase +10.93%)

ELA: African Americans: 23-24: 14% vs 24-25: 75% (Increase + 61%)

ELA: Hispanics: 23-24: 39.37% vs 24-25: 51.57% (Increase +12.2%)

ELA: White: 23-24: 64.7% vs 24-25: 52.63% (Decrease - 12.07%)

ELA: English Learners: 23-24: 18.31% vs 24-25: 21.32% (Increase + 3.01%)

ELA: Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 23-24: 38.16% vs 24-25: 50.96% (Increase + 12.8%)

ELA: Students with Disabilities: 23-24: 11.11% vs 24-25: 17.24% (Increase + 6.13%)

Math: All Students: 23-24: 33.03% vs 24-25: 38.67% (Increase + 5.64%)

Math: African Americans: 23-24: 29_% vs 24-25: 25% (Decrease - 4.0%)

Math: Hispanics: 23-24: 30.31% vs 24-25: 38.42% (Increase + 8.11%)

Math: White: 23-24: 64.7% vs 24-25: 47.37% (Decrease - 17.33%)

Math: English Learners: 23-24: 12.68% vs 24-25: 16.4% (Increase + 3.72%)

Math: Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 23-24: 29.95% vs 24-25: 37.5% (Increase + 7.55%)

Math: Students with Disabilities: 23-24: 11.11% vs 24-25: 10.35% (Decrease - .76%)

Implementation

The actions and services under Goal 1 were well implemented overall and when comparing our state CAASPP scores to the past several years, it shows an overall increase in our scores since 20-21. Standards-based ELA and mathematics instruction, as defined by the Common Core Standards, incorporating state-adopted text and HESD pacing calendar, is expected and implemented in all classrooms. School site leadership, with support from the district office curriculum and instruction department, monitors schools, classrooms, and student progress. Students requiring intervention are identified and provided with supplemental instruction/intervention including after-hours instruction supporting their individual needs. Integrated ELD (Core Program ELD/EL Support) instruction and EL support in academic content areas were implemented across the school at all grade levels. English Learners received language instruction supporting access to the state-adopted content standards in ELA, mathematics, science, and history/social science. EL students received 30 minutes of Designated ELD instruction (Core instruction) at their English Language Development level during the ELD instructional block. Teachers documented the progress of EL students using the district's EL rubric

forms. All third through sixth grade classes participated in a district provided targeted assistance program, due to scores being below the district average. Classes received extensive coaching cycles in both ELA and Math. Grade level teams collaborated and analyzed data after every district assessment. Teams created reteach lessons and opportunities for students to be reassessed. In addition, all teachers utilized the interim ELPAC assessments to plan units of instruction that provided students with skills and strategies to help develop areas of difficulty.

Effectiveness

The actions under Goal 1 continue to be effective showing students making progress toward proficiency on the state-adopted standards. English Learners continue to make progress learning the English language. Since 20-21, CAASPP scores for students have increased overall, showing an effective use of instructional time and materials. When comparing scores over the last several years, proficiency levels are improving, showing students are making progress in academics. Based on the increase in achievement levels, there is not a need to make significant changes to the instructional program; rather, it indicates a need to continue to build upon and strengthen the school's existing instructional programs. As seen in the data above, participating in the targeted assistance program provided by the district attributed to significant growth across all subgroups. Of the four schools that participated in targeted assistance, Lee Richmond showed the highest growth of these schools, as well as across the district. Furthermore, Lee Richmond's English Learners made significant progress in both ELA and Math domains.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan: SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Although the strategies/activities in the plan were implemented, newer categorical funding was used to support some of the activities in this school plan. Struggling students received interventions through the Extended Learning Opportunities Plan (ELOP) tutoring. Enrichment opportunities through Prop 28 for music and art provided additional time for students to broaden their horizons and ELOP funds for after-school Enrichment activities have created opportunities for a variety of students.

There were no major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal. Lee Richmond took advantage of the ELOP funding to provide students with a wide variety of afterschool opportunities to enrich their overall learning. Some of the after school clubs have included Dance, Art, Baking (cupcake decorating), Animal exploration, and Lego Building and Creation Station (STEM). During these clubs, students were able to learn more about the respected areas of learning. At the end of each club, a parent celebration or performance was provided so students could display or perform what they had experienced. In addition to these extended learning opportunities, ELOP tutoring also has taken place across many of the grade levels. Teachers prepare a club based on needs of students in the respected grade level. It is then offered to all students within the grade level based on grade level standards. Parents sign their children up and support them in their journey. All of the teachers who have provided this ELOP tutoring opportunity for their students have reported an increase in learning both during the tutoring sessions as well as in the regular classroom. This growth is evident when looking at the pre and post assessment data given at the beginning and ending of each tutoring session. Due to the amount of growth seen through data, ELOP tutoring is now being offered by more teachers across the Lee Richmond campus. This has been a great opportunity for students to receive extra instruction, as not all students learn at the same pace. These ELOP tutoring sessions are allowing students opportunities to close the achievement gap between themselves and their grade level peers.

Most of the budget items have been spent and there were no major differences in over or under spending.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The school will continue to provide standards-aligned instruction to all students, interventions, and support for struggling students, in addition to designated and integrated ELD for English learners. The school will continue to provide students with an extensive suite of digital/online supplemental instructional materials.

Student CAASPP scores have increased over the past several years showing there is not a need to make significant changes to the instructional program; rather, it indicates a need to continue to build upon and strengthen the school's existing instructional programs. The strategies and activities under Goal 1 will continue into the coming school year.

After-school support and activities, including enrichment and tutoring, will continue to be funded through the district-wide Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELOP). All students will have the opportunity to participate in these programs.

There are no significant changes being made to the School Plan at this time. Lee Richmond students have made substantial gains in both ELA and Math. In ELA, all subgroups are demonstrating substantial progress and now register in the either the Green or Yellow areas on the State Matrix. In Math, Lee Richmond students are also showing improvements based on both local and state assessment results.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

The school will support teachers and staff with professional development, training, and collaboration time.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

The district will support teachers and staff with professional development, training, and collaboration time.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

The rigor of CCCS and state assessments continues to create a demand for professional development to support teaching staff. District surveys of teachers and site administrators, as well as classroom observations all indicate a need for further professional development related to the implementation of the Common Core Standards.

There is a need to provide teachers with professional development and in-class coaching in the integration of technology resources with classroom instruction and the work students do.

There is a need to provide teaching staff with PD to build upon the analysis of student achievement data by studying the work of individual students, especially their written work, and diagnosing specific areas of strength and areas of need. There is a need to develop instructional planning based on this analysis.

Although English Learners are performing well on the CA School Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator, English Learners are performing at lower levels in ELA and Math than their peers (overall) on the dashboard academic indicators. This indicates that there is a need to provide students who are English Learners with language support in all academic areas (called Integrated ELD) and to provide these students with specific English Language Development instruction (instruction in learning the English language (called Designated ELD). In order to provide effective Integrated ELD and Designated ELD, there is a need to provide classroom teachers with leadership, training, and support in these areas.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
District Led Professional Development	All Teachers Receive Professional Development	All Teachers Receive Professional Development
School Site Led Professional Development	The School Provides Opportunities for Professional Development	The School Provides Opportunities for Professional Development
Teacher Collaboration	Teachers Attend Collaboration Each Wednesday	Teachers Attend Collaboration Each Wednesday

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	School Site Professional Development Teachers and administrators will participate in district professional development and site based systematic professional development that is specific to the needs of the school site and/or teacher (NGSS, Math, ELA, and Spanish language arts). This is determined by site leadership observations, student data trends, and input from teachers at the school site. PD will include whole group PD, in class coaching, support from leadership during collaboration, lesson development and planning, backwards-mapping, and analysis of formative and summative student achievement data. Teachers are provided with collaboration time every Wednesday (student early release days) to analyze student data to identify at-risk students, plan lessons, and have discussions about effective teaching strategies and resources/materials. Teachers will understand and effectively implement the collaboration protocol used in a professional learning community (PLC). Teachers will utilize this time to use the formative assessment cycle to inform instruction, small groups, and students needing Tier 2 intervention. During this time, teachers will look at data pertaining to EL's, SED, and SPED. Teachers analyze student achievement data from district and site-based assessments and use this information to plan instruction and intervention, to identify students for intervention, and to group students for instruction and intervention. Data will be disaggregated to show overall performance as well as performances of significant subgroups (including ELs). Results may include grouping, planning, observing a colleague, and/or coaching support based on identified needs. As needed (based on data) coaching will include focus on EL strategies. Teachers will have access to district instructional coaches who specialize in reading, writing, math, and science. To ensure we are meeting the needs for all English Learners through designated and integrated ELD, PD and support will be provided to teachers. All professional development will be driven by the needs and interest of the students, staff, and school. Professional development may consist of workshops, conferences, and instructional consultants focused on topics, but not limited to:	All Students	

	- Understanding and implementation of Common Core State Standards, Next Generation Science Standards and Language Arts/foundational skills. - Implementation of effective teaching strategies and techniques: hands-on activities, cooperative learning, direct instruction, and interdisciplinary teaching, etc. (planning and delivering units of study in science across disciplines/language arts) - Integration of technology into content areas and the use of online tools and resources to develop and teach lessons. - Classroom management and discipline - Differentiated Instruction for low and high achieving students - English Language Development and English Language Learners - Strategies for scaffolding and backwards mapping Substitutes may be utilized to relieve teachers to allow teachers to attend Professional Development or Status of the Class meetings.		
2.2	Instructional Coaches A professional development team consisting of a Curriculum Specialist in English Language Arts (ELA), a Curriculum Specialist in Mathematics, and four instructional coaches provide high quality, research-based professional development to teachers and administrators. Instructional coaches specialize in reading, writing, mathematics/science, the integration of technology into the instructional program, and the development of digital literacy skills to improve academic achievement. Decisions are made regarding the types of professional development that will be delivered based on analysis of data including student achievement data and site leadership and teacher input. These include but are not limited to whole-group professional development, in-class coaching, coaching during weekly collaboration, lesson development and planning, backwards mapping, and analysis of formative and summative student achievement data. The professional development team provides training and professional development specifically designed to improve the academic achievement of English Learners	All Students	98,468.67 Title I Centralized Service 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries School Site Portion of Seven Instructional Coaches 833.33 Title I Centralized Service 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs School Site Portion for Mileage Seven Instructional Coaches
2.3	Induction Coaches The district will operate a CTC accredited new teacher induction program with two full-time, full-release induction coaches. New teachers will receive induction support for two years and will clear their credentials through the induction program. The district will implement a Clear Administrative Services Credential Induction Program (CASC) for new administrators.	All Students	28,746.33 Title I Centralized Service 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries School Site Portion of Two Induction Coaches

--	--	--	--

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

IMPLEMENTATION

Teachers attended three district-led, full-day professional development sessions providing teachers with training in research-based instructional strategies for ELA, Math, and ELD. Teachers are provided with a “minimum day” each Wednesday to collaborate around student assessment data and to plan instruction and intervention based on this data.

A professional development team consisting of a curriculum specialist in English Language Arts (ELA), a curriculum specialist in Mathematics, and five instructional coaches all provide high-quality, research-based professional development to teachers and administrators. Instructional coaches specialize in reading, writing, mathematics/science, the integration of technology into instructional programs, and the development of digital literacy skills to improve academic achievement. These coaches provide whole-group professional development, in-class coaching, coaching during weekly collaboration, lesson development and planning, backwards mapping, and analysis of formative and summative student achievement data. The professional development team provides training and professional development specifically designed to improve the academic achievement of various subgroups including English Learners. The professional development team provided systematic professional development to 3-6 grade teachers at Lee Richmond through the district-led Targeted Assistance Program.

New teachers were served with a CTC-accredited new teacher induction program with a director and two full-time, full-release induction coaches. New teachers received induction support for two years and cleared their credentials through the induction program.

EFFECTIVENESS

Teacher surveys indicate that the professional development and collaboration time were effective and felt they were supported by this training, professional development, and collaboration. In addition, Lee Richmond students made progress toward grade level standards as evidenced by the growth indicated through local district assessments and CAASPP testing and the CA Dashboard Indicators of Student Achievement.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan: SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

All strategies/activities under Goal #2 were well implemented. There were no material differences between proposed and actual expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

There is a continuing need to provide professional development around grade-level standards-aligned instruction. There is a need to continue to use the district's instructional coaches while also providing professional development opportunities from outside the district, such as from Tulare or Kings County offices of Education. Teachers will continue to receive professional development from the district PD team. Teachers will continue to receive site-specific PD at their school sites.

Lee Richmond did have some subgroups of students who's CAASPP scores landed in both the orange and red sections on the state dashboard during the 23-24 school year. Due to the areas of struggle, Lee Richmond is now part of the

districts Targeted Assistance Program. This has led to more in-classroom coaching from the district for targeted areas of need in both ELA and Math. The coaching will strengthen instructional practices to meet the needs of all students but a specific focus will be around EL and SPED populations. In addition, Lee Richmond has adopted the Next Steps Forward in Guided Reading framework, as well as the use of Next Steps Forward in Word Study and Phonics professional texts for small group reading instruction. In helping to implement these instructional practices to improve reading achievement, coaching has been provided to build teacher capacity with analyzing student reading and writing for instructional purposes. Coaching and professional development will be provided by the Principal, Learning Director, or Instructional Coaches. As teachers go through training, materials will be purchased to support teachers and students in both small group and whole-class instruction. Due to being part of the districts Targeted Assistance Program and the extra coaching/PD, Lee Richmond's student CAASPP scores increased significantly resulting in no student subgroups in the orange or red indicator levels of the state dashboard matrix. Lee Richmond will continue to receive in-class coaching from the district instructional coaching or site leadership team for targeted areas of need in both ELA and Math.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Students will learn in a safe, well maintained school where they are supported, engaged, and connected to their school.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Students will learn in a safe, well maintained school where they are supported, engaged, and connected to their school.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Suspension

Although the school's suspension rate has decreased consistently over the last decade, the couple of years after the COVID Pandemic there was an uptick in the number of students who were suspended. Even though our suspension rate has decreased since then, there is still an increase in behavior and social-emotional concerns among students. There is a need to continue to reduce the number of students who are suspended by supporting all students with incentives and rewards for good behavior, good choices, and proper self-management, by identifying students with behavior challenges, and by providing those students with increasing levels of support.

Chronic Absenteeism:

Although the Chronic Absenteeism rate has decreased over the past few years, it has not returned to pre-pandemic levels. There is a need to continue to educate our families about when to send their child to school based on various symptoms their child may have, which in most cases are typical cold-like symptoms. There is a need to continue providing students and their families with social and emotional support to keep them engaged in school and to further reduce the number of students who are chronically absent, along with education around when to send their student to school and when not to.

The goals, actions, and services in this plan meet ESSA requirements for a schoolwide plan (SWP) and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement, and are aligned with the goals, actions, and services detailed in the district's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP).

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Suspension Rate: California Dashboard: All Students	Orange - Increased 1.4% (3.1% Suspension Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: - Green - Suspension Rate 2.6% (Decline of 0.5%)
Suspension Rate: California Dashboard: White	Red - Increased 9.0% (11.4% Suspension Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Suspension Rate 2.4% (Decline of 9.0%)
Suspension Rate: California Dashboard: Hispanic	Orange - Increased 0.8% (2.3% Suspension Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Suspension Rate 1.8% (Decline of 0.5%)

Suspension Rate: California Dashboard: English Learners	Orange- Increased 1.8% (3.7% Suspension Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Suspension Rate 2.7% (Decline of 1.0%)
Suspension Rate: California Dashboard: Economically Disadvantaged	Orange - Increased 1.2% (3.1% Suspension Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Suspension Rate 2.6% (Decline of 0.5%)
Suspension Rate: California Dashboard: Students with Disabilities	Orange - Increased 1.6% (4.5% Suspension Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Suspension Rate 3.5% (Decline of 1.0%)
Chronic Absenteeism: California Dashboard: All Students	Orange- Increased 1.4% (8.1% Chronic Absenteeism Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Chronic Absenteeism Rate 6.7% (Decline of 1.4%)
Chronic Absenteeism: California Dashboard: White	Green - Declined 2.2% (5.7% Chronic Absenteeism Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Chronic Absenteeism Rate 4.7% (Decline of 1.0%)
Chronic Absenteeism: California Dashboard: Hispanic	Green - Declined 0.5% (7.7% Chronic Absenteeism Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Chronic Absenteeism Rate 6.7% (Decline of 1.0%)
Chronic Absenteeism: California Dashboard: English Learners	Orange - Increased 3.2% (7.1% Chronic Absenteeism Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Chronic Absenteeism Rate 6.1% (Decline of 1.0%)
Chronic Absenteeism: California Dashboard: Economically Disadvantaged	Orange - Increased 0.6% (8.4% Chronic Absenteeism Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Chronic Absenteeism Rate 7.4% (Decline of 1.0%)
Chronic Absenteeism: California Dashboard: Students with Disabilities	Orange - Increased 9.2% (1.8% Chronic Absenteeism Rate) (2025 Dashboard)	For 2026 Dashboard: Green - Chronic Absenteeism Rate 8.2% (Decline of 1.0%)

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	Universal Support (Tier 1) School Climate Multi-tiered System of Supports (MTSS) will be implemented school-wide in order to promote academic improvement, positive social behavior, and improve school climate. All staff will provide all students with activities and incentives to promote good attendance, strong character, academic achievement, and good behavior. All students will be provided with Tier 1 MTSS supports to increase student academic achievement, student attendance, and improve student behavior (which includes participation in a variety of activities and incentives/reinforcement). All students will have access to a school-wide token economy reinforcement system (i.e. digital system to award coins and redeem in a student store) that promotes academic, SEL (see goal 3 strategy 4 for SEL), attendance, and behavioral achievement. Students will have regular access to redeem token economy in exchange for a variety of tangible rewards (Panther Den). Staff will promote student attendance, academic achievement, SEL achievement (see goal 3	All Students	4,000 LCFF - Supplemental 4000-4999: Books And Supplies School Climate Activity Supplies/Rewards/Incentives/ Food/Enrichment Activities/Incentive Trips 1,252 LCFF - Supplemental 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs Food for Student Incentives (District Kitchen) 4,000 LCFF - Supplemental 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures School Climate Activity Rewards/Incentives Trips 235

strategy 4 for SEL), and good citizenship to support daily access to core instruction and services (character education, assemblies, award ceremonies, Panther PRIDE behavior activities, Cool to be in School attendance rewards/incentives, attendance and citizenship awards/rewards/incentives, Student of the Month awards/activities, etc.). Students will participate in a school wide attendance challenges (i.e. March Madness, May Mayhem, etc.) to promote increased attendance. Incentives will be offered to classes with the best classroom attendance percentage. In addition, students meeting academic standards, as evidenced by state testing, may be eligible to attend incentive trips. Students meeting academic goals, as evidenced by classroom instruction, assessments, etc., may be eligible for academic awards, incentives, and trips. The MTSS Team (includes Principal, Learning Director, Student Specialist, School Counselor, School Psychologist and possibly the School Nurse and School Social Worker) and teachers will monitor student academics, SEL (see goal 3 strategy 4 SEL), attendance, and discipline to provide students with intervention supports, as needed. Students will have access to "Calming Corners" in all primary classrooms to help students regulate their emotions throughout the day to help support positive behavior and choices. Staff will provide character education based on school-wide behavior expectations (PRIDE). School-wide expectations will be visible for all students across campus. School-wide expectations will be reinforced during school-wide assemblies (i.e. PRIDAY celebrations, announcements, etc.). Staff will deliver weekly SEL lessons and PRIDE (character expectations) lessons, using research based SEL curriculums and pacing calendars. Staff will plan school-wide themed weeks and activities to promote SEL health and awareness (i.e. Kindness Week, Health & Wellness Week, College Week, Career Week, etc.). Students will have the opportunity to participate in structured physical activities during recess and lunch that promote character-building to enhance our school culture beyond the classrooms. The PRIDE Team, comprised of the MTSS Team and grade level teacher representatives, will collaborate to analyze academic, attendance, SEL (see goal 3 strategy 4 for SEL), and disciplinary data and plan activities that address student needs to promote SEL (see goal 3 strategy 4) and character education (classroom SEL and PRIDE lessons/activities, and school wide character education activities). Site leadership and MTSS and PRIDE Teams monitors behavior, attendance, SEL lesson data		LCFF - Supplemental 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs TRC for enrichment 2,175 LCFF - Supplemental 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Print Shop for School Climate 2,000 LCFF - Supplemental 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs Transportation for School Climate Trips
--	--	--

	Transportation records Schedules Activity records/calendar Schoolwide year at a glance pacing calendar SEL/PRIDE Lesson pacing calendars MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS)- Universal Behavior • Schoolwide Motto/Mantra • Schoolwide Positive Reinforcement system • Behavior Expectations Training (Assemblies/Passport Days/Presentations) • Bullying and Sexual Harassment Training • Student Announcements • Citizenship Award • Student of the Month • School-wide Positive Behavior Incentives/Rewards • School/Home Communication for Positive Behavior • MTSS Behavior Data review Attendance • Class Perfect Attendance Incentives (Group) • Good and Perfect Attendance Awards (Individual) • Attendance List Sent to Office Staff • Home visits • Local SARB Process • Attendance Celebrations (Announcements) • Attendance Incentives • MTSS Attendance Data review		
3.2	Supplemental & Intensified Support (Tier 2 & 3) MTSS Team, teacher teams, and support providers will collaborate to analyze academic, attendance, and behavior data to plan interventions and supports that address student needs to promote character education, healthy attendance habits, and a positive school climate. Identified students will be provided with Tier 2 MTSS interventions (including positive behavior interventions and supports) to increase student academic achievement, student attendance, and improve student behavior and social emotional learning. Student interventions and supports may include formal/informal contracts, counseling, social groups, and incentives/reinforcement.	Identified students (At-risk)	

	Staff will work directly with students and their families who have academic, behavior, SEL, and/or attendance challenges by seeking to prevent incidents through working proactively with students and teachers; by seeking solutions to obstacles that get in the way of learning and personal growth; by promoting student attendance and good citizenship; and by monitoring student attendance and behavior to provide students with intervention support. Identified teachers, support providers, and MTSS Team will collaborate to analyze academic, attendance, and disciplinary data of identified students and plan Tier 3 interventions and supports that address the most intensive student needs. Tier 3 supports are the most intensive supports the school offers. The supports required are the most resource intensive due to the individualized approach of developing and carrying out interventions. At this level, support staff may initiate formal assessments to determine a student's need and to develop an individualized support plan (i.e. IEP, Behavior Intervention Plan, etc.). Student plans may include goals related to academics as well as behavior, SEL, and chronic absenteeism support. Students may be provided with tangible and intangible reinforcers, based on student need. Staff will work directly with students and their families who have intensive academic, behavior, SEL, and/or attendance challenges by seeking to prevent incidents through working proactively with students and teachers; by seeking solutions to problems that get in the way of learning and personal growth; by promoting student attendance and good citizenship; and by monitoring student attendance and behavior to provide students with intervention support. MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS) - Tier 2 - Supplemental Behavior • Check in/Check out • Structured Breaks • Collaboration with Families/Staff • Mediation • Proximity • Social Skills Groups • Level 1 BIP • Referral to Outside Agencies • In-class support and coaching • Student Study Team Meeting Attendance • Attendance Contracts • Attendance Parent Letters • Attendance Review: Parent Meetings • Home Visits • Attendance Student/Parent Workshop		
--	--	--	--

	• Referral to Nurse • Parent notification for Tardies/Tuancies • Student Study Team Meeting MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS) - Tier 3 - Intensive Behavior • Student Study Team Meeting/IEP/504 Reconvene • Individualized Counseling • Daily CICO/Higher Frequency • In-class support and coaching • Classroom Opportunity Transfer • Behavior Intervention Plan • Intensified behavioral supports for students with an IEP • On-Going Collaboration with Outside Agencies • Referral to Community Day School (CDS) • Referral for Assessment Attendance • Student Study Team Meeting/IEP/504 Reconvene • Collaboration with Outside Agencies • Individual Counseling • Home Visits with SRO • Supports for Foster/Homeless sub-group		
3.3	SEL (Social-Emotional Learning) Implement a social/emotional learning course of study that supports students and staff in order to acquire and apply the knowledge, attitudes, and skills to manage emotions, set and achieve positive goals, feel and show empathy for others, establish and maintain positive relationships with peers and adults, and make responsible decisions. Improved social/emotional skills and decision-making will lead to an increase in academic achievement. The social-emotional learning (SEL) system will be designed to help leadership, staff, and students strengthen their social-emotional skills and create a positive and supportive school climate. This will also include increasing the neighborhood-to-school connection to build school pride and encourage a positive school community. Staff will provide character education and Social Emotional Learning based on research based school-wide SEL Curriculum and pacing calendars. Staff will plan school-wide themed weeks and activities to promote SEL health and awareness (i.e. Kindness Week, Health & Wellness Week, College Week, Career Week, etc.). MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS)	All Students/High Needs Students	6,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Supplies and Materials to Support Social Emotional Learning (SEL)

	- Tier 1 - Universal SEL • Schoolwide SEL Curriculum • Calming Area (Chill Zone, Wellness Room, De-escalation Location on Campus, etc.) • SEL Parent Workshops • Friendship Zone • Social Skills Classroom Lessons • Universal Student Risk Screener • MTSS SEL Data Review MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS) - Tier 2 - Supplemental SEL • Targeted Classroom Lessons • Group Counseling • Mediation/Restorative Circles/Conflict Resolution • Mental Health Referral to Outside Agencies • Student Study Team Meeting MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS) - Tier 3 -Intensive SEL • Student Study Team Meeting/IEP/504 Reconvene • Individualized Counseling • Behavior Intervention Plan • Intensified SEL supports for students with an IEP • On-Going Collaboration with Outside Agencies • Mental Health Re-Entry Plan-Post Red SIB • Referral for Assessment		
3.4			

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

IMPLEMENTATION

The actions under Goal #3 were well implemented. All students received support and participated in activities that promoted good citizenship and good behavior. Identified high-need students received additional support through our MTSS process. Learning Directors monitored the social-emotional and health needs of students and coordinated the support that students received from counselors, social workers, nursing staff, and student specialists. The MTSS team met twice monthly to review teacher or staff student referrals for support and develop plans to support students in the

areas of need. The PRIDE Team, which includes the MTSS team and teacher representatives, met monthly to review school-wide data to plan school-wide events, activities, and proactive strategies to build a positive school environment that includes increased attendance and a decrease in behavior incidents. The MTSS Team and individual teacher met each trimester to review academic, behavior, and attendance data for each classroom to determine supports for individual teachers and students. As teams, we've been able to develop action plans and put goals/incentives in place to improve individual and school-wide attendance and behavior. With students who are chronically absent (students absent more than 10% of school days), plans are developed to monitor and support students and their families. The Student Specialist and office staff contact families daily to verify absences and then provide supports and other information regarding the importance of daily attendance. Lee Richmond also has team competitions with students on this list to help improve attendance. Incentives are in place for students showing improvement. The MTSS team identifies students who are close to being chronically absent in order to put supports in place to prevent students from reaching the 10% absenteeism rate. Teachers also have incentives in place within the classroom to incentivize student attendance.

EFFECTIVENESS

Over the last few years, our suspension rate has decreased, however, there is still a high level of behavioral and social concerns compared to years past. Over the last few years, the chronic absenteeism rate has improved. The steady decrease in the chronic absenteeism rate over the last few years has shown the plans, activities, incentives, and interventions put in place have been effective.

Anecdotal observations along with internal data for the current school year show that students are still experiencing a decline in social-emotional well-being. Although support from school staff has mitigated this decline, there is a great need to continue providing support and interventions for our most fragile students.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan: SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

All strategies/activities under Goal #3 were well implemented. Although the strategies/activities in the plan were implemented, newer categorical funding was used to support some of the activities in this school plan. Struggling students received interventions through the Extended Learning Opportunities Plan (ELOP) tutoring. Enrichment opportunities through Prop 28 for music and art provided additional time for students to broaden their horizons and ELOP funds for after-school Enrichment activities have created opportunities for a variety of students.

Through the implementation of our Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) process and bi-weekly meetings that include the school counselor, school psychologist, principal, learning director and student specialist, we have been able to identify those students who are struggling with attendance and/or behavior. In addition, during monthly PRIDE team meetings, data is presented and discussed with a representative from each grade level. Ideas are brainstormed and taken into consideration for schoolwide planning. Furthermore, during our MTSS meetings, behavior data is discussed. The Lee Richmond team looks at trends for preventing/planning dealing with high incident days of the week as well as locations on campus and times of the day of incidents. Lee Richmond has begun inviting identified students to take part in structured activities across campus, thus reducing the number of incidents.

There were no budget items that were under or overspent by more than 10%.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

All students will continue to receive support and will participate in activities to promote good citizenship and good behavior and help maintain a positive school climate. High-need students will receive additional support. Learning Directors will continue to monitor the social-emotional and health needs of students and coordinate the support that students' will receive from counselors, social workers, nursing staff, and student specialists.

There will be a great need to continue to build a culture where students and their families view attending school every day, all day, as very important. There will be a need to continue, and build upon, services and programs for students to support social emotional wellbeing and to promote good school attendance and behavior.

We are not making any changes to goal 3. We aren't looking at adding any new programs at this time. We will continue to use research based SEL curriculums and work on teachers building consistency with teaching lessons and reinforcing those positive behaviors that students should be representing each day at Lee Richmond.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 4

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Communication between school and home will be regular and meaningful.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Communication between schools and home will be regular and meaningful.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

In order for parents/guardians to actively participate in their children's education, there is a need for home-to-school communication and parent/guardian involvement. Parents need information and training to help their children achieve in school.

The HESD Parent Survey indicates the majority of parents agree or strongly agree with the statements:

- "The Parent/Teacher Conference was helpful to me in understanding my child's progress towards meeting ELA and math standards."
- "When I have questions about my child's classwork, I can ask for clarification and assistance from my child's school"

There is a need to continue providing parents with information on their child's progress and provide assistance when they have questions about their student's work. Students whose parents are informed about their children's progress in school achieve at higher levels.

Parents have a right to be involved in the decision-making process at the school and district levels. (California Education Code 52062-52063). There is a continuing need to involve parents in the decision-making process through the School Site Council (SSC), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), and Parent Advisory Committee (PAC).

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Attendance at parent conferences.	25-26 Parent Conference Attendance: 100%	Parent conference attendance rate will be at least 95%.
Percentage of parents who Agree/Strongly Agree with the statement: I receive information about my child's progress in the classroom (such as yellow cards, notes, phone calls, progress reports, etc.) on the Annual HESD Parent Survey	25-26 HESD Parent Survey-- I receive information about my child's progress in the classroom (such as yellow cards, notes, phone calls, progress reports, etc.) on the Annual HESD Parent Survey Agree/Strongly Agree: 98%	HESD Parent Survey: I receive information about my child's progress in the classroom (such as yellow cards, notes, phone calls, progress reports, etc.) on the Annual HESD Parent Survey Agree/Strongly Agree will be at least 90%.

Percentage of parents who Agree/Strongly Agree with the statement: There are adequate opportunities for me to become involved in my child's school on the Annual HESD Parent Survey	25-26 HESD Parent Survey--There are adequate opportunities for me to become involved in my child's school. Agree/Strongly Agree: 98%	HESD Parent Survey There are adequate opportunities for me to become involved in my child's school. Agree/Strongly Agree will be at least 90%.
---	--	--

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
4.1	Parent Involvement Teachers and Leadership Team will provide a variety of parent education and outreach activities. Parent education opportunities and materials may focus on building school community relations, improving literacy skills, math skills, computer literacy, positive social behaviors, attendance, and planning for higher education. Leadership team, teachers, support staff and parents will collaborate to plan and develop parent activities. Across the school year, parents will be invited to attend the parent involvement opportunities at the school site. These opportunities include parent workshops focused on assisting their children in the areas of ELA and/or math, understanding parent conferences and report cards, importance of school attendance and understanding chronic absenteeism, importance of maintaining a healthy lifestyle (mental and physical), and College and Career Readiness. Increase parent opportunities to participate in school site activities throughout the school year (Back to School Night, Parent Conferences, Parent training, SSC/ELAC, Panther of the Month ceremony, etc.) Staff will promote academic and SEL achievement to support daily access to core instruction and services (award assemblies, Student of the Month rewards/activities, etc.). Students meeting academic standards, as evidenced by state testing and standards-based report cards, may be eligible to attend incentive trips and/or activities. Students meeting academic goals, as evidenced by classroom instruction, assessments, etc., may be eligible for academic awards and incentives. These recognitions may be done through school-wide assemblies for recognition among their peers and/or in conjunction with inviting parents to an academic parent involvement assembly.	All Students	1,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Instructional Consultant for Parent Involvement 1,000 LCFF - Supplemental 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Material and Supplies for Parent Involvement 3,036 School Wide Program (SWP) 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Print Shop for Parent Involvement 1,501 LCFF - Supplemental 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Food for Parent Activities 2,000 School Wide Program (SWP) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Materials and Supplies for Parent Involvement
4.2	Parent Communication	All Students	2,208.33 Title I Centralized Service

	School staff will provide parents with ongoing communication about their students' progress. Teachers will maintain positive communication with parents via phone calls, emails, ParentSquare messages/announcements, and notes. Parents have access to the ParentVue system to check academic progress and attendance. Parents will be informed of student academic and social progress via bi-weekly progress reports and ParentVue. Teachers and support staff will contact parents, as needed throughout the school year, to schedule conferences to address academic, behavioral, attendance, and social concerns. Translation of Parent Conferences will be provided, as needed, to increase parent participation in Parent/Teacher Conferences.		5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Software for Parent Communication
--	---	--	--

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

IMPLEMENTATION

The actions under Goal #4 were well implemented. The school held a variety of parent activities to educate and support parents. The school employs a suite of resources to facilitate and support communication with parents.

The school's electronic system for communicating with families, Parent Square, has been liked by the majority of our families. School leaders along with individual teachers made extensive use of online communication tools to communicate with families.

School Site Council meetings, District Parent Advisory Committee, and District English Learner Advisory Committees continue to support family engagement and input.

Lee Richmond held a variety of parent involvement activities (funded through Title 1 and other sources outside of Title 1). These include: parent workshops regarding the importance of parent conferences and understanding the report to parents, the importance of regular school attendance and the effects of chronic absenteeism, Health & Wellness Night, and a literacy night. In addition, parent events were held at the conclusion of each ELOP club (i.e. STEM, Animal exploration, baking, etc.). Every month, every Panther of the Month had parents or family members attend the Panther of the Month recognition and breakfast. Furthermore, parents were able to register their children to participate in ELOP afterschool tutoring and received information regarding their student's progress during the tutoring.

Effectiveness:

The school's parent-conference attendance rate was 100% with parent/teacher conferences. The strategies and activities were effective in achieving the goal, that communication between schools and home will be regular and meaningful. Data from the HESD Parent Survey indicate that parents are overwhelmingly satisfied with the level of support, outreach, and communication they receive from the school. All parent involvement activities were well attended and appreciated. Parents provided important input and their voice was shared during School Site Counsel meetings.

Note: For individual analysis of each action under this goal, please see the attached addendum to this school plan:
SPSA: Evidence-Based Title I Funded Program Evaluation

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The strategies/activities under Goal #4 were well implemented. There are no material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The school will continue to provide support, outreach, and education for parents. The school will continue to give parents a voice in the programs and activities that are developed and implemented. In-person parent involvement/education activities will continue to be expanded upon. The school will continue to utilize the upgraded communication system (Parent Square). The SSC, PAC, and DELAC will continue meeting in-person to acquire input from our parental educational partner group.

There has been an increase in the parent involvement activities that tie directly toward promoting attendance, good behavior, improved SEL, and academics. The school counselor and student specialist planned and presented a variety of parent workshops focused on the importance of school attendance, physical and mental health and wellness, and other SEL topics.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 5

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
-------------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2025-26

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$241,379.00
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$230,063.08
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$0

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
School Wide Program (SWP)	\$61,065.00
Title I Centralized Service	\$149,340.08

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$210,405.08

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
LCFF - Supplemental	\$19,658.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$19,658.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$230,063.08

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
----------------	--------	---------

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
LCFF - Supplemental	19,658.00
School Wide Program (SWP)	61,065.00
Title I Centralized Service	149,340.08

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	127,990.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	56,249.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	6,712.00
5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs	10,820.33
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	28,291.75

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	LCFF - Supplemental	8,495.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	LCFF - Supplemental	3,676.00
5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs	LCFF - Supplemental	3,487.00
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	LCFF - Supplemental	4,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	School Wide Program (SWP)	775.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	School Wide Program (SWP)	47,754.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	School Wide Program (SWP)	3,036.00
5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs	School Wide Program (SWP)	6,500.00

5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	School Wide Program (SWP)	3,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title I Centralized Service	127,215.00
5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs	Title I Centralized Service	833.33
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	Title I Centralized Service	21,291.75

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	71,607.42
Goal 2	128,048.33
Goal 3	19,662.00
Goal 4	10,745.33

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers

1 Other School Staff

5 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Lindsey Calvillo	Principal
Dorian Cronk (2026-2027)	Other School Staff
Madison Garcia (2025-2026)	Classroom Teacher
Shannon Loewen (2026-2027)	Classroom Teacher
Raymond Damian (2025-2026)	Classroom Teacher
Josefina Arellano (2026-2027) (EL)	Parent or Community Member
Elizabel Hernandez (2026-2027) (EL)	Parent or Community Member
Tina Lopez (2026-2027) (EO)	Parent or Community Member
Dixie Barajas (2025-2026) (EL)	Parent or Community Member
Shelby Green (2025-2026) (EO)	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 5/11/2026.

Attested:



Principal, Lindsey Calvillo on 5/11/2026



SSC Chairperson, Dorian Cronk on 5/11/2026

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

SWP Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA’s LCAP.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach.

A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **Specific,**
- **Measurable,**
- **Achievable,**
- **Realistic, and**
- **Time-bound.**

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Strategies/Activities Table

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Strategy/Activity #:** Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- **Description:** Describe the strategy/activity.
- **Students to be Served:** Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- **Proposed Expenditures:** List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to *EC* Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- **Funding Sources:** List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Note: If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

Note: *If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: *CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.*

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Note: *Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.*

Budget Summary Table

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
 - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
 - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
 1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (34 *CFR*), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

CSI Resources

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp>
- **CSI Webinars:** <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp>
- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:**
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp>

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp>

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>
- Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023