

Riverview Middle

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Riverview Middle
Street	13843 Rivers Edge Rd.
City, State, Zip	Helendale, Ca, 92342
Phone Number	760-952-1266
Principal	William Brown
E-mail Address	wbrown@helendalsd.com
Web Site	www.helendalsd.com
CDS Code	36677366107072

District Contact Information	
District Name	Helendale School District
Phone Number	(760) 952-1180
Superintendent	Ross Swearingen
E-mail Address	rswearingen@helendalesd.com
Web Site	www.helendalesd.com

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Riverview Middle School is located in the southern region of Helendale and serves students in grades seven through eighth grade, following a traditional calendar. The middle school student is a person with unique and rapidly changing emotional, physical, and intellectual qualities. Choices made during these critical years affect the future. Therefore, the community, parents, and staff work together with the student for educational growth. Opportunities to achieve are provided through vigorous instruction coupled with high expectations, appropriate activities, and consistent discipline.

Riverview Middle School provides a warm, stimulating environment where students are actively involved in learning academics as well as positive values. Students received a standards-based, challenging curriculum by dedicated professional staff and based on the individual needs of the students. Ongoing evaluation of student progress and achievement helps us refine the instructional program so students can achieve academic proficiency. We have made a commitment to provide the best educational program possible for Riverview Middle School's students, and welcome any suggestions or questions you may have about the information contained in this report or about the school. Together, through our hard work, our students will be challenged to reach their maximum potential.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	52
Grade 8	51
Total Enrollment	103

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	7.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	2.9
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	37.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1
White	45.6
Two or More Races	4.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	56.3
English Learners	2.9
Students with Disabilities	11.7
Foster Youth	1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	6	6	6	55
Without Full Credential	1	1	1	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	98.7	1.3
High-Poverty Schools in District	97.7	2.3
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	StudySync - McGraw Hill - Adopted: 2016	Yes	0
Mathematics	GoMath, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt. Adopted: 2015	Yes	0
Science	Prentice Hall Adopted: 2008	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Holt, Rinehart & W inston Adopted: 2005	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Helendale School District takes great pride and effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional through proper facilities maintenance and campus supervision. Riverview Middle School's original facilities were built in 2010 and ongoing maintenance and campus improvements ensure facilities remain up to date and provide adequate space for students and staff. District maintenance and site custodial staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good condition are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used by school and district staff to communicate non-routine maintenance requests. Every morning before school begins, the custodian inspects the facilities for safety hazards or other conditions that need attention prior to students and staff entering school grounds. One full-time day custodian and one part-time evening custodian are assigned to Riverview Middle School.

The day custodian is responsible for:

- General grounds maintenance
- Lunch area setup/cleanup
- Restroom cleaning
- Trash removal

Restrooms are checked regularly throughout the day for cleanliness and subsequently cleaned as needed.

The evening custodian is responsible for:

- Classroom cleaning
- Common use area cleaning
- Office area cleaning
- Restroom cleaning

The principal communicates with the custodial staff as needed concerning maintenance and school safety issues.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 13, 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermine Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 13, 2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	23	39	38	40	44	48
Mathematics	10	17	24	24	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	53	52	98.1	46.1
	8	48	47	97.9	30.4
Male	7	29	29	100.0	37.9
	8	24	23	95.8	21.7
Female	7	24	23	95.8	56.5
	8	24	24	100.0	39.1
Black or African American	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Asian	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	7	19	19	100.0	36.8
	8	17	16	94.1	18.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	8	--	--	--	--
White	7	28	27	96.4	44.4
	8	20	20	100.0	36.8
Two or More Races	7	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	8	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	24	24	100.0	16.7
	8	30	30	100.0	20.7
English Learners	8	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	7	53	52	98.1	23.1
	8	48	46	95.8	10.9
Male	7	29	29	100.0	31.0
	8	24	23	95.8	8.7
Female	7	24	23	95.8	13.0
	8	24	23	95.8	13.0
Black or African American	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Asian	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	7	19	19	100.0	21.1
	8	17	16	94.1	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	8	--	--	--	--
White	7	28	27	96.4	18.5
	8	20	19	95.0	15.8
Two or More Races	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	24	24	100.0	8.3
	8	30	29	96.7	6.9
English Learners	8	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	81	50	44	74	51	38	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	49	48	98.0	43.8
Male	25	24	96.0	45.8
Female	24	24	100.0	41.7
Hispanic or Latino	18	17	94.4	35.3
White	20	20	100.0	50.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	31	31	100.0	35.5

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	19.1	27.7	44.7

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents are encouraged to get involved in their child's learning environment either by volunteering in the classroom, participating in a decision-making group, or simply attending school events. Parents are encouraged to join the PTO and School Site Council.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	5.5	7.0	0.9	2.6	1.1	1.1	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

The Comprehensive School Site Safety Plan was developed for Riverview Middle School in collaboration with local agencies and the district office to fulfill Senate Bill 187 requirements. Components of this plan include child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupil procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, and dress code policy. The school's most recent school safety plan was reviewed, updated, and discussed with school staff in February 2017.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 4	
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	2
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	66.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	23	1	4		18	3	4		14	4	2	
Mathematics	28		4		13	4	2		11	6	1	
Science	29		4		22	2	4		22	2	4	
Social Science	23	1	4		20	1	3		23	2	3	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	129
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist	.25	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$6,734	\$608	\$6,126	\$59,921
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,748	\$64,649
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-20.9	-7.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$60,985
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	7.9	-1.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

In addition to general fund state funding, Helendale School District receives states federal categorical funding for special programs. For the 2015-2016 school year, the district received categorical, special education, and support programs funds for:

- Charter Schools
- Education Protection Act
- Lottery: Instructional Materials
- Special Education
- Title I
- Title II
- Mandated Block Grant
- Educator Effectiveness

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$35,654	\$41,085
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,525	\$59,415
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,863	\$75,998
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$90,959	\$100,438
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$100,512	\$101,868
Average Principal Salary (High)		
Superintendent Salary	\$155,654	\$116,069
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	33%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	8%	7%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

From 2013-2016 Riverview Middle School held multiple staff development days devoted to:

Student Engagement
Assessments
Differentiated Instruction
Textbook Adoption
WASC Accreditation process, preparation, and procedures

Decisions concerning selection of staff development activities are performed by all staff using tools such as teacher input and data analysis to determine the areas in which additional teacher training may enhance classroom instruction and increase student achievement levels. Riverview Middle School supports ongoing professional growth throughout the year on minimum days. Teachers met in both grade level and department level teams to conduct data analysis to identify areas of need. Teaching staff are provided the opportunity to participate in district-sponsored staff development workshops or training session as 1) a supplement to site-based staff development, 2) for reinforcement of or follow -up on previous training, or 3) follow-up training for newly implemented programs/curricula. From 2013-2016 Riverview Middle School's teachers attended the following events hosted by the Helendale School District:

- PBIS (Positive Behavior and Supports)
- Legal/Due Process Pross (Web-IEP)
- Illuminate data System for assessments, assignments, and parent/student portal
- LCFF & LCAP
- ERW C course sponsored by the CSU
- CTI Induction Training: CTI Support Provider Follow Up Sessions
- Common Core Implementation for ELA Teachers
- Safety Plan T raining
- Singapore Math
- 6+1 Traits for Writing
- Mental Health First Aid
- Probationary Teacher Induction
- Common Core Implementation for Math
- Go Math Curriculum Training

Riverview Middle School offers support to new and veteran teachers through peer coaching and mentoring. Instructional aides are provided targeted training focused on teaching strategies and curriculum content. Substitute teachers are invited to participate in designated staff development activities. All staff are encouraged to attend professional workshops and conferences. Classified support staff receive job-related training from department supervisors and district representatives.