

Hendry County School District

Bid Evaluation

Request for Proposal (RFP)


26-0007

CES & COES Re-Cabling Project For Hendry County School District


March 2, 2026


9:00 a.m


Present:


Brandynn Marroquin 

Tony Busin 

Miguel Rodriguez 

Emily Goderich 

Rene Gomez 

Francisco Munoz 

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Hendry County School District  
RFP 26-0007- Tabulation Sheet

Project Name: Central Elementary School & Country Oaks Re-Cabling Project For Hendry County School District  
Date: 03/02/2026

Company Name	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Total Score	Final Score
Unitec	60	62	67	62	80	331	
UDT	86	84	93	84	81	428	2
Universal Cabling	87	87	94	87	83	438	1
GA Technical Services	72	69	79	74	81	375	3
Christech						Not evaluated	Did not provide a all required documentation :SPIN# required by E-rate
Utilities One						Not evaluated	Did not provide a all required documentation :SPIN# required by E-rate

Selection Committee Signatures:

Comments:

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THIS RFP BE AWARDED TO UNIVERSAL CABLING, BEING THE HIGHEST RANKED, BEST VALUE FIRM BASED ON THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE RANKING AND PRICING.

APPROVED BY: Emily Goderich - Director of Information Technology

DATED: 3/2/2026

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Emily Goderich

Vendor Name: GA Technical Services, INC

Date: 3/2/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

---


<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>24</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>5</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>10</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>8</u> / 10

---

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 69 / 100

---

Evaluator Signature: 

# E-RATE DOCUMENTATION NOTES

*(internal notes)*

- Cost is the highest weighted factor (30%)
- Lowest Corresponding Price (LCP) confirmed
- Fiber and Ethernet pricing separated
- Ineligible items clearly allocated
- No mathematical errors identified
- SPI (474) billing acknowledged

## PHASE 1: MANDATORY RESPONSIVENESS (Pass / Fail)

### Submission Compliance

- Proposal received by deadline (02/26/2026 – 8:00 AM EST)
- Submitted via required email address
- All addenda acknowledged
- Separate pricing provided for fiber and Ethernet

### Required Documentation

- Vendor SPIN (498 ID) provided
- Three completed reference forms included
- Complete materials list with part numbers included
- LCP (Lowest Corresponding Price) certification implied/confirmed

### Vendor Qualification

- Mandatory walk-through attended
- Acknowledgement of SPI (Form 474) invoicing requirement
- Agreement to 5-year warranty minimum

GA

- Agreement to labeling standards
- Agreement to scheduling constraints (summer 2026 / after hours)
- Agreement to fingerprinting/vendor screening if needed

### **E-Rate Compliance**

- Pricing consistent with LCP requirement
- SPI (474) billing method confirmed
- Acknowledges no billing prior to May 29, 2026
- Acknowledges December 31, 2026 deadline
- Contingent upon funding language accepted

### **Scope Conformity – Central Elementary**

- 276 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly
- 11 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included
- 12U racks (qty 10) included
- High density fiber enclosure (MDF) included
- NEMA boxes correct quantities
- Patch cables (1ft white/purple + 3ft AP) included
- AP biscuit jack installation included

### **Scope Conformity – Country Oaks**

- 375 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly
- 17 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included

GA

- 18 wall mount racks included
- 2 high density fiber enclosures (MDF) included
- Fiber coiling/storage at 99-062 included  no direct call out.
- NEMA boxes correct quantities

### Installation & Technical Standards

- OS2 outdoor/equivalent fiber specified
- 10 Gig fiber modules included  no direct call out
- 1" inner duct included for penetrations
- Conduit/trenching included
- MEWP equipment responsibility acknowledged
- Labeling scheme matches RFP requirements
- Testing & certification included
- Grounding included

### Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure:

Proposal shows no plans/drawings to trench, directional boring, Racks specs, cable specs, etc...into existing infrastructure. This criteria requests that vendors have callouts for their plans to that are provided in their proposals.

### On-site Engineering Service:

GA didn't have plans or drawings to reflect any construction and special considerations of HCSD proposal.

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Riguel Rodriguez

Vendor Name: GA Technical Services Inc

Date: 3/2/2026

---

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = 100 percent.

---

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>23</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>10</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>10</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>5</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>8</u> / 10

---

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 74 / 100

---

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling  
Evaluator Name: Francisco Muñoz  
Vendor Name: GA Technical Services  
Date: March 2, 2026

---

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.  
Higher score = stronger proposal.  
Total possible score = **100 percent**.

---

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>25</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>12</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>10</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

---

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 79 / 100

---

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Rene Gomez

Vendor Name: GTA Technical Services Inc.

Date: 3/2/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

---

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>19</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>15</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>10</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

---

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 81 / 100

---

Evaluator Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: GA Technicals Services

Vendor Name: Tombusin

Date: 3/2/26

---

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

---

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>26</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>10</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>10</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>6</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>6</u> / 10

---

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 72 / 100

---

Evaluator Signature: 

Hendry County School District

Bid Opening

Request for Proposal (RFP)

26-0007

CES & COES Re-Cabling Project For Hendry County School District

February 26, 2026

8:30 a.m

Present:

Brandynn Manroquin Brandynn Manroquin

Miguel Rodriguez Miguel Rodriguez

Emily Goderich Emily Goderich

Rene Gomez Rene Gomez

Tony Busa Tony Busa

Francisco Munoz Francisco Munoz

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hendry County School District  
RFP 26-0008 - Tabulation Sheet

Project Name: ~~SCHOOL FOOD SERVICE MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS~~

Date: 02/26/2026

Company Name	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Total Score	Final Score
Unitec	60	62	67	62	80	331	3
UDT	86	84	93	84	81	428	2
Universal Cabling	87	87	94	87	83	438	1

Christech						Not evaluated	
Utilities One						Not evaluated	

Did not provide a all required documentation :SPIN# required by E-rate

Did not provide a all required documentation :SPIN# required by E-rate

Selection Committee Signatures:

Comments:

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THIS RFP BE AWARDED TO UNIVERSAL CABLING, BEING THE HIGHEST RANKED, BEST VALUE FIRM BASED ON THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE RANKING AND PRICING.

APPROVED BY: Emily Goderich - Director of Information Technology

DATED: 2/26/2026

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX RFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling  
Evaluator Name: Emily Goderich  
Vendor Name: Universal Cabling  
Date: 2/26/26

---

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.  
Higher score = stronger proposal.  
Total possible score = **100 percent**.

---

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>20</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>20</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>9</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

---

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 87 / 100

---

Evaluator Signature: 

UC

# E-RATE DOCUMENTATION NOTES

(Internal notes)

- Cost is the highest weighted factor (30%)
- Lowest Corresponding Price (LCP) confirmed
- Fiber and Ethernet pricing separated
- Ineligible items clearly allocated
- No mathematical errors identified
- SPI (474) billing acknowledged

## PHASE 1: MANDATORY RESPONSIVENESS (Pass / Fail)

### Submission Compliance

- Proposal received by deadline (02/26/2026 – 8:00 AM EST)
- Submitted via required email address
- All addenda acknowledged
- Separate pricing provided for fiber and Ethernet

### Required Documentation

- Vendor SPIN (498 ID) provided
- Three completed reference forms included
- Complete materials list with part numbers included
- LCP (Lowest Corresponding Price) certification implied/confirmed ○ no direct call out

### Vendor Qualification

- Mandatory walk-through attended
- Acknowledgement of SPI (Form 474) invoicing requirement ○ no direct callout.
- Agreement to 5-year warranty minimum 25 years

UC

- Agreement to labeling standards
- Agreement to scheduling constraints (summer 2026 / after hours) *Signed addendum 4*
- Agreement to fingerprinting/vendor screening if needed

### E-Rate Compliance

- Pricing consistent with LCP requirement *0*
- SPI (474) billing method confirmed *0*
- Acknowledges no billing prior to May 29, 2026
- Acknowledges December 31, 2026 deadline
- Contingent upon funding language accepted

### Scope Conformity – Central Elementary

- 276 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly
- 11 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included ~~to be specifically done~~
- 12U racks (qty 10) included
- High density fiber enclosure (MDF) included
- NEMA boxes correct quantities
- Patch cables (1ft white/purple + 3ft AP) included
- AP biscuit jack installation included

### Scope Conformity – Country Oaks

- 375 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly
- 17 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included

VC

- 18 wall mount racks included
- 2 high density fiber enclosures (MDF) included
- Fiber coiling/storage at 99-062 included *no call out*
- NEMA boxes correct quantities

### Installation & Technical Standards

- OS2 outdoor/equivalent fiber specified
- 10 Gig fiber modules included *no call out*
- 1" inner duct included for penetrations
- Conduit/trenching included
- MEWP equipment responsibility acknowledged
- Labeling scheme matches RFP requirements
- Testing & certification included
- Grounding included

### Notes

- Special considerations not listed for CES/COES.
- No call out for 10 gig fiber modules.
- No E-Rate acknowledgement of SPI (Form 474)  
of LCP Cert./confirmation

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX RFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Emily Godenich

Vendor Name: Unitec

Date: 2/26/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = 100 percent.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>25</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>5</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>4</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>4</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 62 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# E-RATE DOCUMENTATION NOTES

- Cost is the highest weighted factor (30%)
- Lowest Corresponding Price (LCP) confirmed
- Fiber and Ethernet pricing separated
- Ineligible items clearly allocated
- No mathematical errors identified
- SPI (474) billing acknowledged

## PHASE 1: MANDATORY RESPONSIVENESS (Pass / Fail)

### Submission Compliance

- Proposal received by deadline (02/26/2026 – 8:00 AM EST)
- Submitted via required email address
- All addenda acknowledged
- Separate pricing provided for fiber and Ethernet

### Required Documentation

- Vendor SPIN (498 ID) provided
- Three completed reference forms included
- Complete materials list with part numbers included
- LCP (Lowest Corresponding Price) certification implied/confirmed

### Vendor Qualification

- Mandatory walk-through attended
- Acknowledgement of SPI (Form 474) invoicing requirement
- Agreement to 5-year warranty minimum

Unitec

- Agreement to labeling standards
- Agreement to scheduling constraints (summer 2026 / after hours) *Signed addendum #4*
- Agreement to fingerprinting/vendor screening if needed

### E-Rate Compliance

- Pricing consistent with LCP requirement
- SPI (474) billing method confirmed
- Acknowledges no billing prior to May 29, 2026
- Acknowledges December 31, 2026 deadline *signed addendum #2*
- Contingent upon funding language accepted

### Scope Conformity – Central Elementary

- 276 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly *311 listed, only need 276*
- 11 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included *no direct fiber count listed*
- 12U racks (qty 10) included *Listed 18, only need 10.*
- High density fiber enclosure (MDF) included
- NEMA boxes correct quantities *Listed 14 total, only need 12*
- Patch cables (1ft white/purple + 3ft AP) included
- AP biscuit jack installation included

### Scope Conformity – Country Oaks

- 375 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly *325 listed, need 375*
- 17 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included *no direct fiber count listed.*

# Unitec

- 18 wall mount racks included *Listed 10, need 18*
- 2 high density fiber enclosures (MDF) included *Listed 1 MDF, 2 needed.*
- Fiber coiling/storage at 99-062 included *no call out, not listed*
- NEMA boxes correct quantities *Listed 12, needed 17.*

## Installation & Technical Standards

- OS2 outdoor/equivalent fiber specified
- 10 Gig fiber modules included
- 1" inner duct included for penetrations *proposal includes 2", HCSD requires 1"*
- Conduit/trenching included
- MEWP equipment responsibility acknowledged *No call out listed.*
- Labeling scheme matches RFP requirements
- Testing & certification included
- Grounding included

*Special considerations not listed for CES or COES.  
References only included one school.*

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Emily Goderich

Vendor Name: UDT

Date: 2/26/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.


Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = 100 percent.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>30</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>10</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>4</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 84 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

UPT

# E-RATE DOCUMENTATION NOTES

- Cost is the highest weighted factor (30%)
- Lowest Corresponding Price (LCP) confirmed
- Fiber and Ethernet pricing separated
- Ineligible items clearly allocated
- No mathematical errors identified
- SPI (474) billing acknowledged

## PHASE 1: MANDATORY RESPONSIVENESS (Pass / Fail)

### Submission Compliance

- Proposal received by deadline (02/26/2026 – 8:00 AM EST)
- Submitted via required email address
- All addenda acknowledged
- Separate pricing provided for fiber and Ethernet

### Required Documentation

- Vendor SPIN (498 ID) provided
- Three completed reference forms included
- Complete materials list with part numbers included
- LCP (Lowest Corresponding Price) certification implied/confirmed

### Vendor Qualification

- Mandatory walk-through attended
- Acknowledgement of SPI (Form 474) invoicing requirement
- Agreement to 5-year warranty minimum

UDT

- Agreement to labeling standards
- Agreement to scheduling constraints (summer 2026 / after hours)
- Agreement to fingerprinting/vendor screening if needed

### E-Rate Compliance

- Pricing consistent with LCP requirement
- SPI (474) billing method confirmed
- Acknowledges no billing prior to May 29, 2026
- Acknowledges December 31, 2026 deadline
- Contingent upon funding language accepted

### Scope Conformity – Central Elementary

- 276 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly *Total for both schools listed as 720, need 276 for CES.*
- 11 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included
- 12U racks (qty 10) included *Acknowledges 50W ~~10~~ 10 but itemized list shows 18 no direct call out.*
- High density fiber enclosure (MDF) included
- NEMA boxes correct quantities
- Patch cables (1ft white/purple + 3ft AP) included
- AP biscuit jack installation included

### Scope Conformity – Country Oaks

- 375 Cat6A runs accounted for correctly *Total for both schools listed as 720, need 375 for COE*
- 17 OS2 12-strand fiber runs included *Lists 11, needs 17.*

UDT

- 18 wall mount racks included *11 listed, need 18.*
- 2 high density fiber enclosures (MDF) included *no direct call out.*
- Fiber coiling/storage at 99-062 included
- NEMA boxes correct quantities

### Installation & Technical Standards

- OS2 outdoor/equivalent fiber specified
- 10 Gig fiber modules included *no direct call out.*
- 1" inner duct included for penetrations
- Conduit/trenching included
- MEWP equipment responsibility acknowledged
- Labeling scheme matches RFP requirements
- Testing & certification included
- Grounding included

Total CAT6A is 720 on master bill of materials  
when we need 651 total for both schools.

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: T Busin

Vendor Name: Unitec

Date: 2/26/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>25</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>10</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>3</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>4</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 60 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

BFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Tony Busi

Vendor Name: UDT

Date: 2/26/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>30</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>15</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>3</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 86 / 100

Evaluator Signature: [Signature]

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

BFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: T Busin

Vendor Name: UC

Date: 2 2 6 2 6

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = 100 percent.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>20</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>20</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>9</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 87 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP # 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Miguel Rodriguez

Vendor Name: Universal Cabling

Date: 2/28/2026

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>20</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>20</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>9</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 87 / 100

Evaluator Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

BFP 20-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Miguel Rodriguez

Vendor Name: Unitec

Date: 2/26/2026

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.


Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>25</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>5</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>4</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>4</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 62 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Miguel Rodriguez

Vendor Name: UDI

Date: 2/26/2026

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.


Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = 100 percent.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>30</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>10</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>4</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 84 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Rene Gomez

Vendor Name: Unitach

Date: 2/26/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>30</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>16</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>6</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>4</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 80 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP 20-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Rene Gomez

Vendor Name: UDT

Date: 2/26/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>25</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>11</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>5</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 81 / 100

Evaluator Signature: Rene Gomez

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP 20-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Rene Gomez

Vendor Name: Universal Cabling Systems INC

Date: 2/26/20

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>18</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>18</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>9</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>8</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 83 / 100

Evaluator Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Rene Gomez

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

AFP 26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Francisco Muñoz

Vendor Name: Universal Cabling

Date: 2/26/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = 100 percent.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>24</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>20</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>10</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 94 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

RFP 20-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Francisco Munoz

Vendor Name: UDI

Date: 2/26/20

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>30</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>16</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>7</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>10</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 93 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 

# BID EVALUATION MATRIX

BFP-26-0007

Project: Central Elementary & Country Oaks Re-Cabling

Evaluator Name: Francisco Munoz

Vendor Name: Unitec

Date: 2/20/26

## SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Score each category up to the maximum percentage shown.

Higher score = stronger proposal.

Total possible score = **100 percent**.

<u>Evaluation Criteria</u>	<u>Max Percentage</u>	<u>Score</u>
Total Cost	30	<u>23</u> / 30
Equipment Integration into Existing Infrastructure	20	<u>12</u> / 20
Conformity to Specifications	10	<u>4</u> / 10
On-Site Engineering Services	10	<u>4</u> / 10
Equipment Warranty Period	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Past Performance of Bidder	10	<u>10</u> / 10
Customer References	10	<u>4</u> / 10

## TOTAL SCORE

Total Percentage Awarded: 67 / 100

Evaluator Signature: 