

OPRF SIS RFP Q&A

4/7/26

Q:

We would like to confirm that OPRF plans to extract and transfer their legacy data from Skyward to vendor-provided import templates, with the vendor responsible for importing it.

A:

No, we are looking for assistance in pulling necessary data. If the service of vendor importing is available, please provide those details.

Q: Is PowerSchool Special Programs currently in use?

A: No

Q: The interfaces section references another special education system. Should vendors assume replacement, integration with, or continued use of an existing solution?

A: Integration with. We will continue to use our IEP solution

3/30/26

Q: Can you please provide me with Appendix A for the Oak Park and River Forest High School District 200 SIS RFP?

A: The most recent Appendix items are available on the website.

Q: Is there another attachment with the Application Software Requirements in it? Page 34 of the pdf references a grid where we should enter our responses in the availability column. I'm not seeing that in the Appendix A excel document.

A: Documents updated on the website

3/30/26

Q: Appendix A - Pricing tab: It appears this tab is requesting a 7-year pricing proposal, whereas the RFP states a 5 year contract will result from this RFP. Do you want 7 year pricing or 5 year pricing?

A: Both, please provide in a format for a 5-year contract with additional option years.

Q: RFP Section 1.7.6 C - Additional Required Forms: Where can we find the Certificate of Bidder Eligibility form? It does not appear to be contained in Addendum 1 or 2.

A: This has been added

Q: RFP Section 1.44: Did you require certificates of insurance with the proposal, or only upon contract award? Section 1.44 suggests you want 2 copies of the Cyber Insurance included with the proposal.

A: Upon Implementation

Q: RFP Section 2: The RFP states "The responses should be entered into the attached Excel spreadsheet under the "Availability" column of the attached functional specification as follows:", however, there is no attached Excel spreadsheet and no other spreadsheet on your website which has this structure to answer Functional Specifications questions in this format.

A: Files added and updated to website

3/30/26

Q: Is there any chance that there's also another spreadsheet, like an Appendix B or SIS Evaluation Requirements spreadsheet that lists out the specifics of what your system needs in several tabs? We typically fill these in as "Yes, No, Future, Third-Party, etc." and include comments to show how we meet the district's requirements. I only ask because we typically see these in responses like this, so I thought it best to confirm.

A: Files added and updated to website

3/31/26

Q: Skyward has a question regarding your expectations for onsite presentations. We've done 1 overview of our product in December of last year, and since then we've done 6 additional specialized demonstrations. Is there anything additional we can provide beyond what we've presented so far?

A: Vendors who are shortlisted will be provided more details for onsite presentations. Virtual presentations are acceptable as well .

4/6/26

Q: Regarding Section 1.3: How will demonstrations be factored into overall scoring?

A: Demonstrations are for the benefit of staff to acclimate to the product offering. Demonstrations and comfortability with the proposed solution will be a decision factor.

Q: Regarding Section 2.2 and Appendix A: The Interfaces tab of Appendix A lists Embrace SpEd Tool as a required interface. Section 2.2 of the RFP includes Special Education as a required module. Would the District confirm plans to unplug Embrace, or should vendors include Special Education capabilities optionally?

A: We will be continuing to use EMBRACE. We would want to integrate with an API or SFTP if no API is available.

Q: Regarding Section 2.2 and Appendix A: The Interfaces tab of Appendix A lists Formative and Master Manager as required interfaces. Section 2.2 includes Assessment as a required module. Would the District confirm plans to unplug Formative and Master Manager, or should vendors include Assessment capabilities optionally?

A: We most likely will continue Formative but would evaluate if the Assessment module meets our needs.

Q: Regarding Section 2.2 and Appendix A: The Interfaces tab of Appendix A lists Panorama as a required interface. Section 2.2 of the RFP includes MTSS as a required module (Caseloads & Interventions). Would the District confirm plans to unplug Panorama, or should vendors include MTSS capabilities optionally?

A: We do not use Panorama Student Success Module. We just use the surveys. We would want to evaluate the MTSS module to see if we want to use.

Q: Regarding Section 2.7.2: What is the combined size of the current relational databases housing student data and does this include (or will the database need to include) electronic portfolios?

A: Unable to get the overall size from the current vendor in time allotted.

Q: Regarding Appendix A, Interfaces Tab: Does the Interfaces tab of Appendix A include all third-party systems to be integrated with the new SIS? Are there any additional integrations, such as library systems?

A: What is on the list is currently representative of the integrations currently in use, however between now and launch time it is possible additional third-party systems may be added as needed.

Q: Regarding Appendix A, Interfaces Tab: Should vendors that have integrated Analytics, Learning Management System (LMS), and Visitor Management (VM) components include these in base pricing, or should Analytics, LMS, and VM each be proposed as options in order to compare "apples to apples" pricing with vendors who may not have these integrated components?

A: Propose them as options