


A CLOSER LOOK AT WHAT'S PLANNED FOR: **BLUE POINT SCHOOL** **RENOVATION & ADDITION**

- Accommodates up to 232 kids, serves current & projected enrollment in the building, and eliminates portables
- Addresses prioritized programming, facility, and safety/security inadequacies



LEGEND

- EXISTING BUILDING
- 1-STORY ADDITION

WHAT DOES THIS PROJECT SOLVE?



Accommodates all students in building; removes portables



Supports intervention & special services with designated program spaces



Adds missing program spaces; separates gym/cafeteria, music/art, & more!



Improves heating & cooling (adds insulation & A/C)



Addresses site safety & congestion at drop-off areas; provides sufficient parking

REFERENDUM QUESTION 1

TOTAL PROJECT COST = UP TO \$129.85M



NEW SCHOOL
ON EXISTING EC SITE



RENOVATION/ ADDITION



RENOVATION/ ADDITION



ADDITION



RENOVATION/ ADDITION
FOR CURRENT ENROLLMENT

QUESTION 2*

UP TO \$10M



LARGER ADDITION
FOR PROJECTED ENROLLMENT

*Referendum Question 2 was contingent on Question 1 passing



LEARN MORE ABOUT THE PLANS FOR

SCARBOROUGH'S K-8 SCHOOLS:

www.scarboroughschools.org/building-project

ABOUT THE EXISTING:

BLUE POINT SCHOOL


Existing inadequate program spaces addressed as part of the project



School lacks the variety of space types needed for special services programs—leads to poor utilization



Some students with special needs require air conditioned learning spaces. The school department has had to retrofit portable systems that are not efficient or practical.




Classrooms shared by multiple programs inhibits programming activities



Shared cafeteria/gymnasium inhibits program delivery due to set up/break down times between uses




Insufficient storage requires inappropriate or sometimes unsafe storage solutions



Undersized nurse office lacks private space to meet with students or speak to families

REFERENDUM QUESTION 1

TOTAL PROJECT COST = UP TO \$129.85M



QUESTION 2*

UP TO \$10M



*Referendum Question 2 was contingent on Question 1 passing