SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

PROJECT: 01-26
Parkway Heights Middle School
Roofing Replacement Project

BID ADDENDUM NO. 1

PROJECT:	Parkway Heights MS Roofing Replacement	**DATE: March 27, 2026**
OWNER:	South San Francisco Unified School District 398 B Street, South San Francisco , CA 94080	**DSA FILE NO.: NA** **DSA APP. NO.: NA**

All prospective bidders are hereby notified that the plans and specifications for the project have been modified as outlined below. This Addendum must be attached to and considered part of the plans and specifications. Bidders are required to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on the Bid Form. In the event of any discrepancies with previous addenda or communications, this Addendum shall take precedence. It is the bidder's responsibility to inform all subcontractors, both those solicited for bids and those from whom bids are accepted, of the changes included in this Addendum.

Addendum No. 1 provides the following:

UPDATED DOCUMENTS

1. **ITEM NO. 01**

   Sec. 00 45 19- Non-Collusion Declaration Form that was inadvertently not included in the Project Manual

2. **ITEM NO. 02**

   Limited & Selective Pre-Renovation Survey & Evaluation, mainly to identify any ACM on the roof of the MUR

ATTACHMENTS:

Items 1 and 2 as mentioned above

END OF BID ADDENDUM 1 ITEMS

DOCUMENT 00 45 19

NON-COLLUSION DECLARATION
(Public Contract Code Section 7106)

The undersigned declares:

I am the _____ of _____, the party making the foregoing bid.
[Title] [Name of Firm]

The bid is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation. The bid is genuine and not collusive or sham. The bidder has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other bidder to put in a false or sham bid. The bidder has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any bidder or anyone else to put in a sham bid, or to refrain from bidding. The bidder has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the bid price of the bidder or any other bidder, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the bid price, or of that of any other bidder. All statements contained in the bid are true. The bidder has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her bid price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, to any corporation, partnership, company, association, organization, bid depository, or to any member or agent thereof, to effectuate a collusive or sham bid, and has not paid, and will not pay, any person or entity for such purpose.

Any person executing this declaration on behalf of a bidder that is a corporation, partnership, joint venture, limited liability company, limited liability partnership, or any other entity, hereby represents that he or she has full power to execute, and does execute, this declaration on behalf of the bidder.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration is executed on _____,
[Date]

at _____, _____.
[City] [State]

Date: _____

Proper Name of Bidder: _____

Signature: _____

Print Name: _____

Title: _____

END OF DOCUMENT

ProTech
Consulting & Engineering

1208 Main Street
Redwood City, CA 94063

P: (650) 569-4020
E: office@protech-cal.com

Survey Report

Limited & Selective Pre-Renovation Survey & Evaluation

• Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) •

March 17, 2026 Job No.: **089-AA25**

Client	Report Attachments
South San Francisco Unified School District 398 B Street South San Francisco, CA 94080	1. Asbestos PLM laboratory report

Project	Parkway Heights Middle School 650 Sunset Avenue South San Francisco, CA	• MUR Roofing
Service Areas Limits	ProTech's services were limited by the client to the MUR roof as directed by the client.	
Scope of Work	ProTech conducted limited and selective indoor (non-comprehensive) environmental consulting services to assess conditions associated with asbestos-containing materials (ACM). Consulting services were requested to obtain regulatory compliance data prior to renovation of the project.	

Introduction

On March 16, 2026, ProTech Consulting & Engineering provided limited, and selective, non-comprehensive, indoor environmental consulting for the subject project. During this process, ProTech conducted a survey that included a visual assessment of the service areas and sample collection of suspect regulated materials. Our primary focus was on identifying Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM).

The specifics of this survey, outlined in this report, were tailored exclusively to the client's requirements and deliberately exclude any services not cited. No additional work beyond the defined limits is implied or included. We emphasize the importance for the client to meticulously review this report, ensuring it matches their expectations. Environmental consulting services were conducted by ProTech's licensed and accredited staff as follows:

Consultant	Discipline	Issuing Agency	Certification ID
Emanuel Dounias	Asbestos, CAC Lead Inspector/Assessor	Cal OSHA CDPH	23-7464 LRC-3765
Ryan Cozart	Asbestos, SST Lead Inspector/Assessor Cal OSHA 40-hr HAZWOPER	Cal OSHA CDPH Cal OSHA	10-4634 LRC-3895 Certification

Bob Newman	Asbestos, SST IAQ	Cal OSHA UC Berkley	00-2767 10-03

Services Requested by Client

This report details ProTech's findings, which encompass the analytical results, field observations, and evaluations in accordance with relevant regulatory standards. ProTech performed the following environmental consulting services:

Asbestos Survey

- **Site Reconnaissance:**
  ProTech's team conducted a visual survey of the project to identify and catalog the presence and location of suspected asbestos-containing materials (ACM) throughout the project area. Our inspectors documented these materials, grouping them into homogeneous categories based on their material type, color, texture, and size.
- **Physical Examination:**
  During the survey, our inspectors performed tactile assessments to determine the friability of the ACM. This hands-on examination was crucial for assessing whether the materials could easily crumble by hand, identifying them as friable. A thorough understanding of the physical condition of the materials was vital for accurate regulatory assessments.
- **Asbestos Bulk Sample Analysis:**
  Bulk samples of suspect asbestos materials were analyzed using polarized light microscopy (PLM) to determine the presence and type of asbestos fibers.

Sample Collection & Laboratory Analysis

- **Sample Plan Development:**
  ProTech's field team developed sampling strategies based on site conditions, scope of work requirements, project objectives, and applicable regulatory standards. Sample locations were identified, and sample quantities were determined to provide representative coverage of the suspect materials. Sampling methods were carefully chosen to prioritize environmental safety and minimize material impact.
- **Sample Collection:**
  ProTech's field team developed and implemented sampling strategies tailored to site conditions, project objectives, and regulatory standards. Sample locations and quantities were selected to ensure representative coverage of targeted suspect materials, prioritizing environmental safety and minimizing material disturbance. Tools were decontaminated before and after use, as necessary, to prevent cross-contamination. Water was applied for dust suppression during sampling when needed, and sample points were cleaned as required to maintain hygiene. The following sampling methods were utilized:
  - ***Bulk Samples***
    Bulk sampling involved the use of appropriate extraction tools with an emphasis on discretion and minimizing visibility. Where visible damage was present, samples were taken from these areas when feasible. Water was applied as needed to suppress dust, and sample areas were cleaned after collection.
- **Sample Packaging, Labeling, and Management:**
  All samples were packaged in appropriate containers, such as sealed zip-lock bags, glass jars, or HDPE containers, selected based on their suitability for the analytes of interest. Temperature-sensitive samples were immediately placed on ice to maintain their condition until delivery to the laboratory. Each sample was labeled with a unique identifier, and detailed information about the collection process was recorded on a chain of custody form. This documentation ensured sample traceability and maintained integrity from collection to analysis.

Reference Standards

The classification of a material is typically based on the concentration of its hazardous constituent. This concentration is often expressed as a percentage (%), parts per million (ppm), or milligrams per square centimeter (mg/cm²) as outlined below:

Asbestos		
Asbestos-Containing Material (ACM)	More Than 1%	Standard PLM
Asbestos-Containing Construction Material (ACCM)	Less than 1%	PLM 400 Point-count (or better)
Non-asbestos (ND)	No asbestos detected	PLM or other approved method
Hazardous Waste	1% or more & friable	PLM or other approved method

Asbestos Results & Assessment

Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM)

No ACM was detected during this survey.

No Asbestos Detected (ND)

Material Description	Material, System, Location	Sample No.
1. Black tar & gravel roofing	✓ Upper & lower roof fields	01, 02, 03
2. Silver/black built up roofing	✓ Upper roof center bump	04, 05
3. Black roof mastic	✓ Penetrations, patches, & flashing	06, 07

Conclusions & Recommendations

General

Caution – Future Discovery of Regulated Materials

Some areas of this project may contain regulated materials that were concealed or inaccessible and therefore not identified during our initial assessment. Such materials may be exposed and discovered during subsequent operations. If any suspect regulated materials are identified during future activities, immediately halt all actions that might impact them and engage trained professionals for sampling and, if required, proper removal.

Asbestos Findings

No ACM or ACCM was detected during this survey.

Unique Treatment of Drywall Systems - Composite Analysis

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) permits composite analysis for assessing asbestos content in drywall systems (only). This analysis combines the constituent layers – drywall, joint tape, and joint compound – into a single composite sample. This method often dilutes the asbestos content by mixing layers that contain asbestos with those that do not, potentially lowering the overall asbestos content below 1%. Such a reduction can lead to decreased regulatory burdens and lower project costs.

Composite Analysis Limits: Composite analysis is exclusively allowed for drywall systems, including joint tape and joint compound. It is not permitted for any other materials, including textures, skim coats, and topping compounds applied to drywall. This clarification is essential, as it specifically excludes all other materials from composite analysis, even when they are part of a drywall system.

Considerations for Other Regulatory Agencies: Confirmation sampling has verified that certain materials contain less than 1% asbestos. As a result, these materials are classified as non-Asbestos Containing Material (non-ACM) by agencies such as the US EPA, AQMD, and DTSC. This classification leads to reduced regulatory requirements and can significantly lower the costs associated with asbestos abatement.

Project Limitations

- Exclusive Use:
  This report is exclusively for ProTech's client and is not intended for use by any other party. The scope of work and results presented may not be suitable for other parties. ProTech's responsibility is limited to the specific scope of work performed. Any third-party use of this report is at their own risk, and they agree to defend and indemnify ProTech from any liability.
- Report Scope Limitations:
  This report outlines a survey designed to identify the presence, location, and estimated quantity of specified regulated materials within its defined scope and limitations. It is crucial to understand that this document is not intended as a work plan or project specification, nor does it serve as a hazard assessment. All quantities presented are estimates, and entities relying on this data for bidding or other contractual purposes are strongly advised to undertake independent verification. Additionally, this report does not evaluate potential future hazards that may arise from activities impacting the identified regulated materials. Each contractor and/or employer involved in the project is responsible for conducting their own assessment of potential exposure hazards and ensuring regulatory compliance

specific to their scope of work.

- **Assessment Based on Current Conditions:**
  This report and its evaluations are based on the current condition (at the time of our survey) of the project. It does not assess or predict future events that may impact the subject materials. Any changes in the condition of concern will require a new assessment by qualified environmental consultants.

- **Visually Accessible, Minimally Invasive Survey:**
  ProTech's services focused on visibly accessible areas and materials. While minor disturbances might have arisen during sampling, we avoided destructive demolition methods to uncover hidden and concealed conditions. Given these limitations, ProTech is not liable for unidentified chemicals of concern or any resulting aesthetic impact from our sampling process.

Survey Approach & Methodology

Asbestos Survey

During the asbestos survey, our team employed a methodical approach to identify and assess suspect homogeneous areas—defined as areas where materials are consistent in type, color, texture, and composition. The survey began with a visual inspection aimed at locating these materials, with a particular focus on building materials known to commonly contain asbestos.

Each suspected asbestos-containing material (ACM) was evaluated against EPA regulatory categories, which include Regulated Asbestos-Containing Material (RACM), Category I non-friable ACM, and Category II non-friable ACM. This evaluation concentrated on the material type, friability, and current condition. It is important to note that our assessment does not attempt to forecast future activities that could alter ACM categories.

During the assessment methodology we categorized materials according to their Cal/OSHA asbestos abatement classification of work, specifically Class I, Class II, and unclassified. These categories are determined based on the type of asbestos-containing material and its asbestos content by percentage (≥1%). Understanding these categories is crucial if asbestos abatement is initiated, as it aids in planning the appropriate handling, removal, and disposal procedures. It also helps in determining the necessary Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). This structured approach is designed to effectively address the varying levels of risk and potential for fiber release associated with different types of materials.

Bulk Sampling Methodology

- **Sample Collection:**
  Sample locations and collection methods were selected based on project objectives, on-site evaluations, field-derived sampling strategies, regulatory standards, and industry practices. The primary goal was to obtain a reliable representation of the materials being analyzed. To this end, efforts were made to collect samples in a way that minimized interference with the matrix and avoided the inadvertent release of hazardous substances. When possible, bulk samples were carefully taken from damaged or deteriorating areas to reduce the impact on the target materials. The process included misting these areas with water and extracting sample material with clean tools.

- **Sample Packaging:**
  Samples were packaged in containers chosen for their compatibility with the specific requirements of the analyte, such as sealed plastic zip-lock bags, glass, or high-density polyethylene (HDPE). Unique identifiers were used to label each container, accompanied by thorough documentation.

- **Sampling Tools and Decontamination Procedures:**
  Selection of sampling tools was based on the nature of the target material. These tools were decontaminated using wet-wipe methods before and after sample collection to prevent cross-contamination and ensure the integrity of the

sampling process.

- Chain of Custody Documentation:
  Chain of custody forms were maintained for all samples to ensure traceability from the point of collection to laboratory analysis, capturing all pertinent project details and sample information, thereby supporting the integrity of the sample and the credibility of the analytical results.

Laboratory Analysis

Asbestos Bulk Sample Analysis

Suspect asbestos samples were prepared by dry or wet sample dispersion (as appropriate) and analyzed by polarized light microscopy (PLM) – EPA Method 600/R-93-116.

Laboratory Certification

Asbestos Laboratory

Laboratories conducting asbestos analysis are accredited by programs such as the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) and the AIHA Industrial Hygiene Laboratory Accreditation Program (IHLAP). These accreditations are crucial in ensuring rigorous quality control and quality assurance standards in asbestos testing, reflecting a commitment to accurate and reliable results.

Attachment 1

EMSL Analytical, Inc.

3501 Thomas Road, Unit 9 Santa Clara, CA 95054
Tel/Fax: (408) 913-2714 / (408) 913-2715
http://www.EMSL.com / santaclaralab@EMSL.com

EMSL Order:	472600773
Customer ID:	PROT51
Customer PO:	316-089-07
Project ID:	

Attention:	Protech	**Phone:**	(650) 569-4020
	ProTech Consulting & Engineering	**Fax:**	(650) 569-4023
	1208 Main Street	**Received Date:**	03/16/2026 6:57 PM
	Redwood City, CA 94063	**Analysis Date:**	03/17/2026
		Collected Date:	03/16/2026

Project: 089-AA26 - PARKWAY HIGHTS M.S. S.S.F MUB ROOF

Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via AHERA Method 40CFR 763 Subpart E Appendix E supplemented with EPA 600/R-93/116 using Polarized Light Microscopy

			Non-Asbestos		Asbestos
Sample	**Description**	**Appearance**	**% Fibrous**	**% Non-Fibrous**	**% Type**
01 *472600773-0001*	TAR AND GRAVEL ROOF FIELD	Black Fibrous Homogeneous	10% Glass	90% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected
02 *472600773-0002*	TAR AND GRAVEL ROOF FIELD	Black Fibrous Homogeneous	10% Glass	90% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected
03 *472600773-0003*	TAR AND GRAVEL ROOF FIELD	Black Fibrous Homogeneous		80% Matrix 20% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected
04 *472600773-0004*	SILVER BLACK BUILD UP ROOF - UPPER ROOF CENTER BUMP	Black Fibrous Homogeneous	10% Glass	90% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected
05 *472600773-0005*	SILVER BLACK BUILD UP ROOF - UPPER ROOF CENTER BUMP	Black Non-Fibrous Homogeneous		80% Matrix 20% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected
06 *472600773-0006*	BLACK ROOFING MASTIC	Black Non-Fibrous Homogeneous		80% Matrix 20% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected
07 *472600773-0007*	BLACK ROOFING MASTIC	Black Non-Fibrous Homogeneous		80% Matrix 20% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected

Analyst(s)
Aimee Hartwig (7)

Aimee Hartwig, Laboratory Manager
or Other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. The above analyses were performed in general compliance with Appendix E to Subpart E of 40 CFR (previously EPA 600/M4-82-020 “Interim Method”) but augmented with procedures outlined in the 1993 (”final”) version of the method. This report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST or any agency of the federal government. Non-friable organically bound materials present a problem matrix and therefore EMSL recommends gravimetric reduction prior to analysis. Unless requested by the client, building materials manufactured with multiple layers (i.e. linoleum, wallboard, etc.) are reported as a single sample. Estimation of uncertainty is available on request.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Santa Clara, CA NVLAP Lab Code 600318-0

Initial report from: 03/17/2026 17:53:23

OrderID: 472600773

ProTech
Consulting & Engineering
1208 Main Street, Redwood City, CA 94063
Phone: (650) 569-4020 Fax: (650) 569-4023
info@protech-cal.com

#472600773

Page 1 of 1
Job # 089-AA26
P.O. # 316-089-07

General Information

Date: 03-16-26
Job ID: Parkway Heights M.S.
S.S.F
MUB Roof
Collected By: RN/RC/ED
Lab: EMSL

Analysis Requested

- ☐ PCM NIOSH 7400
- ☐ TEM
  - ○ AHERA
  - ○ Level 2
  - ○ Bulk Quantitative
  - ○ Bulk Qualitative
- ☒ PLM BULK - EPA/600/R/116
- ☐ Lead
  - ○ AA
  - ○ TTLC
  - ○ STLC
  - ○ TCLP
- ☐ Mold _____
- ☐ Other _____

Turn Around Time

- ☐ Rush
- ☐ 12 hours
- ☒ 24 hours
- ☐ 48 hours
- ☐ 3-5 days
- ☐ _____

Special Instructions

☐ Prior Positive

Filter Type: ☐ MCE, 0.8 µm, 25mm ☐ MCE, 0.45µm, 25mm ☐ MCE, 0.8µm, 37mm ☐ Other _____

Sample #	Sample Type	Sample Protocol	Location / Activity / Material Description	Time On/Off	LPM	Total Min / Total Vol. / Fibers/Fields	Results
# 01-03	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg	Tar + Gravel Roof Field	on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
# 04-05	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.	Silver Black built up roof Upper roof Curb Bump	on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
# 06-07	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.	Black Roofing Mastic	on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
#	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.		on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
#	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.		on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
#	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.		on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
#	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.		on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
#	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.		on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
#	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.		on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		
#	☐ Post ☐ Area ☐ Background ☐ Personal ☐ Blank ☐ Bulk	☐ Amb. ☐ ALS ☐ Agg.		on _____ off _____ pump# ____	on _____ end _____ Ave _____ Roto# _____		

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Relinquished By:	Date/Time	Received By:	Date/Time
	3/16/26		3/16/26 3:47pm