

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED APRIL 1, 2026

NEW ISSUE -- FULL BOOK-ENTRY

RATING: Moody's: "___"
See "RATING" herein

In the opinion of Jones Hall LLP, San Mateo, California, Bond Counsel, subject, however to certain qualifications described herein, under existing law, the interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and such interest is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Bonds may be subject to the corporate alternative minimum tax. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, such interest is exempt from California personal income taxes. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other tax consequences caused by the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Bonds. See "TAX MATTERS."

\$35,000,000*

2026 REFUNDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (San Joaquin County, California)

Dated: Date of Delivery

Due: As shown on inside cover.

Authority and Purpose. The captioned bonds (the "Bonds") are being issued by the Tracy Unified School District (the "District") with respect to its School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 (the "Improvement District") pursuant to certain provisions of the California Government Code and a resolution of the Board of Education of the District adopted on February 24, 2026 (the "Bond Resolution"). The Bonds are being issued for the purpose of refinancing on a current basis certain of the District's outstanding general obligation bonds of the Improvement District, and to pay related costs of issuance. See "THE REFINANCING PLAN" and "THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance."

Security. The Bonds are general obligation bonds of the District with respect to the Improvement District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes levied and collected within the Improvement District. The Board of Supervisors of San Joaquin County has the power and is obligated to annually levy *ad valorem* property taxes upon all property subject to taxation by the District without limitation of rate or amount (except certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates) within the Improvement District for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS."

Redemption*. The Bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity as described herein. See "THE BONDS – Optional Redemption" and "– Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption."

Book-Entry Only. The Bonds will be issued in book-entry form only and will be initially issued and registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee of The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"). Purchasers will not receive physical certificates representing their interests in the Bonds. See "THE BONDS – Book-Entry Only System."

Payments. The Bonds are dated the date of delivery and are being issued as current interest bonds. The Bonds bear interest at the rates set forth on the inside cover page hereof, payable semiannually on each February 1 and August 1 until maturity, commencing August 1, 2026. Principal of the Bonds is payable on August 1 in each of the years and in the amounts set forth on the inside front cover hereof. Payments of principal of and interest on the Bonds will be paid by The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, the designated paying agent, registrar and transfer agent (the "Paying Agent"), to DTC for subsequent disbursement to DTC Participants who will remit such payments to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. See "THE BONDS."

MATURITY SCHEDULE (see inside front cover)

This cover page contains information for general reference only. It is not a summary of all the provisions of the Bonds. Prospective investors must read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to making an informed investment decision.

The Bonds will be offered when, as and if issued and accepted by the Underwriter, subject to the approval as to legality by Jones Hall LLP, San Mateo, California, Bond Counsel to the District, and subject to certain other conditions. Jones Hall LLP is also serving as Disclosure Counsel to the District. Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Los Angeles, California, is serving as Underwriter's Counsel. It is anticipated that the Bonds, in book-entry form, will be available for delivery through the facilities of DTC, on or about May 14, 2026.*

RAYMOND JAMES®

The date of this Official Statement is _____, 2026.

*Preliminary; subject to change.

This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Official Statement constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of these securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of such jurisdiction.

MATURITY SCHEDULE*

2026 REFUNDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (San Joaquin County, California)

Maturity Date (August 1)	Principal	Interest Rate	Yield	Price	CUSIP†
-----------------------------	-----------	------------------	-------	-------	--------

*Preliminary; subject to change.

† CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein are provided by CUSIP Global Services ("CGS"), managed on behalf of the American Bankers Association by FactSet Research Systems Inc. © 2026 CUSIP Global Services. All rights reserved. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CGS database. CUSIP® numbers are provided for convenience only. Neither of the District nor the Underwriter takes any responsibility for the accuracy of such numbers.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT

Use of Official Statement. This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose. This Official Statement is not a contract between any Bond owner and the District or the Underwriter.

No Offering Except by This Official Statement. No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the District or the Underwriter to give any information or to make any representations other than those contained in this Official Statement and, if given or made, such other information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the District or the Underwriter.

No Unlawful Offers or Solicitations. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor may there be any sale of the Bonds by a person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer, solicitation or sale.

Estimates and Forecasts. When used in this Official Statement and in any continuing disclosure by the District, in any press release and in any oral statement made with the approval of an authorized officer of the District or any other entity described or referenced herein, the words or phrases “will likely result,” “are expected to,” “will continue,” “is anticipated,” “estimate,” “project,” “forecast,” “expect,” “intend” and similar expressions identify “forward looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in such forward-looking statements. Any forecast is subject to such uncertainties. Inevitably, some assumptions used to develop the forecasts will not be realized and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Therefore, there are likely to be differences between forecasts and actual results, and those differences may be material. The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, give rise to any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District or any other entity described or referenced herein since the date hereof.

Involvement of Underwriter. The Underwriter has provided the following statement for inclusion in this Official Statement: The Underwriter has reviewed the information in this Official Statement pursuant to its responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws, but the Underwriter does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

Stabilization of and Changes to Offering Prices. In connection with the offering of the Bonds, the Underwriter may over allot or effect transactions which stabilize or maintain the market price of such Bonds at a level above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market. Such stabilization, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time. The Underwriter may offer and sell the Bonds to certain securities dealers, dealer banks and banks acting as agent at prices lower than the public offering prices stated on the inside cover page of this Official Statement, and those public offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Underwriter.

Information in Official Statement. The information set forth in this Official Statement has been furnished by the District and other sources which are believed to be reliable, but it is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness.

Document Summaries. All summaries of the Bond Resolution or other documents referred to in this Official Statement are made subject to the provisions of such documents and qualified in their entirety to reference to such documents, and do not purport to be complete statements of any or all of such provisions.

No Securities Laws Registration. The Bonds have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, in reliance upon exceptions therein for the issuance and sale of municipal securities. The Bonds have not been registered or qualified under the securities laws of any state.

Effective Date. This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information and expressions of opinion contained in this Official Statement are subject to change without notice. Neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale of the Bonds will, under any circumstances, give rise to any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District, the County, the other parties described in this Official Statement, or the condition of the property within the District since the date of this Official Statement.

Website. The District maintains a website and certain social media accounts. However, the information presented on the website and in social media posts is not a part of this Official Statement, is not incorporated herein by reference, and should not be relied upon in making an investment decision with respect to the Bonds.

TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE DISTRICT

Jeremy Silcox, President
RG Fagin, Vice President
Lynn Dell Hawkins, Clerk
Olinga Alexander, Trustee
Denise Cheeseman, Trustee
Scott Secker, Trustee
Diane Traina, Trustee

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

Dr. Robert Pecot, *Superintendent*
Tania Salinas, *Associate Superintendent, Business Services*
Eloy Vento, *Director of Facilities and Planning*

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

Isom Advisors, a Division of Urban Futures, Inc.
Walnut Creek, California

BOND COUNSEL AND DISCLOSURE COUNSEL

Jones Hall LLP
San Mateo, California

BOND REGISTRAR, TRANSFER AGENT, PAYING AGENT AND ESCROW AGENT

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

UNDERWRITER'S COUNSEL

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
Los Angeles, California

VERIFICATION AGENT

Causey Public Finance LLC
Denver, Colorado

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
THE REFINANCING PLAN.....	4
THE BONDS	6
Authority for Issuance	6
General Description of the Bonds	6
Paying Agent.....	7
Optional Redemption	7
Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption.....	7
Notice of Redemption	7
Partial Redemption	8
Right to Rescind Notice of Redemption	8
Book-Entry Only System.....	8
Registration, Transfer and Exchange of Bonds	9
Defeasance.....	9
SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS	11
DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULE	12
SECURITY FOR THE BONDS	14
<i>Ad Valorem</i> Property Taxes.....	14
Debt Service Fund	15
Not a County Obligation.....	15
PROPERTY TAXATION	16
Property Tax Collection Procedures	16
Taxation of State-Assessed Utility Property.....	17
Assessed Valuations.....	17
Reassessments and Appeals of Assessed Value.....	20
Typical Tax Rates	21
Tax Levies and Delinquencies	22
Major Taxpayers	24
Direct and Overlapping Debt.....	25
TAX MATTERS	26
Tax Exemption	26
Other Tax Considerations	27
Form of Opinion	27
VERIFICATION OF MATHEMATICAL ACCURACY	28
LITIGATION AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS	28
No Pending Litigation Relating to Bond Matters	28
Future and General Claims.....	28
CYBERSECURITY RISKS.....	28
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE	29
RATING	30
UNDERWRITING.....	30
MISCELLANEOUS	30
Legality for Investment.....	30
Compensation of Certain Professionals.....	30
Additional Information	30
EXECUTION	32
APPENDIX A - General and Financial Information About the District	
APPENDIX B - Audited Financial Statements of the District for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2025	
APPENDIX C - General Information about the City of Tracy and San Joaquin County	
APPENDIX D - Form of Opinion of Bond Counsel	
APPENDIX E - Form of Continuing Disclosure Certificate	
APPENDIX F - DTC and the Book-Entry System	
APPENDIX G - San Joaquin County Investment Policy and Summary of Investment Report	

\$35,000,000*
2026 REFUNDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF
SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
(San Joaquin County, California)

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page, inside cover page and appendices hereto, provides information in connection with the sale and delivery of 2026 Refunding General Obligation Bonds in the principal amount of \$35,000,000* (the “**Bonds**”) by the Tracy Unified School District (the “**District**”), San Joaquin County (the “**County**”), State of California (the “**State**”) with respect to its School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 (the “**Improvement District**”).

This Introduction is not a summary of this Official Statement. It is only a brief description of and guide to, and is qualified by, more complete and detailed information contained in the entire Official Statement and the documents summarized or described herein. A full review should be made of the entire Official Statement. The offering of the Bonds to potential investors is made only by means of the entire Official Statement.

The District and the Improvement District. The District, which covers approximately 425 square miles located in the County, provides educational services to the residents of the City of Tracy (the “**City**”) and surrounding unincorporated areas. The District was formerly known as the Tracy Joint Unified School District when the service area of the District included properties in Alameda County. However, following reorganization proceedings, the District’s territory is now located entirely in the County, and the District formally removed the word “Joint” from its name.

The District operates eight K-5 schools, four K-8 schools, two middle schools, two high schools, two continuation high schools, one charter school, a community day school, and adult educational classes. Total enrollment for the 2025-26 school year is projected for 13,201 (not including independent charter school enrollment). See also Appendix C hereto for demographic and other statistical information regarding the City and the County.

The District has formed a school facilities improvement district within its boundaries, known as School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 (as previously defined, the “**Improvement District**”). The Improvement District was formed on April 8, 2008 by the Board of Education of the District following a public hearing, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 15300) of Part 10 of Division 1 of the California Education Code (the “**Act**”) and proceedings taken by the District. The Improvement District consists of the region of the District which provides elementary services. The fiscal year 2025-26 assessed value of the Improvement District is approximately \$14.5 billion. With respect to high school services, not only do the District’s elementary students feed into their high school, but there are additional elementary school districts located in District boundaries which feed into the District’s high schools. These separate elementary school districts are not included in the boundaries of the Improvement District.

For more detail regarding the District, its administration, and finances, see APPENDIX A hereto. See also APPENDIX B for the District’s most recent audited financial statements. For

* Preliminary; subject to change.

details on assessed valuations and related information, see “SECURITY FOR THE BONDS” herein.

Authority and Purpose of Issue; Financing Plan. The Bonds will be issued pursuant to the provisions of Articles 9 and 11 of Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code, commencing with Section 53550 of said Code (the “**Bond Law**”) and pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District on February 24, 2026 (the “**Bond Resolution**”). The net proceeds of the Bonds will be used to refinance certain outstanding General Obligation Bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 of Tracy Unified School District 2008 Election, Series 2015 (the “**2015 Bonds**”), 2016 Refunding General Obligation Bonds (School Facilities Improvement District No. 3) (the “**2016 Refunding Bonds**”), and General Obligation Bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 of Tracy Unified School District 2014 Election, Series 2018 (the “**2018 Bonds**” and together with the 2015 Bonds and the 2016 Refunding Bonds, the “**Prior Bonds**”), and to pay related costs of issuance. See “THE REFINANCING PLAN” and “THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance” and “SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS” herein.

Sources of Payment for the Bonds. The Bonds are general obligation bonds of the District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes levied on taxable property located in the Improvement District and collected by the County. The County is empowered and is obligated to annually levy *ad valorem* property taxes for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds upon all property in the Improvement District subject to taxation by the District, without limitation of rate or amount (except with respect to certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates). See “SECURITY FOR THE BONDS” herein.

Form of Bonds. The Bonds are being issued as current interest bonds which will bear current interest and will mature in the years and in the amounts as set forth on the inside cover page hereof. The Bonds will be issued in book-entry form only and will be initially issued and registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee for the Depository Trust Company (“**DTC**”). Purchasers will not receive physical certificates representing their interest in the Bonds. See “THE BONDS – General Description of the Bonds” and “– Book-Entry Only System,” and “APPENDIX F – DTC and the Book-Entry System.”

Redemption*. The Bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity as described in “THE BONDS – Optional Redemption” and “– Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption.”

Legal Matters. The issuance of the Bonds is subject to the approving opinion of Jones Hall LLP, San Mateo, California, as bond counsel (“**Bond Counsel**”), to be delivered in substantially the form attached hereto as APPENDIX D. Jones Hall LLP, San Mateo, California, will also serve as Disclosure Counsel to the District (“**Disclosure Counsel**”). Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Los Angeles, California is serving as counsel to the Underwriter (“**Underwriter’s Counsel**”). Payment of the fees of Bond Counsel, Disclosure Counsel and Underwriter’s Counsel is contingent upon the issuance of the Bonds.

Tax Matters. Assuming compliance with certain covenants and provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, in the opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Bonds will not be includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes although it may be includable in the calculation for certain taxes. Interest on the Bonds may be subject to the corporate alternative minimum tax. Also, in the opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Bonds will be exempt from

* Preliminary; subject to change.

State personal income taxes. See "TAX MATTERS" herein. See also APPENDIX D hereto for the form of Bond Counsel's opinion to be delivered concurrently with the Bonds.

Continuing Disclosure. The District has covenanted and agreed that it will comply with and carry out all of the provisions of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate, dated the date of the Bonds and executed by the District (the "**Continuing Disclosure Certificate**"). The form of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate is included in APPENDIX E hereto. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE."

Cyber Risks. The District, like other governmental and business entities, faces significant risks relating to the use and application of computer software and hardware for educational, operational and management purposes. The District collects, processes, and distributes an enormous amount of private, protected and personal information on students, staff, parents, visitors, vendors and contractors. As the custodian of such information, the District may face cybersecurity threats, attacks or incidents from time to time. No assurance can be given that future cyber threats or attacks against the District or third-party entities or service providers will not directly or indirectly impact the District or the owners of the Bonds, including the possibility of impacting the timely payments of debt service on the Bonds or timely filings pursuant to the District's continuing disclosure undertakings, described in more detail herein. See "CYBERSECURITY RISKS" herein.

Other Information. This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information contained in this Official Statement is subject to change. Copies of documents referred to in this Official Statement and information concerning the Bonds are available from the District at the Superintendent's Office at 1875 West Lowell Avenue, Tracy, California 95376; phone (209) 830-3200. The District may impose a charge for copying, mailing and handling.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

THE REFINANCING PLAN

As described herein, the net proceeds of the Bonds will be used to refund certain maturities of the District’s outstanding general obligation bonds on a current basis, being certain maturities of the following bonds:

- General Obligation Bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 of Tracy Unified School District 2008 Election, Series 2015 issued on July 9, 2015, in the original principal amount of \$9,100,000.
- 2016 Refunding General Obligation Bonds Obligation Bonds (School Facilities Improvement District No. 3) issued on April 21, 2016, in the original principal amount of \$11,940,000.
- General Obligation Bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 of Tracy Unified School District 2014 Election, Series 2018 issued on May 9, 2018, in the original principal amount of \$30,000,000.

The following tables identify the maturities of the Prior Bonds expected to be refunded with the proceeds of the Bonds (the “**Refunded Bonds**”).

SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Identification of Refunded 2015 Bonds*

Maturity Date	Original Principal Amount	Interest Rate	CUSIP† 892406	Call Date	Call Price (%)
2028	\$635,000	4.000%	BV0	08/01/2026	100.0%
2029	720,000	4.000	BW8	08/01/2026	100.0
2030	805,000	4.000	BX6	08/01/2026	100.0
2031	905,000	4.000	BY4	08/01/2026	100.0
TOTALS	\$3,065,000	--	--	--	--

*Preliminary; subject to change.

† CUSIP Copyright American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by FactSet Research Systems Inc. Neither the District nor the Underwriter is responsible for the accuracy of such data.

**SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Identification of Refunded 2016 Refunding Bonds***

Maturity Date	Original Principal Amount	Interest Rate	CUSIP† 892406	Call Date	Call Price (%)
2027	\$845,000	5.000%	BV5	08/01/2026	100.0%
2028	950,000	2.500	BW3	08/01/2026	100.0
2029	1,045,000	5.000	BX1	08/01/2026	100.0
2030	1,165,000	5.000	BY9	08/01/2026	100.0
2031	855,000	4.000	BZ6	08/01/2026	100.0
2032	955,000	4.000	CA0	08/01/2026	100.0
2033	1,555,000	3.000	CB8	08/01/2026	100.0
2034	650,000	3.000	CC6	08/01/2026	100.0
2035	470,000	3.000	CD4	08/01/2026	100.0
TOTALS	\$8,490,000	--	--	--	--

*Preliminary; subject to change.

† CUSIP Copyright American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by FactSet Research Systems Inc. Neither the District nor the Underwriter is responsible for the accuracy of such data.

**SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Identification of Refunded 2018 Bonds***

Maturity Date	Original Principal Amount	Interest Rate	CUSIP† 892406	Call Date	Call Price (%)
2027	\$555,000	4.000%	BU2	08/01/2026	100.0%
2028	635,000	4.000	BV0	08/01/2026	100.0
2029	720,000	4.000	BW8	08/01/2026	100.0
2030	805,000	4.000	BX6	08/01/2026	100.0
2031	905,000	4.000	BY4	08/01/2026	100.0
2032	1,005,000	3.250	BZ1	08/01/2026	100.0
2033	1,105,000	3.500	CA5	08/01/2026	100.0
2034	1,215,000	3.375	CB3	08/01/2026	100.0
2035	1,330,000	3.500	CC1	08/01/2026	100.0
2036	1,455,000	3.500	CD9	08/01/2026	100.0
2037	1,580,000	3.500	CE7	08/01/2026	100.0
2038	1,720,000	3.625	CF4	08/01/2026	100.0
2039	1,870,000	3.625	CG2	08/01/2026	100.0
Term Bonds	--	--	--	--	--
2042	8,330,000	5.000	CK3	08/01/2026	100.0
TOTALS	\$23,230,000	--	--	--	--

*Preliminary; subject to change.

† CUSIP Copyright American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by FactSet Research Systems Inc. Neither the District nor the Underwriter is responsible for the accuracy of such data.

The District will deliver the net proceeds of the Bonds to The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as escrow bank (the “**Escrow Agent**”), for deposit in an escrow fund (the “**Escrow Fund**”) established under an Escrow Agreement (the “**Escrow Agreement**”), between the District and the Escrow Agent. The Escrow Agent will hold such funds in cash and/or invest such funds in certain United States Treasury notes, bonds, bills or certificates of indebtedness, or obligations issued by any agency or department of the United States which are secured, directly or indirectly, by the full faith and credit of the United States (“**Escrow Fund Securities**”) and will apply such funds, together with interest earnings on the investment of such funds in Escrow Fund Securities, to pay the principal of and interest on the Refunded Bonds, including the redemption

price of the Refunded Bonds, as set forth above, on the redemption date identified above.

Sufficiency of the deposits in the Escrow Fund for the foregoing purposes will be verified by Causey Public Finance, LLC, Denver, Colorado (the “**Verification Agent**”). See “VERIFICATION OF MATHEMATICAL ACCURACY” herein. As a result of the deposit of funds with the Escrow Agent on the date of issuance of the Bonds, the Refunded Bonds will be legally defeased and will be payable solely from amounts held for that purpose under the Escrow Agreement, and will cease to be payable from or secured by *ad valorem* property taxes levied in the District.

The Escrow Fund Securities and cash held by the Escrow Agent in the Escrow Fund are pledged solely to the payment of the Refunded Bonds, and will not be available for the payment of debt service with respect to the Bonds.

THE BONDS

Authority for Issuance

The Bonds will be issued under the provisions of the Bond Law and the Bond Resolution.

General Description of the Bonds

The Bonds mature in the years and in the amounts as set forth on the inside cover page hereof. The Bonds will be issued in book-entry form only, and will be initially issued and registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee for DTC. Purchasers will not receive physical certificates representing their interest in the Bonds. See “– Book-Entry Only System” and “APPENDIX F – DTC and the Book-Entry System.”

The Bonds will be issued in denominations of \$5,000 principal amount each or any integral multiple thereof. Interest on the Bonds is payable semiannually on each February 1 and August 1, commencing August 1, 2026 (each, an “**Interest Payment Date**”). Each Bond will bear interest from the Interest Payment Date next preceding the date of registration and authentication thereof unless (i) it is authenticated as of an Interest Payment Date, in which event it will bear interest from such date, or (ii) it is authenticated prior to an Interest Payment Date and after the close of business on the fifteenth day of the month preceding the Interest Payment Date (each, a “**Record Date**”), in which event it will bear interest from such Interest Payment Date, or (iii) it is authenticated prior to July 15, 2026, in which event it will bear interest from the date of delivery of the Bonds identified on the cover page hereof. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if interest on any Bond is in default at the time of authentication thereof, such Bond will bear interest from the Interest Payment Date to which interest has previously been paid or made available for payment thereon. Payments of principal of and interest on the Bonds will be paid by the Paying Agent (as defined below) to DTC for subsequent disbursement to participants in DTC’s book entry system (“**DTC Participants**”) who will remit such payments to the beneficial owners of the Bonds.

Paying Agent

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, will act as the registrar, transfer agent, and paying agent for the Bonds (the “**Paying Agent**”). As long as DTC is the registered owner of the Bonds and DTC’s book-entry method is used for the Bonds, the Paying Agent will send all payments with respect to principal and interest on the Bonds, and any notice of redemption or other notices to owners of the Bonds, only to DTC. Any failure of DTC to advise any DTC Participant, or of any DTC Participant to notify any ultimate purchaser of the Bonds (each a “**Beneficial Owner**”), of any such notice and its content or effect will not affect the validity or sufficiency of the proceedings relating to the redemption of the Bonds called for redemption or of any other action covered by such notice.

The Paying Agent, the District, the County and the Underwriter of the Bonds have no responsibility or liability for any aspects of the records relating to or payments made on account of beneficial ownership, or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any records relating to beneficial ownership, of interests in the Bonds.

Optional Redemption*

The Bonds maturing on or before August 1, 20__ are not subject to redemption prior to maturity. The Bonds maturing on or after August 1, 20__, are subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the District, in whole or in part among maturities on such basis as designated by the District and by lot within a maturity, from any available source of funds, on August 1, 20__, or on any date thereafter, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, without premium, together with accrued interest thereon to the redemption date. For the purpose of selection for optional redemption, Bonds will be deemed to consist of \$5,000 portions, and any such portion may be separately redeemed.

Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption*

The Bonds maturing on August 1, 20__ (the “**Term Bonds**”) are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption on August 1 in the years and in the amounts as set forth in the following table at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof to be redeemed, without premium, together with interest accrued thereon to the redemption date. If any Term Bonds are optionally redeemed as described above, the total amount of all future sinking fund payments with respect to such Term Bonds will be reduced by the aggregate principal amount of such Term Bonds so redeemed, to be allocated among such payments on a pro rata basis in integral multiples of \$5,000 (or such other basis as the District may determine).

Term Bonds Maturing August 1, 20__

Redemption Date (August 1)	Sinking Fund Redemption
-------------------------------	----------------------------

Notice of Redemption

The Paying Agent will cause notice of any redemption to be mailed, first class mail, postage prepaid, at least 20 days but not more than 60 days prior to the date fixed for redemption, to the respective owners of any Bonds designated for redemption, at their addresses appearing

* Preliminary; subject to change.

on the records maintained by the Paying Agent for the registration of ownership and registration of transfers of the Bonds under the Bond Resolution. Such mailing is not a condition precedent to such redemption and the failure to mail or to receive any such notice will not affect the validity of the proceedings for the redemption of such Bonds. In addition, the Paying Agent will give notice of redemption by telecopy or certified, registered or overnight mail to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board and each of the Securities Depositories at least two days prior to such mailing to the Bond Owners.

Such notice shall state the redemption date and the redemption price and, if less than all of the then outstanding Bonds are to be called for redemption, shall designate the serial numbers of the Bonds to be redeemed by giving the individual number of each Bond or by stating that all Bonds between two stated numbers, both inclusive, or by stating that all of the Bonds of one or more maturities have been called for redemption, and shall require that such Bonds be then surrendered at the office of the Paying Agent for the payment of the Bonds and the administration of its duties under the Bond Resolution as designated therein (“**Office of the Paying Agent**”) for redemption at the said redemption price, giving notice also that further interest on such Bonds will not accrue from and after the redemption date.

Partial Redemption

Upon the surrender of any Bond redeemed in part only, the District will execute and the Paying Agent will authenticate and deliver to the Owner thereof, at the expense of the District, a new Bond or Bonds of the same maturity, of authorized denominations in aggregate principal amount equal to the unredeemed portion of the Bond or Bonds.

Right to Rescind Notice of Redemption

The District has the right to rescind any notice of the optional redemption of Bonds by written notice to the Paying Agent on or prior to the date fixed for redemption. Any notice of redemption will be cancelled and annulled if for any reason funds will not be or are not available on the date fixed for redemption for the payment in full of the Bonds then called for redemption. The District and the Paying Agent will have no liability to the Bond owners or any other party related to or arising from such rescission of redemption. The Paying Agent will mail notice of such rescission of redemption in the same manner as the original notice of redemption was sent under the Bond Resolution.

Book-Entry Only System

The Bonds will be registered initially in the name of “Cede & Co.” as nominee of DTC, which has been appointed as securities depository for the Bonds, and registered ownership may not be transferred thereafter except as provided in the Bond Resolution. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their interests in the Bonds. Principal of the Bonds will be paid by the Paying Agent to DTC, which in turn is obligated to remit such principal to its participants for subsequent disbursement to beneficial owners of the Bonds as described herein. See “APPENDIX F – DTC and the Book-Entry System.”

In the event that the securities depository (either DTC or its successor depository) determines not to continue to act as securities depository for the Bonds, or the District determines to terminate the depository as such, then the District will thereupon discontinue the book-entry system with such securities depository. In such event, the securities depository will cooperate with the District and the Paying Agent in the issuance of replacement Bonds by providing the

Paying Agent with a list showing the interests of the Depository System Participants in the Bonds, and by surrendering the Bonds, registered in the name of the nominee of the securities depository, to the Paying Agent on or before the date such replacement Bonds are to be issued.

Registration, Transfer and Exchange of Bonds

Registration. The Paying Agent will keep or cause to be kept sufficient books for the registration and transfer of the Bonds, which will at all times be open to inspection by the District upon reasonable notice; and, upon presentation for such purpose, the Paying Agent will, under such reasonable regulations as it may prescribe, register or transfer or cause to be registered or transferred, on said books, Bonds as provided in the Bond Resolution.

Transfers of Bonds. Any Bond may, in accordance with its terms, be transferred, upon the registration books required to be kept pursuant to the Bond Resolution, by the person in whose name it is registered, in person or by his duly authorized attorney, upon surrender of such Bond for cancellation at the Office of the Paying Agent, accompanied by delivery of a written instrument of transfer in a form approved by the Paying Agent, duly executed. The District may charge a reasonable sum for each new Bond issued upon any transfer.

Whenever any Bond or Bonds is surrendered for transfer, the District will execute and the Paying Agent will authenticate and deliver a new Bond or Bonds, for like aggregate principal amount. No transfers of Bonds will be required to be made (a) 15 days prior to the date established by the Paying Agent for selection of Bonds for redemption or (b) with respect to a Bond which has been selected for redemption.

Exchange of Bonds. Bonds may be exchanged at the principal Office of the Paying Agent for a like aggregate principal amount of Bonds of authorized denominations and of the same maturity, together with a request for exchange signed by the owner or by a person legally empowered to do so in a form satisfactory to the Paying Agent. The District may charge a reasonable sum for each new Bond issued upon any exchange (except in the cases of any exchange of temporary Bonds for definitive Bonds). No exchange of Bonds is required to be made (a) 15 days prior to the date established by the Paying Agent for selection of Bonds for redemption or (b) with respect to a Bond after it has been selected for redemption.

Defeasance

Any or all of the Bonds may be paid by the District in any of the following ways, provided the District also pays or causes to be paid any other sums payable under the Bond Resolution by the District:

- (a) by paying or causing to be paid the principal or redemption price of and interest on such Bonds, as and when the same become due and payable;
- (b) by irrevocably depositing, in trust, at or before maturity, money or securities in the necessary amount (as provided in the Bond Resolution) to pay or redeem such Bonds; or
- (c) by delivering such Bonds to the Paying Agent for cancellation by it.

If the District pays all the Bonds that are outstanding and also pays or causes to be paid all other sums payable under the Bond Resolution by the District, then and in that case, at the

election of the District (evidenced by a certificate of a District Representative filed with the Paying Agent, signifying the intention of the District to discharge all such indebtedness and the Bond Resolution), and notwithstanding that any Bonds have not been surrendered for payment, the Bond Resolution and other assets made under the Bond Resolution and all covenants, agreements and other obligations of the District under the Bond Resolution will cease, terminate, become void and be completely discharged and satisfied, except only as provided and described in the following paragraph.

Upon the deposit, in trust, at or before maturity, of money or securities in the necessary amount (as described below) to pay or redeem any Bond that is outstanding (whether upon or prior to its maturity date or the redemption date of such Bond), provided that, if such Bond is to be redeemed prior to maturity, notice of such redemption has been given or provision satisfactory to the Paying Agent has been made for the giving of such notice, then all liability of the District in respect of such Bond will cease and be completely discharged, except only that thereafter the Owner thereof will be entitled only to payment of the principal of and interest on such Bond by the District, and the District will remain liable for such payment, but only out of such money or securities deposited with the Paying Agent as aforesaid for such payment.

Whenever in the Bond Resolution it is provided or permitted that there be deposited with or held in trust by the Paying Agent money or securities in the necessary amount to pay or redeem any Bonds, the money or securities so to be deposited or held may include money or securities held by the Paying Agent in the funds and accounts established pursuant to the Bond Resolution and will be:

- (i) lawful money of the United States of America in an amount equal to the principal amount of such Bonds and all unpaid interest thereon to maturity, except that, in the case of Bonds which are to be redeemed prior to maturity and in respect of which notice of such redemption has been given as provided in the Bond Resolution or provision satisfactory to the Paying Agent has been made for the giving of such notice, the amount to be deposited or held will be the principal amount or redemption price of such Bonds and all unpaid interest thereon to the redemption date; or
- (ii) Federal Securities (not callable by the issuer thereof prior to maturity) the principal of and interest on which when due, in the opinion of a certified public accountant delivered to the District, will provide money sufficient to pay the principal or redemption price of and all unpaid interest to maturity, or to the redemption date, as the case may be, on the Bonds to be paid or redeemed, as such principal or redemption price and interest become due, provided that, in the case of Bonds which are to be redeemed prior to the maturity thereof, notice of such redemption has been given as provided in the Bond Resolution or provision satisfactory to the Paying Agent has been made for the giving of such notice.

The Bond Resolution defines the term "**Federal Securities**" to mean: (a) any direct general non-callable obligations of the United States of America, including obligations issued or held in book entry form on the books of the Department of the Treasury of the United States of America; (b) any obligations the timely payment of principal of and interest on which are directly or indirectly guaranteed by the United States of America or which are secured by obligations described in the preceding clause (a); (c) the interest component of Resolution Funding Corporation strips which have been stripped by request to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York

in book-entry form; (d) pre-refunded municipal bonds rated in the highest rating category by any Rating Agency; and (e) bonds, debentures, notes or other evidence of indebtedness issued or guaranteed by any of the following federal agencies: (i) direct obligations or fully guaranteed certificates of beneficial ownership of the U.S. Export-Import Bank; (ii) certificates of beneficial ownership of the Farmers Home Administration; (iii) participation certificates of the General Services Administration; (iv) Federal Financing Bank bonds and debentures; (v) guaranteed Title XI financings of the U.S. Maritime Administration; (vi) project notes, local authority bonds, new communities debentures and U.S. public housing notes and bonds of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and (vii) obligations of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB).

SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

The estimated sources and uses of funds with respect to the Bonds are as follows:

SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Sources and Uses of Funds

Sources of Funds

Principal Amount of Bonds
Plus: [Net] Original Issue Premium

Total Sources

Uses of Funds

Deposit to Escrow Fund
Costs of Issuance ⁽¹⁾

Total Uses

(1) *All estimated costs of issuance including, but not limited to, Underwriter's discount, printing costs, and fees of Bond Counsel, Disclosure Counsel, the Municipal Advisor, the Paying Agent, the verification agent, and the rating agency.*

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULE

The Bonds. The following table shows the annual debt service schedule with respect to the Bonds (assuming no optional redemptions).

SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 2026 REFUNDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS Bond Annual Debt Service Schedule

Period Ending August 1	Principal	Interest	Total Debt Service
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
2031			
2032			
2033			
2034			
2035			
2036			
2037			
2038			
2039			
2040			
2041			
2042			
Total			

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

Combined GO Bond Debt Service Table. The following table sets forth combined annual debt service with respect to the Bonds, together with other bonds issued with respect to the Improvement District and for general obligation bonds currently outstanding on a District-wide basis. The table assumes no optional redemptions. See also Appendix A under the heading “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Existing Debt Obligations - Outstanding General Obligation Bonds.”

**TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Combined Annual Debt Service Schedule
All Outstanding General Obligation Debt**

Period Ending Aug. 1	Outstanding District-Wide Bonds	Outstanding Improvement District Bonds ⁽¹⁾	The Bonds ⁽¹⁾	Aggregate Debt Service
2026	\$4,004,187.86	\$19,649,670.32		
2027	4,107,585.40	15,818,021.32		
2028	4,210,022.80	11,436,114.66		
2029	3,167,228.00	11,770,463.26		
2030	2,316,246.40	12,120,844.36		
2031	2,347,704.00	9,400,888.56		
2032	1,345,660.80	9,330,953.06		
2033	--	10,183,599.36		
2034	--	9,601,079.16		
2035	--	9,575,143.70		
2036	--	11,476,100.10		
2037	--	11,910,347.90		
2038	--	12,351,096.30		
2039	--	12,827,463.90		
2040	--	13,154,531.50		
2041	--	10,690,930.00		
2042	--	7,566,750.00		
2043	--	4,253,500.00		
2044	--	4,403,250.00		
2045	--	4,555,500.00		
2046	--	4,714,500.00		
2047	--	4,879,250.00		
2048	--	5,053,750.00		
2049	--	5,231,750.00		
2050	--	5,412,250.00		
2051	--	5,599,250.00		
2052	--	5,796,500.00		
2053	--	6,002,500.00		
2054	--	6,210,750.00		
TOTAL	\$21,498,635.26	\$260,976,747.46		

(1) Secured by *ad valorem* taxes levied and collected only within the boundaries of the Improvement District. For purposes of the Preliminary Official Statement, includes debt service on the Refunded Bonds. See “THE REFINANCING PLAN.”

Source: The Underwriter.

SECURITY FOR THE BONDS

Ad Valorem Property Taxes

Bonds Payable from Ad Valorem Property Taxes. The Bonds are general obligations of the District, payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes levied on taxable property within the Improvement District and collected by the County. The County is empowered and is obligated to annually levy *ad valorem* taxes for the payment of the Bonds and the interest thereon upon all property within the Improvement District subject to taxation by the District, without limitation of rate or amount (except certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates). In no event is the District obligated to pay principal of and interest and redemption premium, if any, on the Bonds out of any funds or properties of the District other than *ad valorem* taxes levied upon all taxable property in the Improvement District; provided, however, nothing in the Bond Resolution prevents the District from making advances of its own moneys howsoever derived to any of the uses or purposes permitted by law.

Other Debt Payable from Ad Valorem Property Taxes. In addition to the District's general obligation bonds, there is other debt issued by entities with jurisdiction in the District, which is payable from *ad valorem* taxes levied on parcels in the Improvement District. See "PROPERTY TAXATION – Typical Tax Rates" and "– Direct and Overlapping Debt."

Levy, Collection and Pledge of Taxes. The County will levy and collect such *ad valorem* property taxes in such amounts and at such times as is necessary to ensure the timely payment of debt service on the Bonds. Such taxes, when collected, will be deposited into the Debt Service Fund for the Bonds, which is maintained by the County and which is irrevocably pledged for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds when due.

District and Improvement District property taxes are assessed and collected by the County in the same manner and at the same time, and in the same installments as other *ad valorem* taxes on real property, and will have the same priority, become delinquent at the same times and in the same proportionate amounts, and bear the same proportionate penalties and interest after delinquency, as do the other *ad valorem* taxes on real property.

Lien on Ad Valorem Tax Revenues. Pursuant to Senate Bill 222 effective January 1, 2016, voter-approved general obligation bonds which are secured by *ad valorem* tax collections, including the Bonds, are secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the property tax imposed to service those bonds. In addition, the District has granted a consensual lien on said revenues pursuant to the Bond Resolution. Said liens attach automatically and are valid and binding from the time the bonds are executed and delivered. The liens are enforceable against the school district or community college district, its successors, transferees, and creditors, and all others asserting rights therein, irrespective of whether those parties have notice of the liens and without the need for any further act.

Annual Tax Rates. The amount of the annual *ad valorem* tax levied by the County to repay the Bonds will be determined by the relationship between the assessed valuation of taxable property in the Improvement District and the amount of debt service due on the Bonds. Fluctuations in the annual debt service on the Bonds and the assessed value of taxable property in the Improvement District may cause the annual tax rate to fluctuate.

At the time of bond issuance, under State law, school districts are required to project that the tax levy required to support bond amortization for bonds issued under the bond measure is not expected to exceed \$60 per \$100,000 assessed value (for unified school districts), which includes certain assumptions regarding assessed valuations.

Debt Service Fund

The County will establish the “Tracy Unified School District 2026 Refunding General Obligation Bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 Debt Service Fund” (the “**Debt Service Fund**”) for the Bonds, which will be established as a separate fund to be maintained distinct from all other funds of the County. All taxes levied by the County, at the request of the District, for the payment of the principal of and interest and premium (if any) on the Bonds will be deposited in the Debt Service Fund by the County promptly upon apportionment of said levy. The Debt Service Fund is pledged for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds when and as the same become due, including the principal of any Bonds required to be paid upon the mandatory sinking fund redemption thereof. The County Treasurer shall administer the Debt Service Fund and make disbursements therefrom in accordance with the Bond Resolution. Amounts in the Debt Service Fund will be transferred by the County Treasurer to the Paying Agent to the extent necessary to pay the principal of and interest and redemption premium (if any) on the Bonds when due. In addition, amounts on deposit in the Debt Service Fund will be applied to pay the fees and expenses of the Paying Agent insofar as permitted by law, including specifically by Section 15232 of the Education Code.

If, after payment in full of the Bonds and any other general obligation bond indebtedness of the District, any amounts remain on deposit in the Debt Service Fund, the County will transfer such amounts to the general fund of the District, to be applied solely in a manner which is consistent with the requirements of applicable state and federal tax law.

Not a County Obligation

The Bonds are payable solely from the proceeds of an *ad valorem* property tax levied and collected by the County with respect to taxable properties in the Improvement District, for the payment of principal and interest on the Bonds. Although the County is obligated to collect the *ad valorem* property tax for the payment of the Bonds, the Bonds are not a debt of the County.

PROPERTY TAXATION

Property Tax Collection Procedures

Generally. In the State, property which is subject to *ad valorem* taxes is classified as “secured” or “unsecured.” The “secured roll” is that part of the assessment roll containing state assessed public utilities’ property and real property, the taxes on which create a lien on such property sufficient, in the opinion of the county assessor, to secure payment of the taxes. A tax levied on unsecured property does not become a lien against such unsecured property, but may become a lien on certain other property owned by the taxpayer. Every tax which becomes a lien on secured property has priority over all other liens arising pursuant to State law on such secured property, regardless of the time of the creation of the other liens. Secured and unsecured property are entered separately on the assessment roll maintained by the county assessor. The method of collecting delinquent taxes is substantially different for the two classifications of property.

Property taxes on the secured roll are due in two installments, on November 1 and February 1 of each fiscal year. If unpaid, such taxes become delinquent after December 10 and April 10, respectively, and a 10% penalty attaches to any delinquent payment. In addition, property on the secured roll with respect to which taxes are delinquent is declared tax defaulted on or about June 30 of the fiscal year. Such property may thereafter be redeemed by payment of the delinquent taxes and a delinquency penalty, plus a redemption penalty of 1-1/2% per month to the time of redemption. If taxes are unpaid for a period of five years or more, the property is subject to sale by the County.

Property taxes are levied for each fiscal year on taxable real and personal property situated in the taxing jurisdiction as of the preceding January 1. A bill enacted in 1983, SB813 (Statutes of 1983, Chapter 498), however, provided for the supplemental assessment and taxation of property as of the occurrence of a change of ownership or completion of new construction. Thus, this legislation eliminated delays in the realization of increased property taxes from new assessments. As amended, SB813 provided increased revenue to taxing jurisdictions to the extent that supplemental assessments of new construction or changes of ownership occur subsequent to the January 1 lien date and result in increased assessed value.

Property taxes on the unsecured roll are due on the January 1 lien date and become delinquent, if unpaid on the following August 31. A 10% penalty is also attached to delinquent taxes in respect of property on the unsecured roll, and further, an additional penalty of 1-1/2% per month accrues with respect to such taxes beginning the first day of the third month following the delinquency date. The taxing authority has four ways of collecting unsecured personal property taxes: (1) a civil action against the taxpayer; (2) filing a certificate in the office of the county clerk specifying certain facts in order to obtain a judgment lien on certain property of the taxpayer; (3) filing a certificate of delinquency for record in the county recorder’s office, in order to obtain a lien on certain property of the taxpayer; and (4) seizure and sale of personal property, improvements or possessory interests belonging or assessed to the assessee. The exclusive means of enforcing the payment of delinquent taxes in respect of property on the secured roll is the sale of the property securing the taxes for the amount of taxes which are delinquent.

Disclaimer Regarding Property Tax Collection Procedures. The property tax collection procedures described above are subject to amendment based on legislation or executive order which may be enacted by the State legislature or declared by the Governor from time to time. The District cannot predict the exact impact of such events on debt service with respect to the Bonds.

Taxation of State-Assessed Utility Property

The State Constitution provides that most classes of property owned or used by regulated utilities be assessed by the State Board of Equalization (“SBE”) and taxed locally. Property valued by the SBE as an operating unit in a primary function of the utility taxpayer is known as “unitary property”, a concept designed to permit assessment of the utility as a going concern rather than assessment of each individual element of real and personal property owned by the utility taxpayer. State-assessed unitary and “operating nonunitary” property (which excludes nonunitary property of regulated railways) is allocated to the counties of the State based on the situs of the various components of the unitary property. Except for unitary property of regulated railways and certain other excepted property, all unitary and operating nonunitary property is taxed at special county-wide rates and tax proceeds are distributed to taxing jurisdictions according to statutory formulae generally based on the distribution of taxes in the prior year.

Assessed Valuations

Assessed Valuation History. The territory included in the Improvement District consists of the geographical portion of the District which is the central and northern territory, which consists of the elementary service area of the District. The table following shows a recent history of the assessed valuation of taxable property in the Improvement District.

**SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Assessed Valuation
Fiscal Years 2015-16 through 2025-26**

Fiscal Year	Local Secured	Utility	Unsecured	Total	% Change
2015-16	\$7,675,793,077	\$11,855,600	\$403,358,587	\$8,091,037,264	--%
2016-17	8,067,879,645	11,673,100	534,955,761	8,614,508,506	6.5
2017-18	8,571,173,387	11,673,100	509,469,606	9,092,316,093	5.5
2018-19	9,124,478,406	11,673,100	465,371,439	9,601,522,945	5.6
2019-20	9,576,192,612	11,673,100	446,044,846	10,033,910,558	4.5
2020-21	10,263,996,662	13,105,471	433,729,990	10,710,832,123	6.7
2021-22	10,818,029,081	13,105,471	409,800,673	11,240,935,225	4.9
2022-23	11,764,085,766	13,105,471	555,387,308	12,332,578,545	9.7
2023-24	12,356,326,432	13,105,471	893,832,136	13,263,264,039	7.5
2024-25	12,993,351,204	16,221,351	969,379,639	13,978,952,194	5.4
2025-26*	13,499,561,317	16,221,351	1,067,722,430	14,583,505,098	4.3

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Factors Relating to Increases/Decreases in Assessed Value. General Considerations. As indicated in the previous table, assessed valuations are subject to change in each year. Increases or decreases in assessed valuation result from a variety of factors including but not limited to general economic conditions, outbreaks of disease, supply and demand for real property in the area, government regulations such as zoning, and man-made or natural disasters which include but are not limited to earthquakes, fires/wildfires, floods, drought, mudslides and the consequences of climate change such as heat waves, droughts, sea level rise, extreme rain and floods, which could have an impact on assessed values. The State including the region in which the District is located has in recent years experienced significant natural disasters such as earthquakes, droughts, mudslides, wildfires and floods. Public health disasters such as the COVID-19 pandemic could also have direct and indirect impacts on economic conditions and property values.

Risk of Drought and Dry Conditions. The State has in recent years experienced periods of drought. Drought can result in limitations on water usage, as well as lead to dry conditions conducive to fires. According to the U.S. Drought Monitor, the County, and most of northern California, is currently not experiencing any drought conditions.

Earthquake Risk. The District is in a region with several earthquake fault lines. Earthquakes can cause significant property damage particularly to unretrofitted buildings. Such events cannot be predicted.

Global Pandemic/Outbreak of Disease. The outbreak of COVID-19 and the related pandemic of 2020 resulted in an economic recession that could cause general marked declines in property values. The District cannot predict other outbreaks of disease or pandemics which could occur in the future.

Future Conditions Unknown. The District cannot predict or make any representations regarding the effects that any disasters and related conditions, have or may have on the value of taxable property within the District, or to what extent the effects said disasters might have on economic activity in the District or throughout the State.

Assessed Valuation by Jurisdiction. The following table shows recent assessed valuation of local secured property within the Improvement District by jurisdiction.

**SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Assessed Valuations by Jurisdiction
Fiscal Year 2025-26**

<u>Jurisdiction:</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation in District</u>	<u>% of District</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation of Jurisdiction</u>	<u>% of Jurisdiction in District</u>
City of Stockton	\$ 820,067	0.01%	\$34,136,536,203	0.00%
City of Tracy	13,421,322,282	92.03	\$19,897,720,180	67.45%
Unincorp. San Joaquin County	<u>1,161,362,749</u>	<u>7.96</u>	\$26,901,758,484	4.32%
Total District	\$14,583,505,098	100.00%		
San Joaquin County	\$14,583,505,098	100.00%	\$124,648,011,227	11.70%

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Assessed Valuation by Land Use. The following table shows a breakdown of local secured property assessed value and parcels within the Improvement District by land use.

**SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Local Secured Property Assessed Valuation and Parcels by Land Use
Fiscal Year 2025-26**

	2025-26 Assessed Valuation (1)	% of Total	No. of Parcels	% of Total
Non-Residential:				
Agricultural/Rural	\$ 694,581,972	5.15%	1,033	4.39%
Commercial/Office	1,054,585,034	7.81	526	2.24
Vacant Commercial	78,512,842	0.58	117	0.50
Industrial	1,679,565,504	12.44	243	1.03
Vacant Industrial	92,952,342	0.69	40	0.17
Recreational	32,538,530	0.24	31	0.13
Government/Social/Institutional	83,622,642	0.62	179	0.76
Miscellaneous	22,530,704	0.17	74	0.31
Subtotal Non-Residential	<u>\$3,738,889,570</u>	27.70%	2,243	9.54%
Residential:				
Single Family Residence	\$8,745,676,145	64.78%	19,598	83.32%
Condominium/Townhouse	89,354,791	0.66	351	1.49
Mobile Home	28,497,532	0.21	369	1.57
Mobile Home Park	23,587,663	0.17	14	0.06
Hotel/Motel	77,607,860	0.57	14	0.06
2-4 Residential Units	198,969,538	1.47	526	2.24
5+ Residential Units/Apartments	557,075,095	4.13	110	0.47
Vacant Residential	39,903,123	0.30	295	1.25
Subtotal Residential	<u>\$9,760,671,747</u>	72.30%	21,277	90.46%
Total	\$13,499,561,317	100.00%	23,520	100.00%

(1) Local Secured Assessed Valuation; excluding tax-exempt property.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

Assessed Valuation of Single-Family Homes. The following table shows a breakdown of assessed valuation of single-family homes in the Improvement District on a per parcel basis.

**SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Per Parcel Assessed Valuation of Single-Family Homes
Fiscal Year 2025-26**

	<u>No. of Parcels</u>	<u>2025-26 Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Average Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Median Assessed Valuation</u>
Single Family Residential	19,598	\$8,745,676,145	\$446,254	\$430,188

<u>2025-26 Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>No. of Parcels (1)</u>	<u>% of Total</u>	<u>Cumulative % of Total</u>	<u>Total Valuation</u>	<u>% of Total</u>	<u>Cumulative % of Total</u>
\$0 - \$49,999	96	0.490%	0.490%	\$3,644,302	0.042%	0.042%
\$50,000 - \$99,999	538	2.745	3.235	41,657,253	0.476	0.518
\$100,000 - \$149,999	564	2.878	6.113	71,024,957	0.812	1.330
\$150,000 - \$199,999	886	4.521	10.634	156,384,622	1.788	3.118
\$200,000 - \$249,999	1,291	6.587	17.221	291,628,089	3.335	6.453
\$250,000 - \$299,999	1,728	8.817	26.038	476,738,483	5.451	11.904
\$300,000 - \$349,999	1,876	9.572	35.611	610,292,265	6.978	18.882
\$350,000 - \$399,999	1,741	8.884	44.494	652,890,167	7.465	26.347
\$400,000 - \$449,999	1,772	9.042	53.536	753,066,829	8.611	34.958
\$450,000 - \$499,999	1,762	8.991	62.527	838,757,247	9.591	44.549
\$500,000 - \$549,999	1,702	8.685	71.211	892,062,170	10.200	54.749
\$550,000 - \$599,999	1,450	7.399	78.610	832,180,661	9.515	64.264
\$600,000 - \$649,999	1,103	5.628	84.238	688,264,869	7.870	72.134
\$650,000 - \$699,999	830	4.235	88.473	559,583,495	6.398	78.532
\$700,000 - \$749,999	674	3.439	91.912	487,789,435	5.577	84.110
\$750,000 - \$799,999	521	2.658	94.571	403,180,292	4.610	88.720
\$800,000 - \$849,999	383	1.954	96.525	315,927,068	3.612	92.332
\$850,000 - \$899,999	235	1.199	97.724	205,277,604	2.347	94.679
\$900,000 - \$949,999	134	0.684	98.408	123,746,233	1.415	96.094
\$950,000 - \$999,999	95	0.485	98.893	92,378,282	1.056	97.151
\$1,000,000 and greater	217	1.107	100.000	249,201,822	2.849	100.000
	19,598	100.000%		\$8,745,676,145	100.000%	

(1) Improved single-family residential parcels and condominiums. Excludes parcels with multiple-family units.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Reassessments and Appeals of Assessed Value

There are general means by which assessed values can be reassessed or appealed that could adversely impact property tax revenues within the Improvement District.

Appeals may be based on Proposition 8 of November 1978, which requires that for each January 1 lien date, the taxable value of real property must be the lesser of its base year value, annually adjusted by the inflation factor pursuant to Article XIII A of the State Constitution, or its full cash value, taking into account reductions in value due to damage, destruction, depreciation, obsolescence, removal of property or other factors causing a decline in value (a “**Proposition 8 Appeal**” or a “**Decline in Value Appeal**”). See “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS – Article XIII A of the California Constitution” in Appendix A.

Under State law, property owners may file a Proposition 8 Appeal due to a reduction of their property tax assessment by filing a written application, in form prescribed by the State Board of Equalization, with the County board of equalization or assessment appeals board. In most

cases, the appeal is filed because the applicant believes that present market conditions (such as residential home prices) cause the property to be worth less than its current assessed value. Counties have at times applied reductions in assessed value unilaterally on a regional or “blanket-basis” due to events such as extensive fires or floods that damage a significant amount of properties in the same vicinity.

Any reduction in the assessment ultimately granted as a result of such appeal applies to the year for which application, or reduction, is made and during which the written application was filed. These reductions are subject to yearly reappraisals and will be adjusted back to their original values, adjusted for inflation, when market conditions improve. Once the property has regained its prior value, adjusted for inflation, it once again is subject to the annual inflationary factor growth rate allowed under Article XIII A.

A second type of assessment appeal involves a challenge to the base year value of an assessed property. Appeals for reduction in the base year value of an assessment, if successful, reduce the assessment for the year in which the appeal is taken and prospectively thereafter. The base year is determined by the completion date of new construction or the date of change of ownership. Any base year appeal must be made within four years of the change of ownership or new construction date.

Proposition 8 reductions may also be unilaterally applied by the County Assessor. The Improvement District cannot predict the changes in assessed values that might result from pending or future appeals by taxpayers or by reductions initiated by the County Assessor. Any reduction in aggregate Improvement District assessed valuation due to appeals, as with any reduction in assessed valuation due to other causes, will cause the tax rate levied to repay the Bonds to increase accordingly, so that the fixed debt service on the Bonds (and other outstanding general obligation debt of the Improvement District) may be paid.

Typical Tax Rates

Below are recent typical tax rates in a typical tax rate area within the Improvement District.

**SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Typical Tax Rates per \$100 of Assessed Valuation
(TRA 4-073⁽¹⁾)
Fiscal Years 2021-22 through 2025-26**

	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
General Tax Rate	\$1.0000	\$1.0000	\$1.0000	\$1.0000	\$1.0000
Tracy Unified School District	.0139	.0137	.0112	.0135	.0082
Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	.0632	.0613	.0570	.0595	.1145
San Joaquin CCD	.0183	.0163	.0144	.0103	.0110
San Joaquin CCD SFID No. 1	--	--	--	--	.0146
Total Tax Rate	\$1.0954	\$1.0913	\$1.0826	\$1.0833	\$1.1483.

(1) 2025-26 assessed valuation of TRA 4-073 is \$7,842,718,647 which is 53.78% of the District's total assessed valuation.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Changes in assessed valuation can impact the tax rates levied on an *ad valorem* basis.

Tax Levies and Delinquencies

The Board of Supervisors of the County has adopted the Alternative Method of Distribution of Tax Levies and Collections and of Tax Sale Proceeds (the “**Teeter Plan**”), as provided for in Section 4701 *et seq.* of the California Revenue and Taxation Code. Under the Teeter Plan, each entity levying property taxes in the County may draw on the amount of uncollected secured taxes credited to its fund, in the same manner as if the amount credited had been collected. The District participates in the Teeter Plan, and thus receives 100% of secured property taxes levied in exchange for foregoing any interest and penalties collected on delinquent taxes. Currently, the County includes general obligation bond levies, including for general obligation bonds issued by the District, including with respect to the bonds with respect to the Improvement District, in its Teeter Plan.

So long as the Teeter Plan remains in effect, the District’s receipt of revenues with respect to the levy of *ad valorem* property taxes will not be dependent upon actual collections of the *ad valorem* property taxes by the County. However, under the statute creating the Teeter Plan, the Board of Supervisors can under certain circumstances terminate the Teeter Plan in part or in its entirety with respect to the entire County and, in addition, the Board of Supervisors can terminate the Teeter Plan with respect to the District if the delinquency rate for all *ad valorem* property taxes levied within the District in any year exceeds 3%. In the event that the Teeter Plan were terminated with regard to the secured tax roll, the amount of the levy of *ad valorem* property taxes in the District would depend upon the collections of the *ad valorem* property taxes and delinquency rates experienced with respect to the parcels within the District. With respect to general obligation bonds, county assessors are authorized to levy taxes sufficient to pay debt service on bonds coming due, including at a rate that will provide for a reserve in the event of delinquencies. The District cannot represent the sufficiency of any such reserve to the extent necessary to cover delinquent taxes, to the extent the Teeter Plan were amended or discontinued.

The District cannot provide any assurances that the County will continue to maintain the Teeter Plan described above, or will have sufficient funds available to distribute the full amount of the District’s share of property tax collections to the District. The ability of the County to maintain the Teeter Plan may depend on its financial resources and may be affected by future property tax delinquencies. Property tax delinquencies may be impacted by economic and other factors beyond the District’s or the County’s control, including the ability or willingness of property owners to pay property taxes during an economic recession or depression. An economic recession or depression could be caused by many factors outside the control of the District, including high interest rates, reduced consumer confidence, reduced real wages or reduced economic activity as a result of natural or manmade disasters.

Notwithstanding the operation of the Teeter Plan, historical secured tax levy collections and delinquencies in the District, with respect to the debt service levy for Improvement District bonds, are summarized in the following table.

**TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Secured Tax Charges and Delinquency Rates
Fiscal Years 2019-20 through 2024-25**

Fiscal Year	Secured Tax Charge ⁽¹⁾	Amt. Del. June 30	% Del. June 30
2019-20	\$6,252,625.70	\$114,575.97	1.83%
2020-21	6,541,624.64	98,833.61	1.51
2021-22	6,939,296.39	67,887.07	0.98
2022-23	6,698,705.63	68,242.56	1.02
2023-24	7,394,293.92	115,000.83	1.56
2024-25	7,554,991.93	137,493.51	1.82

(1) Debt service levy for Improvement District bonds.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

Major Taxpayers

The following table shows the 20 largest taxpayers in the Improvement District as determined by local secured assessed valuation. Each taxpayer listed below is a unique name listed on the tax rolls. The Improvement District cannot determine from County assessment records whether individual persons, corporations or other organizations are liable for tax payments with respect to multiple properties held in various names that in aggregate may be larger than is suggested by the table below. A large concentration of ownership in a single individual or entity results in a greater amount of tax collections which are dependent upon that property owner's ability or willingness to pay property taxes.

The top twenty secured taxpayers account for 12.38% of the Improvement District's total secured assessed value. As such, the ownership is not concentrated within the Improvement District.

SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Largest Fiscal Year 2025-26 Local Secured Taxpayers

	<u>Property Owner</u>	<u>Primary Land Use</u>	<u>2025-26 Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>% of Total (1)</u>
1.	1500 Grant Line Road Project LLC	Warehouse	\$ 266,915,319	1.98%
2.	USLP Tracy IV LP	Warehouse	118,855,295	0.88
3.	Leprino Foods Company Corp.	Food Processing	107,125,022	0.79
4.	Prologis LP	Warehouse	105,293,885	0.78
5.	Olliix LLC	Industrial	102,161,953	0.76
6.	Compton Merger Sub LLC	Warehouse	98,817,192	0.73
7.	LTMT Tracy LLC	Apartments	93,680,976	0.69
8.	Tracy 300 LP	Apartments	83,132,950	0.62
9.	USLP Tracy VI Owner LLC	Warehouse	76,979,196	0.57
10.	DCT Arbor Avenue LLC	Warehouse	72,799,436	0.54
11.	Valpico Tracy Apt LLC	Apartments	68,954,818	0.51
12.	Pac Corporate Center Tracy	Warehouse	66,075,778	0.49
13.	Gateway Crossing Holdings LLC	Apartments	57,941,665	0.43
14.	Central Valley LLC	Warehouse	56,917,793	0.42
15.	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	Land Holdings/Water Rights	56,197,780	0.42
16.	BCI IV Portfolio Real Estate HoldCo LLC	Warehouse	51,893,501	0.38
17.	West Valley Realty LLC	Shopping Center	51,776,500	0.38
18.	BCI IV Tracy DC III	Warehouse	48,797,445	0.36
19.	Save Mart Portfolio Owner NLP CA LLC	Shopping Center	46,850,098	0.35
20.	Tracy Pavillion GRF2 LLC	Shopping Center	39,823,993	0.30
			\$1,670,990,595	12.38%

(1) Fiscal year 2025-26 local secured assessed valuation: \$13,499,561,317.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Direct and Overlapping Debt

Set forth on the following table is a direct and overlapping debt report (the “**Debt Report**”) prepared by California Municipal Statistics, Inc. The Debt Report is included for general information purposes only. The District has not reviewed the Debt Report for completeness or accuracy and makes no representation in connection therewith.

The Debt Report generally includes long-term obligations sold in the public credit markets by public agencies whose boundaries overlap the boundaries of the District in whole or in part. Such long-term obligations generally are not payable from revenues of the District (except as indicated) nor are they necessarily obligations secured by land within the District. In many cases, long-term obligations issued by a public agency are payable only from the general fund or other revenues of such public agency.

SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Statement of Direct and Overlapping Bonded Debt⁽¹⁾ Debt as of March 1, 2026

2025-26 Assessed Valuation: \$14,583,505,098

<u>DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT:</u>	<u>% Applicable</u>	<u>Debt 3/1/26</u>
San Joaquin Delta Community College District	10.858%	\$ 14,473,171
San Joaquin Delta Community College District SFID No. 1	11.521	4,723,610
Tracy Joint Unified School District	69.003	13,703,996
Tracy Unified School District School Facilities Improvement District No. 3	100.	150,134,900(1)
City of Tracy Community Facilities Districts	0.338 - 100.	34,246,535
1915 Act Bonds	100.	<u>1,784,000</u>
TOTAL DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT		\$219,066,212
<u>OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT:</u>		
San Joaquin County Certificates of Participation	11.700%	\$ 4,313,790
City of Stockton Pension Obligation Bonds	0.002	1,041
City of Tracy General Fund Obligations	67.452	<u>53,169,039</u>
TOTAL OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT		\$57,483,870
<u>OVERLAPPING TAX INCREMENT DEBT (Successor Agency):</u>		\$18,735,448
COMBINED TOTAL DEBT		\$295,285,530 (2)

Ratios to 2025-26 Assessed Valuation:

Direct Debt (\$150,134,900)	1.03%
Total Direct and Overlapping Tax and Assessment Debt	1.50%
Combined Total Debt	2.02%

Ratios to Redevelopment Incremental Valuation (\$1,763,477,103):

Total Overlapping Tax Increment Debt	1.06%
--	-------

(1) Excludes the Bonds described herein.

(2) Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue, mortgage revenue and non-bonded capital lease obligations.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

TAX MATTERS

Tax Exemption

Federal Tax Status. In the opinion of Jones Hall LLP, San Mateo, California, Bond Counsel, subject, however to the qualifications set forth below, under existing law, the interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and such interest is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Bonds may be subject to the corporate alternative minimum tax.

The opinions set forth in the preceding paragraph are subject to the condition that the District comply with all requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "**Tax Code**") that must be satisfied subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds in order that the interest thereon be, and continue to be, excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The District has made certain representations and covenants in order to comply with each such requirement. Inaccuracy of those representations, or failure to comply with certain of those covenants, may cause the inclusion of such interest in gross income for federal income tax purposes, which may be retroactive to the date of issuance of the Bonds.

Tax Treatment of Original Issue Discount and Premium. If the initial offering price to the public at which a Bond is sold is less than the amount payable at maturity thereof, then such difference constitutes "original issue discount" for purposes of federal income taxes and State personal income taxes. If the initial offering price to the public at which a Bond is sold is greater than the amount payable at maturity thereof, then such difference constitutes "original issue premium" for purposes of federal income taxes and State personal income taxes. *De minimis* original issue discount and original issue premium are disregarded.

Under the Tax Code, original issue discount is treated as interest excluded from federal gross income and exempt from State personal income taxes to the extent properly allocable to each owner thereof subject to the limitations described in the first paragraph of this section. The original issue discount accrues over the term to maturity of the Bond on the basis of a constant interest rate compounded on each interest or principal payment date (with straight-line interpolations between compounding dates). The amount of original issue discount accruing during each period is added to the adjusted basis of such Bonds to determine taxable gain upon disposition (including sale, redemption (if applicable), or payment on maturity) of such Bond. The Tax Code contains certain provisions relating to the accrual of original issue discount in the case of purchasers of the Bonds who purchase the Bonds after the initial offering of a substantial amount of such maturity. Owners of such Bonds should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the tax consequences of ownership of Bonds with original issue discount, including the treatment of purchasers who do not purchase in the original offering to the public at the first price at which a substantial amount of such Bonds is sold to the public.

Under the Tax Code, original issue premium is amortized on an annual basis over the term of the Bond (said term being the shorter of the Bond's maturity date or its call date). The amount of original issue premium amortized each year reduces the adjusted basis of the owner of the Bond for purposes of determining taxable gain or loss upon disposition. The amount of original issue premium on a Bond is amortized each year over the term to maturity of the Bond on the basis of a constant interest rate compounded on each interest or principal payment date (with straight-line interpolations between compounding dates). Amortized Bond premium is not deductible for federal income tax purposes. Owners of premium Bonds, including purchasers

who do not purchase in the original offering, should consult their own tax advisors with respect to State personal income tax and federal income tax consequences of owning such Bonds.

California Tax Status. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Bonds is exempt from State personal income taxes.

Other Tax Considerations

Current and future legislative proposals, if enacted into law, clarification of the Tax Code or court decisions may cause interest on the Bonds to be subject, directly or indirectly, to federal income taxation or to be subject to or exempted from state income taxation or otherwise prevent beneficial owners from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. The introduction or enactment of any such legislative proposals, clarification of the Tax Code or court decisions may also affect the market price for, or marketability of, the Bonds. It cannot be predicted whether or in what form any such proposal might be enacted or whether, if enacted, such legislation would apply to bonds issued prior to enactment.

The opinions expressed by Bond Counsel are based upon existing legislation and regulations as interpreted by relevant judicial and regulatory authorities as of the date of such opinion, and Bond Counsel has expressed no opinion with respect to any proposed legislation or as to the tax treatment of interest on the Bonds, or as to the consequences of owning or receiving interest on the Bonds, as of any future date. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors regarding any pending or proposed federal or state tax legislation, regulations or litigation, as to which Bond Counsel expresses no opinion.

Owners of the Bonds should also be aware that the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Bonds may have federal or state tax consequences other than as described above. Other than as expressly described above, Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding other federal or state tax consequences arising with respect to the Bonds, the ownership, sale or disposition of the Bonds, or the amount, accrual or receipt of interest on the Bonds.

Form of Opinion

A copy of the proposed form of opinion of Bond Counsel is attached hereto as APPENDIX D.

VERIFICATION OF MATHEMATICAL ACCURACY

The Verification Agent, upon delivery of the Bonds, will deliver a report of the mathematical accuracy of certain computations, contained in schedules provided to them on behalf of the District, relating to (a) the sufficiency of the anticipated amount of proceeds of the Bonds and other funds available to pay, when due, the principal and interest requirements of the Refunded Bonds and (b) the “yields” on the amount of proceeds held and invested prior to redemption of the Refunded Bonds and on the Bonds considered by Bond Counsel in connection with the opinion rendered by Bond Counsel that the Bonds are not “arbitrage bonds” within the meaning of Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. See “THE REFINANCING PLAN.”

The report of the Verification Agent will include the statement that the scope of their engagement is limited to verifying mathematical accuracy, of the computations contained in such schedules provided to them, and that they have no obligation to update their report because of events occurring, or data or information coming to their attention, subsequent to the date of their report.

LITIGATION AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS

No Pending Litigation Relating to Bond Matters

No litigation is pending or threatened concerning the validity of the Bonds, and a certificate to that effect will be furnished to purchasers at the time of the original delivery of the Bonds. The District is not aware of any litigation pending or threatened that (i) questions the political existence of the District or the proceedings and formation of the Improvement District, (ii) contests the District’s ability to receive *ad valorem* property taxes or to collect other revenues within the Improvement District or (iii) contests the District’s ability to issue and sell the Bonds.

Future and General Claims

[Subject to 2026 Due Diligence] The District is subject to lawsuits and claims that have arisen and/or may arise in the normal course of operating the District. In the opinion of the District, the aggregate amount of the uninsured liabilities of the District under existing lawsuits and claims, if any, will not materially affect the financial position or operations of the District. The District cannot predict what types of claims may arise in the future.

CYBERSECURITY RISKS

The District, like other public and private entities, relies on computer and other digital networks and systems to conduct its operations. As a recipient and provider of personal, private or other electronic sensitive information, the District may be the subject of cyber threats and/or fraud including, but not limited to, hacking, viruses, malware and other attacks on computer and other sensitive digital networks and systems. Entities or individuals may attempt to gain unauthorized remote access to District or other third-party systems related to the District for the purposes of misappropriating assets or information, causing operational disruption or damage, or demanding ransom for restored access to files or information. The District could also be the subject of cyber fraud events.

[Subject to 2026 Due Diligence] The District has not in the previous five years had a major cyber breach to its systems. The District has taken steps to reduce the risk of cyber losses or events including routinely updating software and security patches on all user devices, multi-factor authentication across management, technology and student accounts, use of geoblocking and a process to allow geo access, on-site back ups as well as cloud back ups, and phishing campaigns are routinely scheduled. The District also obtains insurance that includes coverage for cyber losses and events. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no assurance can be given that the District's current efforts to manage cyber threats and security will, in all cases, be successful. The District cannot predict what future cyber security events may occur, if any, with respect to it and what impact said events could have on its operations or finances.

The District relies on other entities and service providers in the course of operating the District, including the County Office of Education for certain purposes, and the County with respect to its servers and systems for accounting and other matters, as well as other trustees, fiscal agents, dissemination agents and project management firms. No assurance can be given that future cyber threats and attacks against other third party entities or service providers will not directly or indirectly impact the District and the owners of the Bonds, including the possibility of impacting the timely payments of debt service on the Bonds or timely filings pursuant to the Continuing Disclosure Certificate.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

The District has covenanted, for the benefit of holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds to provide certain financial information and operating data relating to the District to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board on an annual basis (an “**Annual Report**”) not later than nine months after the end of the District's fiscal year (which currently would be June 30), commencing by March 31, 2027, with the report for the 2025-26 Fiscal Year, and to provide notices of the occurrence of certain enumerated events. The Annual Report and other required notices will be filed by the District with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the “**MSRB**”) in the manner prescribed by the Securities Exchange Commission. The specific nature of such information is set forth below under the caption APPENDIX E – “Form of Continuing Disclosure Certificate.” These covenants have been made in order to assist the Underwriter in complying with S.E.C. Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) (the “**Rule**”).

[Subject to 2026 further due diligence] The District has made prior undertakings pursuant to the Rule. In the previous five years a Moody's rating upgrade occurred on March 1, 2021 and a related notice was filed more than ten days later on April 1, 2021.

The District has appointed Isom Advisors, a Division of Urban Futures, Inc. to serve as dissemination agent for the Bonds and its other undertakings.

The County and no other entity other than the District shall have any obligation or incur any liability whatsoever with respect to the performance of the District's duties regarding continuing disclosure.

RATING

Moody's Investors Services ("Moody's") has assigned a rating of "___" to the Bonds. The District has provided certain additional information and materials to Moody's (some of which has been determined not to be material to making an investment decision in the Bonds and does not appear in this Official Statement). Such rating reflects only the views of Moody's and explanations of the significance of such rating may be obtained only from Moody's. There is no assurance that any credit rating given to the Bonds will be maintained for any period of time or that the rating may not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by Moody's if, in Moody's judgment, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of a rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

UNDERWRITING

Raymond James & Associates, Inc. (the "Underwriter"), has agreed to purchase the Bonds pursuant to a bond purchase agreement for the Bonds (the "Bond Purchase Agreement"). The Underwriter has agreed to purchase the Bonds at a price of \$_____, representing the principal amount of the Bonds, plus [net] original issue premium of \$_____ and less an Underwriter's discount of \$_____. The Bond Purchase Agreement provides that the Underwriter will purchase all of the Bonds (if any are purchased), and it provides that the Underwriter's obligation to purchase is subject to certain terms and conditions, including the approval of certain legal matters by counsel. The Underwriter may offer and sell Bonds to certain dealers and others at prices lower than the offering prices stated on the inside cover page hereof. The offering prices may be changed by the Underwriter.

MISCELLANEOUS

Legality for Investment

Under provisions of the California Financial Code, the Bonds are legal investments for commercial banks in the State to the extent that the Bonds, in the informed opinion of the bank, are prudent for the investment of funds of depositors, and under provisions of the California Government Code, the Bonds are eligible to secure deposits of public moneys in the State.

Compensation of Certain Professionals

Payment of the fees and expenses of Jones Hall LLP, as Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel to the District, the fees and expenses of Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Los Angeles, California, as counsel to the Underwriter, and the fees and expenses of Isom Advisors, a Division of Urban Futures, Inc., as Municipal Advisor to the District, is contingent upon issuance of the Bonds.

Additional Information

The discussions herein about the Bond Resolution, Escrow Agreement and the Continuing Disclosure Certificate are brief outlines of certain provisions thereof. Such outlines do not purport to be complete and for full and complete statements of such provisions reference is made to such

documents. Copies of these documents are available from the District and following delivery of the Bonds will be on file at the offices of the Paying Agent in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

References are also made herein to certain documents and reports relating to the District; such references are brief summaries and do not purport to be complete or definitive. Copies of such documents are available upon written request to the District.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the purchasers or Owners of any of the Bonds.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

EXECUTION

The execution and delivery of this Official Statement have been duly authorized by the District.

TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: _____
Superintendent

APPENDIX A

GENERAL AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

The information in this and other sections concerning the Tracy Unified School District (the “District”) of San Joaquin County (the “County”), State of California (the “State”) operations and operating budget is provided as supplementary information only, and it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal of or interest on the Bonds is payable from the General Fund of the District. The Bonds are payable solely from the proceeds of an ad valorem tax required to be levied by the County in an amount sufficient for the payment thereof. See “SECURITY FOR THE BONDS” in the front half of the Official Statement.

GENERAL DISTRICT INFORMATION

General Information

The District, which covers approximately 425 square miles located in the County, provides educational services to the residents of the City of Tracy (the “City”) and surrounding unincorporated areas.

The District was formerly known as the Tracy Joint Unified School District when the service area of the District included properties in more than one county. However, as a result of reorganization proceedings, the only elementary school district in Alameda County for which the District provided high school services was redirected to a different school district for such services, making San Joaquin County the area serviced by the District. Subsequently, the District formally removed the word “Joint” from its name.

The District operates eight K-5 schools, four K-8 schools, two middle schools, two high schools, two continuation high schools, one charter school, a community day school, and adult educational classes. There were no boundary changes during the year. Total enrollment for the 2025-26 school year is approximately 13,201 (not including charter school enrollment). See also Appendix C hereto for demographic and other statistical information regarding the City and the County.

The District has formed a school facilities improvement district within its boundaries, known as School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 (the “**Improvement District**”). The Improvement District was formed on April 8, 2008 by the Board of Education of the District following a public hearing, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 15300) of Part 10 of Division 1 of the California Education Code (the “**Act**”) and proceedings taken by the District. The Improvement District consists of the region of the District that serves elementary students and provides District-owned elementary facilities, and excludes the area of separate and independent elementary school districts that operate within District boundaries.

See also APPENDIX C hereto for demographic and other statistical information regarding the City and the County.

Administration

Board of Education. The governing board of the District is called the Board of Education (the “**Board**”). The Board includes seven voting members elected by the voters of the District. Elections for positions to the Board are held every two years, alternating between three and four available positions. The current voting Board members are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Board Position</u>	<u>Term Expires</u>
Jeremy Silcox	President	November 2026
RG Fagin	Vice-President	November 2026
Olinga Alexander	Clerk	November 2026
Denise Cheeseman	Member	November 2028
Lynn Dell Hawkins	Member	November 2028
Scott Secker	Member	November 2028
Diane Traina	Member	November 2026

Superintendent and Administrative Personnel. The Superintendent of the District, appointed by the Board, is responsible for management of the day-to-day operations and supervises the work of other District administrators. Dr. Robert Pecot is Superintendent for the District. Tania Salinas is the Associate Superintendent of Business Services.

Recent Enrollment and ADA Trends

The following table shows recent and projected enrollment and average daily attendance (“**ADA**”) history for the District.

ANNUAL ENROLLMENT AND ADA Fiscal Years 2018-19 through 2025-26 Tracy Unified School District

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Enrollment⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>% Change</u>	<u>ADA**</u>	<u>% Change</u>
2018-19	14,621	--%	13,972	--%
2019-20*	14,548	(0.5)	13,879	(0.7)
2020-21	14,238	(2.1)	13,879	0.0
2021-22	13,834	(2.8)	12,656	(8.8)
2022-23	13,625	(1.5)	12,576	(0.6)
2023-24	13,529	(0.7)	12,567	(0.1)
2024-25	13,458	(0.5)	12,435	(1.1)
2025-26 ⁽²⁾	13,201	(1.9)	12,457	0.2

*The COVID-19 pandemic commenced during the 2019-20 fiscal year in approximately March 2020.

**Funded ADA, not actual ADA.

(1) Does not include charter school enrollment.

(2) First interim projections.

Source: Tracy Unified School District.

Charter School Sponsor

The District has approved charters for three independent charter schools pursuant to Education Code Section 47605, being (1) Discovery Charter School, (2) Primary Charter School, and (3) Millennium Charter School. The charter schools are operated by Tracy Learning Center, which is not considered a component unit of the District. The District receives revenue on behalf of the charter schools which it passes on to the charters. This activity is not accounted for within District funds. The District operates one dependent charter school, being the Tracy Independent Study Charter, which the District accounts for within the Charter Fund of its audited financial statements.

Employee Relations

In fiscal year 2024-25 the District is budgeted for 722.0 full time equivalent (“FTE”) certificated employees, 626.0 FTE classified employees and 202.0 management/supervisor/confidential FTE employees. District certificated and classified employees are represented by employee bargaining units as follows:

BARGAINING UNIT CONTRACTS Tracy Unified School District

Employee Group	Representation	Contract Expiration Date
Certificated	Tracy's Educators' Association	June 30, 2026
Classified	California School Employees Association	June 30, 2027

Source: Tracy Unified School District.

Risk Management; Insurance; JPAs

The District is a member of the San Joaquin County Schools Workers’ Compensation public entity risk pool (the “**Pool**”) and San Joaquin County Schools Data Processing joint powers authority (the “**JPA**”). The District pays an annual premium to the applicable entity for its workers' compensation coverage and information technology support. The relationships between the District, the Pool, and the JPA are such that they are not component units of the District for financial reporting purposes.

Property and Liability. The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees and natural disasters. During fiscal year ending June 30, 2025, the District contracted with Northern California Regional Liability Excess Fund (NorCal Relief) for property and liability insurance coverage. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years. There has not been a significant reduction in coverage from the prior year.

Workers’ Compensation. For fiscal year 2024-25, the District participated in the San Joaquin County School Workers’ Compensation (“**SJCSWC**”), an insurance purchasing pool. The intent of the SJCSWC is to achieve the benefit of a reduced premium for the District by virtue of its grouping and representation with other participants in the SJCSWC. The workers’ compensation experience of the participating districts is calculated as one experience and a common premium rate is applied to all districts in the SJCSWC. Each participant pays its workers’ compensation premium based on its individual rate. Total savings are then calculated,

and each participant’s individual performance is compared to the overall savings percentage of each participating school district. A participant will then either receive money from or be required to contribute to the “equity-pooling fund”. This “equity pooling” arrangement ensures that each participant shares equally in the overall performance of the SJCSWC. Coverage provided by SJCSWC, SAFER, and NorCal Relief for property and liability and workers’ compensation is as follows:

**INSURANCE COVERAGE
Tracy Unified School District**

<u>Insurance Program</u>	<u>Type of Coverage</u>	<u>Limits</u>
SJCSWC	Worker’s Compensation	\$1 million
NorCal Relief	Liability	\$1 million
	Auto	\$1 million
	Property	\$250,000-7,500,000 million
SAFER	Excess Liability	\$1-25 million

Source: Tracy Unified School District.

Employee Medical Benefits. The District has contracted with the Central Valley Schools Health and Welfare Trusts to provide employee medical benefits. The Trust is a shared risk pool comprised of District Superintendents and labor representatives of both the California Teachers Association (“**CTA**”) and the California School Employees Association (“**CSEA**”). Rates are set through an annual calculation process. The District pays a monthly contribution, which is placed in a common fund from which claim payments are made for all participating districts. Claims are paid for all participants regardless of claims flow. The Board of Directors has a right to return monies to a district subsequent to the settlement of all expenses and claims if a district withdraws from the pool.

DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The information in this and other sections concerning the District's operations and operating budget is provided as supplementary information only, and it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal of or interest on the Bonds is payable from the general fund of the District. The Bonds are payable from the proceeds of an ad valorem tax required to be levied by the County in an amount sufficient for the payment thereof.

Education Funding Generally

School districts in the State receive operating income primarily from two sources: the State funded portion which is derived from the State's general fund, and a locally funded portion, being the district's share of the one percent general *ad valorem* tax levy authorized by the California Constitution. As a result, decreases or deferrals in education funding by the State could significantly affect a school district's revenues and operations.

From 1973-74 to 2012-13, State school districts operated under general purpose revenue limits established by the State Legislature. In general, revenue limits were calculated for each school district by multiplying (1) the Average Daily Attendance ("**ADA**") for such district by (2) a base revenue limit per unit of ADA. The revenue limit calculations were adjusted annually in accordance with a number of factors designated primarily to provide cost of living increases and to equalize revenues among all State school districts of the same type. Funding of a district's revenue limit was provided by a mix of local property taxes and State apportionments of basic and equalization aid. Generally, the State apportionments amounted to the difference between the District's revenue limit and its local property tax revenues. Districts which had local property tax revenues that exceeded their revenue limit entitlements were deemed a "Basic Aid District" and received full funding from local property tax revenues, and were entitled to keep those tax revenues which exceeded their revenue limit funding entitlement. A district which was not a Basic Aid District was known as a "Revenue Limit District."

The fiscal year 2013-14 State budget replaced the previous K-12 finance system with a new formula known as the Local Control Funding Formula (the "**LCFF**"). Under the LCFF, revenue limits and most state categorical programs were eliminated. School districts instead receive funding based on the demographic profile of the students they serve and gain greater flexibility to use these funds to improve outcomes of students. The LCFF creates funding targets based on student characteristics. For school districts and charter schools, the LCFF funding targets consist of grade span-specific base grants plus supplemental and concentration grants that reflect student demographic factors. The LCFF includes the following components:

- A base grant for each local education agency per unit of ADA, which varies with respect to different grade spans. The base grant is \$2,375 more than the average revenue limit provided prior to LCFF implementation. The base grants will be adjusted upward each year to reflect cost-of-living increases. In addition, grades K-3 and 9-12 are subject to adjustments of 10.4% and 2.6%, respectively, to cover the costs of class size reduction in grades K-3 and the provision of career technical education in grades 9-12.

- A 20% supplemental grant for English learners, students from low-income families and foster youth to reflect increased costs associated with educating those students.
- An additional concentration grant of up to 65% (which was increased from 50% as part of the State’s trailer bill to the 2021-22 State Budget - Assembly Bill 130) of a local education agency’s base grant, based on the number of English learners, students from low-income families and foster youth served by the local agency that comprise more than 55% of enrollment.
- An economic recovery target to ensure that almost every local education agency receives at least their pre-recession funding level, adjusted for inflation, at full implementation of the LCFF.

The LCFF was implemented for fiscal year 2013-14 and was phased in gradually. Beginning in fiscal year 2013-14, an annual transition adjustment was required to be calculated for each school district, equal to each district’s proportionate share of the appropriations included in the State budget (based on the percentage of each district’s students who are low-income, English learners, and foster youth (“**Targeted Students**”)), to close the gap between the prior-year funding level and the target allocation at full implementation of LCFF. In each year, districts had the same proportion of their respective funding gaps closed, with dollar amounts varying depending on the size of a district’s funding gap. The legislation implementing LCFF also included a “hold harmless” provision which provided that a district or charter school would maintain total revenue limit and categorical funding at least equal to its 2012-13 level, unadjusted for changes in ADA or cost of living adjustments.

In 2021, legislation was passed that requires school districts operating a kindergarten program to also provide a transitional kindergarten (“**TK**”) program for all 4-year-old children by fiscal year 2025–26. Funding levels used in the LCFF entitlement calculations for fiscal year 2025-26 are set forth in the following table.

**Fiscal Year 2025-26 Base Grant Funding* Under LCFF
by Grade Span**

Entitlement Factor	TK/K-3	4-6	7-8	9-12
A. 2024-25 Base Grant per ADA	\$10,025	\$10,177	\$10,478	\$12,144
B. 2025-26 COLA for LCFF (A x 2.30%)	\$231	\$234	\$241	\$279
C. 2025-26 Base Grant per ADA before Grade Span Adjustments (A+B)	\$10,256	\$10,411	\$10,719	\$12,423
D. Grade Span Adjustments (TK-3: C x 10.4%; 9-12: C x 2.6%)	\$1,067	n/a	n/a	\$323
E. 2025-26 Base Grant/Adjusted Base Grant per ADA (C + D)	\$11,323	\$10,411	\$10,719	\$12,746

*Add-ons to the Base Grant, as may be applicable, are: (1) Supplemental Grant: For the supplemental grant funding entitlement, for each grade span, the calculation is the base grant or adjusted base grant per ADA, times total funded ADA, times Unduplicated Pupil Percentage, times 20%, (2) Concentration Grant: For the concentration grant funding entitlement, the calculation is the base grant or adjusted base grant per ADA, times total funded ADA, times portion (of Unduplicated Pupil Percentage that exceeds 55%, times 65%, and (3) Transitional Kindergarten Add-On: For the TK add-on funding, the amount is the rate of \$5,545 times the school district’s current year TK ADA.

Source: California Department of Education.

The LCFF includes an accountability component. Districts are required to increase or improve services for English language learners, low income, and foster youth students in proportion to supplemental and concentration grant funding received. All school districts, county offices of education, and charter schools are required to develop and adopt local control and accountability plans, which identify local goals in areas that are priorities for the State, including pupil achievement, parent engagement, and school climate.

County superintendents review and provide support to the districts under their jurisdiction, and the Superintendent of Public Instruction performs a corresponding role for county offices of education. In addition, the State Budget for fiscal year 2013-14 created the California Collaborative for Education Excellence to advise and assist school districts, county offices of education, and charter schools in achieving the goals identified in their plans. Under the LCFF and related legislation, the State will continue to measure student achievement through statewide assessments, produce an Academic Performance Index for schools and subgroups of students, determine the contents of the school accountability report card, and establish policies to implement the federal accountability system.

Basic Aid or Community Supported districts are school districts which have local property tax revenues which exceed such district's funding entitlement under LCFF. As such, in lieu of State funding under LCFF, Basic Aid districts are entitled to keep the full share of local property tax revenues, even the amount which exceeds its funding entitlement under LCFF.

The District's funding formula is currently determined pursuant to LCFF, and not as a Basic Aid district.

District Accounting Practices

The accounting practices of the District conform to generally accepted accounting principles in accordance with policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual. This manual, according to Section 41010 of the California Education Code, is to be followed by all State school districts.

District accounting is organized on the basis of fund groups, with each group consisting of a separate set of self-balancing accounts containing assets, liabilities, fund balances, revenues and expenditures. The major fund classification is the general fund which accounts for all financial resources not requiring a special fund placement. The District's fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30.

District expenditures are accrued at the end of the fiscal year to reflect the receipt of goods and services in that year. Revenues generally are recorded on a cash basis, except for items that are susceptible to accrual (measurable and/or available to finance operations). Current taxes are considered susceptible to accrual. Revenues from specific state and federally funded projects are recognized when qualified expenditures have been incurred. State block grant apportionments are accrued to the extent that they are measurable and predictable. The State Department of Education sends the District updated information from time to time explaining the acceptable accounting treatment of revenue and expenditure categories.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("**GASB**") published its Statement No. 34 "Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments" on June 30, 1999. Statement No. 34 provides guidelines to auditors, state

and local governments and special purpose governments such as school districts and public utilities, on new requirements for financial reporting for all governmental agencies in the United States. Generally, the basic financial statements and required supplementary information should include (i) Management's Discussion and Analysis; (ii) financial statements prepared using the economic measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, (iii) fund financial statements prepared using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual method of accounting and (iv) required supplementary information.

Financial Statements

General. The District's general fund finances the legally authorized activities of the District for which restricted funds are not provided. General fund revenues are derived from such sources as State school fund apportionments, taxes, use of money and property, and aid from other governmental agencies. The District's June 30, 2025 Audited Financial Statements were prepared by Eide Bailly LLP, Menlo Park, California and are attached hereto as Appendix B. Audited financial statements for the District for prior fiscal years are on file with the District and available for public inspection at Tracy Unified School District 1875 West Lowell Avenue, Tracy, California 95376; phone (209) 830-3200. The District has not requested, and the auditor has not provided, any review or update of such Financial Statements in connection with inclusion in this Official Statement. Copies of such financial statements will be mailed to prospective investors and their representatives upon written request to the District. This District may impose a charge for copying, mailing and handling.

General Fund Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance. The following table shows the audited income and expense statements for the District for the fiscal years 2020-21 through 2024-25.

REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
Fiscal Years 2019-20 through 2023-24 (Audited)⁽¹⁾
Tracy Unified School District

	Audited 2020-21	Audited 2021-22	Audited 2022-23	Audited 2023-24	Audited 2024-25
Revenues:					
LCFF Sources:	\$142,814,200	\$150,261,536	\$167,410,047	\$178,100,882	\$186,041,922
Federal Revenues	19,753,016	13,360,032	21,061,026	23,124,093	7,935,141
State Revenues	19,841,741	25,971,697	53,274,202	28,531,891	31,551,140
Local Revenues	6,432,796	6,062,130	12,252,819	17,705,905	14,714,058
Total Revenues	188,841,753	195,655,395	253,998,094	247,462,771	240,242,261
Expenditures:					
Instruction	97,662,590	111,590,745	134,453,565	138,717,709	140,878,242
Supervision of Instruction	4,637,753	6,623,919	8,407,622	9,134,426	8,968,066
Instructional library, media, technology	14,302,427	9,120,569	9,248,015	9,511,245	8,609,852
School site administration	11,320,040	13,197,453	16,421,124	16,389,473	16,458,531
Home-to-school transportation	4,786,982	6,390,325	6,886,619	7,519,079	9,810,383
Food services	2,208,173	130,489	202,782	361,405	338,525
All other pupil services	8,564,899	11,709,740	13,798,703	14,985,348	17,804,445
All other general administration	6,905,435	7,897,968	9,158,590	11,240,725	11,678,486
Plant services	16,235,659	18,742,164	21,418,136	27,071,778	21,975,633
Ancillary services	1,272,192	1,576,550	1,648,205	2,144,447	2,269,215
Community services	105,267	153,568	172,890	272,318	263,905
Other outgo	--	2,201,555	2,434,775	3,019,127	3,359,783
Facility acquisition and construction	851,523	918,964	--	--	--
Capital outlay	--	--	4,983,502	12,606,099	11,536,176
Debt service – principal and interest	45,657	--	--	--	--
Total Expenditures	168,898,597	190,254,024	229,234,502	252,973,179	253,951,242
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	19,943,156	5,401,371	24,763,566	(5,510,408)	(13,708,981)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)					
Operating transfers in	55,901	341,517	2,807,835	7,120,039	6,786,112
Operating transfers out	(602,328)	--	(35,865)	--	(182,548)
Other sources	(2,268,446)	--	--	--	--
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(2,814,873)	341,517	2,771,970	7,120,039	6,603,564
Net change in fund balance	17,128,283	5,742,888	27,535,536	1,609,688	(7,105,417)
Fund Balances July 1	57,236,331	74,364,614	80,107,502	107,643,038	109,252,726
Fund Balances June 30	\$74,364,614	\$80,107,502	\$107,643,038	\$109,252,726	\$102,147,309

(1) Totals may not foot due to rounding.

Source: Tracy Unified School District - Audited Financial Statements.

District Budget and Interim Financial Reporting

Budgeting and Interim Reporting Procedures. State law requires school districts to maintain a balanced budget in each fiscal year. The State Department of Education imposes a uniform budgeting and accounting format for school districts.

Under current law, a school district governing board must adopt and file with the county superintendent of schools a tentative budget by July 1 in each fiscal year. The District is under the jurisdiction of the San Joaquin County Superintendent of Schools (the "**County Superintendent**"). The County Superintendent is separate from the County, and is not an official of the County.

The County Superintendent must review and approve or disapprove the budget no later than September 15. The County Superintendent is required to examine the adopted budget for compliance with the standards and criteria adopted by the State Board of Education and identify technical corrections necessary to bring the budget into compliance with the established standards. If the budget is disapproved, it is returned to the District with recommendations for revision. The District is then required to revise the budget, hold a public hearing thereon, adopt the revised budget and file it with the County Superintendent no later than September 8. Pursuant to State law, the County Superintendent has available various remedies by which to impose and enforce a budget that complies with State criteria, depending on the circumstances, if a budget is disapproved. After approval of an adopted budget, the school district's administration may submit budget revisions for governing board approval.

Subsequent to approval, the County Superintendent will monitor each district under its jurisdiction throughout the fiscal year pursuant to its adopted budget to determine on an ongoing basis if the district can meet its current or subsequent year financial obligations. If the County Superintendent determines that a district cannot meet its current or subsequent year obligations, the County Superintendent will notify the district's governing board of the determination and may then do either or both of the following: (a) assign a fiscal advisor to enable the district to meet those obligations or (b) if a study and recommendations are made and a district fails to take appropriate action to meet its financial obligations, the County Superintendent will so notify the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, and then may do any or all of the following for the remainder of the fiscal year: (i) request additional information regarding the district's budget and operations; (ii) after also consulting with the district's board, develop and impose revisions to the budget that will enable the district to meet its financial obligations; and (iii) stay or rescind any action inconsistent with such revisions. However, the County Superintendent may not abrogate any provision of a collective bargaining agreement that was entered into prior to the date upon which the County Superintendent assumed authority.

A State law adopted in 1991 ("**A.B. 1200**") imposed additional financial reporting requirements on school districts, and established guidelines for emergency State aid apportionments. Under the provisions of A.B. 1200, each school district is required to file interim certifications with the County Superintendent (on December 15, for the period ended October 31, and by mid-March for the period ended January 31) as to its ability to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the then-current fiscal year and, based on current forecasts, for the subsequent two fiscal years. The County Superintendent reviews the certification and issues either a positive, negative or qualified certification. A positive certification is assigned to any school district that will meet its financial obligations for the current fiscal year and the subsequent two fiscal years. A negative certification is assigned to any school district

that is deemed unable to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the current fiscal year or the subsequent fiscal year. A qualified certification is assigned to any school district that may not meet its financial obligations for the current fiscal year or the two subsequent fiscal years.

Under State law, any school district and office of education that has a qualified or negative certification in any fiscal year may not issue, in that fiscal year or in the next succeeding fiscal year, certificates of participation, tax anticipation notes, revenue bonds or any other debt instruments that do not require the approval of the voters of the district, unless the applicable county superintendent of schools determines that the district's repayment of indebtedness is probable.

District's Budget Approval/Disapproval and Certification History. Each of the District's interim reports in the previous five fiscal years have been certified as positive, and its most recent budget was approved by the County Superintendent. Copies of the District's budget, interim reports and certifications may be obtained upon request from the Tracy Unified School District, 1875 West Lowell Avenue, Tracy, California 95376; phone (209) 830-3200.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

General Fund for Fiscal Year 2025-26 (Adopted Budget and First Interim Projections). The following table shows a summary of the general fund for fiscal year 2025-26 (adopted budget and first interim projections).

**REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE⁽¹⁾
Fiscal Year 2025-26 (Adopted Budget and First Interim Projections)
Tracy Unified School District**

<u>Revenues</u>	Adopted Budget 2025-26	First Interim 2025-26
LCFF Revenues	\$190,727,429	\$188,520,264
Federal Revenues	8,077,095	9,325,220
Other State Revenues	22,843,881	27,783,050
Other Local Revenues	9,359,148	7,819,785
Total Revenues	231,007,553	233,448,318
<u>Expenditures</u>		
Certificated Salaries	92,478,289	90,381,300
Classified Salaries	40,015,776	39,099,225
Employee Benefits	54,358,590	53,121,711
Books and Supplies	20,993,164	27,892,890
Services & Operating Exp.	30,080,074	34,155,393
Capital Outlay	840,642	2,410,305
Other Outgo (excluding indirect costs)	3,805,965	3,819,008
Other Outgo – Transfers of Indirect Costs	(442,613)	(442,613)
Total Expenditures	242,129,887	250,437,220
Excess of Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures	(11,122,334)	(16,988,902)
<u>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</u>		
Operating transfers in	--	--
Operating transfers out	--	(108,796)
Other sources/uses	--	--
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	--	(108,796)
Net change in fund balance	(11,122,334)	(17,097,698)
Fund Balance, July 1	85,498,104	88,548,088
Fund Balance, June 30	\$74,375,770	\$71,450,390

(1) Columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

(2) The District has a practice of conservative budgeting. Actual deficit spending is expected to be less than indicated above.

Source: Tracy Unified School District.

District Reserves. The District's ending fund balance is the accumulation of surpluses from prior years. State law requires that school districts maintain available reserves at certain levels ranging between 1% to 5% of total expenditures and other financing uses based on ADA. This fund balance is used to meet the State's minimum required reserve of 3% of expenditures, plus any other allocation or reserve which might be approved as an expenditure by the District in the future. The District maintains an unrestricted reserve which meets the State's minimum requirements. In addition, the District has a Board Policy requiring a general fund reserve of 12.04% of expenditures.

Under State law (Education Code Section 42127.01), there are certain restrictions on the amount of reserves that can be maintained by school districts under certain circumstances. This reserve cap requirement does not apply to small school districts (ADA of fewer than 2,501 students) or school districts funded as Basic Aid school districts. When applicable, the reserve cap requires that a school district's adopted or revised budget shall not contain a combined assigned or unassigned ending general fund balance of more than 10% of those funds. The applicability of the reserve cap is based on the balance in the State's Public School System Stabilization Account and is triggered in a fiscal year when the balance is equal to or exceeds 3% of the combined total of general fund revenues appropriated for school districts. A county superintendent of schools may grant a school district under its jurisdiction an exemption from the requirements for up to two consecutive fiscal years within a three-year period if the school district provides documentation indicating that extraordinary fiscal circumstances, including, but not limited to, multiyear infrastructure or technology projects, substantiate the need for a combined assigned or unassigned ending general fund balance that is in excess of the reserve cap. The reserve cap has not been triggered for fiscal year 2025-26. The District monitors the applicability of the reserve cap and its reserve levels.

Attendance - Revenue Limit and LCFF Funding

Funding Trends per ADA. As previously described, prior to fiscal year 2013-14, school districts in the State derived most State funding based on a formula which considered a revenue limit per unit of ADA. With the implementation of the LCFF, commencing in fiscal year 2013-14, school districts receive base funding based on ADA, and may also be entitled to supplemental funding, concentration grants and funding based on an economic recovery target. The following table sets forth total LCFF funding and ADA for the District in recent years.

**AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE AND FUNDING TRENDS
Tracy Unified School District
Fiscal Years 2020-21 through 2025-26**

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>ADA</u>	<u>Total LCFF Funding</u>
2020-21	13,879	\$142,814,200
2021-22	12,656	150,261,536
2022-23	12,576	167,410,047
2023-24	12,567	178,100,882
2024-25	12,435	186,041,922
2025-26 ⁽¹⁾	12,457	188,520,264

⁽¹⁾ First interim projections.
Source: California Department of Education; Tracy Unified School District.

Unduplicated Pupil Count. The District has a Target Student unduplicated count of approximately 75% (including dependent charter school students). Because this percentage is over 55%, the District qualifies for both supplemental funding and concentration funding under LCFF. It is possible that the federal government's increased enforcement of immigration laws and regulations could impact attendance in certain school districts. The District cannot at this time predict if these events could impact its ADA and related funding entitlement under LCFF.

Revenue Sources

The District categorizes its general fund revenues into four sources, being LCFF, Federal Revenues, Other State Revenues and Local Revenues. Each of these revenue sources is described below.

LCFF Sources. District funding is provided by a mix of (1) local property taxes and (2) State apportionments of funding under the LCFF. Generally, the State apportionments will amount to the difference between the District's LCFF funding entitlement and its local property tax revenues.

Beginning in 1978-79, Proposition 13 and its implementing legislation provided for each county to levy (except for levies to support prior voter-approved indebtedness) and collect all property taxes, and prescribed how levies on county-wide property values are to be shared with local taxing entities within each county.

The principal component of local revenues is the school district's property tax revenues, i.e., the district's share of the local 1% property tax, received pursuant to Sections 75 and following and Sections 95 and following of the California Revenue and Taxation Code. Education Code Section 42238(h) itemizes the local revenues that are counted towards the base revenue limit before calculating how much the State must provide in equalization aid. Historically, the more local property taxes a district received, the less State equalization aid it is entitled to.

Under LCFF, a school district whose property tax revenues exceed its funding under the LCFF is entitled to keep its local property tax revenues which exceed its LCFF funding, maintaining its status as a Basic Aid District, now referred to as a "Community Supported District." For school districts that were Basic Aid prior to implementation of the LCFF, such districts are entitled to retain their status as Community Supported and keep their full local property tax revenue entitlement, provided that the per-pupil funding targets under LCFF, including economic recovery targets, are met or exceeded by local property tax revenues. The threshold for Community Supported status under the LCFF, however, is higher than under the prior funding formula, resulting in some districts falling out of Community Supported status as the result of the implementation of the LCFF. The District is funded under LCFF and is not funded as a Basic Aid District.

Federal Revenues. The federal government currently provides funding for several District programs, including special education programs, programs under Every Student Succeeds, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and specialized programs such as Drug Free Schools. The District cannot predict if there will be suspensions, modifications, or eliminations to these funding sources.

In January 2025, the federal government announced possible cuts to federal funding for educational agencies. President Trump has also signed an executive order aimed at terminating the United States Department of Education. In a move which some pundits claim effectively terminates the United States Department of Education, the Supreme Court granted the Trump administration's request to temporarily pause an order by a lower federal judge that would have required the Department of Education to reinstate nearly 1,400 employees who were fired by President Trump's executive order. Furthermore, on July 1, 2025, President Trump announced that it would withhold \$6.2 billion in grants already allocated to school districts to support English learners, \$811 million of which was allocated for schools in the

State. However, subsequently in late July 2025, the federal administration announced it was releasing roughly \$1.3 billion in grant money for schools, of which approximately \$158 million was for schools in the State. The District continues to monitor the situation. Other than what is described in the foregoing sentences, the District cannot predict the types of possible federal funding cuts that may occur, the extent of such cuts, if any, and the impact on the District's finances or operations as a result of a termination of the Department of Education.

Other State Revenues. As discussed above, the District receives State apportionment of basic and equalization aid in an amount equal to the difference between the District's revenue limit and its property tax revenues. In addition to such apportionment revenue, the District receives other State revenues.

The District receives State aid from the California State Lottery (the "**Lottery**"), which was established by a constitutional amendment approved in the November 1984 general election. Lottery revenues must be used for the education of students and cannot be used for non-instructional purposes such as real property acquisition, facility construction, or the financing of research. Moreover, State Proposition 20 approved in March 2000 requires that 50% of the increase in Lottery revenues over 1997-98 levels must be restricted to use on instruction material.

For additional discussion of State aid to school districts, see "STATE FUNDING OF EDUCATION; RECENT STATE BUDGETS."

Other Local Revenues. In addition to local property taxes, the District receives additional local revenues from items such as interest earnings and other local sources.

District Retirement Systems

Qualified employees of the District are covered under multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans maintained by agencies of the State. Certificated employees are members of the State Teachers' Retirement System ("**STRS**") and classified employees are members of the Public Employees' Retirement System ("**PERS**"). Both STRS and PERS are operated on a Statewide basis. *The information set forth below regarding the STRS and PERS programs, other than the information provided by the District regarding its annual contributions thereto, has been obtained from publicly available sources which are believed to be reliable but are not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness, and should not to be construed as a representation by either the District or the Underwriter.*

STRS. All full-time certificated employees participate in STRS, a cost-sharing, multiple-employer contributory public employee retirement system. The plan provides retirement and disability benefits and survivor benefits to beneficiaries. The plan is funded through a combination of investment earnings and statutorily set contributions from three sources: employees, employers, and the State. The benefit provisions and contribution amounts are established by State laws, as amended from time to time.

Prior to fiscal year 2014-15, contribution rates were constant and not subject to annual variations. K-14 school districts were required by statute to contribute 8.25% of eligible salary expenditures, and participants contributed 8% of their respective salaries. In September 2013, however, STRS projected that the plan would be depleted in 31 years if existing contribution rates continued and other actuarial assumptions were realized, largely due to significant investment losses.

Assembly Bill 1469 was adopted as part of the State’s fiscal year 2014-15 budget (“**AB 1469**”), aimed at fully funding the unfunded actuarial obligation of STRS with respect to service credited to member of STRS prior to July 1, 2014, within 32 years, by increasing contribution rates of members, K-14 school district employers, and the State. Under AB 1469, employer contributions were proposed to steadily increase over seven years. However, several modifications to the schedule were undertaken in connection with State budgets. Contribution rates for the past several years are summarized pursuant to the following schedule:

STRS EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATES
Effective Dates of July 1, 2021 through July 1, 2025

Effective Date	Employer Contribution Rate
July 1, 2021	16.92%
July 1, 2022	19.10
July 1, 2023	19.10
July 1, 2024	19.10
July 1, 2025	19.10

Source: STRS.

The State also continues to contribute to STRS, and its contribution rate in fiscal year 2025-26 is 8.328%.

The District’s recent contributions to STRS including the current budgeted fiscal year are set forth in the following table. These contributions represent 100% of the required contribution for each year.

STRS Contributions
Tracy Unified School District

Fiscal Year	Amount*
2020-21	\$8,087,037
2021-22	12,828,762
2022-23	15,875,415
2023-24	16,771,629
2024-25	17,399,537
2025-26 ⁽¹⁾	22,089,913

*Increases attributed to increase in contribution rates and modified accounting reporting requirements, which include reporting the District’s proportionate share of the plan’s net pension liability and recognizing on-behalf STRS contributions in governmental funds.

(1) First interim projection.

Source: Tracy Unified School District.

The STRS defined benefit program continues to have an unfunded actuarial liability estimated at approximately \$88.7 billion, on a market value of assets basis, as of June 30, 2024, which is the date of the last actuarial valuation.

PERS. All full-time and some part-time classified employees participate in PERS, an agent multiple-employer contributory public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State. PERS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The

District is part of a cost-sharing pool within PERS known as the “Schools Pool.” Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended. Contributions to PERS are made by employers and employees. Each fiscal year, employers are required to contribute an amount based on an actuarially determined employer rate, and employees make contributions which vary based on their date of hire.

Like the STRS program, the PERS program has experienced an unfunded liability in recent years. To address this issue, the PERS board has taken a number of actions, including changes to the PERS amortization and smoothing policy intended to reduce volatility in employer contribution rates and adopting changes in actuarial assumptions. In November 2015, PERS adopted a funding risk mitigation policy incrementally lowering its discount rate (its assumed rate of investment return) in years of good investment returns, to help pay down the pension fund's unfunded liability, and provide greater predictability and less volatility in contribution rates for employers. SB 90, and Assembly Bill 84/Senate Bill 111 (“**AB 84**”) of June 2020, directed contributions of \$430 million and \$330 million in satisfaction of portions of employer contribution rates in fiscal years 2020-21 and 2021-22, respectively. Recent employer contribution rates are set forth in the following table.

**EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATES (PERS)
Fiscal Years 2021-22 through 2025-26⁽¹⁾**

Fiscal Year	Employer Contribution Rate
2021-22	22.910%
2022-23	25.370
2023-24	26.680
2024-25	27.050
2025-26	26.810

(1) Expressed as a percentage of covered payroll.
Source: PERS

The District’s employer contributions to PERS for recent fiscal years are set forth in the following table.

**PERS Contributions
Tracy Unified School District**

Fiscal Year	Amount
2020-21	\$2,538,984
2021-22	6,294,483
2022-23	8,624,595
2023-24	10,274,341
2024-25	11,374,069
2025-26 ⁽¹⁾	10,909,083

(1) First interim projections.
Source: Tracy Unified School District.

PERS continues to have an unfunded liability which, on a market value of assets basis, estimated at approximately \$40.8 billion (on a market value of assets basis) as of June 30, 2024, which is the date of the last actuarial valuation.

California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013. On September 12, 2012, the Governor signed into law the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 ("PEPRA"), which impacted various aspects of public retirement systems in the State, including the STRS and PERS programs. In general, PEPRA (i) increased the retirement age for public employees depending on job function, (ii) capped the annual pension benefit payouts for public employees hired after January 1, 2013, (iii) required public employees hired after January 1, 2013 to pay at least 50% of the costs of their pension benefits (as described in more detail below), (iv) required final compensation for public employees hired after January 1, 2013 to be determined based on the highest average annual pensionable compensation earned over a period of at least 36 consecutive months, and (v) attempted to address other perceived abuses in the public retirement systems in the State. PEPRA applies to all public employee retirement systems in the State, *except* the retirement systems of the University of California, and charter cities and charter counties whose pension plans are not governed by State law. PEPRA's provisions went into effect on January 1, 2013 with respect to new State, school, and city and local agency employees hired on or after that date; existing employees who are members of employee associations, including employee associations of the District, have a five-year window to negotiate compliance with PEPRA through collective bargaining.

PERS has predicted that the impact of PEPRA on employees and employers, including the District and other employers in the PERS system, will vary, based on each employer's current level of benefits. As a result of the implementation of PEPRA, new members must pay at least 50% of the normal costs of the plan, which can fluctuate from year to year. To the extent that the new formulas lower retirement benefits, employer contribution rates could decrease over time as current employees retire and employees subject to the new formulas make up a larger percentage of the workforce. This change would, in some circumstances, result in a lower retirement benefit for employees than they currently earn.

With respect to the STRS pension program, employees hired after January 1, 2013 will pay the greater of either (1) fifty percent of the normal cost of their retirement plan, rounded to the nearest one-quarter percent, or (2) the contribution rate paid by then-current members (i.e., employees in the STRS plan as of January 1, 2013). The member contribution rate could be increased from this level through collective bargaining or may be adjusted based on other factors. Employers will pay at least the normal cost rate, after subtracting the member's contribution.

The District is unable to predict the amount of future contributions it will have to make to PERS and STRS as a result of the implementation of PEPRA, and as a result of negotiations with its employee associations, or, notwithstanding the adoption of PEPRA, resulting from any legislative changes regarding the PERS and STRS employer contributions that may be adopted in the future.

Additional Information. Additional information regarding the District's retirement programs is available in Note 12 to the District's audited financial statements attached hereto as APPENDIX B. In addition, both STRS and PERS issue separate comprehensive financial reports that include financial statements and required supplemental information. Copies of such reports may be obtained from STRS and PERS, respectively, as follows: (i) STRS, P.O. Box 15275, Sacramento, California 95851-0275; and (ii) PERS, 400 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95811. More information regarding STRS and PERS can also be obtained at their websites, www.calstrs.com and www.calpers.ca.gov, respectively. *The references to these Internet websites are shown for reference and convenience only and the information contained on such websites is not incorporated by reference into this Official Statement. The information*

contained on these websites may not be current and has not been reviewed by the District or the Underwriter for accuracy or completeness.

Other Post-Employment Benefits

The Plan Generally. The District's governing board administers the Postemployment Benefits Plan (the "**Plan**"). The Plan is a single employer defined benefit plan that is used to provide postemployment benefits other than pensions ("**OPEB**") for eligible retirees and their spouses. No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph 4 of GASB Statement No. 75. Membership of the Plan as of the June 30, 2023 valuation date consisted of 74 retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits and 1,442 active Plan members.

Benefits Provided. The Plan provides medical and dental insurance benefits to eligible retirees and their spouses. Benefits are provided through a third-party insurer, and the full cost of benefits is covered by the Plan. The District's governing board has the authority to establish and amend the benefit terms as contained within the negotiated labor agreements. The District pays for premiums for medical, dental and vision until age 65 to certificated, classified and management and confidential with 10 years of service until a certain cap is reached.

Contributions. The benefit payment requirements of the Plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the District, the Tracy Educators Association ("**TEA**"), the local California Service Employees Association ("**CSEA**"), and unrepresented groups. The benefit payment is based on projected pay-as-you-go financing requirements as determined annually through the agreements with the District, TEA, CSEA, and the unrepresented groups. In the current year, the District contributed \$666,598.

Actuarial assumptions. The total OPEB liability of \$20,869,721 was measured as of June 30, 2024, and the total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2023, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified: inflation 2.50%, salary increases 2.75% per year, discount rate 3.93%, healthcare cost trend rates 4.00%. The discount rate was based on the Bond Buyer 20-bond General Obligation Index. Mortality rates were based on the 2020 CalSTRS Mortality table for certificated employees and the 2021 CalPERS Active Mortality for Miscellaneous Employees table for classified employees. Mortality rates vary by age and sex. (Unisex mortality rates are not often used as individual OPEB benefits do not depend on the mortality table used.) If employees die prior to retirement, past contributions are available to fund benefits for employees who live to retirement. After retirement, death results in benefit termination or reeducation. Although higher mortality rates reduce service costs, the mortality assumption is not likely to vary from employer to employer.

Changes in Total OPEB Liability. The following table illustrates the District's OPEB liability, as shown in the District's audited financial statements as of June 30, 2025, is as follows:

**Tracy Unified School District
Changes in the Total OPEB Liability**

Balance at June 30, 2024	<u>\$19,526,428</u>
Service Cost	1,559,202
Interest	731,646
Expected minus Actual Benefit Payments	(521,866)
Changes of assumptions	<u>(425,689)</u>
Net changes in total OPEB liability	<u>1,343,293</u>
Balance at June 30, 2025	\$20,869,721

Source: Tracy Unified School District Audit Report.

OPEB Expense. For the year ended June 30, 2025, the District recognized OPEB expense of \$1,436,180.

For more information regarding the District's OPEB and assumptions used in its most recent actuarial study, see Note 9 of APPENDIX A to the Official Statement.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

Existing Debt Obligations

In addition to the District's ongoing obligations with respect to retirement plans and OPEB described above, the District has outstanding general obligation bond indebtedness, as summarized below.

General Obligation Bonds. The District has outstanding general obligation bonds and refunding bonds, each of which is secured by *ad valorem* taxes upon all property subject to taxation by the District, as summarized in the following table and as more particularly described below.

Summary of Outstanding General Obligation Bond Debt Tracy Unified School District

Issue	Issue Date	Final Maturity	Original Principal Amount	Principal Outstanding February 2, 2026
District-wide General Obligation Bonds⁽¹⁾				
2014 Refunding Bonds	05/05/2014	08/01/2028	\$27,460,000	[to come]
2015 Refunding Bonds	03/11/2015	08/01/2029	14,910,000	
2020 Refunding Bonds (Federally Taxable) ⁽²⁾	09/03/2020	08/01/2032	9,260,000	
				Total:
SFID No. 3 Bonds				
Election of 2008, Series 2011A ⁽³⁾	05/10/2011	05/01/2026	16,000,000	
Election of 2008, Series 2011B	05/10/2011	08/01/2041	5,996,370	
Election of 2008, Series 2015 ^{(4)*}	07/09/2015	08/01/2031	9,100,000	
Election of 2014, Series 2015 ⁽⁵⁾	07/09/2015	08/01/2026	29,000,000	
2016 Refunding GO Bonds*	04/21/2016	08/01/2035	11,940,000	
Election of 2014, Series 2018*	05/09/2018	08/01/2042	30,000,000	
Election of 2014, Series 2019	10/17/2019	08/01/2030	23,000,000	
2020 Refunding GO Bonds (Federally Taxable) ⁽⁶⁾	09/03/2020	08/01/2040	14,470,000	
2022 Refunding GO Bonds ⁽⁷⁾	05/18/2022	08/01/2040	17,090,000	
Election of 2024, Series A	06/05/2025	08/01/2054	65,000,000	
				Total:

* Expected to be refunded, in whole or in part, with the proceeds of the Bonds described herein.

(1) Outstanding District-wide Bonds originate to general obligation bonds authorized at an election held in the District on June 6, 2006, and are secured by *ad valorem* property taxes levied and collected in the boundaries of the District referred to as the "Original Area", which includes portions of the District subsequently removed from District territory as a result of reorganization proceedings. The District-wide bonds continue to be secured by *ad valorem* taxes levied in the Original Area.

(2) Refinanced in part outstanding 2014 Refunding GO Bonds.

(3) Private placement.

(4) Refinanced in part with the proceeds of 2020 Refunding Bonds and 2022 Refunding GO Bonds.

(5) Refinanced in part with the proceeds of 2020 Refunding Bonds and 2022 Refunding GO Bonds.

(6) Refinanced certain maturities of 2008 Election Series 2015 and 2014 Election Series 2015 Bonds.

(7) Refinanced certain maturities of 2008 Election Series 2015 and 2014 Election Series 2015 Bonds. Private Placement.

Source: *The Municipal Advisor*.

Compensated Absences. Compensated absences (unpaid employee vacation) for the District at June 30, 2025, amounted to \$20,775,613.

Investment of District Funds

In accordance with Government Code Section 53600 *et seq.*, the San Joaquin County Treasurer manages funds deposited with it by the District. The County is required to invest such funds in accordance with California Government Code Sections 53601 *et seq.* In addition,

counties are required to establish their own investment policies which may impose limitations beyond those required by the Government Code. See "APPENDIX G - SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY INVESTMENT POLICY AND SUMMARY OF INVESTMENT REPORT."

Effect of State Budget on Revenues

Public school districts in the State are dependent on revenues from the State for a large portion of their operating budgets. State school districts generally receive the majority of their operating revenues from various State sources. The primary source of funding for school districts is LCFF funding, which is derived from a combination of State funds and local property taxes (see "—Education Funding Generally" above). State funds typically make up the majority of a district's LCFF funding. School districts also receive funding from the State for some specialized programs such as special education.

The availability of State funds for public education is a function of constitutional provisions affecting school district revenues and expenditures (see "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS" below), the condition of the State economy (which affects total revenue available to the State general fund), and the annual State budget process. The District cannot predict how education funding may further be changed in the future, or the state of the economy which in turn can impact the amounts of funds available from the State for education funding. See "STATE FUNDING OF EDUCATION; RECENT STATE BUDGETS" below.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

STATE FUNDING OF EDUCATION; RECENT STATE BUDGETS

The information in this section concerning the State's budget or budgets has been compiled from publicly-available information provided by the State or the Legislative Analyst's Office (the "LAO"). Neither the District, the Underwriter nor the County is responsible for the information provided in this section.

State Budgeting for Education Generally

The State requires that from all State revenues there first shall be set apart the moneys to be applied for support of the public school system and public institutions of higher education. Public school districts in the State are dependent on revenues from the State for a large portion of their operating budgets. The primary source of funding for school districts are revenues under the LCFF, which are a combination of State funds and local property taxes (see "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION - Education Funding Generally" above). State funds typically make up the majority of a district's LCFF allocation, although Basic Aid school districts derive most of their revenues from local property taxes. School districts also receive substantial funding from the State for various categorical programs.

The availability of State funds for public education is a function of constitutional provisions affecting school district revenues and expenditures (see "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS" below), the condition of the State economy (which affects total revenue available to the State's general fund), and the annual State budget process. Decreases in State revenues may significantly affect appropriations made by the legislature to school districts.

The Budget Process

The State's fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30. The annual budget is proposed by the Governor by January 10 of each year for the next fiscal year (the "**Governor's Budget**"). Under State law, the annual proposed Governor's Budget cannot provide for projected expenditures in excess of projected revenues and balances available from prior fiscal years. Following the submission of the Governor's Budget, the Legislature takes up the proposal.

Under the State Constitution, money may be drawn from the State Treasury only through an appropriation made by law. The primary source of the annual expenditure authorizations is the Budget Act as approved by the Legislature and signed by the Governor. The Budget Act must be approved by a majority vote of each house of the Legislature. The Governor may reduce or eliminate specific line items in the Budget Act or any other appropriations bill without vetoing the entire bill. Such individual line-item vetoes are subject to override by a two-thirds majority vote of each house of the Legislature.

Appropriations also may be included in legislation other than the Budget Act. Bills containing appropriations (including for K-14 education) must be approved by a majority vote in each house of the Legislature, unless such appropriations require tax increases, in which case they must be approved by a two-thirds vote of each house of the Legislature and be signed by the Governor. Continuing appropriations, available without regard to fiscal year, may also be provided by statute or the State Constitution.

Funds necessary to meet an appropriation need not be in the State Treasury at the time such appropriation is enacted; revenues may be appropriated in anticipation of their receipt.

Available Public Resources

Certain information about the State budgeting process and the State budget is available through several State sources. Convenient sources of information include:

- www.treasurer.ca.gov: The California State Treasurer internet home page, under the link to “Bond Finance” and sub-heading “-Public Finance Division”, includes links to recent State official statements and various State financial documents which includes information regarding State budgets and finances.
- www.dof.ca.gov: The California Department of Finance’s (the “**DOF**”) internet home page, under the link to “California Budget”, includes the text of proposed and adopted State Budgets.
- www.lao.ca.gov: The LAO’s internet home page includes a link to “-The Budget” which includes analyses and commentary on fiscal outlooks.

The above references to internet websites shown are shown for reference and convenience only. The information contained within the websites may include outdated information and has not been reviewed for accuracy by the District or the Underwriter. Such information is not incorporated herein by reference.

The 2025-26 State Budget

Overview of 2025-26 State Budget. On June 27, 2025, the Governor signed the fiscal year 2025-26 State Budget (the “**2025-26 State Budget**”). Despite continued uncertainty driven by recent federal policy changes, including broad-based tariffs that have slowed economic growth, the 2025-26 State Budget outlines a balanced fiscal plan while maintaining significant reserves.

The 2025-26 State Budget reflects a decrease of \$11.0 billion in General Fund revenues from 2024-25 (\$215.7 billion in 2025-26 compared to \$226.7 billion in 2024-25) primarily due to projected decreases in corporation and personal income tax collections. The 2025-26 State Budget reflects a decrease in General Fund expenditures of \$5.2 billion from 2024-25 (\$228,366 billion in 2025-26 compared to \$233.5 billion in 2024-25) primarily reflecting broad spending decreases for all major spending categories with the exception of expenditures for health and human services, which sees a significant funding increase driven by the expansion of Medi-Cal.

Regarding the State’s reserves and fund balances, the 2025-26 State Budget continues the drawing down of the State’s Budget Stabilization account that began in fiscal year 2024-25 with a withdrawal of \$7.1 billion and completes the drawdown of the Public School System Stabilization Account with a withdrawal of the remaining \$0.4 billion.

The 2025-26 State Budget projects an \$11.8 billion General Fund shortfall after accounting for reserves drawdowns, driven by rising costs due to growth in Medi-Cal and other core State programs. The 2025-26 State Budget addresses the shortfall primarily through spending reductions and structural reforms, while maintaining support for key programs. The specific solutions used to close the budget gap include the following:

- *Medi-Cal Enrollment Freeze* - \$77.9 million reduction in fiscal year 2025-26, increasing to \$3.3 billion by fiscal year 2028-29.
- *Medi-Cal Premium Collection For Certain Adults* – Initial cost of \$30 million in fiscal year 2026-27, shifting to \$675 million in savings in fiscal year 2028-29 due to collection of Medi-Cal premiums from undocumented adults.
- *Medi-Cal Asset Limit Implementation* – \$61.3 million in fiscal year 2025-26, rising to \$827.4 million by fiscal year 2028-29.
- *Programmatic Reductions* – Reductions in rural health clinics, specialty drug coverage, and pharmacy rebates totaling over \$3.5 billion by fiscal year 2028-29.
- *Ongoing Reductions* – \$221.7 million annually beginning in fiscal year 2026-27 for Quality Incentive Payment Program changes.

The 2025-26 State Budget raises an estimated \$7.8 billion in additional resources, including \$1.3 billion from Medi-Cal rate increase in fiscal year 2025-26, \$4.4 billion in loans from the Medical Providers Interim Payment Fund, \$1.5 billion in loans from additional special funds and interfund borrowing, and a \$7.1 billion withdrawal from the Budget Stabilization Account, as authorized by the prior fiscal year.

Additional balancing measures comprise \$1.2 billion in funding shifts primarily related to the costs of CalFIRE operations moving from the General Fund to the Greenhouse Reduction Fund for Forestry and Fire Protection. The 2025-26 State Budget also makes two future commitments (subject to appropriation) totaling \$457.1 million in fiscal year 2027-28, growing to \$163.2 million in fiscal year 2028-29, for California Food Assistance Program expansion and \$338.9 million in fiscal year 2027-28, growing to \$522.1 million in fiscal year 2028-29, for payment to the State's foster care tiered rate structure.

The 2025-26 State Budget projects total resources available in fiscal year 2024-25 of approximately \$268.7 billion, including revenues and transfers of approximately \$226.7 billion and a prior year balance of approximately \$42.0 billion, and total expenditures in fiscal year 2024-25 of approximately \$233.6 billion. The 2025-26 State Budget projects total resources available for fiscal year 2025-26 of approximately \$250.9 billion, inclusive of revenues and transfers of approximately \$215.7 billion and a prior year balance of approximately \$35.1 billion. The 2025-26 State Budget projects total expenditures in fiscal year 2025-26 of approximately \$228.4 billion, inclusive of non-Proposition 98 expenditures of approximately \$147.6 billion and Proposition 98 expenditures of approximately \$80.7 billion. The 2025-26 State Budget projects total reserve balances of \$15.7 billion at the end of fiscal year 2025-26. This includes \$11.2 billion in the State Rainy Day Fund, \$4.5 billion in the State's SFEU, and \$0 in the Proposition 98 Rainy Day Fund. The 2025-26 State Budget includes total funding of \$137.6 billion for all K-12 education programs, including \$80.5 billion from the State's general fund and \$57.1 billion from other funds. The 2025-26 State Budget reflects significant Proposition 98 funding that enables increased support for core programs such as the LCFF, special education, transitional kindergarten, nutrition, and preschool.

Certain budgeted programs and adjustments for K-12 education set forth in the 2025-26 State Budget include:

Proposition 98 Minimum Guarantee. The 2025-26 State Budget reflects updated estimates of general fund revenues, which result in adjustments to the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee. The revised minimum guarantee for TK-14 schools is estimated at \$98.5 billion for 2023-24, \$119.9 billion for 2024-25, and \$114.6 billion for 2025-26, an increase of approximately \$3.9 billion over the three-year period relative to the 2023-24 State Budget. Because the minimum guarantee for 2024-25 will not be finalized until the fiscal year is certified in calendar year 2026, the 2025-26 State Budget proactively appropriates the 2024-25 minimum guarantee at \$118 billion, establishing a \$1.9 billion “settle up” to avoid over-appropriation. The 2025-26 State Budget expresses intent to apply any realized settle-up funds toward reducing ongoing TK-14 deferrals and safeguarding core program funding for school districts and community colleges, including funding for growth and cost-of-living adjustments.

The minimum guarantee remains in a Test 2 for 2023-24, though suspended at \$98.5 billion, and shifts to a Test 1 in both 2024-25 and 2025-26. In Test 1 years, the guarantee equals approximately 40 percent of general fund revenues, in addition to local property tax revenues. Under Proposition 98, this calculation is not adjusted for enrollment, which results in increased per-pupil funding. The guarantee is “rebenched” to reflect both the continued implementation of universal TK and property tax backfills resulting from the January 2025 wildfires in Los Angeles County. As a result, the applicable Test 1 percentage increases from 39.2 percent to 39.6 percent of general fund revenues.

Proposition 98 Rainy Day Fund. The 2025-26 State Budget maintains the full withdrawal of the \$8.4 billion balance in the Proposition 98 Rainy Day Fund in fiscal year 2023-24. The May Revision to the 2024-25 State Budget Proposal, released on May 10, 2024, included a mandatory deposit of \$540 million into the Rainy Day Fund. However, revised capital gains revenue projections reduce that deposit to \$455 million in fiscal year 2024-25. A year-over-year decrease in the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee triggers a mandatory withdrawal of \$455 million in fiscal year 2025-26, fully exhausting the remaining fund balance.

Proposition 98 Funding Split. In prior years, 10.93 percent of the general fund amounts rebenched into the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee for transitional kindergarten expansion were allocated to the community colleges budget, consistent with the statutory Proposition 98 funding split. Over the three-year budget window, this resulted in \$492.4 million being directed to community colleges, leaving TK-12 schools without an equivalent amount to support transitional kindergarten expansion. Beginning in fiscal year 2025-26, the 2025-26 State Budget shifts the full amount of transitional kindergarten expansion funding (\$232.9 million) to the TK-12 portion of the Proposition 98 budget.

Local Control Funding Formula. The 2025-26 State Budget includes a 2.3 percent cost-of-living adjustment to LCFF. Combined with population growth adjustments, this results in an additional \$2.1 billion in discretionary funding for local educational agencies (“LEAs”) relative to the 2024-25 State Budget. To fully fund LCFF costs in fiscal year 2025-26, the 2025-26 State Budget withdraws approximately \$405.3 million from the Proposition 98 Rainy Day Fund.

Deferrals. The 2025-26 State Budget fully repays \$246.6 million in TK-12 education deferrals from fiscal year 2024-25. However, in order to maintain principal apportionment

levels in 2025-26, the 2025-26 State Budget defers \$1.9 billion in LCFF funding from June 2026 to July 2026.

Universal Transitional Kindergarten. To support full implementation of universal transitional kindergarten by September 1, 2025, the 2025-26 State Budget provides \$2.1 billion in ongoing Proposition 98 general fund resources, inclusive of all prior years' investments. An additional \$1.2 billion in ongoing Proposition 98 general fund resources is included to reduce the average student-to-adult ratio from 12:1 to 10:1.

Before School, After School, and Summer School. To increase access to the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program, the 2025-26 State Budget provides \$515.1 million in ongoing funds, broadening eligibility from LEAs with a 75 percent unduplicated pupil rate to those with a 55 percent rate. Additionally, \$10.4 million is provided to increase the minimum grant per LEA from \$50,000 to \$100,000.

Literacy Instruction. The 2025-26 State Budget allocates \$480 million to enhance literacy instruction aligned with the English Language Arts/English Language Development Framework for all students.

Teacher Professional Development and Preparation. To support teachers and strengthen the educator pipeline, the 2025-26 State Budget provides \$300 million in one-time Proposition 98 general fund resources for a Student Teacher Stipend Program, which will offer grants to teacher candidates completing student teaching between 2026-27 and 2028-29. An additional \$70 million of one-time Proposition 98 general fund resources supports teacher residency programs, and \$30 million in one-time Proposition 98 general fund resources extends the National Board Certification Incentive Program to encourage placement of certified teachers in high-poverty schools.

Student Support and Professional Development Discretionary Block Grant. The 2025-26 State Budget provides \$1.7 billion in one-time Proposition 98 general fund resources for the Student Support and Professional Development Discretionary Block Grant to assist LEAs with rising costs and advancing statewide priorities.

State Preschool Program. To enhance early childhood education, the 2025-26 State Budget includes approximately \$19.3 million of Proposition 98 general fund resources and \$10.2 million in non-Proposition 98 general fund resources for the California State Preschool Program. It further authorizes the Department of Education to expand State Preschool Program provider contracts for three-year-olds by 10 percent, implement prospective pay, and automate contract payments.

Other Significant Budget Adjustments. The 2025-26 State Budget includes \$378.6 million in one-time Proposition 98 general fund resources for learning recovery initiatives through 2027-28, \$150 million for career technical education and pathways programs pending legislation, and \$145 million to improve school meal infrastructure. An additional \$10 million is allocated for school food service workforce requirement and \$5 million for a study of ultra-processed foods offered in State school meals.

Further allocations include \$30 million over three years for Special Olympics programs in Northern and Southern California; \$30 million for the Mathematics Professional Learning Partnership; \$21.9 million in ongoing funding for the SUN Bucks Program, which

provides summer nutrition support; and \$20 million to implement the Children and Youth Behavioral Health Initiative's all-payer fee schedule.

The 2025-26 State Budget also provides approximately \$10 million for a pilot program, administered by the California Collaborative of Educational Excellence, to support middle and high school redesign and peer learning. Another \$10 million to the California Department of Education supports the development of a screener to identify multilingual learners in transitional kindergarten and authorizes the State Superintendent of Public Instruction to adopt a screening instrument for children ages 3-4 enrolled in transitional kindergarten classrooms. Finally, \$7.5 million is available through the 2026-27 fiscal year to offset potential LCFF apportionment reductions caused by the recent exemption of transitional kindergarten students from the English language proficiency assessment.

For the full text of the 2025-26 State Budget, see the California Department of Finance website at www.dof.ca.gov or www.ebudget.ca.gov. *The references to these Internet websites are shown for reference and convenience only and the information contained on such websites is not incorporated by reference into this Official Statement. The information contained on these websites may not be current and has not been reviewed by the District or the Underwriters for accuracy or completeness.*

LAO Analysis of the 2025-26 State Budget. The LAO, a nonpartisan State office which provides fiscal and policy information and advice to the State legislature, released its report on the 2025-26 State Budget entitled "The 2025-26 Budget: Overview of the Spending Plan" on October 16, 2025 (the "**2025-26 State Budget Analysis**"). In the 2025-26 State Budget Analysis, the LAO assesses the budget shortfall that was addressed in the 2025-26 State Budget and analyzes the major proposals for K-14 education.

The LAO notes that the State faced a budget shortfall for the third year in a row requiring the State to adopt more ongoing solutions. The estimated budget shortfall was \$15.0 billion, which was smaller compared to deficits of \$55.0 billion in fiscal year 2024-25 and \$27.0 billion in fiscal year 2023-24. The LAO notes that part of the reason the State continued to face a budget problem is that the underlying costs of State services continue to outpace the State's revenue collections. In particular, despite higher revenues in major taxes, about \$4 billion of the budget problem results from new, discretionary General Fund spending in the budget package, as well as some budget actions adopted in a special session. The measures approved in the special session provided funding for (1) response and recovery costs related to the January 2025 Southern California wildfires and (2) activities to address federal government actions impacting the State.

The LAO notes that the 2025-26 State Budget uses various maneuvers to address the budget shortfall, including reserve withdrawals, spending reductions, revenue increases, and borrowing (for example, loaning money from other funds to the General Fund). However, the LAO indicates that the 2025-26 State Budget primarily relies on borrowing to close the gap, which accounts for \$10.0 billion and approximately two-thirds of the total solutions. This borrowing increases the total outstanding budgetary borrowing from \$12.0 billion to \$22.0 billion. The LAO notes that this borrowing is similar to the measures used during the Great Recession of 2008. After borrowing, spending-related solutions, including both spending reductions and fund shifts, total \$5.0 billion and represent nearly all of the remaining one-third of the total solutions. Revenue-related solutions, totaling about \$300.0 million, represent the small remainder. The LAO notes that while the State is also making a \$7.1 billion withdrawal from the State Rainy Day Fund (also known as the Budget Stabilization Account) in fiscal year 2025-26,

this withdrawal was not reflected in the 2025-26 State Budget Analysis because it was authorized last year in the 2024-25 State Budget.

The LAO notes that while the 2025-26 State Budget partially addresses the State's multi-year deficits, it expects budget deficits to persist. Based on the State's June 2025 projections and assumptions, annual operating deficits are projected to range from roughly \$15 billion to \$25 billion throughout the outlook period (i.e., 2026-27 through 2028-29), which would need to be addressed by the Legislature. The LAO notes, however, that these multi-year estimates are subject to considerable uncertainty and should be interpreted cautiously.

In summarizing the overall condition of the General Fund budget, the condition of the school and community college budget and State appropriations limits, as estimated by the administration, the LAO notes the following: (1) the State's reserves total nearly \$16 billion at the end of fiscal year 2025-26, with the state reserve for schools and community colleges fully withdrawn by the end of fiscal year 2025-26; (2) the State expects revenues from major tax sources to grow 10.0% from fiscal year 2023-24 to fiscal year 2024-25, however the 2025-26 State Budget also projects revenues from said tax sources to decrease by 15.0% from fiscal year 2024-25 to fiscal year 2025-26; and (3) federal funding is expected to remain flat between 2024-25 and 2025-26 but the 2025-26 State Budget projections do not include any potential effects of House Resolution 1: One Big Beautiful Bill Act, which was signed by President Trump on July 4, 2025.

The LAO indicates that the 2025-26 State Budget reduces spending in fiscal year 2025-26 by deferring \$2.3 billion in payments to fiscal year 2026-27, of which \$1.9 billion pertains to schools. The State will implement this school funding deferral by shifting a portion of the June 2026 payment to July 2026. School districts and charter schools that can demonstrate the delay would make them unable to meet their financial obligations are exempt from the deferral. The remaining \$408.0 million in deferrals pertain to community colleges, moving payments from May and June 2026 to July 2026. The purpose of these deferrals is to free up funding for additional one-time and ongoing spending that would otherwise exceed the available Proposition 98 funding in fiscal year 2025-26.

The foregoing is only a summary of certain provisions of the 2025-26 State Budget Analysis. For the full text, see the LAO website at www.lao.ca.gov. *The references to these Internet websites are shown for reference and convenience only and the information contained on such websites is not incorporated by reference into this Official Statement. The information contained on these websites may not be current and has not been reviewed by the District or the Underwriters for accuracy or completeness.*

The 2026-27 State Budget Proposal

The Governor sent the fiscal year 2026-27 budget proposal to the legislature on January 9, 2026 (the "**2026-27 State Budget Proposal**"). While, the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal presents a balanced budget, with a discretionary reserve of \$4.5 billion, it projects a deficit of roughly \$22 billion in the 2027-28 fiscal year and budget shortfalls in the two years following. A stronger-than-anticipated performance of the stock market and cash receipts, combined with an improved economic outlook, are noted as contributors to an upgraded revenue forecast, with General Fund revenues, before accounting for transfers and tax policy proposals, projected to be higher by approximately \$4.5 billion than was assumed in the 2025 Budget Act for the three-year budget window of fiscal years 2024-25 through 2026-27. However, the proposal warns that the revenue increase is largely attributable to a small number of technology companies and

cannot be sustained indefinitely at high levels. It also warned of federal policy changes, including House of Representatives 1 of 2025 which is projected to result in costs of \$1.4 billion to the General Fund in fiscal year 2026-27, and unpredictable future federal policies that might negatively impact tariffs, immigration, inflation, investments, the labor market and overall demand.

The 2026-27 State Budget Proposal provides for \$248.3 billion in general fund spending and nearly \$23 billion in combined reserves—including nearly \$14.4 billion in the State’s Rainy Day Fund and an additional discretionary set-aside of \$4.5 billion in the Special Fund for Economic Uncertainties and \$4.1 billion in the Public School System Stabilization Account. Although the proposal is noted as balanced, it anticipates shortfalls in subsequent fiscal years that are driven by expenditures exceeding revenues, promising additional decisions at the May Revision to maintain a balanced budget in the coming years. Noted risk factors relating to the economy and State revenues include stock market and asset price volatility and declines, particularly those affecting high-income earners - as well as unpredictable federal policies.

Certain budgeted programs and adjustments for K-12 education set forth in the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal include Proposition 98 funding for K-14 schools set at \$125.5 billion for 2026-27 (although some funding is subject to settle-up), and a LCFF cost-of-living adjustment of 2.41%. It also proposes the repayment of around \$1.9 billion in previous funding deferrals to improve school cash flow. The proposal also reflects continued support of implementation of universal transitional kindergarten, and ongoing support for before-, during-, and after-school programs through the Expanded Learning Opportunity Program. The 2026-27 State Budget Proposal would also allocate an additional \$215 million to build on previous investments in the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists Grant Program.

Significantly, the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal proposes to implement recommendations of the Legislature’s 2002 report, the State’s Master Plan for Education, by moving oversight of the State’s education system and ultimate responsibility for state oversight and support of local educational agencies for the Department of Education to the executive branch, greatly enhancing the role of the State Superintendent.

The May Revise will be released by the Governor around May 14, 2026 and will update the budget proposal with revised economic and revenue outlooks and other estimates and assumptions, and may contain modifications to or removal of policy initiatives included in the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal.

For the full text of the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal, see the California Department of Finance website at www.dof.ca.gov or www.ebudget.ca.gov. *The references to these Internet websites are shown for reference and convenience only and the information contained on such websites is not incorporated by reference into this Official Statement. The information contained on these websites may not be current and has not been reviewed by the District or the Underwriter for accuracy or completeness.*

LAO Analysis of the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal. The LAO released its overview and initial analysis of the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal on January 10, 2026 (the “**2026-27 LAO Analysis**”). The 2026-27 LAO Analysis concludes that, under the Governor’s assumptions, the budget is roughly balanced, reflecting an estimated deficit of approximately \$3 billion. This represents an improvement compared with the LAO’s November Fiscal Outlook, which projected a budget deficit of approximately \$18 billion. The LAO attributes the State’s substantially higher revenue forecast to two factors: first, the proposal does not incorporate the

significant risk of a stock market downturn; and second, higher projected revenues are partially offset by increased baseline spending, including constitutionally required expenditures and growing program costs.

The LAO identifies stock market volatility as the most significant risk to the State's revenue outlook. The analysis notes that several historically reliable indicators suggest equity markets are overheated and at elevated risk of a downturn within the next year or two. A market correction would materially reduce personal income tax revenues and could quickly worsen the State's fiscal position. The LAO cautions that failing to incorporate this downside risk into the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal places the State on precarious fiscal footing, particularly given that the proposal is only marginally balanced even under optimistic revenue assumptions.

Both the 2026-27 LAO Analysis and the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal project persistent multiyear operating deficits. The LAO estimates that annual deficits could range between approximately \$20 billion to \$35 billion, while the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal projects operating deficits of roughly \$27 billion in 2027-28, \$22 billion in 2028-29, and \$23 billion in 2029-30. The LAO characterizes these deficits as structural rather than cyclical, noting that deficits have persisted despite economic growth and rising revenue in addition to the State already addressing a cumulative \$125 billion in budget problems over recent years. The LAO expresses concern that the State's fiscal challenges have become chronic and raise significant questions concerning long-term fiscal sustainability.

The LAO acknowledges that the State recognizes the risks associated with revenue volatility and multiyear structural deficits, but concludes that the 2026-27 State Budget Proposal does not materially address either challenge. The LAO recommends that the Legislature explicitly recognize downside revenue risk by adopting the LAO's more conservative revenue forecast, maintain required reserve deposits, set aside funds associated with delayed settle-up obligations into reserves rather than using them for ongoing commitments, and adopt a multiyear plan to reduce at least half of projected structural deficits through ongoing budget solutions. The LAO cautions that delaying corrective action until further budget cycles increases fiscal risk and limits the Legislature's ability to implement deliberate and sustainable solutions.

The 2026-27 LAO Analysis is available on the LAO website at www.lao.ca.gov. The reference to this Internet website is shown for reference and convenience only and the information contained on such website is not incorporated by reference into this Official Statement. The information contained on this website may not be current and has not been reviewed by the District or the Underwriter for accuracy or completeness.

Disclaimer Regarding State Budgets. The execution of State budgets may be affected by numerous factors, including but not limited to: (i) shifts in costs from the federal government to the State, (ii) national, State and international economic conditions, (iii) litigation risks, (iv) rising health care costs and/or other unfunded liabilities, such as pension or OPEB, and (v) numerous other factors, all or any of which could cause the revenue and spending projections included in such budgets to be unattainable. The District cannot predict the impact that the 2025-26 State Budget or subsequent State budgets, or future changes (if any) in the budget due to shifts in the economy or other factors, will have on its own finances and operations. However, the Bonds described herein are secured by *ad valorem* property taxes levied and collected on taxable property in the District, without limit as to rate or amount, and are not secured by a pledge of revenues of the District or its general fund.

The State has not entered into any contractual commitments with the District, the County, the Underwriter or the Owners of the Bonds to provide State Budget information to the District or the owners of the Bonds. Although the sources of information provided herein are known to be reliable, neither the District nor the Underwriter assume any responsibility for the accuracy of the budget information set forth or referred to in this Official Statement or incorporated herein.

Legal Challenges to State Funding of Education

The application of Proposition 98 and other statutory provisions relating to education funding in the State has been the subject of various legal challenges in the past. The District cannot predict if or when there will be changes to education funding or legal challenges which may arise relating thereto, and how such events could impact the District and its finances.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS

Principal of and interest on the Bonds are payable from the proceeds of an *ad valorem* property tax levied by the County for the payment thereof. Articles XIII A, XIII B, XIII C, and XIII D of the State Constitution, Propositions 62, 98, 111 and 218, and certain other provisions of law discussed below, are included in this section to describe the potential effect of these Constitutional and statutory measures on the ability of the District to levy taxes and spend tax proceeds for operating and other purposes, and it should not be inferred from the inclusion of such materials that these laws impose any limitation on the ability of the District to levy taxes for payment of the Bonds. The tax levied by the County for payment of the Bonds was approved by the District's voters in compliance with Article XIII A and all applicable laws.

Constitutionally Required Funding of Education

The State Constitution requires that from all State revenues, there shall be first set apart the moneys to be applied by the State for the support of the public school system and public institutions of higher education. School districts receive a significant portion of their funding from State appropriations. As a result, decreases and increases in State revenues can significantly affect appropriations made by the State Legislature to school districts.

Article XIII A of the California Constitution

Basic Property Tax Levy. On June 6, 1978, State voters approved Proposition 13 ("**Proposition 13**"), which added Article XIII A to the State Constitution ("**Article XIII A**"). Article XIII A limits the amount of any *ad valorem* property tax on real property to 1% of the full cash value thereof, except that additional *ad valorem* property taxes may be levied to pay debt service on (a) indebtedness approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978, (b) (as a result of an amendment to Article XIII A approved by State voters on June 3, 1986) bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property which has been approved on or after July 1, 1978 by two-thirds of the voters on such indebtedness, and (c) (as a result of an amendment to Article XIII A approved by State voters on November 7, 2000) bonded indebtedness incurred by a school district or community college district for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, approved by 55% of the voters of the District, but only if certain accountability measures are included in the proposition. Article XIII A defines full cash value to mean "the county assessor's valuation of real property as shown on the 1975-76 tax bill under full cash value, or thereafter, the appraised value of real property when purchased, newly constructed, or a change in ownership have occurred after the 1975 assessment". This full cash value may be increased at a rate not to exceed 2% per year to account for inflation.

Article XIII A has subsequently been amended to permit reduction of the "full cash value" base in the event of declining property values caused by damage, destruction or other factors, to provide that there would be no increase in the "full cash value" base in the event of reconstruction of property damaged or destroyed in a disaster and in other minor or technical ways.

Legislation Implementing Article XIII A. Legislation has been enacted and amended a number of times since 1978 to implement Article XIII A. Under current law, local agencies are no longer permitted to levy directly any property tax (except to pay voter-approved indebtedness). The 1% property tax is automatically levied by the county and distributed according to a formula among taxing agencies. The formula apportions the tax roughly in proportion to the relative shares of taxes levied prior to 1979.

Increases of assessed valuation resulting from reappraisals of property due to new construction, change in ownership or from the annual adjustment not to exceed 2% are allocated among the various jurisdictions in the "taxing area" based upon their respective "situs." Any such allocation made to a local agency continues as part of its allocation in future years.

Inflationary Adjustment of Assessed Valuation. As described above, the assessed value of a property may be increased at a rate not to exceed 2% per year to account for inflation. On December 27, 2001, the Orange County Superior Court, in *County of Orange v. Orange County Assessment Appeals Board No. 3*, held that where a home's taxable value did not increase for two years, due to a flat real estate market, the Orange County assessor violated the 2% inflation adjustment provision of Article XIII A, when the assessor tried to "recapture" the tax value of the property by increasing its assessed value by 4% in a single year. The assessors in most State counties, including the County, use a similar methodology in raising the taxable values of property beyond 2% in a single year. The State Board of Equalization has approved this methodology for increasing assessed values. On appeal, the Appellate Court held that the trial court erred in ruling that assessments are always limited to no more than 2% of the previous year's assessment. On May 10, 2004, a petition for review was filed with the California Supreme Court. The petition has been denied by the California Supreme Court. As a result of this litigation, the "recapture" provision described above may continue to be employed in determining the full cash value of property for property tax purposes.

Article XIII B of the California Constitution

Article XIII B ("**Article XIII B**") of the State Constitution, as subsequently amended by Propositions 98 and 111, respectively, limits the annual appropriations of the State and of any city, county, school district, authority or other political subdivision of the State to the level of appropriations of the particular governmental entity for the prior fiscal year, as adjusted for changes in the cost of living and in population and for transfers in the financial responsibility for providing services and for certain declared emergencies. For fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 1990, the appropriations limit of each entity of government shall be the appropriations limit for the 1986-87 fiscal year adjusted for the changes made from that fiscal year under the provisions of Article XIII B, as amended.

The appropriations of an entity of local government subject to Article XIII B limitations include the proceeds of taxes levied by or for that entity and the proceeds of certain state subventions to that entity. "Proceeds of taxes" include, but are not limited to, all tax revenues and the proceeds to the entity from (a) regulatory licenses, user charges and user fees (but only to the extent that these proceeds exceed the reasonable costs in providing the regulation, product or service), and (b) the investment of tax revenues.

Appropriations subject to limitation do not include (a) refunds of taxes, (b) appropriations for debt service, (c) appropriations required to comply with certain mandates of the courts or the federal government, (d) appropriations of certain special districts, (e) appropriations for all qualified capital outlay projects as defined by the legislature, (f) appropriations derived from

certain fuel and vehicle taxes and (g) appropriations derived from certain taxes on tobacco products.

Article XIII B includes a requirement that all revenues received by an entity of government other than the State in a fiscal year and in the fiscal year immediately following it in excess of the amount permitted to be appropriated during that fiscal year and the fiscal year immediately following it shall be returned by a revision of tax rates or fee schedules within the next two subsequent fiscal years. However, in the event that a school district's revenues exceed its spending limit, the District may in any fiscal year increase its appropriations limit to equal its spending by borrowing appropriations limit from the State.

Article XIII B also includes a requirement that 50% of all revenues received by the State in a fiscal year and in the fiscal year immediately following it in excess of the amount permitted to be appropriated during that fiscal year and the fiscal year immediately following it shall be transferred and allocated to the State School Fund under Section 8.5 of Article XVI of the State Constitution.

Unitary Property

Some amount of property tax revenue of the District is derived from utility property which is considered part of a utility system with components located in many taxing jurisdictions (“**unitary property**”). Under the State Constitution, such property is assessed by the State Board of Equalization (“**SBE**”) as part of a “going concern” rather than as individual pieces of real or personal property. State-assessed unitary and certain other property is allocated to the counties by SBE, taxed at special county-wide rates, and the tax revenues distributed to taxing jurisdictions (including the District) according to statutory formulae generally based on the distribution of taxes in the prior year.

Articles XIII C and XIII D of the California Constitution

On November 5, 1996, the voters of the State approved Proposition 218, popularly known as the “Right to Vote on Taxes Act.” Proposition 218 added to the California Constitution Articles XIII C and XIII D (respectively, “**Article XIII C**” and “**Article XIII D**”), which contain a number of provisions affecting the ability of local agencies, including school districts, to levy and collect both existing and future taxes, assessments, fees and charges.

According to the “Title and Summary” of Proposition 218 prepared by the California Attorney General, Proposition 218 limits “the authority of local governments to impose taxes and property-related assessments, fees and charges.” Among other things, Article XIII C establishes that every tax is either a “general tax” (imposed for general governmental purposes) or a “special tax” (imposed for specific purposes), prohibits special purpose government agencies such as school districts from levying general taxes, and prohibits any local agency from imposing, extending or increasing any special tax beyond its maximum authorized rate without a two-thirds vote; and also provides that the initiative power will not be limited in matters of reducing or repealing local taxes, assessments, fees and charges. Article XIII C further provides that no tax may be assessed on property other than *ad valorem* property taxes imposed in accordance with Articles XIII and XIII A of the California Constitution and special taxes approved by a two-thirds vote under Article XIII A, Section 4.

On November 2, 2010, Proposition 26 was approved by State voters, which amended Article XIII C to expand the definition of “tax” to include “any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind

imposed by a local government” except the following: (a) a charge imposed for a specific benefit conferred or privilege granted directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of conferring the benefit or granting the privilege; (b) a charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product; (c) a charge imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, and audits, enforcing agricultural marketing orders, and the administrative enforcement and adjudication thereof; (d) a charge imposed for entrance to or use of local government property, or the purchase, rental, or lease of local government property; (e) a fine, penalty, or other monetary charge imposed by the judicial branch of government or a local government, as a result of a violation of law; (f) a charge imposed as a condition of property development; and (g) assessments and property-related fees imposed in accordance with the provisions of Article XIID. Proposition 26 provides that the local government bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a levy, charge, or other exaction is not a tax, that the amount is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity, and that the manner in which those costs are allocated to a payor bear a fair or reasonable relationship to the payor’s burdens on, or benefits received from, the governmental activity.

Article XIID deals with assessments and property-related fees and charges, and explicitly provides that nothing in Article XIIC or XIID will be construed to affect existing laws relating to the imposition of fees or charges as a condition of property development.

While the provisions of Proposition 218 may have an indirect effect on the District, such as by limiting or reducing the revenues otherwise available to other local governments whose boundaries encompass property located within the District (thereby causing such local governments to reduce service levels and possibly adversely affecting the value of property within the District), the District does not believe that Proposition 218 will directly impact the property tax revenues available to pay debt service on the Bonds.

Proposition 98

On November 8, 1988, State voters approved Proposition 98, a combined initiative constitutional amendment and statute called the “Classroom Instructional Improvement and Accountability Act” (the “**Accountability Act**”). Certain provisions of the Accountability Act have, however, been modified by Proposition 111, discussed below, the provisions of which became effective on July 1, 1990. The Accountability Act changes State funding of public education below the university level and the operation of the State’s appropriations limit. The Accountability Act guarantees State funding for K-12 school districts and community college districts (hereinafter referred to collectively as “K-14 school districts”) at a level equal to the greater of (a) the same percentage of general fund revenues as the percentage appropriated to such districts in 1986-87, and (b) the amount actually appropriated to such districts from the general fund in the previous fiscal year, adjusted for increases in enrollment and changes in the cost of living. The Accountability Act permits the Legislature to suspend this formula for a one-year period.

The Accountability Act also changes how tax revenues in excess of the State appropriations limit are distributed. Any excess State tax revenues up to a specified amount would, instead of being returned to taxpayers, be transferred to K-14 school districts. Any such transfer to K-14 school districts would be excluded from the appropriations limit for K-14 school

districts and the K-14 school district appropriations limit for the next year would automatically be increased by the amount of such transfer. These additional moneys would enter the base funding calculation for K 14 school districts for subsequent years, creating further pressure on other portions of the State budget, particularly if revenues decline in a year following an Article XIII B surplus. The maximum amount of excess tax revenues which could be transferred to K 14 school districts is 4% of the minimum State spending for education mandated by the Accountability Act.

Proposition 111

On June 5, 1990, the voters approved Proposition 111 (Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 1) called the "Traffic Congestion Relief and Spending Limit Act of 1990" ("**Proposition 111**") which further modified Article XIII B and Sections 8 and 8.5 of Article XVI of the State Constitution with respect to appropriations limitations and school funding priority and allocation.

The most significant provisions of Proposition 111 are summarized as follows:

Annual Adjustments to Spending Limit. The annual adjustments to the Article XIII B spending limit were liberalized to be more closely linked to the rate of economic growth. Instead of being tied to the Consumer Price Index, the "change in the cost of living" is now measured by the change in State *per capita* personal income. The definition of "change in population" specifies that a portion of the State's spending limit is to be adjusted to reflect changes in school attendance.

Treatment of Excess Tax Revenues. "Excess" tax revenues with respect to Article XIII B are now determined based on a two-year cycle, so that the State can avoid having to return to taxpayers excess tax revenues in one year if its appropriations in the next fiscal year are under its limit. In addition, the Proposition 98 provision regarding excess tax revenues was modified. After any two-year period, if there are excess State tax revenues, 50% of the excess are to be transferred to K-14 school districts with the balance returned to taxpayers; under prior law, 100% of excess State tax revenues went to K-14 school districts, but only up to a maximum of 4% of the schools' minimum funding level. Also, reversing prior law, any excess State tax revenues transferred to K-14 school districts are not built into the school districts' base expenditures for calculating their entitlement for State aid in the next year, and the State's appropriations limit is not to be increased by this amount.

Exclusions from Spending Limit. Two exceptions were added to the calculation of appropriations which are subject to the Article XIII B spending limit. First, there are excluded all appropriations for "qualified capital outlay projects" as defined by the Legislature. Second, there are excluded any increases in gasoline taxes above the 1990 level (then nine cents per gallon), sales and use taxes on such increment in gasoline taxes, and increases in receipts from vehicle weight fees above the levels in effect on January 1, 1990. These latter provisions were necessary to make effective the transportation funding package approved by the Legislature and the Governor, which expected to raise over \$15 billion in additional taxes from 1990 through 2000 to fund transportation programs.

Recalculation of Appropriations Limit. The Article XIII B appropriations limit for each unit of government, including the State, is to be recalculated beginning in fiscal year 1990-91. It is based on the actual limit for fiscal year 1986-87, adjusted forward to 1990-91 as if Proposition 111 had been in effect.

School Funding Guarantee. There is a complex adjustment in the formula enacted in Proposition 98 which guarantees K-14 school districts a certain amount of State general fund revenues. Under prior law, K-14 school districts were guaranteed the greater of (a) 40.9% of State general fund revenues (the “**first test**”) or (b) the amount appropriated in the prior year adjusted for changes in the cost of living (measured as in Article XIII B by reference to *per capita* personal income) and enrollment (the “**second test**”). Under Proposition 111, schools will receive the greater of (a) the first test, (b) the second test, or (c) a third test, which will replace the second test in any year when growth in *per capita* State general fund revenues from the prior year is less than the annual growth in State per capita personal income (the “**third test**”). Under the third test, schools will receive the amount appropriated in the prior year adjusted for change in enrollment and *per capita* State general fund revenues, plus an additional small adjustment factor. If the third test is used in any year, the difference between the third test and the second test will become a “credit” to schools which will be paid in future years when State general fund revenue growth exceeds personal income growth.

Proposition 39

On November 7, 2000, State voters approved an amendment (commonly known as “**Proposition 39**”) to the California Constitution. This amendment (a) allows school facilities bond measures to be approved by 55% (rather than two-thirds) of the voters in local elections and permits property taxes to exceed the current 1% limit in order to repay the bonds and (b) changes existing statutory law regarding charter school facilities. As adopted, the constitutional amendments may be changed only with another Statewide vote of the people. The statutory provisions could be changed by a majority vote of both houses of the Legislature and approval by the Governor, but only to further the purposes of the proposition. The local school jurisdictions affected by this proposition are K-12 school districts, including the District, community college districts, and county offices of education. As noted above, the California Constitution previously limited property taxes to 1% of the value of property. Prior to the approval of Proposition 39, property taxes could only exceed this limit to pay for (a) any local government debts approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978 or (b) bonds to acquire or improve real property that receive two-thirds voter approval after July 1, 1978.

The 55% vote requirement authorized by Proposition 39 applies only if the local bond measure presented to the voters includes: (a) a requirement that the bond funds can be used only for construction, rehabilitation, equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities; (b) a specific list of school projects to be funded and certification that the school board has evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in developing the list; and (c) a requirement that the school board conduct annual, independent financial and performance audits until all bond funds have been spent to ensure that the bond funds have been used only for the projects listed in the measure. Legislation approved in June 2000 places certain limitations on local school bonds to be approved by 55% of the voters. These provisions require that the tax rate levied as the result of any single election be no more than \$60 (for a unified school district), \$30 (for an elementary school district or high school district), or \$25 (for a community college district), per \$100,000 of taxable property value. These requirements are not part of this proposition and can be changed with a majority vote of both houses of the Legislature and approval by the Governor.

Proposition 1A and Proposition 22

On November 2, 2004, State voters approved Proposition 1A, which amended the State

constitution to significantly reduce the State's authority over major local government revenue sources. Under Proposition 1A, the State cannot (a) reduce local sales tax rates or alter the method of allocating the revenue generated by such taxes, (b) shift property taxes from local governments to schools or community colleges, (c) change how property tax revenues are shared among local governments without two-thirds approval of both houses of the State Legislature or (d) decrease Vehicle License Fee revenues without providing local governments with equal replacement funding. Under Proposition 1A, beginning, in 2008-09, the State may shift to schools and community colleges a limited amount of local government property tax revenue if certain conditions are met, including: (a) a proclamation by the Governor that the shift is needed due to a severe financial hardship of the State, and (b) approval of the shift by the State Legislature with a two-thirds vote of both houses. Under such a shift, the State must repay local governments for their property tax losses, with interest, within three years. Proposition 1A does allow the State to approve voluntary exchanges of local sales tax and property tax revenues among local governments within a county. Proposition 1A also amended the State Constitution to require the State to suspend certain State laws creating mandates in any year that the State does not fully reimburse local governments for their costs to comply with the mandates. This provision does not apply to mandates relating to schools or community colleges or to those mandates relating to employee rights.

Proposition 22, a constitutional initiative entitled the "Local Taxpayer, Public Safety, and Transportation Protection Act of 2010," approved on November 2, 2010, superseded many of the provision of Proposition 1A. This initiative amends the State constitution to prohibit the legislature from diverting or shifting revenues that are dedicated to funding services provided by local government or funds dedicated to transportation improvement projects and services. Under this proposition, the State is not allowed to take revenue derived from locally imposed taxes, such as hotel taxes, parcel taxes, utility taxes and sales taxes, and local public transit and transportation funds. Further, in the event that a local governmental agency sues the State alleging a violation of these provisions and wins, then the State must automatically appropriate the funds needed to pay that local government. This Proposition was intended to, among other things, stabilize local government revenue sources by restricting the State's control over local property taxes. Proposition 22 did not prevent the California State Legislature from dissolving State redevelopment agencies pursuant to AB 1X26, as confirmed by the decision of the California Supreme Court decision in *California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos* (2011).

Because Proposition 22 reduces the State's authority to use or reallocate certain revenue sources, fees and taxes for State general fund purposes, the State will have to take other actions to balance its budget, such as reducing State spending or increasing State taxes, and school and college districts that receive Proposition 98 or other funding from the State will be more directly dependent upon the State's general fund.

Proposition 30 and Proposition 55

The Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding, Initiative Constitutional Amendment, also known as "**Proposition 30**", temporarily increased the State Sales and Use Tax and personal income tax rates on higher incomes. Proposition 30 temporarily imposed an additional tax on all retailers, at the rate of 0.25% of gross receipts from the sale of all tangible personal property sold in the State from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016. Proposition 30 also imposed an additional excise tax on the storage, use, or other consumption in the State of tangible personal property purchased from a retailer on and after January 1, 2013 and before January 1, 2017. This excise tax was levied at a rate of 0.25% of the sales price of the property so purchased.

For personal income taxes imposed beginning in the taxable year commencing January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2018, Proposition 30 increases for such period the marginal personal income tax rate by: (a) 1% for taxable income over \$250,000 but less than \$300,000 for single filers (over \$340,000 but less than \$408,000 for head of household filers and over \$500,000 but less than \$600,000 for joint filers), (b) 2% for taxable income over \$300,000 but less than \$500,000 for single filers (over \$408,000 but less than \$680,000 for head of household filers and over \$600,000 but less than \$1,000,000 for joint filers), and (c) 3% for taxable income over \$500,000 for single filers (over \$680,000 for head of household filers and over \$1,000,000 for joint filers). Proposition 55 (described below) extended said increases to personal income rates through the end of 2030.

The revenues generated from the temporary tax increases will be included in the calculation of the Proposition 98 minimum funding guarantee for school districts and community college districts. See “Proposition 98” and “Proposition 111” above. From an accounting perspective, the revenues generated from the temporary tax increases will be deposited into the State account created pursuant to Proposition 30 called the Education Protection Account (the “EPA”). Pursuant to Proposition 30, funds in the EPA will be allocated quarterly, with 89% of such funds provided to school districts and 11% provided to community college districts. The funds will be distributed to school districts and community college districts in the same manner as existing unrestricted per-student funding, except that no school district will receive less than \$200 per unit of ADA and no community college district will receive less than \$100 per full time equivalent student. The governing board of each school district and community college district is granted sole authority to determine how the moneys received from the EPA are spent, provided that, the appropriate governing board is required to make these spending determinations in open session at a public meeting and such local governing boards are prohibited from using any funds from the EPA for salaries or benefits of administrators or any other administrative costs.

The California Children’s Education and Health Care Protection Act of 2016, also known as Proposition 55, was a proposed constitutional amendment initiative that was approved on the November 8, 2016 general election ballot in the State. Proposition 55 extends the increases to personal income tax rates for high-income taxpayers that were approved as part of Proposition 30 through the end of 2030, instead of the scheduled expiration date of December 31, 2018. The extensions did not apply to the sales tax and excise taxes imposed by Proposition 30. Tax revenue received under Proposition 55 is to be allocated 89% to K-12 schools and 11% to community colleges.

California Senate Bill 222

Senate Bill 222 (“**SB 222**”) was signed by the California Governor on July 13, 2015, and became effective on January 1, 2016. SB 222 amended Section 15251 of the California Education Code and added Section 52515 to the California Government Code to provide that voter approved general obligation bonds which are secured by *ad valorem* property tax collections are secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the property tax imposed to service those bonds. Said lien shall attach automatically and is valid and binding from the time the bonds are executed and delivered. The lien is enforceable against the issuer, its successors, transferees, and creditors, and all others asserting rights therein, irrespective of whether those parties have notice of the lien and without the need for any further act. The effect of SB 222 is the treatment of general obligation bonds, such as the Bonds, as secured debt in bankruptcy due to the existence of a statutory lien.

Proposition 19

On November 3, 2020, State voters approved Proposition 19, a legislatively referred constitutional amendment (“**Proposition 19**”), which amends Article XIII A to (i) expand as of April 1, 2021 special rules that govern the transfer of a residential property’s tax base value to a replacement residence for homeowners that are over the age of 55, severely disabled, or whose property has been impacted by wildfire or natural disaster, when they buy a different home anywhere within the State, (ii) narrows as of February 16, 2021 existing special rules for the valuation of inherited real property due to a transfer between family members, and (iii) allocates most resulting State revenues and savings (if any) to fire protection services and reimbursing local governments for taxation-related changes. The District cannot predict whether the implementation of Proposition 19 will increase, decrease or have no overall impact on the District’s assessed values.

Proposition 2 (2024)

The Kindergarten Through Grade 12 Schools and Local Community College Public Education Facilities Modernization, Repair and Safety Bond Act of 2024, also known as “Proposition 2”, was approved by State voters at the November 5, 2024 statewide election, and authorizes the sale and issuance of \$10 billion in State general obligation bonds for the repair, upgrade and construction of facilities at K-12 public schools (including charter schools), community colleges and career technical education programs, including the improvement of health and safety conditions and classroom upgrades.

Proposition 2 includes \$3.3 billion for the construction of new K-12 facilities and an additional \$4 billion for the modernization of existing K-12 facilities. Up to 10% of the allocation for new constructions and modernization will be reserved for school districts with an enrollment of fewer than 2,501 students. Of the \$4 billion assigned for modernization of existing K-12 facilities, up to \$115 million will be allocated for the remediation of lead in water at school facilities. Generally, K-12 school districts will be required to pay for 50% of the new construction costs and 40% of the modernization costs with local revenues. However, some districts that have lower assessed property values and meet certain other socio-economic criteria will be required to pay as low as 45% and 35% of new construction costs and modernization costs, respectively. In addition, a total of \$1.2 billion will be available for the modernization and new construction of charter school facilities (\$600 million) and technical education facilities (\$600 million). The State will award funds to technical education and charter school through an application process, and charter schools must be deemed financially sound before project approval.

Future Initiatives

Article XIII A, Article XIII B, Article XIII C and Article XIII D of the California Constitution and Propositions 98, 22, 26, 30 and 39 were each adopted as measures that qualified for the ballot under the State’s initiative process. From time to time other initiative measures could be adopted further affecting District revenues or the District’s ability to expend revenues. The nature and impact of these measures cannot be anticipated by the District.

APPENDIX B

**AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT
FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

APPENDIX C

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE CITY OF TRACY AND SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

The following information concerning the City of Tracy (the “City”) and San Joaquin County (the “County”) is included only for the purpose of supplying general information regarding the area of the District. The Bonds are not a debt of the City, the County, the State of California (the “State”) or any of its political subdivisions, and neither the City, the County, the State nor any of its political subdivisions is liable therefor.

General

The City. The City is located on the western edge of the Central Valley in the County and situated within a triangle formed by three interstate freeways: I-5, I-205 and I-580. The City is 60 miles east of San Francisco and 70 miles south of Sacramento, covering approximately 14.3 square miles. City services include public safety (police and fire protection), highways and streets, sanitation, culture-recreation, public improvements, planning and zoning, general administration services, and redevelopment.

The City was incorporated as a general law city in 1910 and operates under the council-manager form of government. Policy-making and legislative authority are vested in the City Council, which consists of a mayor and a four-member Council. The City Council is responsible, among other things, for passing ordinances, adopting the budget, appointing committees and hiring the City Manager and the City Attorney. The City Manager is responsible for carrying out the policies and ordinances of the City Council, for overseeing the day-to-day operations of the government, and for appointing the heads of the government’s departments. Council members are elected to four-year staggered terms, with two Council members elected every two years. The mayor is elected every two years.

The County. The County is one of the State’s original counties and was created at the time of statehood in 1850. The County covers an area of approximately 1,436 square miles, consisting of 1,399 square miles of land and 27 square miles of water. Captain Charles M. Weber was instrumental in developing the City of Stockton as the County Seat and as a Port of Entry. Today, ships still deliver cargo to the Port of Stockton by the channel Captain Weber had dug in the 1800s.

The County is adjacent to Stanislaus County to the south and southeast, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties to the west, Sacramento County to the north, Amador County to the northeast, Calaveras County to the east and a corner of Santa Clara County to the southwest.

Population

The most recent estimate of the County's population at January 1, 2025 was 805,856 persons, according to the State Department of Finance. The City has an estimated population of 98,215 persons at January 1, 2025. The table below shows population estimates for the cities in the County for the last five years, as of January 1.

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
Population Estimates
Calendar Years 2021 through 2025
(As of January 1st)

<u>Area</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>
Escalon	7,429	7,351	7,273	7,337	7,232
Lathrop	29,565	31,390	34,877	37,102	38,596
Lodi	66,061	66,309	66,314	67,262	67,093
Manteca	84,800	86,836	88,882	92,116	93,733
Mountain House	-	-	-	-	28,795
Ripon	16,126	15,940	15,796	15,966	15,753
Stockton	320,574	321,000	318,906	323,355	320,877
Tracy	93,773	94,789	95,507	97,501	98,215
Balance of County	162,892	160,674	160,141	161,689	135,562
County Total	781,220	784,289	787,696	802,328	805,856

Source: State Department of Finance estimates (as of January 1).

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

Employment and Industry

The unemployment rate in the County was 6.4% in November 2025, unchanged from the year ago estimate of 6.4%. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 5.4% for the State and 4.3% for the nation during the same period.

The County is part of the Stockton-Lodi Metropolitan Statistical Area (the “MSA”). Set forth below is data from 2020 through 2024, reflecting the MSA's civilian labor force, employment, and unemployment.

**STOCKTON-LODI MSA
(San Joaquin County)
Annual Average Civilian Labor Force, Employment and Unemployment,
Employment by Industry
(March 2024 Benchmark)**

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Civilian Labor Force ⁽¹⁾	347,200	349,400	356,700	363,400	368,500
Employment	308,800	320,300	338,200	341,600	344,700
Unemployment	38,400	29,100	18,500	21,700	23,800
Unemployment Rate	11.1%	8.3%	5.2%	6.0%	6.5%
<u>Wage and Salary Employment: ⁽²⁾</u>					
Agriculture	14,600	14,200	13,800	14,500	14,300
Mining and Logging	100	100	0	0	0
Construction	13,000	13,900	14,900	14,000	14,700
Manufacturing	20,100	21,300	23,000	23,500	23,500
Wholesale Trade	10,600	10,800	11,600	12,300	12,400
Retail Trade	24,600	26,200	27,000	27,100	27,000
Transportation, Warehousing and Utilities	38,800	43,000	48,100	47,300	47,600
Information	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,100	1,000
Financial Activities	7,800	8,000	8,200	7,900	7,700
Professional and Business Services	21,300	22,500	24,100	23,100	22,900
Educational and Health Services	37,300	38,000	39,700	42,000	44,800
Leisure and Hospitality	18,500	21,300	24,300	24,500	24,400
Other Services	6,800	7,300	7,900	8,100	8,200
Federal Government	3,300	3,100	3,100	3,000	3,000
State Government	6,800	6,000	5,500	5,100	4,800
Local Government	33,000	32,900	34,000	35,100	37,000
Total all Industries	257,700	269,800	286,200	288,500	293,000

(1) Labor force data is by place of residence; includes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, and workers on strike.

(2) Industry employment is by place of work; excludes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, and workers on strike.

(3) Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: State of California Employment Development Department.

Major Employers

The following table shows the major employers in the County as of January 2026, in alphabetical order without regard to the number of employees.

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY Major Employers (Listed Alphabetically)

Employer Name	Location	Industry
Amazon Fulfillment Ctr	Stockton	Mail Order Fulfillment Service
Ashley Lane LP	Stockton	Real Estate
Blue Shield of California	Lodi	Insurance
Chevron	Lodi	Service Stations-Gasoline & Oil
Dameron Hospital	Stockton	Hospitals
Foster Care Svc	Stockton	Foster Care
Leprino Foods Co	Tracy	Cheese Processors (mfrs)
Lodi Health Home Health Agency	Lodi	Home Health Service
Medline	Tracy	Physicians & Surgeons Equip & Supls-Whls
NA Chaderjian Youth	Stockton	State Govt-Correctional Institutions
O-G Packing & Cold Storage Co	Stockton	Fruits & Vegetables-Growers & Shippers
Prima Frutta Packing Inc	Linden	Fruit & Produce Packers
Safeway Distribution Ctr	Tracy	Distribution Centers (whls)
San Joaquin County CA Pubc	Stockton	Government Offices-County
San Joaquin County Human Svc	Stockton	Government Offices-County
San Joaquin County Sch	Stockton	School Districts
San Joaquin General Hospital	French Camp	Hospitals
San Joaquin Sheriff's Office	French Camp	Government Offices-County
Sjgov	Stockton	Government Offices-County
St Joseph's Regional Health	Stockton	Health Services
Stockton Police Dept	Stockton	Non-Profit Organizations
Stockton Unified Sch Dist	Stockton	Facilities Management
Stockton Unified School Dist	Stockton	Schools
Walmart Supercenter	Stockton	Department Stores
Waste Management-Lodi Transfer	Lodi	Solid Waste Collection

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, extracted from The America's Labor Market Information System (ALMIS) Employer Database, 2026 1st Edition.

Effective Buying Income

“Effective Buying Income” is defined as personal income less personal tax and nontax payments, a number often referred to as “disposable” or “after-tax” income. Personal income is the aggregate of wages and salaries, other labor-related income (such as employer contributions to private pension funds), proprietor’s income, rental income (which includes imputed rental income of owner-occupants of non-farm dwellings), dividends paid by corporations, interest income from all sources, and transfer payments (such as pensions and welfare assistance). Deducted from this total are personal taxes (federal, state and local), nontax payments (fines, fees, penalties, etc.) and personal contributions to social insurance. According to U.S. government definitions, the resultant figure is commonly known as “disposable personal income.”

The following table summarizes the total effective buying income and median household effective buying income for the City, the County, the State, and the United States for the years 2022 through 2026.

**CITY OF TRACY, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA & UNITED STATES
Effective Buying Income
2022 through 2026**

Year	Area	Total Effective Buying Income (000’s Omitted)	Median Household Effective Buying Income
2022	City of Tracy	\$3,080,131	\$89,938
	San Joaquin County	21,672,926	68,971
	California	1,452,426,153	77,058
	United States	11,208,582,541	64,448
2023	City of Tracy	\$3,127,380	\$90,240
	San Joaquin County	22,168,255	68,912
	California	1,461,799,662	77,175
	United States	11,454,846,397	65,326
2024	City of Tracy	\$3,503,173	\$98,733
	San Joaquin County	24,445,200	76,847
	California	1,510,708,521	80,973
	United States	11,987,185,826	67,876
2025	City of Tracy	\$3,619,694	\$102,190
	San Joaquin County	24,833,658	77,622
	California	1,557,429,767	82,725
	United States	12,525,577,707	69,687
2026	City of Tracy	\$4,183,317	\$111,222
	San Joaquin County	28,892,491	85,982
	California	1,730,654,738	90,403
	United States	13,932,177,817	75,433

Source: Claritas, LLC.

Commercial Activity

A summary of historic taxable sales within the City and County during the past five years for which data is available are shown in the following tables.

Total taxable sales during the first two quarters of calendar year 2025 in the City were reported to be \$3,869,908,672, a 2.53% increase over the total taxable sales of \$3,774,278,861 reported during the first two quarters of calendar year 2024.

CITY OF TRACY
Taxable Retail Sales
Number of Permits and Valuation of Taxable Transactions
(Dollars in Thousands)

	<u>Retail Stores</u>		<u>Total All Outlets</u>	
	<u>Number of Permits</u>	<u>Taxable Transactions</u>	<u>Number of Permits</u>	<u>Taxable Transactions</u>
2020	1,395	\$1,479,810	2,284	\$2,854,012
2021	1,238	4,160,817	2,082	5,868,567
2022	1,251	4,259,440	2,128	6,066,970
2023	1,262	4,890,750	2,124	6,595,746
2024	1,293	6,100,146	2,190	7,855,056

Source: State Department of Tax and Fee Administration.

Total taxable sales during the first two quarters of calendar year 2025 in the County were reported to be \$12,223,452,888, a 2.94% increase over the total taxable sales of \$11,874,700,087 reported during the first two quarters of calendar year 2024.

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
Taxable Retail Sales
Number of Permits and Valuation of Taxable Transactions
(Dollars in Thousands)

	<u>Retail Stores</u>		<u>Total All Outlets</u>	
	<u>Number of Permits</u>	<u>Taxable Transactions</u>	<u>Number of Permits</u>	<u>Taxable Transactions</u>
2020	11,188	\$10,215,896	18,358	\$15,752,225
2021	10,642	15,153,915	17,665	22,306,576
2022	10,884	15,342,203	18,100	23,625,470
2023	10,632	15,584,742	17,666	23,507,449
2024	10,831	16,862,302	18,099	24,682,773

Source: State Department of Tax and Fee Administration.

Transportation

The City. The City is served by several bus services. Locally, the TRACER bus system runs four lines that serve as circulators between major transit hubs, shopping, school, residential and downtown areas. San Joaquin Regional Transit District (SMART) runs two local routes that connect the city with other communities in the County and six commuter services that run to Dublin/Pleasanton BART station and job centers in the South Bay and Livermore. Naglee Park and Ride Lot by the West Valley Mall serve as major commuter hubs to BART and jobs in the South Bay. Greyhound, Tracer, and SMART all connect with taxis, bike stations, and parking at the Tracy Transit Center, a transit station built in 2010.

Amtrak Buses serve the City's Amtrak Bus Station with six daily trips to the South Bay and two to San Francisco, all of which stop at BART and job centers in Livermore.

The County. Major highways in the County include: Interstate 5, Interstate 205, Interstate 580, State Route 99, State Route 4 (Crosstown Freeway/California Delta Highway) and State Route 120. The San Joaquin Regional Transit District provides bus service within the City of Stockton in addition to routes throughout the County and commuter routes to Livermore, Pleasanton, Sacramento and Santa Clara County. Greyhound and Amtrak also provide service. The Stockton Metropolitan Airport serves the San Joaquin Valley with passenger and air freight facilities.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

APPENDIX D

FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL

[LETTERHEAD OF JONES HALL LLP]

[Closing Date]

Board of Education
Tracy Unified School District
1875 West Lowell Avenue
Tracy, California 95376

OPINION: \$_____ 2026 Refunding General Obligation Bonds of School
Facilities Improvement District No. 3 of Tracy Unified School District
(San Joaquin County, California)

Members of the Board of Education:

We have acted as bond counsel to the Tracy Unified School District (the “District”) in connection with the issuance by the District of \$_____ principal amount of 2026 Refunding General Obligation Bonds of School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 of Tracy Unified School District (San Joaquin County, California) (the “Bonds”), under the provisions of Articles 9 and 11 of Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code, commencing with Section 53550 of said Code (the “Act”) and a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District (the “Board”) on February 24, 2026 (the “Bond Resolution”). We have examined the law and such certified proceedings and other papers as we deemed necessary to render this opinion.

As to questions of fact material to our opinion, we have relied upon representations of the Board contained in the Bond Resolution and in the certified proceedings and other certifications and opinions furnished to us, without undertaking to verify such facts by independent investigation.

Based upon our examination, we are of the opinion, under existing law, as follows:

1. The District is duly created and validly existing as a unified school district with the power to cause the Board to issue the Bonds and to perform its obligations under the Bond Resolution and the Bonds.
2. The Bond Resolution has been duly adopted by the Board and constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the District enforceable upon the District in accordance with its terms.
3. The Bonds have been duly authorized, executed and delivered by the District, and are valid and binding general obligations of the District with respect to the Improvement District.

4. The Board of Supervisors of San Joaquin County is obligated to levy *ad valorem* property taxes for the payment of the Bonds and the interest thereon upon all property within the Improvement District subject to taxation by the District, without limitation as to rate or amount.

5. The interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Bonds may be subject to the corporate alternative minimum tax. The opinions set forth in the preceding sentences are subject to the condition that the District comply with all requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, that must be satisfied subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds in order that the interest thereon be, and continue to be, excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The District has made certain representations and covenants in order to comply with each such requirement. Inaccuracy of those representations, or failure to comply with certain of those covenants, may cause the inclusion of such interest in gross income for federal income tax purposes, which may be retroactive to the date of issuance of the Bonds.

6. The interest on the Bonds is exempt from personal income taxation imposed by the State of California.

We express no opinion regarding any other tax consequences arising with respect to the ownership, sale or disposition of, or the amount, accrual or receipt of interest on, the Bonds.

The rights of the owners of the Bonds and the enforceability of the Bonds are limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and other similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally, and by equitable principles, whether considered at law or in equity.

This opinion is given as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to revise or supplement this opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to our attention, or any changes in law that may hereafter occur.

Respectfully submitted,

APPENDIX E

FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

\$ _____
**GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF
SCHOOL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 3 OF
TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
(San Joaquin County, California)
2026 Refunding General Obligation Bonds**

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (this “Disclosure Certificate”) is executed and delivered by the Tracy Unified School District (the “District”) in connection with the execution and delivery of the captioned bonds (the “Bonds”) with respect to its School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 (the “Improvement District”). The Bonds are being executed and delivered pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District on February 24, 2026 (the “Bond Resolution”). The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, is initially acting as paying agent for the Bonds (the “Paying Agent”).

The District hereby covenants and agrees as follows:

Section 1. Purpose of the Disclosure Certificate. This Disclosure Certificate is being executed and delivered by the District for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriter in complying with the Rule.

Section 2. Definitions. In addition to the definitions set forth above and in the Bond Resolution, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Certificate unless otherwise defined in this Section 2, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

“*Annual Report*” means any Annual Report provided by the District pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 3 and 4.

“*Annual Report Date*” means the date not later than nine months after the end of each fiscal year of the District (currently June 30th).

“*Dissemination Agent*” means Isom Advisors, a Division of Urban Futures Inc., or any subsequent third-party dissemination agent designated in writing by the District and which has filed with the District and the Paying Agent a written acceptance of such designation.

“*Improvement District*” means School Facilities Improvement District No. 3 of the District.

“*Listed Events*” means any of the events listed in Section 5(a).

“*MSRB*” means the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, which has been designated by the Securities and Exchange Commission as the sole repository of disclosure information for purposes of the Rule.

“*Official Statement*” means the final official statement executed by the District in connection with the issuance of the Bonds.

“*Paying Agent*” means The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, or any successor thereto.

“*Participating Underwriter*” means the original underwriter of the Bonds required to comply with the Rule in connection with offering of the Bonds.

“*Rule*” means Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

Section 3. Provision of Annual Reports.

(a) The District shall, or shall cause the Dissemination Agent to, not later than the Annual Report Date, commencing not later than March 31, 2027 with the report for the 2025-26 Fiscal Year, provide to the MSRB, in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB, an Annual Report that is consistent with the requirements of Section 4. Not later than 15 Business Days prior to the Annual Report Date, the District shall provide the Annual Report to the Dissemination Agent (if other than the District). If by 15 Business Days prior to the Annual Report Date the Dissemination Agent (if other than the District) has not received a copy of the Annual Report, the Dissemination Agent shall contact the District to determine if the District is in compliance with the previous sentence. The Annual Report may be submitted as a single document or as separate documents comprising a package and may include by reference other information as provided in Section 4; provided that the audited financial statements of the District may be submitted separately from the balance of the Annual Report, and later than the Annual Report Date, if not available by that date. If the District’s fiscal year changes, it shall give notice of such change in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(c). The District shall provide a written certification with each Annual Report furnished to the Dissemination Agent to the effect that such Annual Report constitutes the Annual Report required to be furnished by the District hereunder.

(b) If the District does not provide (or cause the Dissemination Agent to provide) an Annual Report by the Annual Report Date, the District shall provide (or cause the Dissemination Agent to provide) notice in a timely manner to the MSRB, in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB, with a copy to the Paying Agent and the Participating Underwriter.

(c) With respect to each Annual Report, the Dissemination Agent shall:

- (i) determine each year prior to the Annual Report Date the then-applicable rules and electronic format prescribed by the MSRB for the filing of annual continuing disclosure reports; and
- (ii) if the Dissemination Agent is other than the District, file a report with the District certifying that the Annual Report has been provided pursuant to this Disclosure Certificate, and stating the date it was provided.

Section 4. Content of Annual Reports. The District's Annual Report shall contain or incorporate by reference the following:

(a) Audited financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as promulgated to apply to governmental entities from time to time by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. If the District's audited financial statements are not available by the Annual Report Date, the Annual Report shall contain unaudited financial statements in a format similar to the financial statements contained in the final Official Statement, and the audited financial statements shall be filed in the same manner as the Annual Report when they become available.

(b) Unless otherwise provided in the audited financial statements filed on or before the Annual Report Date, the following information for the most recently completed fiscal year, or, if available at the time of filing the Annual Report, for the fiscal year in which the Annual Report is filed:

- (i) Total assessed value of taxable property in the jurisdiction of the Improvement District;
- (ii) Assessed valuation of the properties of the top 20 secured property taxpayers in the Improvement District;
- (iii) Property tax collection delinquencies for the District for the most recently completed fiscal year, but only if the County does not participate in a Teeter Plan and such information is available from the County at the time of filing the Annual Report;
- (iv) The District's most recently adopted Budget or approved interim report with budgeted figures which is available at the time of filing the Annual Report; and
- (v) Such further information, if any, as may be necessary to make the statements made pursuant to (a) and (b) of this Section 4, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading.

(c) Any or all of the items listed above may be included by specific reference to other documents, including official statements of debt issues of the District or related public entities, which are available to the public on the MSRB's internet web site or filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The District shall clearly identify each such other document so included by reference.

Section 5. Reporting of Significant Events.

(a) The District shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds:

- (1) Principal and interest payment delinquencies.
- (2) Non-payment related defaults, if material.

- (3) Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties.
- (4) Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties.
- (5) Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform.
- (6) Adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the security, or other material events affecting the tax status of the security.
- (7) Modifications to rights of security holders, if material.
- (8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers.
- (9) Defeasances.
- (10) Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the securities, if material.
- (11) Rating changes.
- (12) Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the District.
- (13) The consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the District or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the District, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material.
- (14) Appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.
- (15) Incurrence of a financial obligation of the District, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the District, any of which affect security holders, if material.
- (16) Default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a financial obligation of the District, any of which reflect financial difficulties.

(b) Whenever the District obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event, the District shall, or shall cause the Dissemination Agent (if not the District) to, file a notice of such occurrence with the MSRB, in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB, in a timely manner not in excess of 10 business days after the occurrence of the Listed Event. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of Listed Events described in subsections (a)(8) and (9) above need not be given under this subsection any earlier than the notice (if any) of the underlying event is given to holders of affected Bonds under the Bond Resolution.

(c) The District acknowledges that the events described in subparagraphs (a)(2), (a)(7), (a)(8) (if the event is a bond call), (a)(10), (a)(13), (a)(14), and (a)(15) of this Section 5 contain the qualifier “if material” and that subparagraph (a)(6) also contains the qualifier “material” with respect to certain notices, determinations or other events affecting the tax status of the Bonds. The District shall cause a notice to be filed as set forth in paragraph (b) above with respect to any such event only to the extent that the District determines the event’s occurrence is material for

purposes of U.S. federal securities law. Whenever the District obtains knowledge of the occurrence of any of these Listed Events, the District will as soon as possible determine if such event would be material under applicable federal securities law. If such event is determined to be material, the District will cause a notice to be filed as set forth in paragraph (b) above.

(d) For purposes of this Disclosure Certificate, any event described in paragraph (a)(12) above is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the District in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District.

(e) For purposes of Section 5(a)(15) and (16), “financial obligation” means a (i) debt obligation; (ii) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation; or (iii) guarantee of (i) or (ii). The term financial obligation shall not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement has been provided to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board consistent with the Rule.

Section 6. Identifying Information for Filings with the MSRB. All documents provided to the MSRB under the Disclosure Certificate shall be accompanied by identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB.

Section 7. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The District’s obligations under this Disclosure Certificate shall terminate upon the legal defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Bonds. If such termination occurs prior to the final maturity of the Bonds, the District shall give notice of such termination in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(c).

Section 8. Dissemination Agent. The District may, from time to time, appoint or engage a Dissemination Agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate, and may discharge any Dissemination Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent. Any Dissemination Agent may resign by providing 30 days’ written notice to the District and the Paying Agent.

Section 9. Amendment; Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the District may amend this Disclosure Certificate, and any provision of this Disclosure Certificate may be waived, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) if the amendment or waiver relates to the provisions of Sections 3(a), 4 or 5(a), it may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature, or status of an obligated person with respect to the Bonds, or type of business conducted;
- (b) the undertakings herein, as proposed to be amended or waived, would, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the primary offering of the Bonds,

after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and

- (c) the proposed amendment or waiver either (i) is approved by holders of the Bonds in the manner provided in the Bond Resolution for amendments to the Bond Resolution with the consent of holders, or (ii) does not, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, materially impair the interests of the holders or beneficial owners of the Bonds.

If the annual financial information or operating data to be provided in the Annual Report is amended pursuant to the provisions hereof, the first annual financial information filed pursuant hereto containing the amended operating data or financial information shall explain, in narrative form, the reasons for the amendment and the impact of the change in the type of operating data or financial information being provided.

If an amendment is made to the undertaking specifying the accounting principles to be followed in preparing financial statements, the annual financial information for the year in which the change is made shall present a comparison between the financial statements or information prepared on the basis of the new accounting principles and those prepared on the basis of the former accounting principles. The comparison shall include a qualitative discussion of the differences in the accounting principles and the impact of the change in the accounting principles on the presentation of the financial information, in order to provide information to investors to enable them to evaluate the ability of the District to meet its obligations. To the extent reasonably feasible, the comparison shall be quantitative. A notice of the change in the accounting principles shall be filed in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(c).

Section 10. Additional Information. Nothing in this Disclosure Certificate shall be deemed to prevent the District from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Certificate or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Certificate. If the District chooses to include any information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Certificate, the District shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Certificate to update such information or include it in any future Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

Section 11. Default. If the District fails to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the Participating Underwriter or any holder or beneficial owner of the Bonds may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandate or specific performance by court order, to cause the District to comply with its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate. A default under this Disclosure Certificate shall not be deemed an Event of Default under the Bond Resolution, and the sole remedy under this Disclosure Certificate in the event of any failure of the District to comply with this Disclosure Certificate shall be an action to compel performance.

Section 12. Duties, Immunities and Liabilities of Dissemination Agent.

(a) The Dissemination Agent shall have only such duties as are specifically set forth in this Disclosure Certificate, and the District agrees to indemnify and save the Dissemination Agent, its officers, directors, employees and agents, harmless against any loss, expense and liabilities which they may incur arising out of or in the exercise or performance of its powers and duties hereunder, including the costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees) of defending against any claim of liability, but excluding liabilities due to the Dissemination Agent's negligence or willful misconduct. The Dissemination Agent will have no duty or obligation to review any information provided to it by the District hereunder, and shall not be deemed to be acting in any fiduciary capacity for the District, the Bondholders or any other party. The obligations of the District under this Section shall survive resignation or removal of the Dissemination Agent and payment of the Bonds.

(b) The Dissemination Agent shall be paid compensation by the District for its services provided hereunder in accordance with its schedule of fees as amended from time to time, and shall be reimbursed for all expenses, legal fees and advances made or incurred by the Dissemination Agent in the performance of its duties hereunder.

Section 13. Beneficiaries. This Disclosure Certificate shall inure solely to the benefit of the District, the Dissemination Agent, the Participating Underwriter and holders and beneficial owners from time to time of the Bonds and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

Date: _____, 2026

TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

APPENDIX F

DTC AND THE BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM

The following description of the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), the procedures and record keeping with respect to beneficial ownership interests in the Bonds, payment of principal, interest and other payments on the Bonds to DTC Participants or Beneficial Owners, confirmation and transfer of beneficial ownership interest in the Bonds and other related transactions by and between DTC, the DTC Participants and the Beneficial Owners is based solely on information provided by DTC. Accordingly, no representations can be made concerning these matters and neither the DTC Participants nor the Beneficial Owners should rely on the foregoing information with respect to such matters, but should instead confirm the same with DTC or the DTC Participants, as the case may be.

Neither the District nor the Paying Agent take any responsibility for the information contained in this Section.

No assurances can be given that DTC, DTC Participants or Indirect Participants will distribute to the Beneficial Owners (a) payments of interest, principal or premium, if any, with respect to the Bonds, (b) Bonds representing ownership interest in or other confirmation or ownership interest in the Bonds, or (c) redemption or other notices sent to DTC or Cede & Co., its nominee, as the registered owner of the Bonds, or that they will so do on a timely basis, or that DTC, DTC Participants or DTC Indirect Participants will act in the manner described in this Appendix. The current “Rules” applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the current “Procedures” of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

1. DTC will act as securities depository for the securities (in this Appendix, the “Bonds”). The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC’s partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Bond will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds, in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC. If, however, the aggregate principal amount of any maturity exceeds \$500 million, one certificate will be issued with respect to each \$500 million of principal amount and an additional certificate will be issued with respect to any remaining principal amount of such issue.

2. DTC, the world’s largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a “banking organization” within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a “clearing corporation” within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a “clearing agency” registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC’s participants (“Direct Participants”) deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants’ accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC is the holding

company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). DTC has Moody’s Global Ratings rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com. *The information contained on this Internet site is not incorporated herein by reference.*

3. Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond (“Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive Bonds representing their ownership interests in Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

4. To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC’s partnership nominee, Cede & Co. or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC’s records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

5. Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to take certain steps to augment transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Bonds, such as redemptions, tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Bond documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Bonds for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of the notices be provided directly to them.

6. Redemption notices will be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC’s practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

7. Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor such other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC’s MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.’s consenting or voting

rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

8. Redemption proceeds, distributions, and interest payments on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts, upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from District or Paying Agent on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC nor its nominee, Paying Agent, or District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption proceeds, distributions, and dividend payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of District or Paying Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

9. DTC may discontinue providing its services as securities depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to District or Paying Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor securities depository is not obtained, Bonds are required to be printed and delivered.

10. The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Bond certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

11. The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that District believes to be reliable, but District takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

APPENDIX G

**SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY INVESTMENT POLICY
AND SUMMARY OF INVESTMENT REPORT**