



School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Travis Elementary School	48-70565-6051270	January 20, 2026	TBA

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California’s ESSA State Plan supports the state’s approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state’s Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Travis Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page	1
Table of Contents.....	2
Plan Description.....	4
Educational Partner Involvement.....	4
Resource Inequities	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators.....	5
Other Needs.....	5
School and Student Performance Data	6
Student Enrollment.....	6
CAASPP Results.....	8
ELPAC Results	12
Student Population.....	15
Overall Performance	17
Academic Performance.....	18
Academic Engagement.....	26
Conditions & Climate.....	29
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....	31
Goal 1.....	31
Goal 2.....	34
Goal 3.....	38
Goal 4.....	40
Goal 5.....	43
Budget Summary	44
Budget Summary	44
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	44
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan.....	45
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....	45
Expenditures by Funding Source	45
Expenditures by Budget Reference	45
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	45
Expenditures by Goal.....	45
School Site Council Membership	46
Recommendations and Assurances	47
Instructions.....	48
Appendix A: Plan Requirements	55

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements58
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs61

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Travis Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

Schoolwide Program

Our SPSA goals are a product of collaboration among our administration, certificated employees, and advisory groups that include classified employees, students, and parent/guardians. We disaggregated data and looked at both standardized measures, curriculum based assessments, MAP progress monitoring (Reading & Math), and report card grades.

We created goals and action items based on a lens of "what do our students need?" We are paying special attention to our Students with Disabilities (SWD) and Hispanic subgroups, our groups in ATSI. Recognizing that our work is based on equity, we know that not all students need the same amount of type of support. We plan to use our resources, time, energy, and work to provide all student groups with what they need to learn skills and demonstrate mastery.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Travis Elementary School consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Our school staff held approximately 6 meetings or input structures in consultation with all stakeholder groups including parents and school personnel, management in the development of our 2024-2025 School Plan for Student Achievement and Annual Update. We used many collaborative opportunities in an effort to hear all voices and stakeholders.

Our school also used electronic surveys or observation data to ensure that the stakeholder engagement process was inclusive. Data was gathered pertaining to student performance, actions, services and programs. School staff collected responses between all data gathering methods. The data analysis process was used to cull major themes and patterns from this survey.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

We identified a gap in our socioeconomically disadvantaged students in their performance on the CAASPP math; they performed 8.41% below all students.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category.

There are no state indicators in the red or orange performance category.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance.

Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Travis Elementary School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
American Indian	0.23%	%	%	1		
African American	12.87%	12.11%	10.45%	56	55	44
Asian	3.22%	3.52%	3.80%	14	16	16
Filipino	5.98%	6.39%	7.13%	26	29	30
Hispanic/Latino	22.76%	24.89%	27.79%	99	113	117
Pacific Islander	0.46%	1.32%	0.95%	2	6	4
White	32.41%	28.85%	28.27%	141	131	119
Two or More Races	19.54%	20.93%	21.38%	85	95	90
Not Reported	2.53%	1.98%	0.24%	11	9	1
Total Enrollment				435	454	421

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25
Transitional Kindergarten			34
Kindergarten	101	71	48
Grade 1	55	73	55
Grade 2	66	55	66
Grade 3	65	67	49
Grade 4	64	55	60
Grade 5	53	64	56
Grade 6	31	41	53
Total Enrollment	435	454	421

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The enrollment at Travis Elementary fluctuates due to the movement of our military families.

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
English Learners	9	11	7	2.1%	2.4%	1.7%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	12	12	13	2.8%	2.6%	3.1%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The English Learner student groups has remained steady over the past three school years.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	60	62	51	58	61	49	58	61	49	96.7	98.4	96.1
Grade 4	66	51	54	64	51	53	64	51	53	97.0	100	98.1
Grade 5	47	60	55	45	59	55	45	59	55	95.7	98.3	100
Grade 6	29	41	48	28	39	47	28	39	47	96.6	95.1	97.9
All Grades	202	214	208	195	210	204	195	210	204	96.5	98.1	98.1

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	2447.	2418.	2454.	29.31	18.03	38.78	31.03	22.95	20.41	29.31	34.43	24.49	10.34	24.59	16.33
Grade 4	2463.	2481.	2495.	23.44	27.45	32.08	29.69	29.41	28.30	17.19	25.49	26.42	29.69	17.65	13.21
Grade 5	2518.	2509.	2542.	22.22	25.42	38.18	33.33	28.81	30.91	24.44	25.42	18.18	20.00	20.34	12.73
Grade 6	2538.	2561.	2534.	17.86	20.51	17.02	28.57	41.03	38.30	46.43	25.64	25.53	7.14	12.82	19.15
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.10	22.86	31.86	30.77	29.52	29.41	26.67	28.10	23.53	18.46	19.52	15.20

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	25.86	18.03	34.69	67.24	67.21	53.06	6.90	14.75	12.24
Grade 4	18.75	29.41	28.30	68.75	60.78	66.04	12.50	9.80	5.66
Grade 5	20.00	20.34	27.27	75.56	67.80	61.82	4.44	11.86	10.91
Grade 6	*	23.08	17.02	*	61.54	65.96	*	15.38	17.02
All Grades	20.00	22.38	26.96	70.26	64.76	61.76	9.74	12.86	11.27

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	10.34	8.20	20.41	72.41	55.74	55.10	17.24	36.07	24.49
Grade 4	10.94	11.76	16.98	60.94	70.59	71.70	28.13	17.65	11.32
Grade 5	13.33	18.64	25.45	64.44	61.02	58.18	22.22	20.34	16.36
Grade 6	*	25.64	12.77	*	58.97	65.96	*	15.38	21.28
All Grades	12.31	15.24	19.12	65.64	61.43	62.75	22.05	23.33	18.14

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	15.52	8.20	20.41	79.31	85.25	67.35	5.17	6.56	12.24
Grade 4	12.50	17.65	20.75	73.44	76.47	73.58	14.06	5.88	5.66
Grade 5	22.22	8.47	10.91	68.89	83.05	83.64	8.89	8.47	5.45
Grade 6	*	15.38	8.51	*	79.49	78.72	*	5.13	12.77
All Grades	16.92	11.90	15.20	73.85	81.43	75.98	9.23	6.67	8.82

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	13.79	11.48	14.29	77.59	75.41	73.47	8.62	13.11	12.24
Grade 4	6.25	21.57	15.09	70.31	68.63	81.13	23.44	9.80	3.77
Grade 5	26.67	15.25	25.45	60.00	74.58	63.64	13.33	10.17	10.91
Grade 6	*	28.21	19.15	*	66.67	68.09	*	5.13	12.77
All Grades	14.87	18.10	18.63	69.74	71.90	71.57	15.38	10.00	9.80

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the standards in 24-25 increased by 9.11%

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	58	62	51	56	61	49	56	61	49	96.6	98.4	96.1
Grade 4	66	51	54	64	50	53	64	50	53	97.0	98	98.1
Grade 5	47	60	55	44	59	55	44	59	55	93.6	98.3	100
Grade 6	29	41	48	28	39	47	28	39	47	96.6	95.1	97.9
All Grades	200	214	208	192	209	204	192	209	204	96.0	97.7	98.1

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	2439.	2409.	2444.	7.14	9.84	24.49	55.36	26.23	26.53	21.43	27.87	28.57	16.07	36.07	20.41
Grade 4	2479.	2468.	2483.	17.19	6.00	15.09	32.81	42.00	35.85	34.38	32.00	32.08	15.63	20.00	16.98
Grade 5	2488.	2482.	2491.	9.09	8.47	10.91	25.00	16.95	25.45	34.09	44.07	29.09	31.82	30.51	34.55
Grade 6	2534.	2542.	2535.	17.86	17.95	27.66	21.43	28.21	19.15	46.43	33.33	27.66	14.29	20.51	25.53
Grade 11															
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.50	10.05	19.12	35.94	27.75	26.96	32.29	34.45	29.41	19.27	27.75	24.51

Concepts & Procedures									
Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	33.93	18.03	30.61	50.00	42.62	57.14	16.07	39.34	12.24
Grade 4	25.00	10.00	20.75	57.81	56.00	58.49	17.19	34.00	20.75
Grade 5	11.36	11.86	10.91	50.00	62.71	54.55	38.64	25.42	34.55
Grade 6	*	15.38	25.53	*	48.72	38.30	*	35.90	36.17
Grade 11									
All Grades	22.92	13.88	21.57	55.21	52.63	52.45	21.88	33.49	25.98

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis									
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	10.71	16.39	20.41	71.43	57.38	59.18	17.86	26.23	20.41
Grade 4	18.75	14.00	18.87	60.94	70.00	62.26	20.31	16.00	18.87
Grade 5	9.09	3.39	7.27	63.64	71.19	65.45	27.27	25.42	27.27
Grade 6	*	20.51	10.64	*	64.10	68.09	*	15.38	21.28
All Grades	14.58	12.92	14.22	63.02	65.55	63.73	22.40	21.53	22.06

Communicating Reasoning									
Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
Grade 3	12.50	11.48	26.53	69.64	68.85	59.18	17.86	19.67	14.29
Grade 4	14.06	18.00	18.87	64.06	66.00	60.38	21.88	16.00	20.75
Grade 5	4.55	6.78	12.73	68.18	67.80	63.64	27.27	25.42	23.64
Grade 6	*	17.95	19.15	*	64.10	68.09	*	17.95	12.77
All Grades	10.94	12.92	19.12	67.71	66.99	62.75	21.35	20.10	18.14

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the standards in 24-25 increased by 8.28%

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's [English Language Proficiency Assessments for California \(ELPAC\)](https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/te/elpac/) web page or the [ELPAC.org](https://elpac.org) website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		4	5	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
All Grades										8	10	6

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
2	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25	22-23	23-24	24-25
K	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
1	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*
All Grades	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Conclusions based on this data:

1. ELPAC achievement data is not statistically relevant.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2024-25 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
421	45.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Total Number of Students enrolled in Travis Elementary School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2024-25 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	7	1.7%
Foster Youth	0	0.0%
Homeless	0	0.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	192	45.6%
Students with Disabilities	45	10.7%

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	44	10.5%
American Indian	0	0.0%
Asian	16	3.8%
Filipino	30	7.1%
Hispanic	117	27.8%
Two or More Races	90	21.4%
Pacific Islander	4	1%
White	119	28.3%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Based on this data, in 2024-2025, 45.6% of our total population were socioeconomically disadvantaged.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance






The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



2025 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts  Blue	Chronic Absenteeism  Yellow	Suspension Rate  Blue
Mathematics  Green		
English Learner Progress  No Performance Color		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Based on this data, ELA increased to the blue performance area.
2. Math increased from the orange to the green performance area.
3. Absenteeism decreased from the green to yellow.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p>Blue</p> <p>25.6 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 20.3 points</p> <p>189 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>7 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p>Blue</p> <p>19.9 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 16.9 points</p> <p>73 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>59 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 3.5 points</p> <p>29 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>2.1 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 8.8 points</p> <p>18 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>7 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>45.1 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 15.9 points</p> <p>18 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Blue</p> <p>19 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 22.8 points</p> <p>60 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>21.7 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 3.8 points</p> <p>36 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>3 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>Blue</p> <p>25.6 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 24.3 points</p> <p>48 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. According to this data our students who are two or more races ranked in the green in English Language Arts with 21.7 points above standard, which is an increase of 3.8 points.
2. Our Hispanic students increased 22.8 points and are performing in the blue.
3. Our Students with Disabilities are 59 points below standard, however they showed positive growth with an increase of 3.5 points.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p>Green</p> <p>8.5 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 17.1 points</p> <p>189 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>7 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p>Green</p> <p>21.3 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 15.8 points</p> <p>73 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>83.8 points below standard</p> <p>Maintained 1.4 points</p> <p>29 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>53.7 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 4.7 points</p> <p>18 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>7 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>12.8 points above standard</p> <p>Increased 9.8 points</p> <p>18 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>16.4 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 21.9 points</p> <p>60 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>7.3 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 13.1 points</p> <p>36 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>3 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>5.6 points below standard</p> <p>Increased 12.7 points</p> <p>48 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. According to this data our hispanic students are performing in the green with an increase of 21.9 points.
2. Although our socioeconomically disadvantaged students are 21.3 points below standard, they increased 15.8 points and are in the green.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Science


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”







This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Science assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2025 Fall Dashboard Science Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p>Green</p> <p>60.2 science points</p> <p>Increased 3.4 points</p> <p>49 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>1 Student</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>59.1 science points</p> <p>Increased 7.1 points</p> <p>22 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>No Performance Color 0 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 5 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>No Performance Color 61.6 science points Increased 5.9 points 20 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 7 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy 1 Student</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>No Performance Color 56.4 science points Declined 2.7 points 11 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, our students perform in the green on the CAST.

School and Student Performance Data


Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.


The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.



Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data that is statistically relevant in regards to English Learner Progress.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.






Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2025 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p>  <p>Yellow</p> <p>7% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Maintained 0.1</p> <p>458 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>9 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Homeless</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p>  <p>Yellow</p> <p>9.8% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Maintained -0.1</p> <p>204 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>Orange</p> <p>9.2% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Increased 0.7</p> <p>65 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>4.2% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 0.9</p> <p>48 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 12.5</p> <p>14 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Maintained 0</p> <p>31 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Orange</p> <p>11.2% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Increased 0.7</p> <p>125 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>Orange</p> <p>9.9% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Increased 6.3</p> <p>101 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>4 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>4.4% Chronically Absent</p> <p>Declined 3.6</p> <p>135 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall, our student population decreased from the green to yellow performance area, with 7% of our students are chronically absent.
2. Students with disabilities, two or more races, and hispanic rank in the orange performance level.

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


The 2025 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”












This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2025 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p>Blue</p> <p>0.6% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 1%</p> <p>476 Students</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>10 Students</p>	<p>Long-Term English Learners</p>
<p>Foster Youth</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Homeless</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p>Blue</p> <p>0.5% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 1%</p> <p>217 Students</p>

<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  <p>Blue</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 2.8%</p> <p>67 Students</p>	<p>African American</p>  <p>Orange</p> <p>2% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Increased 2%</p> <p>50 Students</p>	<p>American Indian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
<p>Asian</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0%</p> <p>14 Students</p>	<p>Filipino</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 3.4%</p> <p>31 Students</p>	<p>Hispanic</p>  <p>Green</p> <p>0.8% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 1.6%</p> <p>132 Students</p>
<p>Two or More Races</p>  <p>Blue</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined 3.6%</p> <p>106 Students</p>	<p>Pacific Islander</p>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Fewer than 11 students - No Data for Privacy</p> <p>4 Students</p>	<p>White</p>  <p>Yellow</p> <p>0.7% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Increased 0.7%</p> <p>139 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall suspensions decreased with a performance indicator of blue.
2. There was an increase of suspensions for African-American students with a performance indicator of orange.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Academic Achievement

All students will show progress towards mastery of math and ELA standards by June 2026 as measured by the CAASPP assessment with an increase of 5 percentage points (Math 46% to 51% proficient; ELA 61% to 66% proficient).

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP GOAL #1 Focus on instructional and institutional excellence to promote equity for all, close the achievement gap, and improve student learning in preparation for opportunities beyond high school including college and career.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

This goal was based upon the analysis of state and local data including the California Dashboard. Specific data analyzed that resulted in the creation of this goal is related to Hispanic students, students with disabilities and socioeconomically disadvantaged students.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP Math Scores	Spring 2025 Overall: 46% proficient	Spring 2026 Overall: 51% proficient
CAASPP ELA Scores	Spring 2025 Overall: 61% proficient	Spring 2026 Overall: 66% proficient
CAASPP Math Scores	Spring 2025 Hispanic Students: 40% proficient	Spring 2026 Hispanic Students: 45% proficient
CAASPP ELA Scores	Spring 2025 Hispanic Students: 56% proficient	Spring 2026 Hispanic Students: 61% proficient
CAASPP Math Scores	Spring 2025 Socioeconomically disadvantaged: 38% proficient	Spring 2026 Socioeconomically disadvantaged: 43% proficient
CAASPP ELA Scores	Spring 2025 Socioeconomically disadvantaged: 60% proficient	Spring 2026 Socioeconomically disadvantaged: 65% proficient
CAASPP Math Scores	Spring 2025 Students with Disabilities: 16% proficient	Spring 2026 Students with Disabilities: 21% proficient
CAASPP ELA Scores	Spring 2025 Students with Disabilities: 23% proficient	Spring 2026 Students with Disabilities: 28% proficient
NWEA Math Scores	Winter 2025 Overall: COMING	Winter 2026
NWEA Reading Scores	Winter 2025 Overall: COMING	Winter 2026

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	<p>Curriculum/Instruction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promote best, first instruction and provide additional supports using scaffolding and differentiation in the classroom. Focus on teaching essential standards and assess regularly. Support teacher collaboration around unit development, lesson study and progress monitoring. Implement the adopted curriculum with fidelity Continue National Geographic curriculum for English Language Learners Incorporate supplemental material such as Khan Academy Learning Paths, Zearn and Roboblocky Increase targeted book sets to support WIN reading groups 	All students, Hispanic students, Students with Disabilities, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	<p>District Funded 4000-4999: Books And Supplies English Language Learner curriculum</p> <p>DoDEA Grant 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Book purchases for WIN reading groups in promote inclusivity and diversity. Total DoDEA24 allocation: \$9,779</p>
1.2	<p>Progress Monitoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Utilize NWEA Map testing to identify student needs Use data to drive instruction and support students Grade level teams analyze data on a regular basis and adjust instruction as needed Create weekly opportunities to include lessons that mirror CAASPP structures and language in daily teaching as it aligns with curriculum pacing Administer the CAASPP interims as formative assessment to help drive instruction 	All students, Hispanic students, Students with Disabilities, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	District Funded 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Digital assessment platform
1.3	<p>Intervention and Enrichment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teach skill-based, small groups multiple times per week with target students, based on need Continue reading intervention built into the school day during WIN (What I Need). Provide ongoing reading training to intervention staff After school tutoring provided to targeted students Offer after school robotics Provide targeted math intervention Increase parent communication regarding student progress by sharing MAP scores and tools to utilize at home 	All students, Hispanic students, Students with Disabilities, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	<p>District Funded 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Intervention teacher and Intervention assistant</p> <p>District Funded 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Robotics coach</p> <p>LCAP 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries</p>

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
	including Khan Academy Learning Paths.		After school tutoring \$7,229
1.4	Professional Learning: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote participation in math trainings offered at the county and district level • Incorporate math training into staff meetings • Participation in ELD trainings and EL coaching • Provide ongoing training for reading intervention instructional assistants. • Participation in coding and robotics training • Train in the administration of the CAASPP interims including the grading of performance tasks. 	All students, Hispanic students, Students with Disabilities, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	District Funded 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Professional development training in EL instruction, robotics, math instruction

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The implementation of the WIN and the reading intervention program has been effective as evidenced by data: 61.27% of our students have met or exceeded the standard for ELA, with the performance level in the blue on the California Dashboard.
An systematic focus on Tier 1 and Tier 2 instructional practices in math led to an increase in performance level to green, identified by 46.08% meeting and exceeding the standards.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

All actions and budgets were implemented as planned. All additional budgeted expenditures are explained in our District LCAP.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

As goals, actions, services, and expenditures were analyzed, staff and parents determined to continue SPSA Goal 1 with the following adjustments to actions, services, and expenditures: continue with reading intervention program, add targeted math support and instruction within the school day, add math professional learning for teachers.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Social-Emotional Wellness

All students will feel a sense of belonging and experience positive relationships by June 2026 as measured by state and local data such as suspensions and behavior referrals.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP GOAL #2 Ensure a safe and productive environment using support systems to maintain calm classrooms focused on learning and to enhance student social and emotional wellness.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

This goal was based upon the analysis of state and local data including the California Dashboard and local attendance and suspension data. This goal addressed the needs of all students, specifically those exhibiting a need for more support in behavior and social-emotional regulation.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Student Surveys	May 2025: 74% of students state they feel safe at school.	May 2026: 79% of students will state they feel safe at school.
Student Surveys	May 2025: 55% of students state they feel respected at school by other students.	May 2026: 60% of students will state they feel respected at school by other students.
Student Surveys	May 2025: 61% of students state they feel connected to adults at school.	May 2026: 66% of students will state they feel connected to adults at school.
Student Focus Groups	Students state they feel welcomed in all classrooms and common spaces. Students shared they know how to find support at school.	Continue teaching and reinforcing problem-solving strategies to promote student safety on campus.
Suspensions	Suspensions in 2024-2025: 4 out of school suspensions	Reduce by 20% in 2025-2026
Parent Survey	December 2025 - Survey results COMING	December 2025: 100% of parents will state they believe school is an emotionally safe place.
CA Dashboard Attendance	Spring 2025: 11.2% of Hispanic students are chronically absent; 9.2% of students with disabilities are chronically absent.	Spring 2026: Reduce chronic absenteeism by 5 percentage points: 6.2% of Hispanic students will be chronically absent. 4.2% of students with disabilities are chronically absent.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.3	Curriculum/Instruction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All teachers will teach a weekly social/emotional lesson as a Tier One support for all students as a preventative measure regarding behavior/discipline/suspensions. • Research based SEL curriculum will be utilized by teachers • Grade-alike teachers will collaborate to develop PBIS lessons • All teachers will teach and reinforce PBIS through lessons and the distribution of Falcon Talons. • Staff will use common language regarding behavior expectations • Posters will be displayed around campus to illustrate expectations • Grade 4-5 teachers will teach digital citizenship lessons • Students will take leadership roles to demonstrate the behavior expectations including Student Council Thoughtful Thursdays, Transition Team, recess Junior Coaches, Buddy Program 	All students	DoDEA Grant 4000-4999: Books And Supplies SEL curriculum Total DoDEA24 allocation: \$9,779
2.5	Progress Monitoring: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student Surveys • Staff Surveys • Student focus groups • Discipline data • Monitor attendance and develop a communication plan with parents to decrease tardies and absences, focusing on students who are chronically absent. 	All Students	District Funded 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures SWIS platform to monitor behavior data DoDEA Grant 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Attendance incentives and recognition
2.11	Intervention and Enrichment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue utilizing the position of Teacher on Special Assignment (TOSA) to focus on school climate • Continue utilizing the School Support Specialist (SSS) to lead structured activities at recess and to provide support in the Wellness Center. • Wellness Center will be available to all students as well as student groups such as social skills groups. • MTSS/Tier 2 team will review student referrals bi-weekly and implement Tier 2 interventions 	All Students	District Funded 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries TOSA, Student Support Specialist, Intervention Assistant DoDEA Grant 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tier 2 interventions including Social Skills groups to target specific needs, check-in/check-out, self-regulation charts • Social Skills groups with TOSA • Student recognition through monthly character trait awards • Regular spirit days to promote connectedness • Referrals to Care Solace • Referrals to the MFLC • Restorative practices and other means of correction in lieu of suspensions. 		<p>Character trait awards and PBIS acknowledgment system</p> <p>DoDEA Grant 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Classroom Calming Corner supplies</p> <p>DoDEA Grant 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Socio-emotional books and materials to use in social skills groups</p>
2.12	<p>Professional Learning:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PBIS training • De-escalation strategies • CASEL competencies and Social-Emotional Learning • Cultural competencies • Trauma-informed training • CA-ISP (California Integrated Supports Project) 	All Students	<p>District Funded 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries District trainings presented by district personnel, social workers, behaviorist</p>

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The implementation of school-wide rules, utilization of the Wellness Center, in-class SEL lessons, restorative practices are having a positive effect as there has been a reduction in referrals and suspensions. Students are utilizing learned strategies for problem-solving. Overall Travis Elementary is in the blue for suspensions. An area of focus will be chronic absenteeism, with our Hispanic students and students with disabilities experiencing an increase in absenteeism, performing in the orange.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

All actions and budgets were implemented as planned. All budgeted expenditures are explained in our District LCAP. With the reduction of our support staff in 25-26 (student support specialist, intervention assistants, full-time TOSA) the quantity of interventions provided has been reduced.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

As goals, actions, services, and expenditures were analyzed, staff and parents determined to continue SPSA Goal 2 with the following adjustments to actions, services, and expenditures: continue in-class SEL lessons, PBIS, implementation of restorative practices, utilization of the Wellness Center.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Family Engagement

School-home 2-way communication will occur regularly to engage and inform the parent community.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP GOAL #3 Enhance constructive communication within and outside the school community with a special focus on involving parents as active partners in their child's education.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Comments in the parent survey indicate that they would like to participate in additional after school/evening events.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Weekly Newsletter and Phone Call Blast	Communication once per week	Add a folder to the school website to post all newsletters
Parent Survey	December 2025: 96% of parents state they are well informed about school activities.	May 2025: 100% of parents will state they are well informed.
Parent/Survey	December 2025: 92% of parents state that staff responds promptly to their calls and emails.	May 2025: 97% of parents will state the school responds promptly to their calls and emails.
Parent Survey	December 2025: 100% of parents state that they know who to talk to at school if they have questions or concerns.	May 2025: Maintain 100% of parents strongly agreeing or agreeing with this statement.
Parent Survey	December 2025: 69.56% of parents state they know about support services for their child	May 2025: 74% of parents will state they know about support services
Meeting minutes for PTO	One meeting per month	Increase attendance and participation by 5% of attendees.
Meeting minutes for Site Council	Quarterly (4 times per year)	Increase attendance and participation by 5% of attendees.
Parent Square Dashboard	December 2025: 95% of parents are receiving email notifications and 97% are receiving text notifications.	May 2026: 100% of parents will receive both email and text notifications

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	Weekly communication	All families	
3.2	Increase family events such as fall festival, math/literacy nights, game nights	All families	Donations 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures PTO sponsored events \$5,000

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The implementation of weekly home-school communication has had a positive effect on the relationships between families and school personnel.

An area of growth will be to introduce Social Media as a form of communication.

An area of growth will be to increase communication of support services and provide access for parents to request such services.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

All actions and budgets were implemented as planned. All budgeted expenditures are explained in our District LCAP.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

As goals, actions, services, and expenditures were analyzed, staff and parents determined to continue SPSA Goal 3 with the following adjustments to actions, services, and expenditures: continue regular weekly communication, add additional activities after school to promote parent involvement.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 4

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Basic Services

School administration will collaborate with various stakeholder groups to improve the site facilities, instructional programs and campus safety.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

LCAP Goal #4 Provide basic services and manage resources responsibly while maintaining the collaborative budget process. Enhance, create, and modernize facilities that support lifelong educational programs.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Both student focus groups and parent survey comments indicate concern regarding the condition of the facility.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Parent Survey	December 2025: 88% of parents state that they feel school is a physically safe space.	May 2024: 93% of parents will agree/strongly agree
Parent Survey	December 2025: 80.77% of parents state that the school is clean and well-maintained.	May 2024: 85% of parents will agree/strongly agree
Parent Survey	December 2025: 69.56% of parents state they know about special programs and support services to help their child.	May 2024: 74% of parents will agree/strongly agree
Student Focus Group	December 2025: Students state concern regarding the broken water fountain on the uppergrade playground. There are two water fill stations on campus	2025-2026: Install one additional water fill station on the uppergrade playground.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
4.1	Site Facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add additional playground painting. To be completed by staff, PTO and the Travis Airforce Base Chapel volunteers. 	All Students	Donations 4000-4999: Books And Supplies PTO led school service projects

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site collaboration with district maintenance to continue to repair the leaking roof. • Replace missing ceiling tiles • Collaboration with The Daughters of the American Revolution to secure playground equipment. • Add water bottle filling station 		\$4,000 District Funded 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Measure R Maintenance repairs/upgrades
4.2	Instructional Programs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase communication around the parent "request for student assistance" form to identify needed supports. • Parent Curriculum Nights hosted by staff and PTO such as "math night", "family literacy night" and "STEM night" • Travis Airforce Base volunteers such as the TAFB Hispanic Heritage Association to serve as guest readers. 	All Students	Donations 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures PTO led family nights \$1,000
4.3	Campus Safety: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School staff will participate in Emergency Response Protocol training • Crisis Response Team will participate in Suicidal Ideation Screening training presented by School Social Worker. • School staff will participate in emergency drills on a monthly basis 	All Students	District Funded 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Staff training for emergency drills and safety

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The implementation of safety training and drills has promoted consistency of procedures and has continues to build confidence amongst staff.
 An area of need is around parent involvement and communication about support services. The school staff will increase communicaiton and promotion of the various support services available.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

All actions and budgets were implemented as planned. All budgeted expenditures are explained in our District LCAP.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

As goals, actions, services, and expenditures were analyzed, staff and parents determined to continue SPSA Goal 4 with the following adjustments to actions, services and expenditures: all staff will continue to participate in ongoing safety trainings.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 5

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2024-25

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
------------------	-----------------

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
-------------------------	-----------------

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
Donations		

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
----------------	--------

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
------------------	--------

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
------------------	----------------	--------

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
-------------	--------------------

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 1 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 2 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Rebecca Guerra (chair)	Classroom Teacher
Lindsay Dalske	Principal
Pam George (secretary)	Other School Staff
Samantha Bennett	Parent or Community Member
Christina LaPorte	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 2/5/25.

Attested:

Principal, Lindsay Dalske on 1/14/26

SSC Chairperson, Rebecca Guerra on 1/14/26

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

SWP Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA’s LCAP.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach.

A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **Specific,**
- **Measurable,**
- **Achievable,**
- **Realistic, and**
- **Time-bound.**

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Strategies/Activities Table

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.

Complete the table as follows:

- Strategy/Activity #: Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- Description: Describe the strategy/activity.
- Students to be Served: Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- Proposed Expenditures: List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to EC Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- Funding Sources: List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Note: *If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

Note: *If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: *CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.*

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Note: *Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.*

Budget Summary Table

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
 - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
 - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
 1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR)*, sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE’s School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education’s “Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments” at <https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

CSI Resources

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp>
- **CSI Webinars:** <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp>
- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:**
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp>

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp>

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs


For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>
- Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>


Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023

School Site Approval of Single Plan for Student Achievement
Signature Page

The School Site Council at Travis School approved the Single Plan for Student Achievement at our meeting dated 1/14/26.



Principal



SSC Chairperson