



New Hartford School Facility Utilization Task Force

Options Evaluation Matrix — DRAFT

This matrix evaluates each facility option against criteria drawn from the task force's established goals and community priorities. Higher scores indicate greater alignment with stated goals. Criteria shaded in green represent additions proposed by the New Hartford task force beyond the original reference framework.

Consideration	Weight (1–3)	Option A	Option B	Option C1	Option C2	Option C3	Option C4	Option C5	Option D
1. COST									
Overall Project Cost	3								
Probable State Reimbursement	3								
Net Cost to District	3								
Cost Predictability	2								
Ongoing Operating Costs (staffing, utilities, maintenance)	3								
Busing Costs	2								
2. CONSTRUCTION ISSUES									
Phasing & Sequencing	2								
Disruption to Existing Programs	2								
Site Conditions & Constraints	2								
Traffic Patterns at Site(s)	1								
3. EDUCATIONAL RESULTS									
Program Fit (curriculum alignment)	3								
Flexibility for Future Use	3								
Consistent quality of experience among students	2								



Consideration	Weight (1–3)	Option A	Option B	Option C1	Option C2	Option C3	Option C4	Option C5	Option D
Teacher Collaboration by Grade (instructional grouping)	2								
Resource Sharing Efficiency Between Schools (food service, equipment, specialist staff)	2								
Alignment with NHPS Mission Statement	2								
4. PROJECT RESULTS (12)									
Safety & Security	3								
Community Improvements	1								
Accessibility	2								
Potential for Alternative / Repurposed Building Use	2								
Transportation Times & Traffic Patterns at Site(s) Long-Term	2								
WEIGHTED TOTALS (63)									

Legend & Notes

Score	Meaning
5	Most Advantageous
3	Mixed Advantages / Disadvantages
1	Least Advantageous
Weight 1–3	Importance factor applied per criterion: 1 = lower priority, 2 = moderate, 3 = high priority. Weighted totals = Score × Weight, summed per option.

Option Key (to be confirmed as scenarios are finalized):

Option A: Status Quo / Do Nothing | Option B: Renovate / Maintain All Schools | Options C1–C5: Consolidation Variants with Grade Reconfiguration | Option D: New Construction with Grade Reconfiguration

Note: Scores and option definitions are placeholders pending completion of programming capacity analysis (April 8) and presentation of preliminary scenarios (April 22). Weighted totals will be calculated once all scores are assigned.