

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Rowland Avenue Elementary	19 64436 6012587	December 9, 2025	January 08, 2026

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California’s ESSA State Plan supports the state’s approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state’s Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Rowland Avenue Elementary for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

- SPSA Title Page 1
- Table of Contents 2
- Plan Description 4
- Educational Partner Involvement 5
- Resource Inequities 6
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components 6
 - California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators 6
 - Other Needs 7
- School and Student Performance Data 11
 - Student Enrollment 11
 - CAASPP Results 14
 - ELPAC Results 18
 - Student Population 22
 - Overall Performance 24
 - Academic Performance 26
 - Academic Engagement 32
 - Conditions & Climate 35
- District/School Goals Alignment 37
- Research Foundation 38
- Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures 41
 - Goal 1 41
- RTI Pyramid: District ELA 45
 - Goal 2 46
- RTI Pyramid: District Math 50
 - Goal 3 51
 - Goal 4 54
 - Goal 5 57
 - Goal 6 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
 - Goal 7 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
 - Goal 8 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
 - Goal 9 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
 - Goal 10 **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Budget Summary 61
 - Budget Summary 61
 - Other Federal, State, and Local Funds 61
- Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan 62

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source	62
Expenditures by Funding Source	62
Expenditures by Budget Reference.....	62
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	63
Expenditures by Goal.....	63
Positions Funded by School's Categorical Programs.....	65
School Safety Plan SB187	66
School Site Council Membership	67
English Learner Advisory Committee Members	68
Recommendations and Assurances	69
Instructions	70
Appendix A: Plan Requirements	77
Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements	80
Appendix B: Categorical District Services Budget.....	83
Centralized Services Description.....	84
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs.....	85

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Rowland Avenue Elementary for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

Purpose:

The School-Wide Plan (SWP) aims to elevate student achievement for all students, with a particular focus on those not meeting academic standards. By fostering innovative, positive environments, the SWP enhances connections between students and their learning experiences.

Components:

- 1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment:** Identifying areas requiring urgent attention and resources.
- 2. Reform Strategies:** Implementing methods to close achievement gaps and boost proficiency levels.
- 3. Highly Qualified Teachers:** Ensuring all instructors meet high professional standards.
- 4. Professional Development:** Providing continuous learning opportunities for staff.
- 5. Parental Involvement:** Engaging families in educational processes and decision-making.
- 6. Transition Plans:** Supporting students during key educational transitions.
- 7. Teacher Assessment Involvement:** Engaging educators in developing and reviewing assessments.
- 8. Coordination of Programs:** Efficient integration of various educational services and programs.

Governance and Educational Partner Engagement:

The School Site Council (SSC) plays a critical role in developing, reviewing, and annually updating the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), including managing the budget and making necessary plan adjustments. Involvement extends to parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, as mandated by 5 California Code of Regulations (3932).

CSI and ATSI Planning:

- **CSI Planning:** This plan is specifically designed to meet federal CSI planning requirements for a school eligible for CSI. It details targeted strategies and interventions aimed at addressing the needs identified through comprehensive assessments, focusing on substantial improvements in academic outcomes.
- **ATSI Planning:** For a school eligible for ATSI, this plan serves to meet federal ATSI planning requirements, focusing on targeted supports for subgroups of students identified as underperforming to ensure that disparities in achievement are addressed effectively.

Parent and Community Involvement:

- **Support for Parental Activities:** Parental involvement activities are shaped by annual input from the District Advisory Committee (DAC), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), District Town Hall Meetings, and LCAP Advisory groups. This input helps identify family engagement needs, leading to collaborative district priorities and initiatives planning.

- **Informed Parent Participation:** Parents have opportunities for informed participation, with accommodations for those with disabilities. School documents and meetings are accessible in Spanish and other languages upon request, ensuring ADA compliance.
- **Communication:** The district uses translators for school communications and employs outreach liaisons and EL Specialists to facilitate parent education and information sessions in Spanish at school sites.

Alignment with Federal and State Mandates:

The SPSA aligns with ESSA requirements and integrates elements of Compensatory Education, focusing on maximizing federal investments to support underserved students. It aligns with district priorities outlined in the LCAP, focusing on data-driven professional development and instructional planning to promote equitable outcomes.

Title I Implementation:

All nine elementary schools, three middle schools, and one continuation high school operate school-wide Title I programs. Each school conducts an annual needs assessment, reviewing data to identify strengths and weaknesses and brainstorming improvement strategies. These assessments inform each site's SPSA, which outlines the use of Title I funds for initiatives like reading and math interventions, professional development, and supplemental learning materials.

This comprehensive approach ensures that our school meets state and federal requirements and aligns with our commitment to providing a high-quality, equitable education for all students, with robust involvement from our educational partners and the community.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Rowland Avenue Elementary consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Rowland Avenue Elementary implements an inclusive and collaborative process for developing, reviewing, and updating its School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). We engage educational partners, including teachers, staff, parents, and community members, through diverse channels such as surveys, meetings, and open forums. This comprehensive approach ensures that our SPSA accurately reflects the varied needs and priorities of our entire school community. Annually, we conduct a thorough review of student performance data and solicit input from all educational partners to evaluate the effectiveness of our current strategies and pinpoint areas requiring improvement. By maintaining this rigorous and inclusive process, we ensure that our SPSA remains aligned with our overarching goal of delivering high-quality education to every student at Rowland Avenue Elementary.

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, faculty, staff, and the broader community is essential to the development and continual improvement of the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). Our district engages a diverse array of educational partners to ensure the plan is comprehensive and responsive to the needs of all students.

Annual Cycle of Engagement

August - September: Review and Identify Needs

- The School's Leadership Team, comprising administrators and teachers, reviews student achievement data to identify critical areas for improvement and summarize significant findings.
- The School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and Title I parents provide input on the SPSA goals and the strategies/activities planned, including Title I expenditures.
- Notices in multiple languages facilitate communication with parents, and meetings are scheduled at various times to ensure broad participation.

October/November: Define Goals and Strategies

- The entire school faculty engages in discussions about the impact of various activities on student achievement, fostering a collaborative environment for sharing insights and strategies.
- Input continues to be gathered from district liaisons, SSC, ELAC, and Title I parents to refine the SPSA goals and corresponding strategies/activities.

December/January: Plan Approval

- The finalized plan, incorporating all stakeholder feedback, is submitted for approval to the SSC. District personnel assist with necessary revisions to ensure compliance and quality before submission to the local governing board in January.

January - April: Implementation and Monitoring

- Following approval, the specified purchases and implementations of the plan are executed. The effectiveness of the SPSA is monitored through the use of observational tools and focused classroom walkthroughs.
- Meetings with the SSC and district liaisons discuss ongoing updates and modifications to the plan to ensure it remains effective and relevant.

May/June: Annual Review and Program Evaluation

- At the end of the academic year, school leaders conduct a thorough review of the plan’s effectiveness using evidence from educational partner surveys, classroom walkthroughs, and district formative assessments.
- Feedback from this evaluation is shared with the SSC and ELAC, who provide further recommendations. This crucial step informs the refinement of goals and the adjustment of strategies/activities for the next academic year.

Additional Requirements for CSI and ATSI

For schools undergoing Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), the district ensures that the development and implementation of the SPSA are in close partnership with the school and its educational partners, aligning with specific requirements to support these statuses.

This framework outlines a comprehensive and inclusive approach to involving educational partners in the SPSA process, ensuring that the plan reflects diverse community needs and is a strategic tool for enhancing student achievement.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category.

Rowland Avenue has no state indicator on the CA Dashboard for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance.

The following student group is performing two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance level:
Students with Disabilities: Orange-Chronic Absenteeism and Orange-ELA

Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

Beyond the California School Dashboard data, Rowland Avenue Elementary thoroughly reviewed locally collected data to gain a more comprehensive understanding of student performance and well-being. This included analyzing results from local assessments such as iReady, STAR Reading, and District Writing Assessments and examining attendance records and behavioral reports. Additionally, the school gathered and evaluated survey feedback from parents, teachers, and students. This multi-faceted approach provided deeper insights into areas where students might require additional support, including social-emotional learning and specific academic and attendance interventions. By incorporating this diverse range of data sources, Rowland Avenue Elementary ensures that the strategies outlined in this plan are targeted and responsive to the unique needs of our students.

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section, where analysis with conclusions is provided.

In creating this plan, we conducted thorough data analyses using a variety of outcome, process, perceptual, and demographic data. Careful attention is paid to California Dashboard Data and the goals related to data found in our district LCAP. Teachers have been provided collaborative data analysis and planning time utilizing Focused Schools Instructional Leadership Teams, Du Four's Professional Learning Communities model.

Surveys

This section describes the surveys (e.g., Student, Parent, and Teacher) used during the school year and summarizes the survey(s)'s results.

Information collected was shared with educational partners through Superintendent Town Hall meetings, DELAC and LCAP advisory groups, and Parent Square updates. A review of the data provided the district with feedback in preparing multiple plans.

Additionally, a district-wide parent survey was administered in English and Spanish each spring. Survey questions included parent feedback and opinions in the following areas:

- Student participation in school activities
- Parent participation in school activities
- District and school priorities
- Parent communication preferences

Information collected was shared with staff and the SSC/ELAC. A review of all surveys provided the district with areas of strength and need. In the present school year, we plan to focus on the following areas in addition to the goals specified within this plan:

- Writing and Mathematics
- Student academic support
- Social-emotional support

The TK-12 parent survey and WASC parent survey findings are utilized to celebrate successes and to determine parent involvement needs. Parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, and other school personnel are involved in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of consolidated application programs (5 CCR 3932).

Classroom Observations

Throughout the year, teachers, principals, and district administrators participate in classroom walk-throughs and coaching activities. Teachers visit classrooms to verify evidence of site best teaching practices.

Administrators visit classrooms for both formal and informal observations. Highlights identifying best practices from walkthroughs are shared with all staff. Classrooms are also monitored through the formal evaluation process. Observation and evaluation forms are based on the California Standards for the Teaching Profession.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant student groups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Missing performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on data. Each school plan examines the status of these findings and note the progress made. Special consideration is given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be non-compliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESSA).

At the beginning of the school year, teachers, the School Site Council, ELAC, and the principal review and analyze state-mandated test results from the previous year. Where applicable, multi-year trends are identified. Focus groups are identified. This is a powerful part of the school's Annual Review of the SPSA.

Data is used to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction.

Teachers meet during collaborative team meetings to do the following:

- Establish instructional focus, best practices, and SMART goals
- Develop common formative assessments
- Review student data as well as student work samples
- Plan for ongoing differentiated instruction
- Establish strategic and intensive interventions for students who need extra time to meet proficiency standards

Teacher collaboration by grade level/department level occurs through both regular planning time and dedicated staff meetings.

Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESSA)

Covina-Valley strives to provide highly qualified staff for all students at all school sites. Teacher qualifications are monitored using data from the California Department of Education and our Covina-Valley Personnel Department. The California Equity Tools were completed for all Covina-Valley Unified School District schools. The District's goal is to hire teachers with the appropriate credentials for their given assignment using hiring and recruitment strategies included in the Equitable Access Strategy Development Resource available from the California Department of Education. In addition, new teachers in Covina-Valley participate in additional professional development, are assigned a mentor teacher at the school site to provide support, and are closely monitored by site administrators to ensure effective teaching. If ineffective/mis-assigned or out-of-field teachers become a concern in the future, the Personnel Department would develop a plan with the site administrator, School Site Council, Site Leadership Team, and Board of Education to assist teachers in completing credential work or reassigning the teachers. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional material) (EPC).

Covina-Valley's goal is to hire highly qualified staff with full credentials for the subjects they teach, including those for teaching English Learners. (CLAD) Teachers are provided professional development that includes, as appropriate, SBE-adopted instructional materials. Title I Professional Development is provided to teachers and administrators in the following areas: Focused Schools-Instructional Leadership Teams, best teaching practices, goal setting, and goal monitoring (Title II Funded), Steve Ventura- Achievement Team/PLC Training, standards analysis, pre- and post-assessments (Title II /LCAP Funded). LCAP funds are used to expand Professional Development, including UCI Math Project-Standards analysis, pacing, hands-on math instruction (LCAP Funded), Thinking Maps and Write from the Beginning and Beyond- 8 Thinking Maps linked to thought processes, writing instruction based on Thinking Maps (LCAP Funded), Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (LCAP Funded), ELD Coach- Coach provides training, assistance with planning, and in-class coaching for teachers in the area of English Language Development (LCAP and Title III Funded), and much more, including Google, Microsoft, NGSS, supplemental programs, dual language, social/emotional, behavioral, and Career Technical Education (LCAP Funded).

Alignment of staff development to content assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESSA).

Covina-Valley Unified provides robust professional learning for all staff. Each year, professional development is planned using the District's three LCAP goals: research on best teaching practices, data on student performance, and additional

Dashboard data. This information is teamed with professional development feedback surveys and session evaluations from teachers, administrators, and classified staff. Covina-Valley's professional development system focuses on long-term commitments to research-based programs like professional learning communities, Thinking Maps, and goal setting. Training is differentiated for new and veteran teachers within these professional development areas. The long-term programs are evaluated and adjusted yearly based on feedback and student performance data. For example, the district has completed training all K-5 teachers on the use of Thinking Maps; however, new teachers are hired each year that need training. We have developed a training cycle, and new teachers receive professional development each year until they are fully trained. Professional growth is measured through teacher session evaluations and student growth in the areas of professional development.

All staff development is aligned to the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Common Core State Standards, assessed student performance and professional needs.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC).

High-quality professional development and coaching for site instructional leadership teams, made up of teachers and administrators, is provided by Focused Schools. This professional development involves the strategic implementation of focused, evidence-based strategies. Additional professional development is offered depending on grade level, subject, and related experience. New teachers are linked with a veteran teacher-coach to welcome them to the district and assist with the completion of the teacher's university-based induction program and the navigation of district expectations. New teachers receive training on the curriculum and supplemental programs, including Thinking Maps and Write from the Beginning and Beyond. All teachers and administrators participate in 3 district-wide professional development days and additional training according to their school site, grade level, or subject area. Administrators: All principals receive one-on-one coaching, and new principals receive additional coaching, and a Focused Schools change of principal workshop with site staff. All principals participate in monthly professional development and four district-wide administrator professional development days. In addition, administrators learn alongside teachers by participating in teacher professional development opportunities. Covina-Valley builds leadership capacity by offering teacher leadership opportunities outside the classroom, including PLC leaders, Tech Mentors, ELD Coordinators, etc. All teacher leaders work closely with their site principal to develop leadership skills. We also added entry-level leadership positions, including an elementary assistant principal and a secondary dean. Entry-level administrators are paired with a veteran administrator coach to help guide them through the transition to leadership. All teachers and administrators participate in 3 district-wide professional development days and additional training for their school site, grade level, or subject area.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (K through grade 8 and department (grades 9-12).

Teachers meet during collaborative team meetings to do the following:

- Establish instructional focus, best practices, and SMART goals
- Develop common formative assessments
- Review student data as well as student work samples
- Plan for ongoing differentiated instruction
- Establish strategic and intensive interventions for students who need extra time to meet proficiency standards

Teacher collaboration by grade level/department level occurs through both regular planning time and dedicated staff meetings.

Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESSA).

Curriculum, instruction, and materials are aligned to Common Core State Standards for content areas. The curriculum is adapted from the state-approved adoption list and vetted by a committee of teachers and administrators for alignment with standards and academic rigor.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K-12).

Instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics adhere to, and many exceed, state recommendations (ESSA, see K-5 SARC).

Lesson pacing schedule (K-8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC).

District pacing guides (TK-5) and master schedules (6-12) allow for student intervention and reteaching throughout the school year. Tier I Intervention is provided by classroom teachers. At K-5 schools, a Title I Intervention Teacher provides Tier 2 and 3 Intervention.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESSA).

All instructional materials are standards-based and available to all staff and students. Covina-Valley continues to receive sufficient reports on Williams requirements.

Availability of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses.

SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, are available for all subjects and grade levels. (see RTI Pyramids and SARC) Transition plans to Next Generation Science Standards are created and utilized by teams of TK-12 teachers.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESSA).

Services provided to enable underperforming students to meet standards (see Intervention Pyramids)

- Differentiated instruction allows for additional time and support for students who are not meeting standards
- Students benefit from the Response to Intervention (RTI) model, in which student outcomes are routinely reviewed to determine student needs in terms of strategic or intensive interventions, academic enhancement, and/or referral to the Student Success Team
- Student Success Teams ensure that an individual plan is developed so that underperforming students receive the resources and services needed
- TK-5 learning specialists and TK-12 classroom teachers provide strategic interventions to assist students in meeting standards
- Counseling services are provided on campus and through a variety of community resources
- Opportunities for increased learning time (Title I SWP)
- Use of highly qualified instructional aides to support classroom instruction
- Interventions are provided during the school day whenever possible; additional interventions are provided before and after school.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement.

Effective Research Models are articulated in the Research Foundation Section of the SPSA. The research is rated according to the adopted ESSA ratings. All of our district programs are rated Strong or Moderate by ESSA research agencies. Our RTI Intervention Pyramids for English Language Arts and Math are also crucial for raising our student achievement.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Rowland Avenue Elementary. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
American Indian	0%	%	0%	0		0
African American	1.36%	1.86%	0.95%	6	8	4
Asian	9.55%	11.14%	11.82%	42	48	50
Filipino	2.5%	2.78%	2.84%	11	12	12
Hispanic/Latino	78.86%	76.33%	77.07%	347	329	326
Pacific Islander	0%	%	0%	0		0
White	3.18%	3.71%	4.26%	14	16	18
Two or More Races	3.64%	3.71%	2.60%	16	16	11
Not Reported	0.91%	0.46%	0.47%	4	2	2
Total Enrollment				440	431	423

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25
Transitional Kindergarten			31
Kindergarten	66	48	50
Grade 1	68	56	55
Grade 2	76	72	61
Grade3	73	76	76
Grade 4	81	75	76
Grade 5	76	80	74
Total Enrollment	440	431	423

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Student enrollment shown a decline over three years, decreasing from 440 students in 2022-23 to 423 students in 2024-25. While minor fluctuations are evident across most grade levels, kindergarten has seen enrollment decrease of over twenty students.

2. Enrollment in the Hispanic subgroup has shown a decline over three years, decreasing from 78.86% in 2022-23 to 77.07% in 2024-25. However, the school's student population remains predominantly Hispanic/Latino, comprising approximately 77-79% of enrollment over the past three years.
3. Enrollment in the Asian subgroup has shown steady growth over three years, increasing from 9.55% in 2022-23 to 11.82% in 2024-25, representing the second-largest demographic group.

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
English Learners	67	70	72	15.2%	16.2%	17.0%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	48	45	30	10.9%	10.4%	7.1%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of English Learners (EL) has steadily increased over the past three years, rising from 15.2% in 2022-23 to 17% in 2024-25, indicating a growing need for English language development programs to support this expanding subgroup and ensure academic success.
2. The percentage of Fluent English Proficient (FEP) students has declined from 48 (10.9%) in 2022-23 to 30 (7.1%) in 2024-25, indicating a need to strengthen alignment between EL instruction and reclassification criteria.
3. The available data does not include specific numbers for Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) students, indicating a need for more comprehensive tracking and reporting of this group's progression.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	73	72	76	72	72	75	72	72	75	98.6	100.0	98.7
Grade 4	72	79	75	71	79	74	71	79	74	98.6	100.0	98.7
Grade 5	82	75	81	81	73	80	81	73	80	98.8	97.3	98.8
All Grades	227	226	232	224	224	229	224	224	229	98.7	99.1	98.7

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	2473.	2456.	2460.	51.39	34.72	40.00	19.44	30.56	21.33	11.11	23.61	21.33	18.06	11.11	17.33
Grade 4	2464.	2485.	2458.	26.76	36.71	22.97	25.35	25.32	29.73	18.31	12.66	16.22	29.58	25.32	31.08
Grade 5	2514.	2510.	2511.	24.69	20.55	30.00	32.10	32.88	32.50	20.99	21.92	13.75	22.22	24.66	23.75
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	33.93	30.80	31.00	25.89	29.46	27.95	16.96	19.20	17.03	23.21	20.54	24.02

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	36.11	20.83	20.00	47.22	68.06	58.67	16.67	11.11	21.33
Grade 4	19.72	18.99	12.16	60.56	63.29	68.92	19.72	17.72	18.92
Grade 5	18.52	19.18	20.00	60.49	61.64	60.00	20.99	19.18	20.00
All Grades	24.55	19.64	17.47	56.25	64.29	62.45	19.20	16.07	20.09

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	37.50	25.00	34.67	51.39	66.67	50.67	11.11	8.33	14.67
Grade 4	15.49	17.72	9.46	64.79	62.03	64.86	19.72	20.25	25.68
Grade 5	22.22	19.18	22.50	60.49	64.38	55.00	17.28	16.44	22.50
All Grades	25.00	20.54	22.27	58.93	64.29	56.77	16.07	15.18	20.96

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	23.61	13.89	21.33	63.89	72.22	68.00	12.50	13.89	10.67
Grade 4	8.45	17.72	14.86	71.83	70.89	68.92	19.72	11.39	16.22
Grade 5	13.58	19.18	13.75	76.54	72.60	75.00	9.88	8.22	11.25
All Grades	15.18	16.96	16.59	70.98	71.88	70.74	13.84	11.16	12.66

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	30.56	31.94	29.33	54.17	58.33	60.00	15.28	9.72	10.67
Grade 4	8.45	21.52	14.86	76.06	68.35	62.16	15.49	10.13	22.97
Grade 5	17.28	13.70	20.00	75.31	75.34	61.25	7.41	10.96	18.75
All Grades	18.75	22.32	21.40	68.75	67.41	61.14	12.50	10.27	17.47

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students showed a decline in overall CAASPP ELA achievement with the percentage of students scoring "Standard Not Met" increasing from 20.54% in 2022-23 to 24.02% in 2023-24 across all grades, representing a 3.48 percentage point increase. This indicates a growing group of students struggling to meet grade-level ELA standards and suggests the need to closely monitor iReady ELA data and implement targeted interventions to reverse this downward trend.
2. Fourth grade students showed significant decline in ELA performance with the "Standard Met" and "Standard Exceeded" categories combined decreasing from 62.03% in 2022-23 to 52.70% in 2023-24, a decrease of 9.33 percentage points. This trend warrants focused attention on fourth grade ELA instruction and targeted interventions.
3. Third grade students showed a significant decline in Reading performance with the "Above Standard" and "At or Near Standard" categories combined decreasing from 88.89% in 2022-23 to 78.67% in 2023-24, representing a decline of 10.22 percentage points. This substantial decrease indicates that third graders are struggling with demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts and require targeted intervention to strengthen foundational reading skills.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	73	72	76	73	72	76	73	72	76	100.0	100.0	100
Grade 4	72	79	75	72	79	74	72	79	74	100.0	100.0	98.7
Grade 5	82	75	81	82	75	81	82	75	81	100.0	100.0	100
All Grades	227	226	232	227	226	231	227	226	231	100.0	100.0	99.6

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	2480.	2468.	2469.	38.36	34.72	30.26	38.36	33.33	36.84	13.70	20.83	17.11	9.59	11.11	15.79
Grade 4	2470.	2489.	2484.	19.44	25.32	24.32	23.61	26.58	28.38	33.33	30.38	28.38	23.61	17.72	18.92
Grade 5	2485.	2492.	2513.	15.85	16.00	24.69	15.85	17.33	23.46	30.49	37.33	27.16	37.80	29.33	24.69
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.23	25.22	26.41	25.55	25.66	29.44	25.99	29.65	24.24	24.23	19.47	19.91

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	39.73	34.72	28.95	47.95	50.00	52.63	12.33	15.28	18.42
Grade 4	16.67	24.05	20.27	52.78	53.16	56.76	30.56	22.78	22.97
Grade 5	13.41	16.00	18.52	56.10	53.33	58.02	30.49	30.67	23.46
All Grades	22.91	24.78	22.51	52.42	52.21	55.84	24.67	23.01	21.65

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	42.47	30.56	35.53	49.32	59.72	55.26	8.22	9.72	9.21
Grade 4	22.22	25.32	20.27	52.78	50.63	67.57	25.00	24.05	12.16
Grade 5	18.29	5.33	17.28	60.98	66.67	66.67	20.73	28.00	16.05
All Grades	27.31	20.35	24.24	54.63	58.85	63.20	18.06	20.80	12.55

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students showed improvement in overall CAASPP Math achievement with the "Standard Met" and "Standard Exceeded" categories combined increasing from 50.88% in 2022-23 to 55.85% in 2023-24, representing a gain of 4.97 percentage points.
2. Third grade students in the "Standard Not Met" category increased from 11.11% in 2022-23 to 15.79% in 2023-24, representing a 4.68 percentage point increase. This trend warrants focused attention on early elementary math instruction and targeted interventions to strengthen foundational mathematical skills.
3. Performance in Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis showed a decline in the "Above Standard" category, decreasing from 24.78% in 2022-23 to 22.51% in 2023-24, a decrease of 2.27 percentage points. This suggests students may need additional support in applying advanced problem-solving strategies to real-world mathematical problems.

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's [English Language Proficiency Assessments for California \(ELPAC\)](https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ela/elpac/) web page or the [ELPAC.org](https://elpac.org) website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	1429.6	1424.6	1376.1	1432.0	1431.8	1386.1	1423.6	1407.4	1352.4	17	19	22
1	1477.5	1449.7	1415.5	1462.1	1456.9	1419.6	1492.5	1442.1	1411.1	12	13	11
2	*	1480.9	1458.8	*	1478.5	1450.5	*	1482.9	1466.5	10	11	11
3	*	*	1455.8	*	*	1461.3	*	*	1449.7	7	9	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9	7	8
5	*	1483.6	*	*	1476.1	*	*	1490.8	*	8	11	9
All Grades										63	70	72

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	17.65	15.79	0.00	23.53	15.79	22.73	47.06	57.89	40.91	11.76	10.53	36.36	17	19	22
1	16.67	15.38	0.00	41.67	30.77	27.27	25.00	38.46	36.36	16.67	15.38	36.36	12	13	11
2	*	9.09	9.09	*	54.55	45.45	*	27.27	27.27	*	9.09	18.18	*	11	11
3	*	*	9.09	*	*	54.55	*	*	18.18	*	*	18.18	*	*	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	0.00	*	*	54.55	*	*	9.09	*	*	36.36	*	*	11	*
All Grades	17.46	10.00	5.56	30.16	35.71	36.11	36.51	37.14	30.56	15.87	17.14	27.78	63	70	72

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	23.53	10.53	9.09	17.65	36.84	27.27	29.41	42.11	18.18	29.41	10.53	45.45	17	19	22
1	25.00	23.08	0.00	33.33	15.38	36.36	33.33	46.15	36.36	8.33	15.38	27.27	12	13	11
2	*	36.36	18.18	*	36.36	36.36	*	18.18	27.27	*	9.09	18.18	*	11	11
3	*	*	18.18	*	*	63.64	*	*	0.00	*	*	18.18	*	*	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	45.45	*	*	18.18	*	*	0.00	*	*	36.36	*	*	11	*
All Grades	23.81	22.86	22.22	33.33	37.14	34.72	26.98	24.29	16.67	15.87	15.71	26.39	63	70	72

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	11.76	10.53	0.00	29.41	15.79	18.18	47.06	47.37	40.91	11.76	26.32	40.91	17	19	22
1	16.67	0.00	0.00	41.67	46.15	18.18	16.67	30.77	36.36	25.00	23.08	45.45	12	13	11
2	*	0.00	9.09	*	63.64	36.36	*	27.27	18.18	*	9.09	36.36	*	11	11
3	*	*	0.00	*	*	36.36	*	*	36.36	*	*	27.27	*	*	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	0.00	*	*	0.00	*	*	54.55	*	*	45.45	*	*	11	*
All Grades	12.70	2.86	2.78	33.33	31.43	25.00	30.16	38.57	34.72	23.81	27.14	37.50	63	70	72

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	29.41	15.79	9.09	58.82	78.95	54.55	11.76	5.26	36.36	17	19	22
1	33.33	30.77	18.18	58.33	69.23	54.55	8.33	0.00	27.27	12	13	11
2	*	36.36	27.27	*	54.55	63.64	*	9.09	9.09	*	11	11
3	*	*	18.18	*	*	72.73	*	*	9.09	*	*	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	27.27	*	*	36.36	*	*	36.36	*	*	11	*
All Grades	36.51	21.43	22.22	50.79	68.57	55.56	12.70	10.00	22.22	63	70	72

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	23.53	5.26	9.09	41.18	73.68	59.09	35.29	21.05	31.82	17	19	22
1	8.33	15.38	0.00	58.33	61.54	63.64	33.33	23.08	36.36	12	13	11
2	*	27.27	0.00	*	63.64	72.73	*	9.09	27.27	*	11	11
3	*	*	36.36	*	*	45.45	*	*	18.18	*	*	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	45.45	*	*	27.27	*	*	27.27	*	*	11	*
All Grades	20.63	21.43	25.00	55.56	60.00	50.00	23.81	18.57	25.00	63	70	72

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	11.76	10.53	0.00	76.47	84.21	50.00	11.76	5.26	50.00	17	19	22
1	41.67	15.38	18.18	33.33	61.54	54.55	25.00	23.08	27.27	12	13	11
2	*	18.18	0.00	*	72.73	63.64	*	9.09	36.36	*	11	11
3	*	*	0.00	*	*	63.64	*	*	36.36	*	*	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	0.00	*	*	36.36	*	*	63.64	*	*	11	*
All Grades	12.70	8.57	4.17	61.90	61.43	52.78	25.40	30.00	43.06	63	70	72

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	29.41	21.05	27.27	47.06	36.84	36.36	23.53	42.11	36.36	17	19	22
1	25.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	76.92	45.45	8.33	23.08	54.55	12	13	11
2	*	18.18	9.09	*	72.73	63.64	*	9.09	27.27	*	11	11
3	*	*	0.00	*	*	72.73	*	*	27.27	*	*	11
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	0.00	*	*	63.64	*	*	36.36	*	*	11	*
All Grades	25.40	15.71	11.11	55.56	55.71	54.17	19.05	28.57	34.72	63	70	72

Conclusions based on this data:

- Overall Mean Scale Scores declined across primary grades with kindergarten scores decreasing from 1424.6 in 2022-23 to 1376.1 in 2023-24, and first grade scores decreasing from 1449.7 to 1415.5. This pattern of declining mean scale scores in the early grades suggests the need to strengthen English language development instruction and intervention at the foundational level.
- Kindergarten ELPAC results indicate students are entering school with significantly lower English language proficiency with the percentage of students scoring at Level 1 in Overall Language increasing from 10.53% in

2022-23 to 36.36% in 2023-24, representing a 25.83 percentage point increase. Additionally, the percentage of kindergarten students who achieved Level 4 proficiency decreased from 15.79% in 2022-23 to 0% in 2023-24. This data suggests incoming kindergarten English Learners are starting with less English language exposure and require intensive foundational English language development support.

3. First grade ELPAC results indicate students are entering first grade with significantly lower English language proficiency with the percentage of students scoring at Level 1 in Overall Language increasing from 15.38% in 2022-23 to 36.36% in 2023-24, representing a 20.98 percentage point increase. Additionally, the percentage of first grade students who achieved Level 4 proficiency decreased from 15.38% in 2022-23 to 0.00% in 2023-24. This data suggests first grade English Learners are starting the year with less English language exposure and require intensive foundational English language development support.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2023-24 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
431	76.6%	16.2%	0.2%
Total Number of Students enrolled in Rowland Avenue Elementary.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2023-24 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	70	16.2%
Foster Youth	1	0.2%
Homeless	6	1.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	330	76.6%
Students with Disabilities	44	10.2%

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	8	1.9%
American Indian	0	0.0%
Asian	48	11.1%
Filipino	12	2.8%
Hispanic	329	76.3%
Two or More Races	16	3.7%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
White	16	3.7%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The student population at Rowland Avenue Elementary School is predominantly socioeconomically disadvantaged, with 76.6% of students eligible for free or reduced-price meals. This highlights a significant need for targeted support and resources to address the unique challenges faced by these students.
2. Rowland Avenue Elementary School's student body is diverse, with Hispanic students making up the largest demographic group at 76.3%. Other racial and ethnic groups, including African American, Asian, Filipino, White students and students with two or more races, are also represented but in much smaller numbers.
3. Rowland Avenue Elementary School has a notable proportion of students with additional needs, including 16.2% English Learners and 10.2% students with disabilities. This underscores the importance of providing specialized support and resources to meet these students' diverse educational and developmental needs.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance






The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."



2024 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts  Yellow	Chronic Absenteeism  Green	Suspension Rate  Yellow
Mathematics  Green		
English Learner Progress  Green		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Rowland Avenue Elementary School's overall performance in Mathematics is at the Green performance level, indicating above-average achievement. This suggests effective teaching strategies and student understanding in this subject area.

2. Rowland Avenue Elementary School's English Learner Progress is at the Green performance level. This is due to an increase in the percentage of students making progress toward English Language proficiency from 36.2% in 2022-23 to 45.5% in 2023-24%.
3. Rowland Avenue Elementary School's Chronic Absenteeism indicator is Green, reflecting significant improvement in student attendance. This achievement is attributed to a consistent, systematic approach to supporting chronically absent students.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p> Yellow</p> <p>9.7 points above standard</p> <p>Declined 6.7 points</p> <p>226 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p> Yellow</p> <p>8.6 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 3.5 points</p> <p>42 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p> <p> No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p> No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>1 Student</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p> No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>4 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p> Yellow</p> <p>2.0 points below standard</p> <p>Declined 8.6 points</p> <p>174 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>101.5 points below standard</p> <p>Declined 53.8 points</p> <p>32 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>5 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>69.1 points above standard</p> <p>Declined 14.7 points</p> <p>22 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>5 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Yellow</p> <p>2.9 points below standard</p> <p>Declined 9.5 points</p> <p>176 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>8 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>9 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Only students showed a decline in ELA performance with performance decreasing from 20.2 points above standard in 2023 to 8.8 points above standard in 2024, representing a decline of 11.4 points. This decline among English Only students suggests the need to examine core ELA instructional practices and provide additional support for native English speakers.
2. Recently Reclassified English Learners showed strong improvement in ELA performance with performance increasing from 55.7 points above standard in 2023 to 69.6 points above standard in 2024, representing an increase of 13.9 points. This demonstrates that reclassified English Learners are thriving after exiting EL services and validates the effectiveness of the school's English language development program.
3. English Learners showed improvement in ELA performance with performance increasing from 12.1 points below standard in 2022-23 to 8.6 points below standard in 2023-24, representing an increase of 3.5 points. This student group improved from an Orange performance level in 2022-23 to Yellow in 2023-24, demonstrating positive progress in English language development and ELA instruction for English Learners.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics


The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2024 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p>Green</p> <p>7.0 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 4.8 points</p> <p>227 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p>Blue</p> <p>6.3 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 29.3 points</p> <p>43 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>1 Student</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>5 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p>Green</p> <p>2.7 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 3.1 points</p> <p>175 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>77.5 points below standard</p> <p>Declined 10.9 points</p> <p>32 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>5 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>80.5 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 11.1 points</p> <p>22 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>5 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>2.9 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 4.4 points</p> <p>177 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>8 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students</p> <p>9 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. All Students showed improvement in Math performance on the California Dashboard with performance increasing from 2.2 points above standard in 2023 to 7.0 points above standard in 2024, representing an increase of 4.8 points. Rowland Avenue maintained a Green performance level in both years, demonstrating continued strength in mathematics instruction and positive progress in student achievement.
2. English Learners showed exceptional improvement in Math performance with performance increasing from 22.9 points below standard in 2023 to 6.3 points above standard in 2024, representing an increase of 29.2 points. This student group improved from Yellow level in 2023 to Blue level in 2024, demonstrating the effectiveness of mathematics instruction and intervention for English Learners and representing the school's highest achievement level in any subject area or student group.
3. Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students showed improvement in Math performance with performance increasing from 5.8 points below standard in 2023 to 2.7 points below standard in 2024, representing an increase of 3.1 points. This student group improved from Yellow level in 2023 to Green level in 2024, indicating effective mathematics instruction and support for this significant subgroup.

School and Student Performance Data



Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2024 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator	
English Learner Progress  Green 45.5 points above standard making progress. Number Students: 44 Students	Long-Term English Learner Progress  No Performance Color making progress. Number Students: 0 Students

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2024 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
11	13	0	19

Conclusions based on this data:

- Rowland Avenue Elementary School's English Progress Indicator is at the Green performance level with 43.2% making progress toward English language proficiency in 2023-24.
- A significant number of EL students, 25%, decreased at least one English Language Proficiency Indicator (ELPI) level in 2024 compared to 23.4% in 2023, representing an increase of 2.2 percentage points. This indicates that EL students are struggling to maintain their English language proficiency levels, necessitating enhanced instructional strategies and targeted interventions to support continued progress in English language development.
- No students maintained an ELPI Level 4, the highest English language proficiency level, highlighting a gap in achieving advanced proficiency among EL students. This underscores the need for targeted programs to help students reach and maintain higher proficiency levels.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism


The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2024 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p>Green</p> <p>9.6% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 18.4</p> <p>449 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p>Green</p> <p>3.9% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 15.5</p> <p>76 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy</p> <p>1 Student</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>14.3% Chronically Absent</p> <p>0</p> <p>14 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p>Yellow</p> <p>11% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 19</p> <p>345 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>Yellow</p> <p>11.1% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 25.4</p> <p>63 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy</p> <p>8 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>Blue</p> <p>0% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Maintained 0</p> <p>53 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>16.7% Chronically Absent</p> <p>0</p> <p>12 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Yellow</p> <p>10.8% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 20.3</p> <p>342 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>5.6% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 39.4</p> <p>18 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>6.3% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 22.3</p> <p>16 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. All Students showed improvement in Chronic Absenteeism with the percentage of chronically absent students decreasing from 9.6% in 2023-24 to 6.6% in 2024-25, representing a decline of 3 percentage points. The school maintained the Green level in 2024-25, indicating continued progress in addressing this widespread issue.
2. Students with Disabilities showed an increase in Chronic Absenteeism with the percentage of chronically absent students increasing from 11.1% in 2023-24 to 18.4% in 2024-25, representing an increase of 7.3 percentage points. This student group declined from having the Yellow level in 2023-24 to the Orange level in 2024-25, indicating a need for support programs to address the underlying issues contributing to high absenteeism for Students with Disabilities.
3. Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students showed a decline in Chronic Absenteeism, with the percentage of chronically absent students decreasing from 11% in 2023-24 to 8% in 2024-25, representing a decline of 3 percentage points. This student group improved from the Yellow level in 2023-24 to the Green level in 2024-25, reflecting the school's continued commitment to supportive and equitable systems that promote positive attendance among Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red Orange Yellow Green Blue
Lowest Performance Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2024 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Long-Term English Learners
Foster Youth	Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities	African American	American Indian
Asian	Filipino	Hispanic
Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

Conclusions based on this data:

1. N/A

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


The 2024 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2024 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p> Yellow</p> <p>1.3% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0.2%</p> <p>450 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p> Green</p> <p>1.3% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 1.2%</p> <p>76 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p> <p> No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p> No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy</p> <p>1 Student</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p> No Performance Color</p> <p>14.3% suspended at least one day</p> <p>14 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p> Orange</p> <p>1.7% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Increased 0.5%</p> <p>346 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>1.6% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 2.2%</p> <p>64 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy</p> <p>8 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>Blue</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0%</p> <p>53 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0%</p> <p>12 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Yellow</p> <p>1.5% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0.1%</p> <p>343 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>5.6% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 4.4%</p> <p>18 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0%</p> <p>16 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. All Students maintained a low suspension rate with the percentage of students suspended at least one day declining from 1.3% in 2023-24 to .4% in 2024-25. The decline resulted in a change from the Yellow level in 2024-24 to the Green level in 2024-25. This indicates a generally positive school climate and effective behavioral support systems.
2. English Learners showed significant improvement in suspension rates, with the percentage of students suspended at least one day decreasing from 1.3% in 2023-24 to 0% in 2024-25, representing a decline of 1.3 percentage points. This student group progressed from the Green level in 2023-24 to the Blue level in 2024-25, demonstrating that the school has successfully maintained a supportive and equitable environment for English Learners.
3. Students with Disabilities showed significant improvement in suspension rates with the percentage of students suspended at least one day decreasing from 1.6% in 2023-24 to 1% in 2024-25, representing a decline of .6% percentage points. This student group maintained the Green level in 2024-25, demonstrating that the school has successfully maintained a supportive and equitable environment for Students with Disabilities.

District/School Goals Alignment

LCAP Goals:

1. Our District will guarantee all students are eligible and prepared for college and careers upon graduation.
2. Our District will implement innovative, research-based programs and practices to ensure the highest level of achievement for all students.
3. Our District will create a school-wide program of engagement that fosters innovative, positive environments inside and outside of the classroom to connect students to school learning.
4. By June 2027, Fairvalley and Covina-Valley Learning Options Academy commit to elevating student engagement and academic outcomes, as well as enhancing the effectiveness and support systems for our educators. Progress will be measured through chronic absenteeism rates, Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment scores, stability rates, and surveys assessing student and teacher satisfaction and engagement.

LCAP and Educational Services Goal Alignments to SPSA

LCAP	Educational Services	SPSA
Goals 1-4	<p>English Language Arts: Increase the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards in English Language Arts by 3%, as measured by CAASPP.</p> <p>Mathematics: Increase the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards in Mathematics by 3%, as measured by the CAASPP.</p> <p>Science: Increase the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards in Science by 3%, as measured by CAST.</p> <p>English Learner Progress: Increase the percentage of students making progress towards English language proficiency by 3%, as measured by the English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) on the CA Dashboard.</p> <p>Graduation Rate: Increase the high school graduation rate by 2%, as measured by the Graduation Rate Indicator on the CA Dashboard.</p> <p>A-G Rate: Increase the percentage of 12th-grade students meeting UC/CSU entrance requirements by 5%, as measured by the A-G completion rate.</p> <p>Attendance: Increase the Districtwide Attendance Rate to at least 96.5% or higher.</p>	Goals: ELA, Math, ELD, Science, College & Career Readiness, and Engagement

Research Foundation

The district has identified the following educational research to serve as the foundation for district-wide improvement activities:

Research Models and ESSA Ratings	Major Elements/Strategies	Section in SPSA
<p>Focused Schools</p> <p>ESSA: Moderate</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customized training for school-based data, Focus Goals and Instructional Leadership Teams (ILT) • Coaching for Central Office personnel and site leadership • Customized tools and protocols to help create strong structures and systems that support the framework for School Improvement. 	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>Professional Learning Communities</p> <p>ESSA: Strong</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensuring that All Students Learn (Big Idea #1) • Building a Culture of Collaboration for School Improvement (Big Idea #2) • A Focus on Results (Big Idea #3) • Recognizing Hard Work and Commitment (Dr. Richard DuFour, Dr. Roland Barth, Dr. R. Marzano) 	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>Response to Intervention (RTI)</p> <p>ESSA: Strong</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tiers I, II and III Intervention structure planned and utilized • All schools utilize data from i-Ready and other state, district, and local assessments to meet students' needs • Students receive interventions on a daily basis within the school day and after school (Dr. Carol Tomlinson) (NEA 2007) (Dr. C. Cortiello 2006) (Dr. Coynen, M.D. 2004) 	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>i-Ready</p> <p>ESSA: Moderate</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research-based practices in Assessment, Classroom Instruction, Personalized Learning, and Blended Learning • Powerful adaptive diagnostic and growth measure • Core standards-based instruction, practice, and assessment • Adaptive learning • Personalized on-line instruction (Dr. Laine Bradshaw, Dr. Richard Brown, Dr. Hua Hua Chang, Dr. Mark Ellis, et. al.) 	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>Thinking Maps</p> <p>ESSA: Strong</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight maps that correspond with fundamental thinking processes: Circle Map - Context; Bubble Map – describing adjectives; Flow Map – sequencing and ordering; Brace Map – part/whole relationships; Tree Map – classifying and grouping; Double Bubble Map – comparing and contrasting; Multi-Flow Map – analyzing causes and effects; Bridge Map - seeing analogies. • Excellent for English Learners and others to understand and access core curriculum • Thinking maps are a “common visual language” for students in all subjects in Grades K-12. (Dr. Katherine McKnight, Dr. Mary McMackin, et. al.) 	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>Write from the Beginning and Beyond (TK-5)</p> <p>ESSA: Strong</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grade level appropriate expectations for effective student writing • Teachers receive instruction for writing at their grade level • Students self-evaluate their writing performance and identify strategies for improvement • Students utilize Thinking Maps individually or in groups to organize and plan expository writing (Dr. John Weida, Dr. Jane C. Buckner) 	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>

Research Models and ESSA Ratings	Major Elements/Strategies	Section in SPSA
<p>Expository Reading and Writing Course (ERWC) 6-12</p> <p>ESSA: Moderate</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Professional Development for teachers Aligned to California’s ELA Common Core Standards Text-Based Grammar for Expository Reading and Writing New relevant student topics for enhanced motivation Reading and writing in both literary and informational texts <p>(California Department of Education (CCSS), California State University course syllabus)</p>	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>UCI Math Project</p> <p>ESSA: Moderate</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research -based hands-on professional development. Standards-based curriculum guides and unit plans aligned to the Common Core for K-12 mathematics, including the 8 mathematical practices. Increases pedagogical knowledge in areas such as How the Brain Learn Math, classrooms management, mathematical mindset, math discourse, student motivation, engagement, and questioning strategies, research and theory on student, learning, and homework. Intervention curriculum to fill in the gaps for students below grade level. Scaffolded English Language Learners supports. <p>(Fong, T., Perry, R., Reade, F., Klarin, B., & Jaquet, K., 2016) (Perry, Rebecca, Marple, Stacy., & Reade, Frances, 2019)</p>	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>Lindamood-Bell</p> <p>ESSA: Strong</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tiers I, II, III intervention Seeing Stars: Symbol Imagery for Phonological and Orthographic Processing in Reading and Spelling develops symbol imagery for reading and spelling. Visualizing and Verbalizing: develops concept imagery as a basis for comprehension and higher order thinking. Professional development to develop the underlying process necessary for a student to learn to read and comprehend. <p>(Donnelly, P.M., Huber, E., & Yeatman, J. D., 2019) (Sadoski, M. & Wilson, V., 2006)</p>	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>Ruler</p> <p>ESSA: Moderate</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A system approach to Social-Emotional Learning. RULER is an acronym for the five skills of emotional intelligence: Recognizing; Understanding; Labeling; Expressing; Regulating. RULER supports the entire school community in: Understanding the value of emotions; Building the skills of emotional intelligence; and Creating and maintaining a positive school climate. <p>(Nathanson, L., Rivers, S. E., Flynn, L.M., & Brackett, M. A., 2016)</p>	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>
<p>Character Strong/Purposeful People</p> <p>ESSA: Moderate</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Curricula and trainings are focused on fostering the Whole Child with vertically-aligned lessons that teach SEL and character, side-by-side. SEL Competencies: Self-Awareness, Self-Management, Social Awareness, Relationship Skills, Responsible Decision-Making Character Development: Patience, Kindness, Honesty, Respect, Selfishness, Forgiveness, Commitment, Humility <p>(Elias, M. J., & Arnold, H. (Eds.), 2006). (Brackett, M. A., & Katulak, N. A., 2006).</p>	<p>Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures</p>

In addition, the school has identified the following educational research to serve as the foundation for schoolwide improvement activities:

Research Models and ESSA Ratings	Major Elements/Strategies	Section in SPSA
Achievement Teams ESSA: Strong	Utilizing 5-step protocol to guide the work of PLCs and targeted short-term Common Formative Assessments Research-based framework or approach that focuses on outcomes, data, practices and systems Maximizes academic outcomes for all students by using data to drive instruction, focusing on results of learning, and identifying best instructional practices	Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures
Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) ESSA: Strong	Implement a PBIS framework to identify, teach, and reinforce positive behaviors in students. Improve social, emotional, and academic outcome for every student.	Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures
Close Reading ESSA: Strong	Read closely, grade level text, to determine literal and inferential meaning. Re-read for textual evidence and to support conclusions	Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures
Parent Engagement and Education ESSA: Strong	Provide opportunities for families to participate in school events, activities, monitoring students' progress, and family information and learning nights.	Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

English Language Arts

- By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in K-5th grade students who meet or exceed standard in English Language Arts, as measured by i-Ready assessments.
- By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 3rd-5th grade students who meet or exceed standards in ELA as measured by CAASPP data.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

1. Our District will guarantee all students are eligible and prepared for college and careers upon graduation.
2. Our District will implement innovative, research-based programs and practices to ensure the highest level of achievement for all students.
3. Our District will create a school-wide program of engagement that fosters innovative, positive environments inside and outside of the classroom to connect students to school learning.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

CAASPP ELA overall achievement decreased from 62% (2023-24) to 57% (2024-25), representing a 5 percentage point decline.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP ELA	CAASPP ELA Overall 57% Grade 3 - 62% Grade 4 - 55% Grade 5 - 53%	CAASPP ELA Overall 62% Grade 3 - 67% Grade 4 - 60% Grade 5 - 58%
i-Ready Diagnostic	Final Diagnostic i-ready Reading - Spring 2025 Grade K - 65% at or above grade level Grade 1 - 50% at or above grade level Grade 2 - 69% at or above grade level Grade 3 - 74% at or above grade level Grade 4 - 44% at or above grade level Grade 5 - 44% at or above grade level	Final Diagnostic i-ready Reading - Spring 2026 Grade K - 70% at or above grade level Grade 1 - 60% at or above grade level Grade 2 - 75% at or above grade level Grade 3 - 80% at or above grade level Grade 4 - 50% at or above grade level Grade 5 - 50% at or above grade level

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	Students will receive a comprehensive and standards-based English Language Arts program using the district-adopted curriculum and components included in the program. Supplemental instructional technology, materials, and supplies will be provided to support differentiated, Common Core standards-based instruction.	All Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies 500 LCFF S/C New Teacher (2223) Books and Supplies
1.2	Staff will collaborate in Professional Learning Communities to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design and implement Common Core aligned instruction. • Design and implement Rowland Avenue's Multi-Tiered System of Support. • Monitor student learning with common, formative assessments. • Analyze data from to inform instruction and pacing. • Design and implement appropriate differentiation and intervention. • Implement Thinking Maps across all grade levels. • Implement Write from the Beginning and Beyond with rubrics. 	All Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Certificated Salaries
1.3	The Title 1 teacher will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide targeted intervention for students performing below standard on CAASPP ELA and/or i-Ready ELA Diagnostic. • Collaborate with teachers and staff to implement and monitor Rowland Avenue's Multi-Tiered System of Support. • Provide and support parent education and family nights. 	All Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226) Classified Salaries District funded
1.4	Rowland Avenue's team of Academic Leaders and the staff will analyze the school-wide data for the purpose of creating and/or reaffirming the school-wide focus, SMARTe goals, and action plans. Professional learning and release time will be provided for the Academic Leadership team to further develop their skills as teacher leaders. The professional learning may include work with instructional coaches and consultants.	All Students	2,500 Title I - Site Allocation Professional/Consulting Services 1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies
1.5	Teachers and support staff will participate in professional learning in the areas of Social and Emotional Learning, Multi-tiered Systems of	All Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)

	Support, Common Core State Standards, Thinking Maps, and Write From the Beginning. The professional learning may include work with instructional coaches and consultants.		Books and Supplies
1.6	Extended library time and tutoring to support ELA achievement.	All Students	4,500 LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226) Certificated Salaries 1,300 Site Allocation (26000.0) Services and other operating expenditures
1.7	Student learning in ELA and other curricular areas will be supported through software licenses, computer programs, and technology integration. Technology equipment and peripheral devices will be purchased, replaced, and updated as needed.	All Students	1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies 2,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies
1.8	Reading incentive program to support ELA achievement.	All Students	5,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies
1.9	Parent engagement to support student achievement will include education and information sessions, family events, and Parent-Principal events.	All Students	1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Classified Salaries 1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies 500 Title I- Parent Involvement Books and Supplies

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

ELA Common Core (1.1): ELA Common Core was implemented as intended and was moderately effective. ELA Reading proficiency increased from 79% (2023-24) to 84% (2024-25), a gain of 5 percentage points. However, overall ELA proficiency decreased from 58% to 57%, a one-percentage-point decline that did not meet the 5% growth target.

Professional Learning Communities (1.2): PLCs focused on using data to plan instruction and interventions. This collaborative approach supported some improvement in student outcomes, though not to the extent needed to achieve the school-wide target of a 5% proficiency increase.

Title 1 Teacher (1.3): The Title 1 Teacher provided targeted intervention as intended was significantly effective. Reading performance improved substantially with students scoring "Below Standard" decreasing by 4.16 percentage points (from 20.09% to 15.93%), demonstrating that targeted Title 1 intervention for students performing below standard is successfully improving reading comprehension and literacy skills.

Professional Development and Collaboration (1.4): Ongoing professional development and collaboration facilitated the development of professional relationships. This supported some improvement in student outcomes, though not to the extent needed to achieve the targeted 5% proficiency increase.

Leadership Team and Data Analysis (1.5): Achievement/Leadership Teams focused on using data to plan instruction and interventions. This collaborative approach supported some improvement in student outcomes, though not to the extent needed to achieve the school-wide target of a 5% proficiency increase.

Extended Library Hours (1.6): The school library was open during extended hours before and after school. However, the participation rate was low throughout the year and the impact on student proficiency was not significantly measurable.

Technology Integration (1.7): Technology Integration was implemented as intended to replace and update equipment and peripheral devices. The strategy was moderately effective in maintaining technology infrastructure but did not produce measurable gains in student ELA proficiency.

Reading Incentive Program (1.8): The Reading Incentive Program was implemented as intended and was effective based on data that showed Reading "Above Standard" increased by 3.33 percentage points (from 17.47% to 20.80%), representing the single largest gain in any "Above Standard" category across all skill areas. This improvement, coupled with sustained high student participation and achievement in the Accelerated Reader program, demonstrates that the reading incentive program successfully motivated students to read more frequently.

Parent Engagement (1.9): The school offered various parent involvement opportunities, including workshops and literacy nights. While these activities aimed to support students' learning at home, their direct impact on ELA proficiency was not significantly measurable.


Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no significant differences between the intended implementation and the actual execution of strategies. The budgeted expenditures were aligned with the planned activities, including professional development, technology integration, parent engagement, and interventions. However, achievement targets were not met and the allocation of resources may need to be reconsidered.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Rowland Avenue Elementary School's goal is to increase student achievement in ELA through continued implementation of best practices, professional development, and parent engagement. The Rowland Staff will continue to use PLCs, Achievement Teams, and professional development to develop and monitor English Language Arts SMART Goals.

RTI Pyramid: District ELA



Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Mathematics

- By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in K-5th grade students who meet or exceed standard in Mathematics, as measured by i-Ready Math assessments.
- By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 3rd-5th grade students who meet or exceed standards in Mathematics as measured by CAASPP assessments.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

1. Our District will guarantee all students are eligible and prepared for college and careers upon graduation.
2. Our District will implement innovative, research-based programs and practices to ensure the highest level of achievement for all students.
3. Our District will create a school-wide program of engagement that fosters innovative, positive environments inside and outside of the classroom to connect students to school learning.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

CAASPP Math overall proficiency decreased from 55.85% to 49.12%, representing a 6.73 percentage point decline. The disaggregated data shows that students are struggling across all performance areas, with particularly concerning results in Problem Solving and Modeling and Data Analysis.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP Math	CAASPP Math Overall - 49% Grade 3 - 62% Grade 4 - 48% Grade 5 - 35%	CAASPP Math Overall - 54% Grade 3 - 67% Grade 4 - 53% Grade 5 - 40%
i-Ready Math Diagnostic	Final Diagnostic i-ready Math - Spring 2025 Grade K - 33% at or above grade level Grade 1 - 42% at or above grade level Grade 2 - 62% at or above grade level Grade 3 - 66% at or above grade level Grade 4 - 55% at or above grade level Grade 5 - 62% at or above grade level	Final Diagnostic i-ready Math - Spring 2026 Grade K - 40% at or above grade level Grade 1 - 50% at or above grade level Grade 2 - 70% at or above grade level Grade 3 - 70% at or above grade level Grade 4 - 60% at or above grade level Grade 5 - 65% at or above grade level

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	Students will receive comprehensive Mathematics instruction using Eureka Math2 and components included in the programs. Supplemental instructional technology, materials, and supplies will be provided to support differentiated instruction and fully implement Standards of Mathematical Practice (SMP) and Common Core Math standards.	All Students	3,033 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies 3,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies 500 LCFF S/C New Teacher (2223) Books and Supplies
2.2	Staff will collaborate in Professional Learning Communities to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement Eureka Math2 • Design and implement Rowland Avenue's Multi-Tiered System of Support. • Monitor student learning with common, formative assessments. • Analyze data from to inform instruction and pacing. • Design and implement appropriate differentiation and intervention. 	All Students	2,000 Title I - Site Allocation Certificated Salaries 2,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies
2.3	The Math Intervention teacher will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide targeted intervention support for students performing below standard on CAASPP Math and/or i-Ready Math Diagnostic. • Collaborate with teachers and staff to implement and monitor Rowland Avenue's Multi-Tiered System of Support. • Provide and support parent education and family nights. 	All Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies 496 Title I- Parent Involvement Books and Supplies District funded
2.5	Teachers will participate in professional learning and release time focused on school-wide data analysis for the purpose of creating and/or reaffirming the Mathematics school-wide focus, SMARTe goals, and action plans. The professional learning may include work with instructional coaches and consultants.	All Students	1,400 Title I - Site Allocation Professional/Consulting Services
2.6	Teachers will participate in professional learning and release time focused on Eureka Math2 implementation. The professional learning may include work with instructional coaches and consultants.	All Students	2,000 Title I - Site Allocation Professional/Consulting Services

			District funded
2.7	Extended library time and tutoring to support Mathematics achievement.	All Students	4,000 LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226) Certificated Salaries 500 LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226) Classified Salaries 1,200. Site Allocation (26000.0) Services and other operating expenditures

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Math Common Core (2.1): The Common Core Math Standards were implemented as intended but was not effective based on the assessment data. Despite curriculum implementation and supplemental materials, the overall Math proficiency decreased by 6.73 percentage points, declining from 55.85% in 2023-24 to 49.12% in 2024-25.

Professional Learning Communities (2.2): PLCs were implemented as intended but were ineffective in supporting student learning outcomes. Based on data analysis, the strategy did not result in improvement or stabilization of scores.

Math Intervention Teacher (2.3): The Math Intervention teacher support was implemented as intended. However, this support did not translate into improved outcomes for students performing at the lowest achievement level. The percentage of students in the Standard Not Met category increased from 19.91% in 2023-24 to 23.45% in 2024-25, representing a 3.54 percentage point increase.

Leadership Team and Data Analysis (2.4): This strategy was not implemented as intended and proved ineffective based on assessment data. Limited emphasis was placed on the Academic Leadership team's development as teacher leaders. Instead, professional consultant services were primarily focused on supporting teachers with individual and grade-level SMARTe goals and action plans, rather than building the leadership capacity of the Academic Leadership team to drive school-wide mathematics improvement.

Professional Development and Collaboration (2.5): Professional development was implemented as intended but was not effective. Disaggregated data shows that students are struggling across all performance areas, with a 6.73 percentage point decline in overall proficiency.

Extended Library Hours Math Support (2.6): The school library was open during extended hours before and after school. However, the participation rate was low throughout the year and the impact on student proficiency was not significantly measurable.


Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The budgeted expenditures were aligned with the planned activities, including professional development, parent engagement, and interventions. However, achievement targets were not met and the allocation of resources may need to be reconsidered.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Rowland Avenue Elementary School's goal is to increase student achievement in Math through implementation of the newly adopted Eureka Math2 program, professional development, and parent engagement. The Rowland Staff will continue to use PLCs, Achievement Teams, and professional development to develop and monitor Math SMART Goals.

RTI Pyramid: District Math



Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

<p>English Language Development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 1st-5th grade EL students that meet or exceed standard in English Language Arts and Mathematics, as measured by i-Ready assessments. By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 3rd-5th grade EL students that meet or exceed standard in English Language Arts and Mathematics, as measured by CAASPP. By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 5th grade EL students that meet or exceed standards in Science as measured by the CAST assessment. By June, 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 1st-5th grade students redesignated as fluent English proficient (RFEP), as measured by LEA reclassification criteria and the ELPAC.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Our District will guarantee all students are eligible and prepared for college and careers upon graduation. Our District will implement innovative, research-based programs and practices to ensure the highest level of achievement for all students. Our District will create a school-wide program of engagement that fosters innovative, positive environments inside and outside of the classroom to connect students to school learning.
--

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

<p>On the 2024-25 CAASPP ELA assessment, Current English Learners show a significant area needing improvement, performing 79.6 points below standard in English Language Arts with a declining trend of 6.5 points. This represents a substantial performance gap compared to Recently Reclassified English Learners performing 61.4 points above standard and English Only students 16.2 points above standard.</p>
--

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
ELPAC Results	On the 2024-25 ELPAC Summative Assessment, 52.06% of Rowland Avenue Students scored "Well Developed" or "Moderately Developed."	On the 2025-26 ELPAC Summative Assessment, there will be a 5% increase students who score "Well Developed" or "Moderately Developed."
ELPI Results	On the 2024-25 ELPAC Summative Assessment, 63.2% of Rowland Avenue students increased at least one ELPI level.	On the 2025-26 ELPAC Summative Assessment, there will be a 5% increase students who increase at least one ELPI level.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	All EL students will receive instruction by CLAD/LDS (or equivalent) certificated teachers. Teachers will utilize ELD and SDAIE strategies to provide differentiated language instruction and support.	English Language Learners	District funded
3.2	All EL students will receive a minimum of 30 minutes daily Designated ELD Language support in addition to the English Language Arts period. ELD Language support will align with the CA ELD standards and the ELA/ELD Framework. Teachers will use the district-adopted curriculum, Moving Into English, and components included in the program. Supplemental instructional technology, material, and supplies will be provided to support differentiated ELD instruction.	English Language Learners	1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies District funded
3.3	Staff will collaborate in Professional Learning Communities to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design and implement CA ELD standards aligned instruction. Support EL instruction with Thinking Maps across all grade levels. Support EL instruction with Write from the Beginning and Beyond across all grade levels. 	English Language Learners	1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Certificated Salaries 1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies
3.4	Staff will analyze EL data to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use common, formative assessments to monitor student progress toward proficiency. Use ELD Scale Grading and the ELD Assessment Scale to monitor student progress toward proficiency. Implement Rowland Avenue's Multi-Tiered System of Support. Target ELD instruction. 	English Language Learners	1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Certificated Salaries 1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies
3.5	EL students performing below standard on CAASPP and/or i-Ready Diagnostics will receive targeted support through MTSS.	English Language Learners	2,000 LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226) Certificated Salaries 1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies
3.6	EL instruction will be supported through software licenses, computer programs and technology integration. Technology equipment and peripheral devices will be purchased, replaced, and updated as needed.	English Language Learners	1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies

3.7	Parent engagement to support student achievement will include ELAC meetings, parent education and information sessions, family events, and Parent-Principal events. Written and audio translations will be available for parent engagement events, home-school communication, and conferences.	English Language Learners	1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Classified Salaries 500 Title I- Parent Involvement Books and Supplies 2,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies
3.8	Extended library time and tutoring to support ELD achievement.		3,000 LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226) Certificated Salaries

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

ELD Integration in Core Content (3.1), Designated ELD Instruction (3.2), Professional Learning Communities (3.3), and ELD Intervention (3.4), Technology Integration (3.5): These coordinated efforts were implemented as intended and yielded measurable success. The ELPAC data shows a significant increase in the percentage of students making progress toward English Language proficiency from 44.2% in 2023-24 to 63.2% in 2024-25, an increase of 19%.

Parent Engagement (3.6): ELAC meetings and other parent involvement opportunities were offered, with translation services provided. These efforts to engage parents in their children's education had a positive impact on student achievement.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no significant differences between the intended implementation and the actual execution of strategies. Budgeted expenditures directly supported planned activities across three key areas: professional development, parent engagement, and targeted interventions.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Rowland Avenue Elementary School's goal is to continue the positive momentum of English Language Learner progress and decrease the disparity between overall ELA achievement and English Learner ELA achievement.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 4

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Science

- By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 5th grade students that meet or exceed standard in Science as measured by the CAST assessment.
- By June 2026, there will be a 5% increase in 5th grade students that meet or exceed standard in Science as measured by the CAST assessment for students in the Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED) student group.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

1. Our District will guarantee all students are eligible and prepared for college and careers upon graduation.
2. Our District will implement innovative, research-based programs and practices to ensure the highest level of achievement for all students.
3. Our District will create a school-wide program of engagement that fosters innovative, positive environments inside and outside of the classroom to connect students to school learning.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

A review of the CAST assessment data reveals that in 2023-24, 51% of 5th-grade students met or exceeded science standards, and in 2024-25, 43% of 5th-grade students met or exceeded science standards, representing a decline of 8 percentage points. Life Sciences showed the most significant decline, dropping nearly 10 percentage points from 68.75% in 2023-24 to 58.91% in 2024-25. These results indicate the need for targeted instructional strategies and access to hands-on science learning experiences, particularly in the Life Sciences Domain.

Additionally, only 34% of 5th-grade Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED) students met or exceeded standards in 2024-25, revealing a 9 percentage point achievement gap between SED students and the overall 5th-grade population. These results demonstrate the need for targeted instructional strategies and equitable access to hands-on science learning experiences, particularly for our most vulnerable student populations.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
California Science Test (CAST) Common Formative Assessments (CFA)	In 2024-25, CAST Scores indicate 43% of 5th grade students met or exceeded standards in Science.	By June 2026, 48% of 5th grade students will meet or exceed standards in Science as measured by CAST and California Science Test (CAST) and Common Formative Assessments (CFA).
California Science Test (CAST) California Science Test (CAST)	In 2024-25, CAST Scores indicate 34% of 5th grade students in the Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	By June 2026, 39% of 5th grade students in the Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (SED) student group

Common Formative Assessments (CFA)	(SED) student group met or exceeded standards.	met or exceeded standards as measured by CAST California Science Test (CAST) and Common Formative Assessments (CFA).
------------------------------------	--	--

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
4.1	Students will receive NGSS instruction to include Science and Engineering Practices (SEP), Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCI), and Cross Cutting Concepts (CCS) through the TWIG Science program.	All Students	2,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies 1,100 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies
4.2	Staff will collaborate in Professional Learning Communities to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor student learning with common, formative assessments. • Analyze data from to inform instruction and pacing. • Design and implement appropriate differentiation and intervention. • Implement Thinking Maps across all grade levels. 	All Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies 1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Certificated Salaries
4.3	Professional learning and release time will be provided for teachers to support their implementation of TWIG Science.	All Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Certificated Salaries 1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies
4.4	Teachers and support staff will be participate in TWIG Science professional learning. The professional learning may include work with instructional coaches and consultants.	All Students	1,200 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Professional/Consulting Services

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Core Curriculum and Supplemental Materials (4.1), Professional Development (4.4): Teachers effectively implemented the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) and TWIG Science program as intended. However, the efforts did not sustain the positive growth achieved in 2024-25.

Professional Learning Communities (4.2), Leadership and Data Analysis (4.3): PLCs and Achievement Teams focused on using data as intended; however, this approach proved ineffective as evidenced by an 8 percentage point decline in CAST assessment data.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no major differences between the planned and actual implementation of strategies. All activities were executed as intended, and the budgeted expenditures were fully aligned with the planned initiatives. The resources allocated for curriculum materials, professional development, and data analysis tools were utilized as anticipated.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Rowland Avenue will continue to prioritize the implementation of NGSS and professional development focused on integrating the Twig Science program across all grade levels, ensuring a cohesive and comprehensive science curriculum.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 5

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Engagement
By June 2026, students will achieve a 96.5% attendance rate and decrease chronic absenteeism.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

1. Our District will guarantee all students are eligible and prepared for college and careers upon graduation.
2. Our District will implement innovative, research-based programs and practices to ensure the highest level of achievement for all students.
3. Our District will create a school-wide program of engagement that fosters innovative, positive environments inside and outside of the classroom to connect students to school learning.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

English Learners were the only student group with less than 5% Chronic Absenteeism.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
California Dashboard	6.6% Chronic Absenteeism school wide 18.4% Chronic Absenteeism for Students with Disabilities 3.9% Chronic Absenteeism for English Learners 8% Chronic Absenteeism for Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students 7.5% Chronic Absenteeism for Hispanic Students	By June 2026, Chronic Absenteeism school wide will be less than 5%. By June 2026, Chronic Absenteeism for Students with Disabilities will decrease by 5%. By June 2026, Chronic Absenteeism for English Learners will be less than 5%. By June 2026, Chronic Absenteeism for Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students will be less than 5%. By June 2026, Chronic Absenteeism for Hispanic Students will be less than 5%.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures

<p>5.1</p>	<p>Positive attendance and parent engagement will be promoted through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parent education of the effects of Chronic Absenteeism. • Student education of the effects of Chronic Absenteeism. • Implementation of a school-wide system for recognition and incentives. 	<p>This strategy serves all students with increased awareness to Students with Disabilities and students currently or having previously shown Chronic Absenteeism.</p>	<p>1,496 Title I - Homeless Books and Supplies</p> <p>500 LCFF Attendance (03308) Books and Supplies</p> <p>500 Title I - Site Allocation Classified Salaries</p> <p>1,049. Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies</p>
<p>5.2</p>	<p>Positive attendance and parent engagement will be supported through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School-wide and community events. • School-home weekly communication through attendance reports, emails, Parent Square and daily, personal phone calls. • Principal and School Liaison meetings, phone calls and home visits with at-risk families. • Identification of specific school attendance barriers faced by children in poverty, foster youth, homeless youth, and any other significant student populations with high chronic absenteeism rates. • Collaboration with education partners to develop personalized plans. • Saturday school opportunities. 	<p>This strategy serves all students with increased awareness to Students with Disabilities and students currently or having previously shown Chronic Absenteeism.</p>	<p>3,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies</p> <p>1,500 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies</p>
<p>5.3</p>	<p>School-wide implementation of a MTSS for Behavior and Expectations.</p>	<p>This strategy serves all students with increased awareness to Students with Disabilities and students currently or having previously shown Chronic Absenteeism.</p>	<p>2,000 LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226) Certificated Salaries</p> <p>1,500 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies</p>
<p>5.4</p>	<p>Students will receive a comprehensive Social Emotional Learning program using the district-adopted curriculum and components included in the program. Supplemental instructional technology, materials, and supplies will be provided as needed.</p>	<p>All students with increased awareness to Students with Disabilities and students currently or having previously shown Chronic Absenteeism.</p>	<p>2,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Books and Supplies</p>
<p>5.5</p>	<p>Promote college and career readiness through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote college and career readiness through: • Arts Education • Field trips • School-wide assemblies • Career Day 		<p>1,000 LCFF S/C College Campus Visit (04423.0) Services and other operating expenditures</p> <p>4,028 Prop 28 (67700) Books and Supplies</p>

			8,000 Prop 28 (67700) Certificated Salaries 4,000 Prop 28 (67700) Services and other operating expenditures 3,710 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Services and other operating expenditures 1,000 Title I - Site Allocation Books and Supplies
5.6	Transition support will be provided for preschool students entering kindergarten and fifth grade students advancing to middle school. Kindergarten teachers will meet with pre-school teachers and SAI specialists to discuss kindergarten transition, class assignments, curriculum, and essential skills. Kindergarten teachers will conduct a kindergarten round-up to include registration, informal assessment of skills, kindergarten parent and student orientation, and distribution of parental support and readiness materials. Kindergarten teachers will use assessment data to ensure proper assignment of students.	Kindergarten Students	1,000 LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313) Certificated Salaries

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Positive Attendance Campaign and Parent Engagement (5.1, 5.2): The school has implemented a comprehensive Positive Attendance Campaign that focuses on educating both students and parents about the negative impacts of chronic absenteeism. This includes regular communication through attendance reports, emails, Parent Square, and direct phone calls. School-wide recognition and incentive systems have been established to promote positive attendance behavior. Additionally, school and community events have been organized to foster a supportive environment. The Principal and School Liaison have actively engaged with at-risk families through meetings, phone calls, and home visits to address specific attendance barriers. This comprehensive approach has been instrumental in identifying and addressing the challenges faced by students in poverty, foster youth, homeless youth, and other vulnerable populations.

MTSS Attendance Support and Intervention (5.3): The Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) for behavior and attendance expectations was implemented as expected has been a key component in addressing chronic absenteeism. This system provides a structured framework for monitoring attendance patterns and implementing timely interventions. The use of data to identify students at risk of chronic absenteeism has allowed for personalized intervention plans, including Saturday school opportunities and other supports tailored to the specific needs of the students.

Social Emotional Learning Implementation (5.4): The district-adopted RULER program for Social Emotional Learning (SEL) has been integrated into the school curriculum, providing students with the necessary skills to manage their emotions, set positive goals, and establish healthy relationships. This comprehensive SEL program includes supplemental instructional technology, materials, and supplies to support the holistic development of students. The program has effectively created a supportive school environment, which has positively impacted student attendance.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There were no significant differences between the intended implementation and the actual execution of the strategies. All planned activities were carried out as scheduled, and the budgeted expenditures were utilized effectively to support the initiatives.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

We will continue to successfully leverage resources to address the specific needs of diverse student groups and effectively reduce chronic absenteeism.

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$29,074.00
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$105,512.00
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I - Homeless	\$1,496.00
Title I - Site Allocation	\$27,082.00
Title I- Parent Involvement	\$1,496.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: **\$30,074.00**

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
LCFF Attendance (03308)	\$500.00
LCFF S/C College Campus Visit (04423.0)	\$1,000.00
LCFF S/C New Teacher (2223)	\$1,000.00
LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)	\$37,410.00
LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226)	\$17,000.00
Prop 28 (67700)	\$16,028.00
Site Allocation (26000.0)	\$2,500.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: **\$75,438.00**

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: **\$105,512.00**

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
Title I - Site Allocation	26,082.00	-1,000.00
Title I- Parent Involvement	1,496.00	0.00
Title I - Homeless	1,496.00	0.00
LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)	38,410.00	1,000.00
LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226)	17,000.00	0.00
LCFF S/C College Campus Visit (04423.0)	1,000.00	0.00
LCFF Attendance (03308)	500.00	0.00
LCFF S/C New Teacher (2223)	1,000.00	0.00
Site Allocation (26000.0)	2,500.00	0.00
Prop 28 (67700)	16,028.00	0.00

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
LCFF Attendance (03308)	500.00
LCFF S/C College Campus Visit (04423.0)	1,000.00
LCFF S/C New Teacher (2223)	1,000.00
LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)	37,410.00
LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226)	17,000.00
Prop 28 (67700)	16,028.00
Site Allocation (26000.0)	2,500.00
Title I - Homeless	1,496.00
Title I - Site Allocation	27,082.00
Title I- Parent Involvement	1,496.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
Books and Supplies	51,702.00
Certificated Salaries	31,500.00

Classified Salaries	4,000.00
Professional/Consulting Services	7,100.00
Services and other operating expenditures	11,210.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
Books and Supplies	LCFF Attendance (03308)	500.00
Services and other operating expenditures	LCFF S/C College Campus Visit (04423.0)	1,000.00
Books and Supplies	LCFF S/C New Teacher (2223)	1,000.00
Books and Supplies	LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)	28,500.00
Certificated Salaries	LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)	4,000.00
Professional/Consulting Services	LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)	1,200.00
Services and other operating expenditures	LCFF S/C Site Innovation (3313)	3,710.00
Certificated Salaries	LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226)	15,500.00
Classified Salaries	LCFF S/C Tutoring (2226)	1,500.00
Books and Supplies	Prop 28 (67700)	4,028.00
Certificated Salaries	Prop 28 (67700)	8,000.00
Services and other operating expenditures	Prop 28 (67700)	4,000.00
Services and other operating expenditures	Site Allocation (26000.0)	2,500.00
Books and Supplies	Title I - Homeless	1,496.00
Books and Supplies	Title I - Site Allocation	14,682.00
Certificated Salaries	Title I - Site Allocation	4,000.00
Classified Salaries	Title I - Site Allocation	2,500.00
Professional/Consulting Services	Title I - Site Allocation	5,900.00
Books and Supplies	Title I- Parent Involvement	1,496.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	24,300.00
Goal 2	21,129.00

Goal 3	15,500.00
Goal 4	8,300.00
Goal 5	36,283.00

Positions Funded by School's Categorical Programs

Position and Time Funded	How Many	Funding Sources	Percentage
Administrative Positions			

Certificated Positions	How Many	Funding Sources	Percentage
Title 1 Intervention Teacher	1	District Funded	100%

Classified Positions	How Many	Funding Sources	Percentage

School Safety Plan SB187

Pursuant to Education Code EC 35294 et seq., every school in California is required to develop a comprehensive school safety plan to address safety concerns identified through a systematic planning process. A school site council or safety planning committee shall review and update the plan by March 1st of each year. Each school shall report on the status of the plan in the annual School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

This is to certify that Rowland Avenue Elementary has complied with Senate Bill 187 Comprehensive School Safety Plan requirements established by California Education Code EC 35294 et seq. And approved by our School Site Council for the current school year.

Principal: Kimberly Braswell



Signature

10/15/2025

Date

School Site Council President: Loni Smith



Signature

10/15/2025

Date

In the 2002 legislative session, Senate Bill (SB) 1667, School Violence and Assembly Bill (AB) 2198: School Violent Crime were approved by the Legislature and became effective January 1, 2003.

SB 1667 requires the following school safety plan changes:

EC 35294 requires schools to hold a public meeting at the school site to allow members of the public the opportunity to express an opinion about the plan. SB 1667 amends EC 3524.8 to require schools to notify, in writing, the public of the meeting.

This is to certify that the Covina-Valley Unified School District has complied with SB 1667, pursuant to EC 35294.8 to notify the public of the public meeting.

District Representative: Fernando Sanchez. Director of Student Services

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 3 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Kimberly Braswell	Principal
Miguel Medina	Classroom Teacher
Erin Sallenbach	Classroom Teacher
Rocio Sarmiento-Ayala	Classroom Teacher
Jessica Woodard	Other School Staff
Veronica Gomez	Parent or Community Member
Elizabeth Guerrero	Parent or Community Member
Qinglian Li	Parent or Community Member
Vanessa Lopez	Parent or Community Member
Loni Smith	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

English Learner Advisory Committee Members

Rowland Avenue Elementary

The English Learner Advisory Committee is the representative body responsible for advising the principal and staff on the development of the school plan and needs assessment, administration of the language census and importance of school attendance at schools where there are more than 21 students identified as English Language Learners. The current make-up of the council is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Parent or Community Member	DELAC Parent *
Kimberly Braswell	X		
Kassandra Carmona		X	
Huaqing Chen		X	
Chinliu Liang		X	
Ying Liu		X	
Yinglei Ma		X	
Maireli Martinez		X	
Miguel Moreno		X	
Claudia Osuna		X	
Margarita Padilla		X	
Juana Rodriguez		X	X
Damaris Santiago		X	
Fangfang Si		X	
Janel Torres		X	
Numbers of members of each category	1	13	1

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 12/9/2025.

Attested:

Principal, Kimberly Braswell on 12/9/2025

SSC Chairperson, Loni Smith on 12/9/2025

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

SWP Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA’s LCAP.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach.

A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **Specific,**
- **Measurable,**
- **Achievable,**
- **Realistic, and**
- **Time-bound.**

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Strategies/Activities Table

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.

Complete the table as follows:

- Strategy/Activity #: Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- Description: Describe the strategy/activity.
- Students to be Served: Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- Proposed Expenditures: List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to EC Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- Funding Sources: List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Note: *If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

Note: *If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: *CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.*

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Note: *Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.*

Budget Summary Table

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
 - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
 - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
 1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR)*, sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

CSI Resources

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp>
- **CSI Webinars:** <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp>
- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:**
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp>

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp>

Appendix B: Categorical District Services Budget

	Title I	Title II	Title III	Title IV	Perkins
Entitlement	\$2,456,189.00	\$355,541.00	\$134,937.00	\$189,351.00	\$100,927.00
Carryover	\$228,015.00	\$158,500.00	\$125,022.00	\$145,333.00	
Indirect Costs	\$189,962.71	\$32,422.23	\$16,460.50	\$21,192.06	\$4,806.05
Admin Costs	\$180,150.85	\$34,365.73			\$8,358.34

Title I Education Services Distribution

	Certificated Salaries 1000s	Classified Salaries 2000s	Benefits 3000s	Supplies 4000s	Licenses/ Consultants Transportation 5000s	Indirect Costs	Total
Indirect Costs						\$189,962.71	\$ 189,962.71
Admin Costs	\$ 42,566.00	\$ 58,848.00	\$ 35,130.00		\$ -		\$ 136,544.00
Homeless				\$ 1,496.00			\$ 1,496.00
Parent and Family Engagement		\$ 736.00	\$ 260.00	\$ 500.00			\$ 1,496.00
Other Title I Activities	\$ 1,141,367.00		\$400,520.00	\$ 23,206.00	\$ 88,436.00		\$1,653,529.00

Title II Education Services Distribution

	Certificated Salaries 1000s	Classified Salaries 2000s	Benefits 3000s	Supplies 4000s	PD Contracts/ Conferences 5000s	Indirect Costs	Total
Education Services	\$ 101,847.00	\$ 4,628.00	\$ 24,678.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 260,115.00	\$ 32,422.23	\$ 427,688.23

Title III Education Services Distribution

	Certificated Salaries 1000s	Classified Salaries 2000s	Benefits 3000s	Supplies 4000s	Conferences/ Consultants 5000s	Indirect Costs	Total
Education Services	\$ 79,773.00	\$ 1,944.00	\$ 26,758.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 35,520.00	\$ 16,460.50	\$ 170,455.50

Title IV Education Services Distribution

	Certificated Salaries 1000s	Classified Salaries 2000s	Benefits 3000s	Supplies 4000s	Conferences/ Consultants 5000s	Indirect Costs	Total
Education Services				\$ 47,390.00	\$ 159,918.00	\$ 21,192.06	\$ 228,500.06

Perkins Education Services Distribution

	Certificated Salaries 1000s	Classified Salaries 2000s	Benefits 3000s	Supplies 4000s	Conferences/ Consultants 5000s	Indirect Costs	Total
Education Services	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,806.05	\$ 4,806.05

Centralized Services Description

Funding Source	Allocated Centralized	Description of the Specific Services to be Provided
Title I	\$5,457.90	<p>This page is provided by the Educational Services Department charging for services.</p> <p>The Educational Services Department provides direction, coordination, and assistance to schools in carrying out a District Title I education program for educationally disadvantaged students. These include areas of program development, School Plan review, management, legal compliance, evaluation, fiscal accounting, staff development, and community advisory processes, as well as responsibility for District and state-required reports and documents. In addition, the Director Equity, Assessment, and Evaluator of Programs provides technical assistance for schools.</p>
Title II	\$16,137.00	<p>The Educational Services Department provides supplemental activities that strengthen the quality and effectiveness of teachers, principals, and other school leaders. This includes improving teacher and principal quality, professional development, and support for professional growth. In addition, Title II, Part A is offered to eligible nonprofit private schools located within Covina-Valley boundaries. The Director Equity, Assessment, and Evaluator of Programs consults with participating private schools.</p>
Title III	BASED ON NEED	<p>EL Support Programs provide direction and coordination for District services designed to meet the needs of EL students. It includes staff development, fiscal accounting, legal compliance, state language census, management and evaluation activities, cooperative planning with schools, curriculum assistance, advisory committees, and writing program applications. The District TK-12 ELD Instructional Coach facilitates conversations amongst educators using formative and summative EL achievement data analysis to drive instructional decisions and effective implementation of EL instructional strategies.</p>
Title IV	\$7,415.00	<p>The Educational Services Department provides direction and coordination for District student support and academic enrichment to improve students' academic achievement. This includes providing students access to a well-rounded education and safe and healthy student activities. The Director Equity, Assessment, and Evaluator of Programs provides consultation for Title IV, Part A, and equitable services are offered to nonprofit private schools located within Covina-Valley boundaries.</p>
Perkins V		<p>The Director of Curriculum and Instruction 6-12, provides direction and coordination for District Perkins V allocations to improve career-technical education programs, integrate academic and career-technical instruction, serve special populations, and meet gender equity needs.</p>

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>
- Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023