ADDENDUM 1 - Narrative

PROJECT: RFP 2025/26-05 Fire Alarm Monitoring, Maintenance and Repair Services

This Addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents. It modifies the original Project Manual and Drawings, as well as any Addendum previously issued, as noted below. Bidders are required to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided in the proposal form. Failure to acknowledge receipt of each addendum may subject bidder to disqualification.

RFI No. 1 (Telenet):

RF1 1: On page 11, line item 1. States " Immune to power surges and spikes, uses 39 V regulated and filtered powerupply, instead of simple 16 VAC transformers used by current system." The schools with the new upgraded POWER NEO panels do not use 39V regulated and filtered power supplies. Please confirm if this is a typo, or please provide the part that requires the 39V power supply.

Answer: This section is for reference only, but seems to be confusing people and will be removed from the revised version of the RFP document attached to this Addendum 1.

RFI Nos. 2-6 (Time and Alarm):

RFI 2: On page 10 of the RFP the district indicates that the existing DSC security system will be replaced by a new system "We will send a separate public works bid announcement in the future for that scope of work." On page 11 of the RFP the document indicates one of the benefits of the new system is that it will be Combus rated for 6,000 feet, versus 1400' for current system - providing much more stable operation with no downstream signal loss which seems to be specific to DSC. Is it the intention of the district to upgrade to a new DSC security system? In addition, the RFP states Replacement proposals for this equipment do not need to be included with Request for Proposals 2025/26-05, just the monitoring and misc. rates as requested. Please clarify if replacement parts and repair services are required to be quoted in this RFP.

Answer: The comments mentioned on Page 10 were merely for reference. Although the District does plan to update some sites in the future to new DCS systems, providing parts and installation are not part of this RFP. However, as a point of emphasis, the awarded firm will need to be certified, however in DSC installations and be proficient in the current DLS software.

RFI 3: On page 11 of the RFP the document states the following *NOTE: Stacey Middle School and Clegg Elementary School share one Faraday MPC 2000 system and we will be upgrading the main panel in late 2026.* Is there already a contract in place for this upgrade? If so, who is the existing contractor? If not, will the upgrade be included as part of the agreement associated with this RFP?

Answer: The comments referenced on Page 11, regarding Stacey Middle School and Clegg Elementary, were for merely used for reference. Yes, we have already contracted a firm to upgrade fire alarm equipment at both Stacey and Clegg and that project is NOT a part of this RFP.

RFI 4: On page 13 of the RFP document there is a requirement that the selected vendor must be a Service Provider licensed to work on Friday MPC 2000 and Honeywell Silent Night systems. I believe this should state Faraday MPC 2000 and Honeywell Silent Knight systems.

Answer: Thanks for pointing this out. "Friday was a clerical error and should have been listed here on Page 13 as "Faraday".

RFI 5: On page 15 of the RFP document under the Statement and Qualifications section for Relevant/Recent experience there is a requirement for Relevant and recent experience the firm has in delivering similar services on public school campuses. Please give at least 3 examples, preferably in the Bay Area. It is our understanding that all of the work to be performed under the scope of this RFP will be in locations of Garden Grove, Huntington Beach, Midway City and Westminster. Are the three bay area examples a reference for another RFP?

Answer: "Bay Area" was a misprint. Document will be updated to "Please give at least 3 examples, preferably in the Southern California area…" Please see attached and posted revised RFP to correct this misprint and removal of other irrelevant comments on Pages 10, 11 and 13 per previous RFIs from Time and Alarm.

RFI 6: Does the selected vendor for this RFP need to be certified on Faraday fire alarm systems? It is our understanding that the platform has been discontinued. Due to the platform being discontinued replacement items do not seem to be readily available. In the event a replacement part is needed and not readily available how would the district like to propose to proceed in that event?

Answer: Yes, the awarded firm will need to be certified to work on Faraday MPC 2000 systems as our District Office still has that system and we don't have plans to upgrade soon.