

# **Samuel M. Gantner Elementary School**

## **Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas, and Energy Impact Study**

### **City of Lodi, CA**

Prepared for:

Ms. Rachel Spellenberg  
**Terracon**  
145 W Walnut St.  
Carson, CA

Prepared by:

**MD Acoustics, LLC**  
Tyler Klassen, EIT  
1197 Los Angeles Ave, Ste C-256  
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Date: 1/21/2026



---

Noise Study Reports | Vibration Studies | Air Quality | Greenhouse Gas | Health Risk Assessments

P) AZ - 602.774.1950

P) CA - 805.426.4477

[www.mdacoustics.com](http://www.mdacoustics.com)  
[info@mdacoustics.com](mailto:info@mdacoustics.com)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|            |                                                       |           |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1.0</b> | <b>Introduction .....</b>                             | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1        | Purpose of Analysis and Study Objectives              | 1         |
| 1.2        | Project Summary                                       | 1         |
| 1.2.1      | Site Location                                         | 1         |
| 1.2.2      | Project Description                                   | 1         |
| 1.2.3      | Sensitive Receptors                                   | 2         |
| 1.3        | Executive Summary of Findings and Mitigation Measures | 2         |
| <b>2.0</b> | <b>Regulatory Framework and Background .....</b>      | <b>6</b>  |
| 2.1        | Air Quality Regulatory Setting                        | 6         |
| 2.1.1      | National and State                                    | 6         |
| 2.1.2      | San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District     | 8         |
| 2.1.3      | City of Lodi                                          | 15        |
| 2.2        | Greenhouse Gas Regulatory Setting                     | 17        |
| 2.2.1      | International                                         | 17        |
| 2.2.2      | National                                              | 18        |
| 2.2.3      | California                                            | 21        |
| 2.2.4      | San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District     | 29        |
| <b>3.0</b> | <b>Setting .....</b>                                  | <b>32</b> |
| 3.1        | Existing Physical Setting                             | 32        |
| 3.1.1      | Local Climate and Meteorology                         | 32        |
| 3.1.2      | Local Air Quality                                     | 33        |
| 3.1.3      | Attainment Status                                     | 35        |
| 3.2        | Greenhouse Gases                                      | 36        |
| <b>4.0</b> | <b>Modeling Parameters and Assumptions .....</b>      | <b>38</b> |
| 4.1        | Construction                                          | 38        |
| 4.2        | Operations                                            | 38        |
| <b>5.0</b> | <b>Thresholds of Significance .....</b>               | <b>39</b> |
| 5.1        | Air Quality Thresholds of Significance                | 39        |
| 5.1.1      | CEQA Guidelines for Air Quality                       | 39        |
| 5.1.2      | Regional Significance Thresholds                      | 40        |
| 5.2        | Greenhouse Gas Thresholds of Significance             | 40        |
| 5.2.1      | CEQA Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas                    | 40        |
| <b>6.0</b> | <b>Air Quality Emissions Impact .....</b>             | <b>42</b> |
| 6.1        | Construction Air Quality Emissions Impact             | 42        |

|            |                                                         |           |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 6.1.1      | Regional Construction Emissions                         | 42        |
| 6.1.2      | Construction-Related Odors                              | 42        |
| 6.1.3      | Valley Fever                                            | 42        |
| 6.2        | Operational Air Quality Emissions Impact                | 43        |
| 6.2.1      | Regional Operational Emissions                          | 43        |
| 6.2.2      | Operations-Related Odor Impacts                         | 43        |
| 6.3        | Operational Toxic Air Contaminant Impact                | 44        |
| 6.4        | Cumulative Regional Air Quality Impacts                 | 44        |
| 6.5        | Air Quality Compliance                                  | 45        |
| <b>7.0</b> | <b>Greenhouse Gas Impact Analysis.....</b>              | <b>46</b> |
| 7.1        | Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact            | 46        |
| 7.2        | Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact             | 46        |
| 7.3        | Greenhouse Gas Plan Consistency                         | 47        |
| 7.4        | Cumulative Greenhouse Gas Impacts                       | 50        |
| <b>8.0</b> | <b>Energy Analysis.....</b>                             | <b>51</b> |
| 8.1        | Construction Energy Demand                              | 51        |
| 8.1.1      | Construction Equipment Electricity Usage Estimates      | 51        |
| 8.1.2      | Construction Equipment Fuel Estimates                   | 51        |
| 8.1.3      | Construction Worker Fuel Estimates                      | 52        |
| 8.1.4      | Construction Vendor/Hauling Fuel Estimates              | 53        |
| 8.1.5      | Construction Energy Efficiency/Conservation Measures    | 54        |
| 8.2        | Operational Energy Demand                               | 54        |
| 8.2.1      | Transportation Fuel Consumption                         | 54        |
| 8.2.2      | Facility Energy Demands (Electricity and Natural Gas)   | 55        |
| 8.3        | Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Plan Consistency | 56        |
| <b>9.0</b> | <b>References.....</b>                                  | <b>58</b> |

## LIST OF APPENDICES

### Appendix A:

CalEEMod Emissions Output

### Appendix B:

EMFAC2025 Output

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

|                        |          |
|------------------------|----------|
| <b>Exhibit A</b> ..... | <b>4</b> |
| Location Map .....     | 4        |
| <b>Exhibit B</b> ..... | <b>5</b> |
| Site Plan .....        | 5        |

## LIST OF TABLES

|                                                                                               |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 1: Land Use Summary.....                                                                | 1  |
| Table 2: Ambient Air Quality Standards .....                                                  | 7  |
| Table 3: Meteorological Summary.....                                                          | 33 |
| Table 4: Local Area Air Quality Levels .....                                                  | 34 |
| Table 5: Attainment Status of SJVAPCD <sup>1,2</sup> .....                                    | 36 |
| Table 6: Description of Greenhouse Gases.....                                                 | 37 |
| Table 8: Regional Significance - Construction Emissions (tons/year).....                      | 42 |
| Table 9: Regional Significance - Operational Emissions (tons/year) .....                      | 43 |
| Table 10: Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions .....                                         | 46 |
| Table 11: Opening Year Project-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions .....                         | 47 |
| Table 12: Project Consistency with CARB Scoping Plan Policies and Measures <sup>1</sup> ..... | 48 |
| Table 13: Project Construction Power Cost and Electricity Usage .....                         | 51 |
| Table 14: Construction Equipment Fuel Consumption Estimates .....                             | 52 |
| Table 15: Construction Worker Fuel Consumption Estimates .....                                | 53 |
| Table 16: Construction Vendor Fuel Consumption Estimates (MHD Trucks) <sup>1</sup> .....      | 53 |
| Table 17: Construction Hauling Fuel Consumption Estimates (HHD Trucks) <sup>1</sup> .....     | 54 |
| Table 18: Estimated Vehicle Operations Fuel Consumption .....                                 | 55 |
| Table 19: Project Unmitigated Annual Operational Energy Demand Summary <sup>1</sup> .....     | 56 |

## **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

|                      |                                                          |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| AQMP                 | Air Quality Management Plan                              |
| CAAQS                | California Ambient Air Quality Standards                 |
| CARB                 | California Air Resources Board                           |
| CEQA                 | California Environmental Quality Act                     |
| CFCs                 | Chlorofluorocarbons                                      |
| CH <sub>4</sub>      | Methane                                                  |
| CNG                  | Compressed natural gas                                   |
| CO                   | Carbon monoxide                                          |
| CO <sub>2</sub>      | Carbon dioxide                                           |
| CO <sub>2</sub> e    | Carbon dioxide equivalent                                |
| DPM                  | Diesel particulate matter                                |
| GHG                  | Greenhouse gas                                           |
| HFCs                 | Hydrofluorocarbons                                       |
| MTCO <sub>2</sub> e  | Metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent                 |
| MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e | Million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent         |
| NAAQS                | National Ambient Air Quality Standards                   |
| NO <sub>x</sub>      | Nitrogen Oxides                                          |
| NO <sub>2</sub>      | Nitrogen dioxide                                         |
| N <sub>2</sub> O     | Nitrous oxide                                            |
| O <sub>3</sub>       | Ozone                                                    |
| PFCs                 | Perfluorocarbons                                         |
| PM                   | Particle matter                                          |
| PM <sub>10</sub>     | Particles that are less than 10 micrometers in diameter  |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub>    | Particles that are less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter |
| PMI                  | Point of maximum impact                                  |
| PPM                  | Parts per million                                        |
| PPB                  | Parts per billion                                        |
| RTIP                 | Regional Transportation Improvement Plan                 |
| RTP                  | Regional Transportation Plan                             |
| SF <sub>6</sub>      | Sulfur hexafluoride                                      |
| SIP                  | State Implementation Plan                                |
| SJVAB                | San Joaquin Valley Air Basin                             |
| SJVAPCD              | San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District        |
| SO <sub>x</sub>      | Sulfur Oxides                                            |
| SRA                  | Source/Receptor Area                                     |
| TAC                  | Toxic air contaminants                                   |
| VOC                  | Volatile organic compounds                               |
| WRCC                 | Western Regional Climate Center                          |

## 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of Analysis and Study Objectives

This air quality and greenhouse gas (GHG) analysis was prepared to evaluate whether the estimated criteria pollutants and GHG emissions generated from the project would cause a significant impact to the air resources in the project area. This assessment was conducted within the context of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, California Public Resources Code Sections 21000, et seq.). The assessment is consistent with the methodology and emission factors endorsed by San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD), California Air Resource Board (CARB), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).

### 1.2 Project Summary

#### 1.2.1 Site Location

The project site is located at the Northeast corner of Vine Street and Westgate Drive in the City of Lodi, CA (APN: 027-400-03), as shown in Exhibit A. The land use designation of the site is Planned Development. Land uses surrounding the project site include a church to the east and single family residential to the northwest. Vine Street is the south of the project and Westgate Drive is the west.

#### 1.2.2 Project Description

The project proposes the construction of a new LUSD elementary school which will include single story classrooms, and administrative and common use structures that will be no greater than 3 stories in height with immediate access roads.

Construction activities within the Project area will consist of site preparation, on-site grading, building, paving, and architectural coating. For a conservative analysis, construction was analyzed as occurring in one phase. Table 1 summarizes the land use description for the Project Site.

**Table 1: Land Use Summary**

| Land Use          | Unit Amount | Size Metric |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Elementary School | 850         | Students    |
| Parking Lot       | 10          | Acre        |

### 1.2.3 Sensitive Receptors

Per SJVAPCD, sensitive receptors are “people that have an increased sensitivity to air pollution or environmental contaminants. Sensitive receptor locations include schools, parks and playgrounds, day care centers, nursing homes, hospitals, and residential dwelling unit(s).”<sup>1</sup>

The closest existing sensitive receptors (to the site area) are the church 30 feet to the east and the residences 125 feet to the west.

## 1.3 Executive Summary of Findings and Mitigation Measures

The following is a summary of the analysis results:

### Construction-Source Emissions

Project construction-source emissions would not exceed applicable regional thresholds of significance established by the SJVAPCD.

As discussed herein, the project will comply with all applicable SJVAPCD construction-source emission reduction rules and guidelines. Project construction source emissions would not cause or substantively contribute to violation of the California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) or National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

Established requirements addressing construction equipment operations, and construction material use, storage, and disposal requirements act to minimize odor impacts that may result from construction activities. Moreover, construction-source odor emissions would be temporary, short-term, and intermittent in nature and would not result in persistent impacts that would affect substantial numbers of people. Potential construction-source odor impacts are therefore considered less-than-significant.

### Operational-Source Emissions

The project's emissions meet SJVAPCD regional thresholds and will not result in a significant cumulative impact. The project does not propose any such uses or activities that would result in potentially significant operational-source odor impacts. Potential operational-source odor impacts are therefore considered less-than significant.

Project-related GHG emissions meet the goals of the CARB Scoping Plan, AB-32, and SB-32.

---

<sup>1</sup> San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD). Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts. March 19, 2015. <https://www.valleyair.org/media/g4nl3p0g/gamaqi.pdf>.

## **Mitigation Measures**

### **A. Construction Measures**

*The project applicant shall ensure that all applicable SJVAPCD Rules and Regulations are complied with during construction.*

No construction measures are required.

### **B. Operational Measures to Reduce GHG Emissions**

No operational measures are required.

## Exhibit A Location Map





## **2.0 Regulatory Framework and Background**

### **2.1 Air Quality Regulatory Setting**

Air pollutants are regulated at the national, state, and air basin level; each agency has a different level of regulatory responsibility. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulates at the national level. The California Air Resources Board (ARB) regulates at the state level. The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) regulates at the air basin level.

#### **2.1.1 National and State**

The EPA is responsible for global, international, and interstate air pollution issues and policies. The EPA sets national vehicle and stationary source emission standards, oversees approval of all State Implementation Plans, provides research and guidance for air pollution programs, and sets National Air Quality Standards, also known as federal standards. There are six common air pollutants, called criteria pollutants, which were identified from the provisions of the Clean Air Act of 1970.

- Ozone
- Nitrogen Dioxide
- Lead
- Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>)
- Carbon Monoxide
- Particulate Matter
- Sulfur Dioxide

The federal standards were set to protect public health, including that of sensitive individuals; thus, the standards continue to change as more medical research is available regarding the health effects of the criteria pollutants. Primary federal standards are the levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health.

A State Implementation Plan is a document prepared by each state describing existing air quality conditions and measures that will be followed to attain and maintain federal standards. The State Implementation Plan for the State of California is administered by the ARB, which has overall responsibility for statewide air quality maintenance and air pollution prevention. California's State Implementation Plan incorporates individual federal attainment plans for regional air districts—air district prepares their federal attainment plan, which sent to ARB to be approved and incorporated into the California State Implementation Plan. Federal attainment plans include the technical foundation for understanding air quality (e.g., emission inventories and air quality monitoring), control measures and strategies, and enforcement mechanisms. See <http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs.htm> for additional information on criteria pollutants and air quality standards.

The federal and state ambient air quality standards are summarized in Table 2 and can also be found at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf>.

**Table 2: Ambient Air Quality Standards**

| Pollutant                                         | Averaging Time          | California Standards <sup>1</sup> |                                                        | National Standards <sup>2</sup>             |                          |                                                                     |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                   |                         | Concentrations <sup>3</sup>       | Method <sup>4</sup>                                    | Primary <sup>3,5</sup>                      | Secondary <sup>3,6</sup> | Method <sup>7</sup>                                                 |
| Ozone (O3)                                        | 1-Hour                  | 0.09 ppm                          | Ultraviolet Photometry                                 | --                                          | Same as Primary Standard | Ultraviolet Photometry                                              |
|                                                   | 8-Hour                  | 0.070 ppm                         |                                                        | 0.070 ppm (147 µg/m³)                       |                          |                                                                     |
| Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10) <sup>8</sup> | 24-Hour                 | 50 µg/m³                          | Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation                        | 150 µ/m³                                    | Same as Primary Standard | Inertial Separation and Gravimetric Analysis                        |
|                                                   | Annual Arithmetic Mean  | 20 µg/m³                          |                                                        | --                                          |                          |                                                                     |
| Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5) <sup>8</sup>      | 24-Hour                 | --                                | --                                                     | 35 µg/m³                                    | Same as Primary Standard | Inertial Separation and Gravimetric Analysis                        |
|                                                   | Annual Arithmetic Mean  | 12 µg/m³                          | Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation                        | 12 µg/m³                                    | 15 µg/m³                 |                                                                     |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO)                              | 1-Hour                  | 20 ppm (23 µg/m³)                 | Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)              | 35 ppm (40 µg/m³)                           | --                       | Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)                           |
|                                                   | 8-Hour                  | 9.0 ppm (10 µg/m³)                |                                                        | 9 ppm (10 µg/m³)                            | --                       |                                                                     |
|                                                   | 8-Hour (Lake Tahoe)     | 6 ppm (7 µg/m³)                   |                                                        | --                                          | --                       |                                                                     |
| Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>9</sup>  | 1-Hour                  | 0.18 ppm (339 µg/m³)              | Gas Phase Chemiluminescence                            | 100 ppb (188 µg/m³)                         | --                       | Gas Phase Chemiluminescence                                         |
|                                                   | Annual Arithmetic Mean  | 0.030 ppm (357 µg/m³)             |                                                        | 0.053 ppm (100 µg/m³)                       | Same as Primary Standard |                                                                     |
| Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>10</sup>   | 1-Hour                  | 0.25 ppm (655 µg/m³)              | Ultraviolet Fluorescence                               | 75 ppb (196 µg/m³)                          | --                       | Ultraviolet Fluorescence; Spectrophotometry (Pararosaniline Method) |
|                                                   | 3-Hour                  | --                                |                                                        | --                                          | 0.5 ppm (1300 mg/m³)     |                                                                     |
|                                                   | 24-Hour                 | 0.04 ppm (105 µg/m³)              |                                                        | 0.14 ppm (for certain areas) <sup>10</sup>  | --                       |                                                                     |
|                                                   | Annual Arithmetic Mean  | --                                |                                                        | 0.130ppm (for certain areas) <sup>10</sup>  | --                       |                                                                     |
| Lead <sup>11,12</sup>                             | 30 Day Average          | 1.5 µg/m³                         | Atomic Absorption                                      | --                                          | Same as Primary Standard | High Volume Sampler and Atomic Absorption                           |
|                                                   | Calendar Qtr            | --                                |                                                        | 1.5 µg/m³ (for certain areas) <sup>12</sup> |                          |                                                                     |
|                                                   | Rolling 3-Month Average | --                                |                                                        | 0.15 µg/m³                                  |                          |                                                                     |
| Visibility Reducing Particles <sup>13</sup>       | 8-Hour                  | See footnote 13                   | Beta Attenuation and Transmittance through Filter Tape | No National Standards                       |                          |                                                                     |
| Sulfates                                          | 24-Hour                 | 25 µg/m³                          | Ion Chromatography                                     |                                             |                          |                                                                     |
| Hydrogen Sulfide                                  | 1-Hour                  | 0.03 ppm (42 µg/m³)               | Ultraviolet Fluorescence                               |                                             |                          |                                                                     |
| Vinyl Chloride <sup>11</sup>                      | 24-Hour                 | 0.01 ppm (26 µg/m³)               | Gas Chromatography                                     |                                             |                          |                                                                     |

**Notes:**

- California standards for ozone, carbon monoxide (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1 and 24 hour), nitrogen dioxide, and particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.
- National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM<sub>10</sub>, the 24 hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is equal to or less than one. For PM<sub>2.5</sub>, the 24 hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard. Contact the U.S. EPA for further clarification and current national policies.
- Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- Any equivalent measurement method which can be shown to the satisfaction of the ARB to give equivalent results at or near the level of the air quality standard may be used.
- National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
- Reference method as described by the U.S. EPA. An "equivalent method" of measurement may be used but must have a "consistent relationship to the reference method" and must be approved by the U.S. EPA.

8. On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> primary standard was lowered from 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to 12.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The existing national 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The existing 24-hour PM<sub>10</sub> standards (primary and secondary) of 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
9. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note that the national 1-hour standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
10. On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO<sub>2</sub> national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.  
  
Note that the 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.
11. The ARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
12. The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008 to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.
13. In 1989, the ARB converted both the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are "extinction of 0.23 per kilometer" and "extinction of 0.07 per kilometer" for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.

Several pollutants listed in Table 2 are not addressed in this analysis. Analysis of lead is not included in this report because the project is not anticipated to emit lead. Visibility-reducing particles are not explicitly addressed in this analysis because particulate matter is addressed. The project is not expected to generate or be exposed to vinyl chloride because proposed project uses do not utilize the chemical processes that create this pollutant and there are no such uses in the project vicinity. The proposed project is not expected to cause exposure to hydrogen sulfide because it would not generate hydrogen sulfide in any substantial quantity.

### **2.1.2 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District**

The SJVAPCD is the regional agency responsible for the regulation and enforcement of federal, state, and local air pollution control regulations in the SJVAB. The SJVAPCD jurisdiction includes all of Merced, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Madera, Fresno, Kings, and Tulare Counties, and the San Joaquin Valley portion of Kern County.

#### **Air Quality Plans**

The SJVAPCD has prepared several air quality attainment plans to achieve the O<sub>3</sub> and PM standards, the most recent of which include the 2020 Reasonably Available Control Technology Demonstration for the 2015 8-Hour Ozone Standard (SJVAPCD 2020a); 2016 Plan for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard (SJVAPCD 2016a); 2014 Reasonably Available Control Technology Demonstration for the 8-Hour Ozone State Implementation Plan (SJVAPCD 2014a); 2013 Plan for the Revoked 1-Hour Ozone Standard (SJVAPCD 2013); 2007 PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan and Request for Redesignation (SJVAPCD 2007a); 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan

(SJVAPCD 2012); 2015 Plan for the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard (SJVAPCD 2015b); 2016 Moderate Area Plan for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard (SJVAPCD 2016b); and the 2018 Plan for the 1997, 2006, and 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standards (SJVAPCD 2020b). The following sections summarize key elements of these and other recent air quality attainment plans.

#### Extreme 1-Hour Ozone Attainment Demonstration Plan

The Extreme 1-Hour Ozone Attainment Demonstration Plan, adopted by the SJVAPCD Governing Board October 8, 2004, sets forth measures and emission-reduction strategies designed to attain the federal 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard by November 15, 2010, as well as an emissions inventory, outreach, and rate of progress demonstration. This plan was approved by the EPA on March 8, 2010; however, the EPA's approval was subsequently withdrawn effective November 26, 2012, in response to a decision issued by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (*Sierra Club v. EPA*, 671 F.3d 955) remanding EPA's approval of these SIP revisions. Concurrent with the EPA's final rule, CARB withdrew the 2004 plan. The SJVAPCD developed a new plan for the 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard, the 2013 Plan for the Revoked 1-Hour Ozone Standard, which it adopted in September 2013.

#### 2007 8-Hour Ozone Plan

The 2007 8-Hour Ozone Plan, adopted by the Governing Board on April 30, 2007, sets forth measures and a "dual path" strategy to attain the federal 1997 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard by 2023 for the SJVAB by reducing emissions of O<sub>3</sub> and PM precursors (SJVAPCD 2007b). The plan also includes provisions for improved pollution control technologies for mobile and stationary sources, as well as an increase in state and federal funding for incentive-based measures to reduce emissions. All local measures would have been adopted by the SJVAPCD before 2012. This plan was approved by the EPA on April 30, 2012. On November 26, 2012, however, the EPA withdrew its determination that the plan satisfied the federal Clean Air Act requirements regarding emissions growth caused by growth in vehicle miles traveled. All other determinations in the EPA's March 1, 2012, rule approving the plan remain unchanged and in effect. The SJVAPCD is currently in the process of developing an O<sub>3</sub> plan to address EPA's 2008 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard, with attainment required by 2032.

#### 2009 Reasonably Available Control Technology State Implementation Plan

On April 16, 2009, the Governing Board adopted the Reasonably Available Control Technology Demonstration for Ozone State Implementation Plans (2009 RACT SIP) (SJVAPCD 2009). In part, the 2009 RACT SIP satisfied the commitment by the SJVAPCD for a new RACT analysis for the 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> plan (see discussion of the EPA withdrawal of approval in the Extreme 1-Hour Ozone Attainment Demonstration Plan summary above) and was intended to prevent all sanctions that could be imposed by the EPA for failure to submit a required SIP revision for the 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard. With respect to the 8-hour standard, the plan also assesses the SJVAPCD's rules based on the adjusted major source definition of 10 tons per year (due to the SJVAB's designation as an extreme O<sub>3</sub> nonattainment area), evaluates SJVAPCD rules against new Control Techniques Guidelines promulgated since August 2006, and reviews additional rules and rule amendments that had been adopted by the Governing Board since August 17, 2006, for RACT consistency.

### 2013 Plan for the Revoked 1-Hour Ozone Standard

The SJVAPCD developed a plan for EPA's revoked 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard after the EPA withdrew its approval of the 2004 Extreme 1-Hour Ozone Attainment Demonstration Plan as a result of litigation. As a result of the litigation, the EPA reinstated previously revoked requirements for 1-hour O<sub>3</sub> attainment plans. The 2013 plan addresses those requirements, including a demonstration of implementation of reasonably available control measures and a demonstration of a rate of progress averaging 3% annual reductions of ROG or NO<sub>x</sub> emissions every 3 years. The 2013 Plan for the Revoked 1-Hour Ozone Standard was approved by the Governing Board on September 19, 2013 (SJVAPCD 2013).

### 2014 RACT SIP

On June 19, 2014, the Governing Board adopted the 2014 Reasonably Available Control Technology Demonstration for the 8-Hour Ozone State Implementation Plan (2014 RACT SIP) (SJVAPCD 2014a). The 2014 RACT SIP includes a demonstration that the SJVAPCD rules implement RACT. The plan reviews each of the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction rules and concludes that they satisfy requirements for stringency, applicability, and enforceability, and meet or exceed RACT. The plan's analysis of further ROG reductions through modeling and technical analyses demonstrates that added ROG reductions will not advance SJVAB's O<sub>3</sub> attainment. Each ROG (i.e., VOC) rule evaluated in the 2009 RACT SIP, however, has been subsequently approved by the EPA as meeting RACT within the last 2 years. The O<sub>3</sub> attainment strategy, therefore, focuses on further NO<sub>x</sub> reductions.

### SJVAPCD 2016 Plan for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard

The SJVAPCD adopted the 2016 Plan for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard in June 2016. This plan demonstrates the practicable and expeditious attainment of the 75 parts per billion 8-hour O<sub>3</sub> standard (SJVAPCD 2016a).

### SJVAPCD 2016 Moderate Area Plan for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard

The SJVAPCD adopted the 2016 Moderate Area Plan for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard on September 15, 2016. This plan addresses the EPA federal annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 12 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m<sup>3</sup>), established in 2012. This plan includes an attainment impracticability demonstration and request for reclassification of the SJVAB from Moderate nonattainment to Serious nonattainment (SJVAPCD 2016b).

### SJVAPCD 2018 Plan for the 1997, 2006, and 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standards

The SJVAPCD adopted the 2018 Plan for the 1997, 2006, and 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standards on November 15, 2018. This plan addresses the EPA federal 1997 annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 65 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, and the 2012 annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 12 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. This plan demonstrates attainment of the federal PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards as expeditiously as practicable (SJVAPCD 2018a).

### 2020 RACT Demonstration

The SJVAPCD adopted the 2020 RACT Demonstration for the 2015 8-Hour Ozone Standard on June 18, 2020. San Joaquin Valley is classified as an Extreme nonattainment area for the 2015 O<sub>3</sub> standard. The 2020 RACT Demonstration includes a comprehensive evaluation of all NO<sub>x</sub> and ROG SJVAPCD rules to ensure that each rule meets or exceeds RACT. The 2020 RACT Demonstration fulfills Clean Air Act requirements and demonstrates that all federal RACT requirements continue to be satisfied in San Joaquin Valley (SJVAPCD 2020a).

## **Particulate Matter Attainment Plans**

### 2007 PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan and Request for Redesignation

On September 20, 2007, the Governing Board approved the 2007 PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan and Request for Redesignation (SJVAPCD 2007a). After achieving compliance with the annual and 24-hour NAAQS for PM<sub>10</sub> during the period from 2003 to 2006,<sup>2</sup> the SJVAPCD prepared the 2007 PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan and Request for Redesignation. The plan includes future emission estimates through 2020 and, based on modeling, projects that SJVAB will continue to attain the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS through 2020. The plan does not call for adoption of new control measures. Measures called for in the 2007 8-Hour Ozone Plan and 2008 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan (discussed below) will also produce PM<sub>10</sub> benefits; however, the plan does include a contingency plan if future PM<sub>10</sub> levels were to exceed the NAAQS. It also includes a request that the EPA redesignate the SJVAB to attainment status for the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. On October 25, 2007, CARB approved the SJVAPCD's plan with modifications to the transportation conformity budgets. On September 25, 2008, the EPA redesignated the SJVAB to attainment for the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS and approved the PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan.

### 2008 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan

The SJVAPCD Governing Board adopted the 2008 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan on April 30, 2008 (SJVAPCD 2008). This plan is designed to assist the SJVAB in attaining all PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards, including the 1997 federal standards, the 2006 federal standards, and the state standard, as soon as possible. On July 13, 2011, the EPA issued a proposed rule partially approving and disapproving the 2008 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan. Subsequently, on November 9, 2011, the EPA issued a final rule approving most of the plan with an effective date of January 9, 2012. However, the EPA disapproved the plan's contingency measures because they would not provide sufficient emissions reductions.

### 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan

Approved by the Governing Board on December 20, 2012, the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan addresses attainment of EPA's 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> established in 2006. In addition to reducing direct emissions of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, this plan focuses on reducing emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, which is a predominant pollutant in the

---

<sup>2</sup> Attainment is achieved if the 3-year annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration is less than or equal to 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and the expected 24-hour exceedance days is less than or equal to 1.

formation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the SJVAB. The plan relies on a multilevel approach to reducing emissions through SJVAPCD efforts (industry, the general public, employers, and small businesses) and state/federal efforts (passenger vehicles, heavy-duty trucks, and off-road sources), as well as SJVAPCD and state/federal incentive programs to accelerate replacement of on- and off-road vehicles and equipment (SJVAPCD 2012).

#### 2015 Plan for the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard

The Governing Board adopted the 2015 Plan for the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard on April 16, 2015 (SJVAPCD 2015b). This plan addresses the EPA's annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard of 65 µg/m<sup>3</sup> established in 1997. Although nearly achieving the 1997 standards, the SJVAB experienced higher PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels in winter 2013–2014 due to the extreme drought, stagnation, strong inversions, and historically dry conditions; thus, the SJVAPCD was unable to meet the attainment date of December 31, 2015. Accordingly, this plan also contains a request for a one-time extension of the attainment deadline for the 24-hour standard to 2018 and the annual standard to 2020. The plan builds on past development and implementation of effective control strategies. Consistent with EPA regulations for PM<sub>2.5</sub> plans to achieve the 1997 standards, the plan contains Most Stringent Measures, Best Available Control Measures, and additional enforceable commitments for further reductions in emissions, and ensures expeditious attainment of the 1997 standard.

#### 2016 Moderate Area Plan for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard

On September 15, 2016, the Governing Board adopted the 2016 Moderate Area Plan for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standard (SJVAPCD 2016b). This plan addresses the federal mandates for areas classified as “moderate nonattainment” for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS of 12 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. Consistent with EPA's PM<sub>2.5</sub> Implementation Rule, the plan satisfies the mandate to submit a moderate nonattainment plan to EPA by October 2016, demonstrates impracticability of attaining the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard by the moderate nonattainment deadline of 2021, includes a request to reclassify San Joaquin Valley to a “serious nonattainment” area for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard, satisfies all federal Clean Air Act requirements for moderate nonattainment areas, and demonstrates that emissions are continuing to be reduced in San Joaquin Valley.

#### 2017 Particulate Matter Plans

The SJVAPCD is in the process of developing an attainment strategy to address the 1997, 2006, and 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards and a plan to demonstrate maintenance of the 1987 PM<sub>10</sub> standard, as required under the federal Clean Air Act.

#### Senate Bill 656 Particulate Matter Control Measure Implementation Schedule

Senate Bill (SB) 656 was enacted in 2003 and codified as California Health and Safety Code Section 39614. SB 656 seeks to reduce exposure to PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and to make further progress toward attainment of the NAAQS and CAAQS for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. SB 656 required CARB, in consultation with local air districts, to develop and adopt lists of “the most readily available, feasible, and cost-effective” PM control measures. Subsequently, the air districts were required to adopt implementation schedules for

the relevant control measures in their districts. In June 2005, the SJVAPCD adopted its SB 656 Particulate Matter Control Measure Implementation Schedule. The SJVAPCD analysis of the CARB list concluded that all but one of the measures that apply to SJVAPCD sources had been implemented or were in one of the SJVAPCD's attainment plans for adoption within the next 2 years. The remaining measure pertains to a future amendment of a rule for gasoline transfer into stationary storage containers, delivery vessels, and bulk plants.

### **Applicable Rules**

The SJVAPCD's primary means of implementing air quality plans is by adopting and enforcing rules and regulations. Stationary sources within the jurisdiction are regulated by the SJVAPCD's permit authority over such sources and through its review and planning activities. Unlike stationary source projects, which encompass very specific types of equipment, process parameters, throughputs, and controls, air emissions sources from land use development projects are mainly mobile sources (traffic) and area sources (small dispersed stationary and other non-mobile sources), including exempt (i.e., no permit required) sources such as consumer products, landscaping equipment, furnaces, and water heaters. Mixed-use land development projects may include nonexempt sources, including devices such as small to large boilers, stationary internal combustion engines, gas stations, and asphalt batch plants. Notwithstanding nonexempt stationary sources, which would be permitted on a case-by-case basis, SJVAPCD Regulations VIII and IX generally apply to land use development projects and are described below.

#### Regulation IV – Prohibitions

- Rule 4102: Nuisance – Prohibits discharge of air contaminants or other materials from any source which causes injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public or which endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any such person or the public or which cause or have a natural tendency to cause injury or damage to business or property.
- Rule 4601: Architectural Coatings – The purpose of the rule is to limit VOC emissions from architectural coatings. This rule specifies architectural coatings storage, cleanup, and labeling requirements.
- Rule 4641: Cutback, Slow Cure, and Emulsified Asphalt, Paving and Maintenance Operations – The purpose of this rule is to limit VOC emissions by restricting the application and manufacturing of certain types of asphalt for paving and maintenance operations.

#### Regulation VIII – Fugitive PM<sub>10</sub> Prohibition

- Rule 8021: Construction, Demolition, Excavation, Extraction, and Other Earthmoving Activities – The purpose of this rule is to limit fugitive dust emissions from construction, demolition, excavation, extraction, and other earthmoving activities. The rule outlines Dust Control Plan requirements for certain applicable construction activities.

- Rule 8031: Bulk Materials – The purpose of the rule is to limit fugitive dust emissions from the outdoor handling, storage, and transport of bulk materials.
- Rule 8041: Carryout and Trackout – The purpose of this rule is to prevent or limit fugitive dust emissions from carryout and trackout.
- Rule 8051: Open Areas – The purpose of this rule is to limit fugitive dust emissions from open areas.
- Rule 8061: Paved and Unpaved Roads – The purpose of this rule is to limit fugitive dust emissions from paved and unpaved roads by implementing control measures and design criteria.
- Rule 8071: Unpaved Vehicle/Equipment Traffic Areas – The purpose of this rule is to limit fugitive dust emissions from unpaved vehicle and equipment traffic areas.

#### Regulation IX – Mobile and Indirect Sources

- Rule 9110: General Conformity – The rule specifies the criteria and procedures for determining the conformity of federal actions with the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District’s air quality implementation plan.
- Rule 9120: Transportation Conformity – The rule sets forth the principles for determining conformity of transportation plans, programs, and projects which are developed, funded, or approved by the United States Department of Transportation (DOT), and by metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) or other recipients of funds under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act. The rule sets forth policy, criteria, and procedures for demonstrating and assuring conformity of such activities to an applicable implementation plan developed pursuant to the Clean Air Act.
- Rule 9410: Employer Based Trip Reduction – The purpose of this rule is to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) from private vehicles used by employees to commute to and from their worksites to reduce emissions of oxides of nitrogen, volatile organic compounds, and particulate matter.
- Rule 9510: Indirect Source Review (ISR) – The purpose of this rule is to fulfill the District’s emission reduction commitments in the PM10 and Ozone Attainment Plans, achieve emission reductions from the construction and use of development projects through design features and on-site measures, and provide a mechanism for reducing emissions from the construction of and use of development projects through off- site measures.
- Rule 9610: State Implementation Plan Credit for Emission Reductions Generated through Incentive Programs – The purpose of this rule is to provide an administrative mechanism for the District to achieve credit towards State Implementation Plan requirements for emission reductions achieved in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin through incentive programs administered by the District, United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, or CARB.

#### Rule 9510: Indirect Source Review

The ISR rule, which was adopted December 15, 2005, and went into effect March 1, 2006, requires developers of new residential, commercial, and some industrial projects to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions generated by their projects. Pursuant to Rule 9510, the purpose of the ISR rule is to reduce emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> from new land development projects. In general, development contributes to air pollution in the SJVAB by increasing the number of vehicles and vehicle miles traveled. ISR applies to development projects that require discretionary approval from the lead agency. The ISR rule also applies to transportation and transit projects with construction exhaust emissions that equal or exceed 2 tons per year of NO<sub>x</sub> or PM<sub>10</sub>. The ISR rule requires submittal of an air impact assessment application no later than the date on which the application is made for a final discretionary approval from the public agency. The air impact assessment contains the information necessary to calculate construction and operational emissions of a development project.

Section 6.0 of the ISR rule outlines general mitigation requirements for developments that include reduction in construction emissions of 20% of the total construction NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, and 45% of the total construction PM<sub>10</sub> exhaust emissions. The rule also requires the Project to reduce operational NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by 33.3% and operational PM<sub>10</sub> emissions by 50% compared to the unmitigated baseline. Section 7.0 of the ISR rule includes fee schedules for construction or operational excess emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> or PM<sub>10</sub>—those emissions above the goals identified in Section 6.0 of the rule. Monies collected from this fee are used by the SJVAPCD to fund emissions reduction projects in the SJVAB on behalf of that project.

Currently, the SJVAPCD is proposing revisions to Rule 9510 that may affect the applicability mechanism of the ISR rule to ensure that the rule applies consistently throughout San Joaquin Valley, as well as clarification and enhancement of several other aspects of the rule.

#### Rule 9610: State Implementation Plan Credit for Emission Reductions Generated through Incentive Programs

Rule 9610 provides an administrative mechanism for the SJVAPCD to receive credit toward SIP requirements for emissions reductions achieved in the SJVAB through incentive programs administered by the SJVAPCD, United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, or CARB. On April 9, 2015, the EPA finalized a limited approval and limited disapproval (for a minor administrative error) of Rule 9610 as a revision to the California SIP. Additional documentation regarding the effectiveness of SJVAPCD's incentive programs can be found in 2015 Annual Demonstration Report SIP Credit for Emission Reductions Generated Through Incentive Programs (SJVAPCD 2015c).

### **2.1.3 City of Lodi**

#### *City of Lodi General Plan*

The Conservation Element of the City of Lodi General Plan contains the following air quality related policies

C-P69 Require all construction equipment to be maintained and tuned to meet appropriate EPA and CARB emission requirements and when new emission control devices or operational

modifications are found to be effective, such devices or operational modifications are to be required on construction equipment.

- C-P70 Continue to require mitigation measures as a condition of obtaining permits to minimize dust and air emissions impacts from construction.
- C-P71 Require contractors to implement dust suppression measures during excavation, grading, and site preparation activities. Techniques may include, but are not limited to:
- Site watering or application of dust suppressants;
  - Phasing or extension of grading operations;
  - Covering of stockpiles;
  - Suspension of grading activities during high wind periods (typically winds greater than 25 miles per hour); and
  - Revegetation of graded areas.
- C-P72 Cooperate with other local, regional, and State agencies in developing and implementing air quality plans to achieve State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards and address cross-jurisdictional and regional transportation and air quality issues.
- C-P73 Use the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District's (SJVAPCD) Guide for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts for determining and mitigating project air quality impacts and related thresholds of significance for use in environmental documents. The City shall consult with the SJVAPCD during CEQA review for projects that require air quality impact analysis and ensure that the SJVAPCD is on the distribution list for all CEQA documents.
- C-P74 Support recommendations to reduce air pollutants found in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) local attainment plans and use its regulatory authority to mitigate "point" sources of air pollution (e.g., factories, power plants, etc.).
- C-P75 Ensure that air quality impacts identified during the project-level CEQA review process are fairly and consistently mitigated. Require projects to comply with the City's adopted air quality impact assessment and mitigation process, and to provide specific mitigation measures as outlined in policies of Chapter 5: Circulation.
- C-P76 Continue the program for assessing air quality mitigation fees for all new development, with the fees to be used to fund air quality programs.
- C-P77 Require the use of natural gas or the installation of low-emission, EPA-certified fireplace inserts in all open hearth fireplaces in new homes. Promote the use of natural gas over wood products in space heating devices and fireplaces in all existing and new homes. Follow the guidelines set forth in San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District's Rule 4901.

- C-P78 Review, support, and require implementation (as applicable) of San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District guidance and recommendations (including those identified in the Guide for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts) in regards to several key issues including:
- Environmental Assessment;
  - Air Quality Mitigation Agreements;
  - Integrated Planning;
  - Air Quality Education;
  - Congestion Management/Transportation Control Measures;
  - Toxic and Hazardous Pollutant Emissions;
  - Fugitive Dust and PM10 Emissions; and
  - Energy Conservation and Alternative Fuels.
- C-P79 Require new sensitive uses proposed to be located within 500 feet of high volume traffic routes where daily vehicle counts exceed 100,000, to use an HVAC system with filtration to reduce/mitigate infiltration of vehicle emissions as warranted by exposure analysis.
- C-P80 Require industrial development adjacent to residential areas to provide buffers and institute setback intended to ensure land use compatibility in regards to potential Toxic Air Contaminant exposure.

## **2.2 Greenhouse Gas Regulatory Setting**

### **2.2.1 International**

Many countries around the globe have made an effort to reduce GHGs since climate change is a global issue.

**Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.** In 1988, the United Nations and the World Meteorological Organization established the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to assess the scientific, technical and socio-economic information relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts, and options for adaptation and mitigation.

**United Nations.** The United States participates in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (signed on March 21, 1994). Under the Convention, governments gather and share information on greenhouse gas emissions, national policies, and best practices; launch national strategies for addressing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to expected impacts, including the provision of financial and technological support to developing countries; and cooperate in preparing for adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

The 2014 UN Climate Change Conference in Lima Peru provided a unique opportunity to engage all countries to assess how developed countries are implementing actions to reduce emissions.

**Kyoto Protocol.** The Kyoto Protocol is a treaty made under the UNFCCC and was the first international agreement to regulate GHG emissions. It has been estimated that if the commitments outlined in the

Kyoto Protocol are met, global GHG emissions could be reduced by an estimated 5 percent from 1990 levels during the first commitment period of 2008 – 2012 (UNFCCC 1997). On December 8, 2012, the Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol was adopted. The amendment includes: New commitments for Annex I Parties to the Kyoto Protocol who agreed to take on commitments in a second commitment period from 2013 – 2020; a revised list of greenhouse gases (GHG) to be reported on by Parties in the second commitment period; and Amendments to several articles of the Kyoto Protocol which specifically referenced issues pertaining to the first commitment period and which needed to be updated for the second commitment period.

**The Paris Agreement.** The Paris Agreement became effective on November 4, 2016. Thirty days after this date at least 55 Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Convention), accounting in total for at least an estimated 55 % of the total global greenhouse gas emissions, had deposited their instruments of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession with the Depositary.

The Paris Agreement built upon the Convention and – for the first time – attempted to bring all nations into a common cause to undertake ambitious efforts to combat climate change and adapt to its effects, with enhanced support to assist developing countries to do so. As such, it charts a new course in the global climate effort.

The Paris Agreement’s central aim is to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change by keeping a global temperature rise this century well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Additionally, the agreement aims to strengthen the ability of countries to deal with the impacts of climate change. To reach these ambitious goals, appropriate financial flows, a new technology framework and an enhanced capacity building framework will be put in place, thus supporting action by developing countries and the most vulnerable countries, in line with their own national objectives. The Agreement also provides for enhanced transparency of action and support through a more robust transparency framework.

## 2.2.2 National

**Greenhouse Gas Endangerment.** On December 2, 2009, the EPA announced that GHGs threaten the public health and welfare of the American people. The EPA also states that GHG emissions from on-road vehicles contribute to that threat. The decision was based on *Massachusetts v. EPA* (Supreme Court Case 05-1120) which argued that GHGs are air pollutants covered by the Clean Air Act and that the EPA has authority to regulate those emissions.

**Clean Vehicles.** Congress first passed the Corporate Average Fuel Economy law in 1975 to increase the fuel economy of cars and light duty trucks. The law has become more stringent over time. On May 19, 2009, President Obama put in motion a new national policy to increase fuel economy for all new cars and trucks sold in the United States. On April 1, 2010, the EPA and the Department of Transportation’s National Highway Safety Administration announced a joint final rule establishing a national program that

would reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve fuel economy for new cars and trucks sold in the United States.

The first phase of the national program would apply to passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles, covering model years 2012 through 2016. They require these vehicles to meet an estimated combined average emissions level of 250 grams of carbon dioxide per mile, equivalent to 35.5 miles per gallon if the automobile industry were to meet this carbon dioxide level solely through fuel economy improvements. Together, these standards would cut carbon dioxide emissions by an estimated 960 million metric tons and 1.8 billion barrels of oil over the lifetime of the vehicles sold under the program (model years 2012-2016). The second phase of the national program would involve proposing new fuel economy and greenhouse gas standards for model years 2017 – 2025 by September 1, 2011.

On October 25, 2010, the EPA and the U.S. Department of Transportation proposed the first national standards to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve fuel efficiency of heavy-duty trucks and buses. For combination tractors, the agencies are proposing engine and vehicle standards that begin in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 20 percent reduction in carbon dioxide emissions and fuel consumption by the 2018 model year. For heavy-duty pickup trucks and vans, the agencies are proposing separate gasoline and diesel truck standards, which phase in starting in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 10 percent reduction for gasoline vehicles and 15 percent reduction for diesel vehicles by 2018 model year (12 and 17 percent respectively if accounting for air conditioning leakage). Lastly, for vocational vehicles, the agencies are proposing engine and vehicle standards starting in the 2014 model year which would achieve up to a 10 percent reduction in fuel consumption and carbon dioxide emissions by 2018 model year.

Issued by NHTSA and EPA in March 2020 (published on April 30, 2020 and effective after June 29, 2020), the Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient Vehicles Rule would maintain the CAFE and CO<sub>2</sub> standards applicable in model year 2020 for model years 2021 through 2026. The estimated CAFE and CO<sub>2</sub> standards for model year 2020 are 43.7 mpg and 204 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per mile for passenger cars and 31.3 mpg and 284 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per mile for light trucks, projecting an overall industry average of 37 mpg, as compared to 46.7 mpg under the standards issued in 2012. This Rule also excludes CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent emission improvements associated with air conditioning refrigerants and leakage (and, optionally, offsets for nitrous oxide and methane emissions) after model year 2020.<sup>3</sup>

**Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases.** On January 1, 2010, the EPA started requiring large emitters of heat-trapping emissions to begin collecting GHG data under a new reporting system. Under the rule, suppliers of fossil fuels or industrial greenhouse gases, manufacturers of vehicles and engines,

---

<sup>3</sup> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2018. Federal Register / Vol. 83, No. 165 / Friday, August 24, 2018 / Proposed Rules, The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks 2018. Available at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-08-24/pdf/2018-16820.pdf>.

and facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons or more per year of greenhouse gas emissions are required to submit annual reports to the EPA.

**Climate Adaption Plan.** The EPA Plan identifies priority actions the Agency will take to incorporate considerations of climate change into its programs, policies, rules and operations to ensure they are effective under future climatic conditions. The following link provides more information on the EPA Plan: <https://www.epa.gov/arc-x/planning-climate-change-adaptation>

### **Energy Independence Security Act**

The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) facilitates the reduction of national GHG emissions by requiring the following:

- Increasing the supply of alternative fuel sources by setting a mandatory Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) that requires fuel producers to use at least 36 billion gallons of biofuel in 2022;
- Prescribing or revising standards affecting regional efficiency for heating and cooling products, procedures for new or amended standards, energy conservation, energy efficiency labeling for consumer electronic products, residential boiler efficiency, electric motor efficiency, and home appliances;
- Requiring approximately 25 percent greater efficiency for light bulbs by phasing out incandescent light bulbs between 2012 and 2014; requiring approximately 200 percent greater efficiency for light bulbs, or similar energy savings, by 2020; and
- While superseded by the USEPA and NHTSA actions described above, (i) establishing miles per gallon targets for cars and light trucks and (ii) directing the NHTSA to establish a fuel economy program for medium- and heavy-duty trucks and create a separate fuel economy standard for trucks.
- Additional provisions of EISA address energy savings in government and public institutions, promote research for alternative energy, additional research in carbon capture, international energy programs, and the creation of green jobs.<sup>4</sup>

### **Executive Order 13432**

In response to the *Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency* ruling, the President signed Executive Order 13432 on May 14, 2007, directing the USEPA, along with the Departments of Transportation, Energy, and Agriculture, to initiate a regulatory process that responds to the Supreme Court's decision. Executive Order 13432 was codified into law by the 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Law signed on February 17, 2009. The order sets goals in the areas of energy efficiency, acquisition, renewable energy, toxics reductions, recycling, sustainable buildings, electronics stewardship, fleets,

---

<sup>4</sup> A green job, as defined by the United States Department of Labor, is a job in business that produces goods or provides services that benefit the environment or conserve natural resources.

and water conservation. Light-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas and Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards.

On May 19, 2009, President Obama announced a national policy for fuel efficiency and emissions standards in the United States auto industry. The adopted federal standard applies to passenger cars and light-duty trucks for model years 2012 through 2016. The rule surpasses the prior Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards (CAFE)<sup>5</sup> and requires an average fuel economy standard of 35.5 miles per gallon (mpg) and 250 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per mile by model year 2016, based on USEPA calculation methods. These standards were formally adopted on April 1, 2010. In August 2012, standards were adopted for model year 2017 through 2025 for passenger cars and light-duty trucks. By 2025, vehicles are required to achieve 54.5 mpg (if GHG reductions are achieved exclusively through fuel economy improvements) and 163 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per mile. According to the USEPA, a model year 2025 vehicle would emit one-half of the GHG emissions from a model year 2010 vehicle.<sup>6</sup> In 2017, the USEPA recommended no change to the GHG standards for light-duty vehicles for model years 2022-2025.

In August 2018, the USEPA and NHTSA proposed the Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient Vehicles Rule that would, if adopted, maintain the CAFE and CO<sub>2</sub> standards applicable in model year 2020 for model years 2021 through 2026. The estimated CAFE and CO<sub>2</sub> standards for model year 2020 are 43.7 mpg and 204 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per mile for passenger cars and 31.3 mpg and 284 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per mile for light trucks, projecting an overall industry average of 37 mpg, as compared to 46.7 mpg under the standards issued in 2012. The proposal, if adopted, would also exclude CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent emission improvements associated with air conditioning refrigerants and leakage (and, optionally, offsets for nitrous oxide and methane emissions) after model year 2020.<sup>7</sup>

### 2.2.3 California

**California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 6.** CCR Title 24, Part 6: California's Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings (Title 24) were first established in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption. The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficiency technologies and methods. Although it was not originally intended to reduce GHG emissions, electricity production by

---

<sup>5</sup> The Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards are regulations in the United States, first enacted by Congress in 1975, to improve the average fuel economy of cars and light trucks. The U.S. Department of Transportation has delegated the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration as the regulatory agency for the Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards.

<sup>6</sup> United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA and NHTSA Set Standards to Reduce Greenhouse Gases and Improve Fuel Economy for Model Years 2017-2025 Cars and Light Trucks, August 2012, <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100EZ7C.PDF?Dockey=P100EZ7C.PDF>.

<sup>7</sup> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2018. Federal Register / Vol. 83, No. 165 / Friday, August 24, 2018 / Proposed Rules, The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks 2018. Available at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-08-24/pdf/2018-16820.pdf>.

fossil fuels results in GHG emissions and energy efficient buildings require less electricity. Therefore, increased energy efficiency results in decreased GHG emissions.

The Energy Commission adopted 2008 Standards on April 23, 2008 and Building Standards Commission approved them for publication on September 11, 2008. These updates became effective on August 1, 2009. 2013 and 2016 standards have been approved and became effective July 1, 2014 and January 1, 2016, respectively. 2019 standards were published July 1, 2019 and became effective January 1, 2020.

**California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 11.** All buildings for which an application for a building permit is submitted on or after January 1, 2023 must follow the 2022 standards. Energy efficient buildings require less electricity; therefore, increased energy efficiency reduces fossil fuel consumption and decreases greenhouse gas emissions. The following links provide more information on Title 24, Part 11:

<https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes>

<https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency>

**California Green Building Standards.** On January 12, 2010, the State Building Standards Commission unanimously adopted updates to the California Green Building Standards Code, which went into effect on January 1, 2011. The Housing and Community Development (HCD) updated CALGreen through the 2015 Triennial Code Adoption Cycle, during the 2016 to 2017 fiscal year. During the 2022-2023 fiscal year, the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) updated CALGreen through the 2023 Triennial Code Adoption Cycle.

The Code is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial and school buildings. CCR Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards (Title 24) became effective in 2001 in response to continued efforts to reduce GHG emissions associated with energy consumption. CCR Title 24, Part 11 now require that new buildings reduce water consumption, employ building commissioning to increase building system efficiencies, divert construction waste from landfills, and install low pollutant-emitting finish materials. One focus of CCR Title 24, Part 11 is water conservation measures, which reduce GHG emissions by reducing electrical consumption associated with pumping and treating water. CCR Title 24, Part 11 has approximately 52 nonresidential mandatory measures and an additional 130 provisions for optional use. Some key mandatory measures for commercial occupancies include specified parking for clean air vehicles, a 20 percent reduction of potable water use within buildings, a 50 percent construction waste diversion from landfills, use of building finish materials that emit low levels of volatile organic compounds, and commissioning for new, nonresidential buildings over 10,000 square feet.

The CEC estimates that over 30 years the 2022 Energy Code will provide \$1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce 10 million metric tons of GHG. Changes compared to the 2019 Energy Code include increases to on-site renewable energy generation from solar, increases to electric load flexibility to support grid reliability, reduction of emissions from newly constructed buildings, reduction of air pollution for improved public health, and increased adoption of environmentally beneficial efficient electric technologies.

The California Green Building Standards Code does not prevent a local jurisdiction from adopting a more stringent code as state law provides methods for local enhancements. The Code recognizes that many jurisdictions have developed existing construction and demolition ordinances, and defers to them as the ruling guidance provided, they provide a minimum 50-percent diversion requirement. The code also provides exemptions for areas not served by construction and demolition recycling infrastructure. State building code provides the minimum standard that buildings need to meet in order to be certified for occupancy. Enforcement is generally through the local building official. The following link provides more on CalGreen Building Standards:

<http://www.bsc.ca.gov/Home/CALGreen.aspx>

**Executive Order S-3-05.** California Governor issued Executive Order S-3-05, GHG Emission, in June 2005, which established the following targets:

- By 2010, California shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 2000 levels;
- By 2020, California shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels.
- By 2050, California shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels.

The executive order directed the secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) to coordinate a multi-agency effort to reduce GHG emissions to the target levels. To comply with the Executive Order, the secretary of CalEPA created the California Climate Action Team (CAT), made up of members from various state agencies and commissions. The team released its first report in March 2006. The report proposed to achieve the targets by building on the voluntary actions of businesses, local governments, and communities and through State incentive and regulatory programs.

**Executive Order S-01-07.** Executive Order S-1-07 was issued in 2007 and proclaims that the transportation sector is the main source of GHG emissions in the State, since it generates more than 40 percent of the State's GHG emissions. It establishes a goal to reduce the carbon intensity of transportation fuels sold in the State by at least ten percent by 2020. This Order also directs CARB to determine whether this Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) could be adopted as a discrete early-action measure as part of the effort to meet the mandates in AB 32.

On April 23, 2009 CARB approved the proposed regulation to implement the low carbon fuel standard and began implementation on January 1, 2011. The low carbon fuel standard is anticipated to reduce GHG emissions by about 16 MMT per year by 2020. CARB approved some amendments to the LCFS in December 2011, which were implemented on January 1, 2013. In September 2015, the Board approved the re-adoption of the LCFS, which became effective on January 1, 2016, to address procedural deficiencies in the way the original regulation was adopted. In 2018, the Board approved amendments to the regulation, which included strengthening and smoothing the carbon intensity benchmarks through 2030 in-line with California's 2030 GHG emission reduction target enacted through SB 32, adding new crediting opportunities to promote zero emission vehicle adoption, alternative jet fuel, carbon capture and sequestration, and advanced technologies to achieve deep decarbonization in the transportation sector.

The LCFS is designed to encourage the use of cleaner low-carbon transportation fuels in California, encourage the production of those fuels, and therefore, reduce GHG emissions and decrease petroleum dependence in the transportation sector. Separate standards are established for gasoline and diesel fuels and the alternative fuels that can replace each. The standards are “back-loaded”, with more reductions required in the last five years, than the first five years. This schedule allows for the development of advanced fuels that are lower in carbon than today’s fuels and the market penetration of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, battery electric vehicles, fuel cell vehicles, and flexible fuel vehicles. It is anticipated that compliance with the low carbon fuel standard will be based on a combination of both lower carbon fuels and more efficient vehicles.

Reformulated gasoline mixed with corn-derived ethanol at ten percent by volume and low sulfur diesel fuel represent the baseline fuels. Lower carbon fuels may be ethanol, biodiesel, renewable diesel, or blends of these fuels with gasoline or diesel as appropriate. Compressed natural gas and liquefied natural gas also may be low carbon fuels. Hydrogen and electricity, when used in fuel cells or electric vehicles are also considered as low carbon fuels for the low carbon fuel standard.

**SB 97.** Senate Bill 97 (SB 97) was adopted August 2007 and acknowledges that climate change is a prominent environmental issue that requires analysis under CEQA. SB 97 directed the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), which is part of the State Resource Agency, to prepare, develop, and transmit to CARB guidelines for the feasible mitigation of GHG emissions or the effects of GHG emissions, as required by CEQA, by July 1, 2009. The Resources Agency was required to certify and adopt those guidelines by January 1, 2010.

Pursuant to the requirements of SB 97 as stated above, on December 30, 2009 the Natural Resources Agency adopted amendments to the state CEQA guidelines that address GHG emissions. The CEQA Guidelines Amendments changed 14 sections of the CEQA Guidelines and incorporate GHG language throughout the Guidelines. However, no GHG emissions thresholds of significance are provided and no specific mitigation measures are identified. The GHG emission reduction amendments went into effect on March 18, 2010 and are summarized below:

- Climate action plans and other greenhouse gas reduction plans can be used to determine whether a project has significant impacts, based upon its compliance with the plan.
- Local governments are encouraged to quantify the greenhouse gas emissions of proposed projects, noting that they have the freedom to select the models and methodologies that best meet their needs and circumstances. The section also recommends consideration of several qualitative factors that may be used in the determination of significance, such as the extent to which the given project complies with state, regional, or local GHG reduction plans and policies. OPR does not set or dictate specific thresholds of significance. Consistent with existing CEQA Guidelines, OPR encourages local governments to develop and publish their own thresholds of significance for GHG impacts assessment.
- When creating their own thresholds of significance, local governments may consider the thresholds of significance adopted or recommended by other public agencies, or recommended by experts.

- New amendments include guidelines for determining methods to mitigate the effects of greenhouse gas emissions in Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines.
- OPR is clear to state that “to qualify as mitigation, specific measures from an existing plan must be identified and incorporated into the project; general compliance with a plan, by itself, is not mitigation.”
- OPR’s emphasizes the advantages of analyzing GHG impacts on an institutional, programmatic level. OPR therefore approves tiering of environmental analyses and highlights some benefits of such an approach.
- Environmental impact reports (EIRs) must specifically consider a project's energy use and energy efficiency potential.

**AB 32.** The California State Legislature enacted AB 32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. AB 32 requires that greenhouse gases emitted in California be reduced to 1990 levels by the year 2020. “Greenhouse gases” as defined under AB 32 include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride. ARB is the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of greenhouse gases. AB 32 states the following:

Global warming poses a serious threat to the economic well-being, public health, natural resources, and the environment of California. The potential adverse impacts of global warming include the exacerbation of air quality problems, a reduction in the quality and supply of water to the state from the Sierra snowpack, a rise in sea levels resulting in the displacement of thousands of coastal businesses and residences, damage to marine ecosystems and the natural environment, and an increase in the incidences of infectious diseases, asthma, and other human health-related problems.

The ARB Board approved the 1990 greenhouse gas emissions level of 427 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e) on December 6, 2007 (California Air Resources Board 2007). Therefore, emissions generated in California in 2020 are required to be equal to or less than 427 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e. Emissions in 2020 in a “business as usual” scenario are estimated to be 596 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e.

Under AB 32, the ARB published its Final Expanded List of Early Action Measures to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California. Discrete early action measures are currently underway or are enforceable by January 1, 2010. The ARB has 44 early action measures that apply to the transportation, commercial, forestry, agriculture, cement, oil and gas, fire suppression, fuels, education, energy efficiency, electricity, and waste sectors. Of these early action measures, nine are considered discrete early action measures, as they are regulatory and enforceable by January 1, 2010. The ARB estimates that the 44 recommendations are expected to result in reductions of at least 42 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e by 2020, representing approximately 25 percent of the 2020 target.

The ARB’s Climate Change Scoping Plan (Scoping Plan) contains measures designed to reduce the State’s emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 (California Air Resources Board 2008). The Scoping Plan identifies recommended measures for multiple greenhouse gas emission sectors and the associated emission reductions needed to achieve the year 2020 emissions target—each sector has a different emission reduction target. Most of the measures target the transportation and electricity sectors. As

stated in the Scoping Plan, the key elements of the strategy for achieving the 2020 greenhouse gas target include:

- Expanding and strengthening existing energy efficiency programs as well as building and appliance standards;
- Achieving a statewide renewables energy mix of 33 percent;
- Developing a California cap-and-trade program that links with other Western Climate Initiative partner programs to create a regional market system;
- Establishing targets for transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions for regions throughout California and pursuing policies and incentives to achieve those targets;
- Adopting and implementing measures pursuant to existing State laws and policies, including California's clean car standards, goods movement measures, and the Low Carbon Fuel Standard; and
- Creating targeted fees, including a public goods charge on water use, fees on high global warming potential gases, and a fee to fund the administrative costs of the State's long-term commitment to AB 32 implementation.

In addition, the Scoping Plan differentiates between "capped" and "uncapped" strategies. "Capped" strategies are subject to the proposed cap-and-trade program. The Scoping Plan states that the inclusion of these emissions within the cap-and-trade program will help ensure that the year 2020 emission targets are met despite some degree of uncertainty in the emission reduction estimates for any individual measure. Implementation of the capped strategies is calculated to achieve a sufficient amount of reductions by 2020 to achieve the emission target contained in AB 32. "Uncapped" strategies that will not be subject to the cap-and-trade emissions caps and requirements are provided as a margin of safety by accounting for additional greenhouse gas emission reductions.<sup>4</sup>

**Senate Bill 100.** Senate Bill 100 (SB 100) requires 100 percent of total retail sales of electricity in California to come from eligible renewable energy resources and zero-carbon resources by December 31, 2045. SB 100 was adopted September 2018.

The interim thresholds from prior Senate Bills and Executive Orders would also remain in effect. These include Senate Bill 1078 (SB 1078), which requires retail sellers of electricity, including investor-owned utilities and community choice aggregators, to provide at least 20 percent of their supply from renewable sources by 2017. Senate Bill 107 (SB 107) which changed the target date to 2010. Executive Order S-14-08, which was signed on November 2008 and expanded the State's Renewable Energy Standard to 33 percent renewable energy by 2020. Executive Order S-21-09 directed the CARB to adopt regulations by July 31, 2010 to enforce S-14-08. Senate Bill X1-2 codifies the 33 percent renewable energy requirement by 2020.

**Senate Bill 350.** Signed into law October 7, 2015, SB 350 increases California's renewable electricity procurement goal from 33 percent by 2020 to 50 percent by 2030. This will increase the use of Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) eligible resources, including solar, wind, biomass, geothermal, and others. In addition, SB 350 requires the state to double statewide energy efficiency savings in electricity

and natural gas end uses by 2030. To help ensure these goals are met and the greenhouse gas emission reductions are realized, large utilities will be required to develop and submit Integrated Resource Plans (IRPs). These IRPs will detail how each entity will meet their customers resource needs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and ramp up the deployment of clean energy resources.

**SB 375.** Senate Bill 375 (SB 375) was adopted September 2008 and aligns regional transportation planning efforts, regional GHG emission reduction targets, and land use and housing allocation. SB 375 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) to adopt a sustainable communities strategy (SCS) or alternate planning strategy (APS) that will prescribe land use allocation in that MPOs Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). CARB, in consultation with each MPO, will provide each affected region with reduction targets for GHGs emitted by passenger cars and light trucks in the region for the years 2020 and 2035. These reduction targets will be updated every eight years but can be updated every four years if advancements in emissions technologies affect the reduction strategies to achieve the targets. CARB is also charged with reviewing each MPO's sustainable communities strategy or alternate planning strategy for consistency with its assigned targets.

The proposed project is located within the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), which has authority to develop the SCS or APS. For the SCAG region, the targets set by CARB are at eight percent below 2005 per capita GHG emissions levels by 2020 and 13 percent below 2005 per capita GHG emissions levels by 2035. On April 4, 2012, SCAG adopted the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), which meets the CARB emission reduction requirements.

On September 3, 2020, SCAG's Regional Council approved and fully adopted the Connect SoCal (2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy), and the addendum to the Connect SoCal Program Environmental Impact Report. Connect SoCal is a long-range visioning plan that builds upon and expands land use and transportation strategies established over several planning cycles to increase mobility options and achieve a more sustainable growth pattern. Connect SoCal outlines more than \$638 billion in transportation system investments through 2045. Connect SoCal is supported by a combination of transportation and land use strategies that help the region achieve state greenhouse gas emission reduction goals and federal Clean Air Act requirements, preserve open space areas, improve public health and roadway safety, support our vital goods movement industry and utilize resources more efficiently. By integrating the Forecasted Development Pattern with a suite of financially constrained transportation investments, Connect SoCal can reach the regional target of reducing greenhouse gases, or GHGs, from autos and light-duty trucks by 8 percent per capita by 2020, and 19 percent by 2035 (compared to 2005 levels).

City and County land use policies, including General Plans, are not required to be consistent with the RTP and associated SCS or APS. However, new provisions of CEQA would incentivize, through streamlining and other provisions, qualified projects that are consistent with an approved SCS or APS and categorized as "transit priority projects."

**Senate Bill X7-7.** Senate Bill X7-7 (SB X7-7), enacted on November 9, 2009, mandates water conservation targets and efficiency improvements for urban and agricultural water suppliers. SB X7-7 requires the Department of Water Resources (DWR) to develop a task force and technical panel to develop alternative best management practices for the water sector. In addition, SB X7-7 required the DWR to develop criteria for baseline uses for residential, commercial, and industrial uses for both indoor and landscaped area uses. The DWR was also required to develop targets and regulations that achieve a statewide 20 percent reduction in water usage.

**Assembly Bill 939, Assembly Bill 341, and Senate Bill 1374.** Assembly Bill 939 (AB 939) requires that each jurisdiction in California to divert at least 50 percent of its waste away from landfills, whether through waste reduction, recycling or other means. AB 341 requires at least 75 percent of generated waste be source reduced, recycled, or composted by the year 2020. Senate Bill 1374 (SB 1374) requires the California Integrated Waste Management Board to adopt a model ordinance by March 1, 2004 suitable for adoption by any local agency to require 50 to 75 percent diversion of construction and demolition of waste materials from landfills.

**Executive Order S-13-08.** Executive Order S-13-08 indicates that “climate change in California during the next century is expected to shift precipitation patterns, accelerate sea level rise and increase temperatures, thereby posing a serious threat to California’s economy, to the health and welfare of its population and to its natural resources.” Pursuant to the requirements in the order, the 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy (California Natural Resource Agency 2009) was adopted, which is the “... first statewide, multi-sector, region-specific, and information-based climate change in California, identifying and exploring strategies to adapt to climate change, and specifying a direction for future research.

**Executive Order B-30-15.** Executive Order B-30-15, establishing a new interim statewide greenhouse gas emission reduction target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030, was signed by Governor Brown in April 2015.

**Executive Order B-29-15.** Executive Order B-29-15, mandates a statewide 25% reduction in potable water usage and was signed into law on April 1, 2015.

**Executive Order B-37-16.** Executive Order B-37-16, continuing the State’s adopted water reduction, was signed into law on May 9, 2016. The water reduction builds off the mandatory 25% reduction called for in EO B-29-15.

**Executive Order N-79-20.** Executive Order N-79-20 was signed into law on September 23, 2020 and mandates 100 percent of in-state sales of new passenger cars and trucks be zero-emission by 2035; 100 percent of medium- and heavy-duty vehicles in the state be zero-emission vehicles by 2045 for all operations where feasible and by 2035 for drayage trucks; and to transition to 100 percent zero-emission off-road vehicles and equipment by 2035 where feasible.

**SBX1 2.** Signed into law in April 2011, SBX1 2, requires one-third of the State’s electricity to come from renewable sources. The legislation increases California’s current 20 percent renewables portfolio standard target in 2010 to a 33 percent renewables portfolio standard by December 31, 2020.

#### **2.2.4 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District**

The project is within the SJVAB, which is under the jurisdiction of the SJVAPCD.

The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) does not regulate GHG emissions directly through its permitting responsibilities for stationary sources. Thus, there are no SJVAPCD rules or regulations related to GHGs. The SJVAPCD, however, influences reductions of GHGs from new and modified stationary sources when acting as a lead agency for CEQA. The SJVAPCD implements its GHG policies and reviews whether new or modified stationary sources will implement best performance standards (BPSs).

In 2009, the SJVAPCD developed an internal policy and guidance for local land use agencies to use in evaluating GHG impacts under CEQA. In the Final Staff Report – Addressing GHG Emissions Impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act (SJVAPCD 2009a), the SJVAPCD reviewed potential GHG significance thresholds and approaches suggested by or adopted by entities, including a zero threshold, quantification of a project’s GHG impacts without a recommended significance threshold, and specific significance thresholds for different kinds of projects (e.g., residential, mixed use, industrial, plans).<sup>8</sup> The following discussion summarizes the SJVAPCD’s conclusions about various categories of GHG significance thresholds.

**Zero Threshold.** The SJVAPCD concluded that “although a zero threshold is appealing in its simplicity; execution of a zero threshold would be difficult or impossible” (SJVAPCD 2009a). Furthermore, the SJVAPCD found that projects that could not reduce their emissions to zero would require preparation of an environmental impact report and adoption of a statement of overriding consideration by the lead agency. Potentially, projects could choose to relocate to a region with a less-stringent threshold, so-called “leakage,” which would still result in GHG emissions outside the SJVAPCD. Finally, the SJVAPCD noted that CARB concluded that zero thresholds are not mandated because some level of GHG emissions is still consistent with climate stabilization, and other regulatory programs will result in GHG reductions. For these reasons, the SJVAPCD did not support a zero threshold. Accordingly, a zero threshold was not selected as an appropriate GHG/climate change threshold for this assessment.

---

<sup>8</sup> These documents encompassed the primary approaches for establishing significance thresholds prior to the March 18, 2010, effective date of revisions of the CEQA Guidelines in accordance with SB 97. Additional guidance regarding assessment of GHG impacts were provided in the revised CEQA Guidelines and accompanying Final Statement of Reasons for Regulatory Action – Amendments to the State CEQA Guidelines Addressing Analysis and Mitigation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Pursuant to SB97 (CNRA 2009a). In addition, the California appellate courts and Supreme Court have more recently considered CEQA cases and, in some cases, issued published decisions that provide additional direction regarding the appropriateness of certain GHG assessment methodologies and significance thresholds.

**Non-Zero Quantitative Thresholds.** The SJVAPCD reviewed numerous quantitative thresholds adopted or proposed by other air districts and organizations, including “mass of GHG emissions generate per unit of activity, GHG emissions per capita per unit basis, and percent reduction compared to business-as-usual” (SJVAPCD 2009a). Although a tiered approach was evaluated, with the final tier incorporating a quantitative threshold, the SJVAPCD concluded that “without supporting scientific information, establishment of tier trigger levels could be argued to be arbitrary, and District staff does not believe the available science supports establishing a bright-line threshold, above which emissions are significant and below which they are not” (SJVAPCD 2009a).

**Best Performance Standards.** The SJVAPCD evaluated performance-based standards that would state “in quantifiable terms the level and extent of the attribute necessary to reach a goal or objective” (SJVAPCD 2009a). The SJVAPCD considered a project achieving the performance-based standard or mitigating GHG emissions to an equivalent emissions reduction level would be considered to have a less-than-significant cumulative impact on climate change. In conclusion, the SJVAPCD found that the state’s GHG emissions reduction target would be accomplished by achieving a 29% reduction from business-as-usual (BAU), and that achieving this reduction would be a “de facto” performance-based standard for GHG emissions reductions.

On December 17, 2009, the SJVAPCD Governing Board adopted Guidance for Valley Land-Use Agencies in Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for New Projects under CEQA (SJVAPCD 2009b). The guidance recommends the following hierarchy for evaluating a project’s impact with respect to its GHG emissions:

- Projects complying with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or GHG mitigation program that avoids or substantially reduces GHG emissions within the geographic area in which the Project is located would be determined to have a less-than-significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions. Such plans or programs must be specified in law or approved by the lead agency with jurisdiction over the affected resource and supported by a CEQA compliant environmental review document adopted by the lead agency. Projects complying with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or GHG mitigation program would not be required to implement BPS.
- Projects implementing BPSs would not require quantification of project-specific GHG emissions.<sup>9</sup> Consistent with the CEQA Guidelines, such projects would be determined to have a less-than-significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions.
- Projects not implementing BPSs would require quantification of project-specific GHG emissions and demonstration that project-specific GHG emissions would be reduced or mitigated by at least 29% compared to BAU, including GHG emission reductions achieved since the 2002–2004 baseline period. Projects achieving at least a 29% GHG emission reduction compared to BAU would be determined to have a less-than-significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG (SJVAPCD 2009b).

---

<sup>9</sup> The guidance recommends, “projects requiring preparation of an Environmental Impact Report for any other reason would require quantification of project specific GHG emissions” (SJVAPCD 2009c). This assessment for the project does include quantification of the project’s construction and operational GHG emissions.

- For development projects, BPSs would include project design elements, land use decisions, and technologies that reduce GHG emissions. Although the SJVAPCD has adopted BPSs for several types of stationary sources (e.g., boilers), it has not developed BPSs for land development projects. Projects implementing any combination of BPSs and/or demonstrating a total 29% reduction in GHG emissions from BAU would be determined to have a less-than- significant individual and cumulative impact on global climate change (SJVAPCD 2015).

## **3.0 Setting**

### **3.1 Existing Physical Setting**

The project site is located in the City of Lodi within the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin (SJVAB). The SJVAB consists of eight counties, stretching from Kern County in the south to San Joaquin County in the north. The SJVAB is bounded by the Sierra Nevada to the east, the Coast Ranges to the west, and the Tehachapi mountains to the south.

#### **3.1.1 Local Climate and Meteorology**

The SJVAB has an inland Mediterranean climate with warm, dry summers, relatively cool nights, and cooler winters with limited rainfall. Winters are mild with light rains and frequent heavy fog from December to January. In the SJVAB, the surrounding mountains restrict air movement and impede the dispersion of pollutants out of the basin. The SJVAB also experiences temperature inversions frequently throughout the year, which restrict vertical dispersion of air pollutants; an inversion occurs when a mass of warm dry air sits over cooler air near the ground, essentially trapping the air mass below. In addition, the Valley's long, hot summers, and stagnant, foggy winters, provide ideal conditions for the formation of photochemical oxidants and reduce dispersion, respectively.

Wind speed and direction determine the dispersion of air pollutants. Marine air comes into the basin from the Sacramento River–San Joaquin River Delta, although most air movement is restricted by the surrounding mountains. Winds from the Bay Area flow northeasterly into the Sacramento Valley and southward into San Joaquin County. This results in weak winds from the north and northeast, with an average speed of seven miles per hour. During the summer, wind from the north flows south and southeasterly through the Valley, through the Tehachapi Pass and into the Southeast Desert Air Basin. Thus, emissions from the San Francisco Bay Area and the Broader Sacramento air basins are transported into San Joaquin County and the SJVAB. Emissions in the San Joaquin Valley are then transported to the Southeast Desert and Great Basin Valley Air Basins. In late fall and winter, cold air from the mountains flows into the Valley. This results in winds from the south that flow north and northwesterly. Some emissions from San Joaquin County are transported to the broader Sacramento air basin during these times. However, the winds are relatively light, limiting the dispersion of CO and other pollutants.

In the late fall and winter, when there is little interchange of air between the valley and the coast, humidity is high following winter rains, and temperature inversions at ground level persist over the entire valley for several weeks, air movement is virtually absent and radiation fog, known as tule fog, forms. This is typically when peak concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NOX), and particulate matter (PM) occur.

The temperature and precipitation levels for Lodi are in Table 3. Table 3 shows that July is typically the warmest month and January is typically the coolest month. Rainfall in the project area varies considerably in both time and space. Almost all the annual rainfall comes from the fringes of mid-latitude storms from late November to early April, with summers being almost completely dry.

**Table 3: Meteorological Summary**

| Month                                                                                                                                      | Temperature (°F) |             | Average Precipitation (inches) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                                            | Average High     | Average Low |                                |
| January                                                                                                                                    | 53.9             | 37.4        | 3.43                           |
| February                                                                                                                                   | 60.4             | 39.8        | 2.94                           |
| March                                                                                                                                      | 65.7             | 41.8        | 2.71                           |
| April                                                                                                                                      | 72.5             | 44.5        | 1.31                           |
| May                                                                                                                                        | 79.4             | 49.0        | 0.61                           |
| June                                                                                                                                       | 86.6             | 53.2        | 0.15                           |
| July                                                                                                                                       | 91.5             | 55.6        | 0.03                           |
| August                                                                                                                                     | 90.0             | 54.4        | 0.04                           |
| September                                                                                                                                  | 86.5             | 52.2        | 0.27                           |
| October                                                                                                                                    | 77.4             | 46.6        | 0.93                           |
| November                                                                                                                                   | 64.5             | 40.2        | 1.93                           |
| December                                                                                                                                   | 54.5             | 36.9        | 2.92                           |
| <b>Annual Average</b>                                                                                                                      | <b>73.6</b>      | <b>46.0</b> | <b>17.2</b>                    |
| Notes:<br><sup>1</sup> Source: <a href="https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca5032">https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca5032</a> |                  |             |                                |

### 3.1.2 Local Air Quality

The SJVAPCD maintains an air-monitoring network that measures levels of several air pollutants throughout the air basin. Since not all air monitoring stations measure all of the tracked pollutants, the data from the following two monitoring stations, listed in the order of proximity to the project site have been used. The nearest air monitoring stations to the project site with available data is the Stockton – University West Station monitoring station (Stockton Station) located approximately 11 miles south of the project site at 702 N Aurora Street. Table 4 presents the monitored pollutant levels within the vicinity. However, it should be noted that due to the air monitoring station distance from the project site, recorded air pollution levels at the air monitoring station reflect with varying degrees of accuracy, local air quality conditions at the project site.

<Table 4, next page>

**Table 4: Local Area Air Quality Levels**

| Pollutant (Standard) <sup>2</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Year       |            |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 2022       | 2023       | 2024       |
| <b>Ozone:</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |            |            |            |
| Maximum 1-Hour Concentration (ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 0.141      | 0.086      | 0.111      |
| Days > CAAQS (0.09 ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <b>1</b>   | <b>0</b>   | <b>2</b>   |
| Maximum 8-Hour Concentration (ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 0.113      | 0.068      | 0.082      |
| Days > NAAQS (0.07 ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <b>1</b>   | <b>0</b>   | <b>2</b>   |
| Days > CAAQS (0.070 ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <b>1</b>   | <b>0</b>   | <b>2</b>   |
| <b>Carbon Monoxide:</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |            |            |            |
| Maximum 8-Hour Concentration (ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | *          | *          | *          |
| Days > NAAQS (9 ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 0          | 0          | 0          |
| <b>Nitrogen Dioxide:</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |            |            |            |
| Maximum 1-Hour Concentration (ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 0.044      | 0.450      | 0.032      |
| Days > NAAQS (0.25 ppm)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 0          | 0          | 0          |
| <b>Inhalable Particulates (PM10):</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |            |            |            |
| Maximum 24-Hour Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 80.6       | 81.7       | 73.7       |
| Days > NAAQS (150 µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <b>0</b>   | <b>0</b>   | <b>0</b>   |
| Days > CAAQS (50 µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | <b>24</b>  | <b>23</b>  | <b>16</b>  |
| Annual Average (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 24.8       | 23.2       | 23.4       |
| Annual > NAAQS (50 µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | No         | No         | No         |
| Annual > CAAQS (20 µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <b>Yes</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>Yes</b> |
| <b>Ultra-Fine Particulates (PM2.5):</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |            |            |            |
| Maximum 24-Hour Concentration (µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 51.9       | 40.6       | 46.8       |
| Days > NAAQS (35 µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 6          | 6          | 7          |
| Annual Average (µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 10.2       | -          | 10.0       |
| Annual > NAAQS (15 µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | No         | -          | No         |
| Annual > CAAQS (12 µg /m <sup>3</sup> )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | No         | -          | No         |
| <sup>1</sup> Source: obtained from <a href="https://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php">https://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php</a><br><sup>2</sup> CAAQS = California Ambient Air Quality Standard; NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard; ppm = parts per million; µg/m <sup>3</sup> = micrograms/cubic meter<br><sup>3</sup> No data available. |            |            |            |

The monitoring data presented in Table 4 shows that ozone and particulate matter (PM10) are the air pollutants of primary concern in the project area, which are detailed below.

### Ozone

During the 2022 to 2024 monitoring period, the State 1-hour concentration standard for ozone was exceeded one day in 2022 and two days in 2024 at the Stockton Station. The State 8-hour ozone standard has been exceeded one day in 2022 and two days in 2024 at the Stockton Station. The Federal 8-hour ozone standard has been exceeded one day in 2022 and two days in 2024 of the past three years at the Stockton Station.

### Carbon Monoxide

CO is another important pollutant that is due mainly to motor vehicles. The Stockton Station did not record an exceedance of the state or federal 8-hour CO standards for the last three years.

### **Nitrogen Dioxide**

The Stockton Station did not record an exceedance of the State or Federal NO<sub>2</sub> standards for the last three years.

### **Particulate Matter**

During the 2022 to 2024 monitoring period, the Federal 24-hour concentration standard for PM<sub>10</sub> was not exceeded, while the State 24-hour standard was exceeded between 16 and 24 days at the Stockton Station. Over the same time period the Federal annual standard for PM<sub>10</sub> was not exceeded at the Stockton Station and the State annual standard was exceeded each year.

The Federal 24-hour standard for PM<sub>2.5</sub> has been exceeded between 10 and 43 days each year over the last three years at the Stockton Station.

According to the EPA, some people are much more sensitive than others to breathing fine particles (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>). People with influenza, chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, and the elderly may suffer worsening illness and premature death due to breathing these fine particles. People with bronchitis can expect aggravated symptoms from breathing in fine particles. Children may experience decline in lung function due to breathing in PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Other groups considered sensitive are smokers and people who cannot breathe well through their noses. Exercising athletes are also considered sensitive, because many breathe through their mouths during exercise.

### **3.1.3 Attainment Status**

The EPA and the ARB designate air basins where ambient air quality standards are exceeded as “nonattainment” areas. If standards are met, the area is designated as an “attainment” area. If there is inadequate or inconclusive data to make a definitive attainment designation, they are considered “unclassified.” National nonattainment areas are further designated as marginal, moderate, serious, severe, or extreme as a function of deviation from standards. Each standard has a different definition, or ‘form’ of what constitutes attainment, based on specific air quality statistics. For example, the Federal 8-hour CO standard is not to be exceeded more than once per year; therefore, an area is in attainment of the CO standard if no more than one 8-hour ambient air monitoring values exceeds the threshold per year. In contrast, the federal annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard is met if the three-year average of the annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration is less than or equal to the standard. Table 5 lists the attainment status for the criteria pollutants in the basin.

As indicated below in Table 5, the SJVAPCD has been designated by the EPA as a non-attainment area for ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and suspended particulates (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Currently, the Basin is in attainment with the ambient air quality standards for carbon monoxide (CO), lead, sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>).

**Table 5: Attainment Status of SJVAPCD<sup>1,2</sup>**

| Pollutant                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Federal Designation     | State Designation    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 1-Hour Ozone                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Revoked                 | Nonattainment/Severe |
| 8-Hour Ozone                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Nonattainment/Extreme   | Nonattainment        |
| CO                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Unclassified/Attainment | Attainment           |
| PM10                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Attainment              | Nonattainment        |
| PM2.5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Nonattainment           | Nonattainment        |
| Lead                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Unclassified/Attainment | Attainment           |
| SO2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Unclassified/Attainment | Attainment           |
| NO2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Unclassified/Attainment | Attainment           |
| Notes:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                         |                      |
| <sup>1</sup> SJVAPCD = San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District                                                                                                                                                                                 |                         |                      |
| <sup>2</sup> Source: <a href="https://ww2.valleyair.org/air-quality-information/ambient-air-quality-standards-valley-attainment-status/">https://ww2.valleyair.org/air-quality-information/ambient-air-quality-standards-valley-attainment-status/</a> . |                         |                      |

## 3.2 Greenhouse Gases

Constituent gases of the Earth's atmosphere, called atmospheric greenhouse gases (GHG), play a critical role in the Earth's radiation amount by trapping infrared radiation emitted from the Earth's surface, which otherwise would have escaped to space. Prominent greenhouse gases contributing to this process include carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), ozone, water vapor, nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). This phenomenon, known as the Greenhouse Effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate. Anthropogenic (caused or produced by humans) emissions of these greenhouse gases in excess of natural ambient concentrations are responsible for the enhancement of the Greenhouse Effect and have led to a trend of unnatural warming of the Earth's natural climate, known as global warming or climate change. Emissions of gases that induce global warming are attributable to human activities associated with industrial/manufacturing, agriculture, utilities, transportation, and residential land uses. Transportation is responsible for 41 percent of the State's greenhouse gas emissions, followed by electricity generation. Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrous oxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) are byproducts of fossil fuel combustion. Methane, a potent greenhouse gas, results from off-gassing associated with agricultural practices and landfills. Sinks of CO<sub>2</sub>, where CO<sub>2</sub> is stored outside of the atmosphere, include uptake by vegetation and dissolution into the ocean. Table 6 provides a description of each of the greenhouse gases and their global warming potential.

Additional information is available: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm>

<Table 6 on next page>

**Table 6: Description of Greenhouse Gases**

| Greenhouse Gas                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Description and Physical Properties                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Sources                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nitrous oxide                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O), also known as laughing gas is a colorless gas. It has a lifetime of 114 years. Its global warming potential is 298.                                                                                                                                                                   | Microbial processes in soil and water, fuel combustion, and industrial processes. In addition to agricultural sources, some industrial processes (nylon production, nitric acid production) also emit N <sub>2</sub> O.                                     |
| Methane                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ) is a flammable gas and is the main component of natural gas. It has a lifetime of 12 years. Its global warming potential is 25.                                                                                                                                                              | A natural source of CH <sub>4</sub> is from the decay of organic matter. Methane is extracted from geological deposits (natural gas fields). Other sources are from the decay of organic material in landfills, fermentation of manure, and cattle farming. |
| Carbon dioxide                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) is an odorless, colorless, natural greenhouse gas. Carbon dioxide's global warming potential is 1. The concentration in 2005 was 379 parts per million (ppm), which is an increase of about 1.4 ppm per year since 1960.                                                              | Natural sources include decomposition of dead organic matter; respiration of bacteria, plants, animals, and fungus; evaporation from oceans; and volcanic outgassing. Anthropogenic sources are from burning coal, oil, natural gas, and wood.              |
| Chlorofluorocarbons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | CFCs are nontoxic, nonflammable, insoluble, and chemically unreactive in the troposphere (the level of air at the earth's surface). They are gases formed synthetically by replacing all hydrogen atoms in methane or methane with chlorine and/or fluorine atoms. Global warming potentials range from 3,800 to 8,100. | Chlorofluorocarbons were synthesized in 1928 for use as refrigerants, aerosol propellants, and cleaning solvents. They destroy stratospheric ozone; therefore, their production was stopped as required by the Montreal Protocol.                           |
| Hydrofluorocarbons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) are a group of greenhouse gases containing carbon, chlorine, and at least one hydrogen atom. Global warming potentials range from 140 to 11,700.                                                                                                                                              | Hydrofluorocarbons are synthetic manmade chemicals used as a substitute for chlorofluorocarbons in applications such as automobile air conditioners and refrigerants.                                                                                       |
| Perfluorocarbons                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) have stable molecular structures and only break down by ultraviolet rays about 60 kilometers above the Earth's surface. They have a lifetime 10,000 to 50,000 years. They have a global warming potential range of 6,200 to 9,500.                                                              | Two main sources of perfluorocarbons are primary aluminum production and semiconductor manufacturing.                                                                                                                                                       |
| Sulfur hexafluoride                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Sulfur hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> ) is an inorganic, odorless, colorless, and nontoxic, nonflammable gas. It has a lifetime of 3,200 years. It has a high global warming potential, 23,900.                                                                                                                          | This gas is manmade and used for insulation in electric power transmission equipment, in the magnesium industry, in semiconductor manufacturing, and as a tracer gas for leak detection.                                                                    |
| Notes:<br>1. Sources: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014a and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014b.<br><a href="https://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2s2-10-2.html">https://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2s2-10-2.html</a> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |

## **4.0 Modeling Parameters and Assumptions**

### **4.1 Construction**

Emissions are estimated using the CalEEMod (Version 2022.1.1.36) software, which is a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and GHG emissions from a variety of land use projects. CalEEMod was developed in collaboration with the air districts of California. Regional data (e.g., emission factors, trip lengths, meteorology, source inventory, etc.) have been provided by the various California air districts to account for local requirements and conditions. The model is considered to be an accurate and comprehensive tool for quantifying air quality and GHG impacts from land use projects throughout California.

The CalEEMod program uses the EMFAC2021 computer program to calculate the emission rates specific for the San Joaquin region of the SJVAPCD for construction-related employee vehicle trips and the OFFROAD2017 computer program to calculate emission rates for heavy truck operations. EMFAC2021 and OFFROAD2017 are computer programs generated by CARB that calculates composite emission rates for vehicles. Emission rates are reported by the program in grams per trip and grams per mile or grams per running hour. Using CalEEMod, the peak annual air pollutant emissions were calculated and presented below. These emissions represent the highest level of emissions for each of the construction phases in terms of air pollutant emissions.

The analysis assesses the emissions associated with the construction of the proposed project as indicated in Table 1. Per the site plan, the overall area to be disturbed during construction of the proposed project was estimated to be approximately 11.6 acres. Construction is estimated to occur over approximately 18 months beginning in mid-2026. The phases of the construction activities which have been analyzed below are: 1) site preparation, 2) grading, 3) building, 4) paving, and 5) architectural coating. 20,000 cubic yards of soil export have been included in the grading phase. For details on construction modeling and construction equipment for each phase, please see Appendix A.

### **4.2 Operations**

Operational or long-term emissions occur over the life of the Project. Both mobile and area sources generate operational emissions. Area source emissions arise from consumer product usage, heaters that consume natural gas, gasoline-powered landscape equipment, and architectural coatings (painting). Mobile source emissions from motor vehicles are the largest single long-term source of air pollutants from the operation of the Project. Small amounts of emissions would also occur from area sources such as the consumption of natural gas for heating, hearths, from landscaping emissions, and consumer product usage. The operational emissions were estimated using the latest version of CalEEMod.

#### **Mobile Sources**

Mobile sources include emissions from the additional vehicle miles generated from the proposed project. The project will generate approximately 1,606 trips per event. The program then applies the emission factors for each trip which is provided by the EMFAC2021 model to determine the vehicular

traffic pollutant emissions. The CalEEMod default trip lengths were used in this analysis. Please see CalEEMod output comments sections in Appendix A for details.

### **Area Sources**

Area sources include emissions from consumer products, landscape equipment and architectural coatings. Landscape maintenance includes fuel combustion emissions from equipment such as lawn mowers, rototillers, shredders/grinders, blowers, trimmers, chain saws, and hedge trimmers, as well as air compressors, generators, and pumps. As specifics were not known about the landscaping equipment fleet, CalEEMod defaults were used to estimate emissions from landscaping equipment.

Per SJVAPCD Rule 4601, the architectural coatings that would be applied after January 1, 2022 will be limited to an average of 50 grams per liter or less.

### **Energy Usage**

2022.1.1.36 CalEEMod defaults were utilized.

## **5.0 Thresholds of Significance**

### **5.1 Air Quality Thresholds of Significance**

#### **5.1.1 CEQA Guidelines for Air Quality**

The CEQA Guidelines define a significant effect on the environment as “a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in the environment.” To determine if a project would have a significant impact on air quality, the type, level, and impact of emissions generated by the project must be evaluated.

The following air quality significance thresholds are contained in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines. A significant impact would occur if the project would:

- Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan;
- Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable national or state ambient air quality standard;
- Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations; or
- Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people.

The CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.7 provides that the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district, when available, may be relied upon to make determinations of significance. The potential air quality impacts of the project are, therefore, evaluated according to thresholds developed by SJVAPCD in their CEQA Guidelines.

### 5.1.2 Regional Significance Thresholds

According to the SJVAPCD, a project is non-conforming if it conflicts with or delays implementation of any applicable attainment or maintenance plan. A project is conforming if it complies with all applicable SJVAPCD rules and regulations, complies with all proposed control measures that are not yet adopted from the applicable plan(s), and it is consistent with the growth forecasts in the applicable plan(s) (or is directly included in the applicable plan).

*Violation of Air Quality Standards or Substantial Contribution to Air Quality Violations.* The SJVAPCD currently recommends that projects with construction-related and/or operational emissions that exceed any of the following emissions thresholds listed in Table 7 should be considered significant:

**Table 7: SJVAPCD Air Quality Significance Thresholds**

| Pollutant | Annual Thresholds<br>(tons/year) |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| NOx       | 10                               |
| VOC       | 10                               |
| PM10      | 15                               |
| PM2.5     | 15                               |
| SOx       | 27                               |
| CO        | 100                              |

For the purposes to this air quality impact analysis, a regional air quality impact would be considered significant if emissions exceed the SJVAPCD significance thresholds identified above in Table 7.

## 5.2 Greenhouse Gas Thresholds of Significance

### 5.2.1 CEQA Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas

CEQA Guidelines define a significant effect on the environment as “a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in the environment.” To determine if a project would have a significant impact on greenhouse gases, the type, level, and impact of emissions generated by the project must be evaluated.

The following greenhouse gas significance thresholds are contained in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, which were amendments adopted into the Guidelines on March 18, 2010, pursuant to SB 97. A significant impact would occur if the project would:

- (a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment; or
- (b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.

However, despite this, currently neither the CEQA statutes, OPR guidelines, nor the draft proposed changes to the CEQA Guidelines that prescribe thresholds of significance or a particular methodology for performing an impact analysis; as with many environmental topics, significance criteria are left to the judgment and discretion of the Lead Agency. As previously discussed (see Section 2.2.4 of this report), SJVAPCD requires projects demonstrate compliance with AB32 through inclusion of Best Performance Standards. Therefore, the project has been compared to the goals of AB32 in order to demonstrate greenhouse gas compliance.

## 6.0 Air Quality Emissions Impact

### 6.1 Construction Air Quality Emissions Impact

The latest version of CalEEMod was used to estimate the onsite and offsite construction emissions. The emissions incorporate Regulation VIII. Regulation VIII (fugitive dust) is not considered a mitigation measure as the project by default is required to incorporate this rule during construction.

#### 6.1.1 Regional Construction Emissions

The construction emissions for the project would not exceed SJVAPCD annual emissions thresholds as demonstrated in Table 8, and therefore would be considered less than significant.

**Table 8: Regional Significance - Construction Emissions (tons/year)**

| Activity                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Pollutant Emissions (tons/year) |             |             |                 |             |             |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | VOC                             | NOx         | CO          | SO <sub>2</sub> | PM10        | PM2.5       |
| 2026                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.09                            | 0.91        | 0.93        | 0.00            | 0.16        | 0.08        |
| 2027                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.15                            | 1.30        | 1.80        | 0.00            | 0.09        | 0.05        |
| 2028                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.24                            | 0.05        | 0.08        | 0.00            | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| <b>Total</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | <b>0.48</b>                     | <b>2.26</b> | <b>2.81</b> | <b>0.00</b>     | <b>0.25</b> | <b>0.13</b> |
| <b>SJVAPCD Thresholds</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <b>10</b>                       | <b>10</b>   | <b>100</b>  | <b>27</b>       | <b>15</b>   | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>Exceeds Thresholds</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | No                              | No          | No          | No              | No          | No          |
| Notes:<br><sup>1</sup> Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.36<br><sup>2</sup> On-site emissions from equipment operated on-site that is not operated on public roads. On-site grading PM-10 and PM-2.5 emissions show mitigated values for fugitive dust for compliance with SJVAPCD Regulation VIII.<br><sup>3</sup> Off-site emissions from equipment operated on public roads.<br><sup>4</sup> Construction, architectural coatings and paving phases may overlap. |                                 |             |             |                 |             |             |

#### 6.1.2 Construction-Related Odors

Potential sources that may emit odors during construction activities include the application of materials such as asphalt pavement. The objectionable odors that may be produced during the construction process are of short-term in nature and the odor emissions are expected cease upon the drying or hardening of the odor producing materials. Diesel exhaust and VOCs would be emitted during construction of the project, which are objectionable to some; however, emissions would disperse rapidly from the project site and therefore should not reach an objectionable level at the nearest sensitive receptors. Due to the short-term nature and limited amounts of odor producing materials being utilized, no significant impact related to odors would occur during construction of the proposed project.

#### 6.1.3 Valley Fever

Coccidioidomycosis, more commonly known as “valley fever,” is an infection caused by inhalation of the spores of the *Coccidioides immitis* fungus, which grows in the soils of the southwestern United States. When fungal spores are present, any activity that disturbs the soil, such as digging, grading, or other earth-moving operations, can cause the spores to become airborne and thereby increase the risk of exposure. The ecologic factors that appear to be most conducive to survival and replication of the spores

are high summer temperatures, mild winters, sparse rainfall, and alkaline sandy soils. Statewide incidences in 2018 were 18.8 per 100,000 people (CDPH 2021), occurring largely in Central California. The project would be required to comply with SJVAPCD Rule 8021, which would require fugitive dust mitigation, which would control the release of the *Coccidioides immitis* fungus during construction activities. Therefore, the project would have a less-than-significant impact with respect to valley fever exposure for sensitive receptors.

## 6.2 Operational Air Quality Emissions Impact

### 6.2.1 Regional Operational Emissions

The operations-related criteria air quality impacts from the proposed project have been analyzed through the use of CalEEMod model. The operating emissions were based on year 2027, which is a conservative estimate of the opening year for the project. The summer and winter emissions created by the proposed project's long-term operations were calculated and the highest emissions from either summer or winter are summarized in Table 9.

**Table 9: Regional Significance - Operational Emissions (tons/year)**

| Activity                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Pollutant Emissions (tons/year) <sup>1</sup> |             |             |             |             |             |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | VOC                                          | NOx         | CO          | SO2         | PM10        | PM2.5       |
| Area Sources <sup>2</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 0.35                                         | 0.00        | 0.28        | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Energy Usage <sup>3</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 0.01                                         | 0.15        | 0.13        | 0.00        | 0.01        | 0.01        |
| Mobile Sources <sup>4</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 0.69                                         | 0.58        | 4.30        | 0.01        | 0.90        | 0.23        |
| <b>Total Emissions</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>1.05</b>                                  | <b>0.73</b> | <b>4.71</b> | <b>0.01</b> | <b>0.91</b> | <b>0.24</b> |
| SJVAPCD Annual Thresholds                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <b>25</b>                                    | <b>25</b>   | <b>100</b>  | <b>25</b>   | <b>15</b>   | <b>12</b>   |
| Exceeds Threshold?                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | No                                           | No          | No          | No          | No          | No          |
| Notes:<br><sup>1</sup> Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.36<br><sup>2</sup> Area sources consist of emissions from consumer products, architectural coatings, and landscaping equipment.<br><sup>3</sup> Energy usage consists of emissions from on-site natural gas usage.<br><sup>4</sup> Mobile sources consist of emissions from vehicles and road dust. |                                              |             |             |             |             |             |

Table 9 provides the project's unmitigated operational emissions. Table 9 shows that the project does not exceed the SJVAPCD regional emissions thresholds. Therefore, operational emissions are considered to be less than significant.

### 6.2.2 Operations-Related Odor Impacts

Potential sources that may emit odors during the on-going operations of the proposed project would include odor emissions from vehicular emissions, trash storage areas, and cultivation operations. As the project will be a school, no significant impact related to odors would occur during the on-going operations of the proposed project.

### **6.3 Operational Toxic Air Contaminant Impact**

The greatest potential for toxic air contaminant emissions would be related to diesel particulate emissions associated with heavy equipment operations during construction of the proposed project. The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) has issued the Air Toxic Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines and Guidance Manual for the Preparation of Health Risk Assessments, February 2015 to provide a description of the algorithms, recommended exposure variates, cancer and noncancer health values, and the air modeling protocols needed to perform a health risk assessment (HRA) under the Air Toxics Hot Spots Information and Assessment Act of 1987. Hazard identification includes identifying all substances that are evaluated for cancer risk and/or non-cancer acute, 8-hour, and chronic health impacts. In addition, identifying any multi-pathway substances that present a cancer risk or chronic non-cancer hazard via non-inhalation routes of exposure.

Given the relatively limited number of heavy-duty truck trips during operation of the project (up to four delivery trucks per week), the proposed project would not result in a long-term substantial source of toxic air containment emissions and corresponding individual cancer risk. Furthermore, operational-based particulate matter (PM) emissions (including diesel exhaust emissions) do not exceed any local or regional thresholds. Therefore, no significant long-term toxic air contaminant impacts would occur during operation of the proposed project.

### **6.4 Cumulative Regional Air Quality Impacts**

Cumulative projects include local development as well as general growth within the project area. However, as with most development, the greatest source of emissions is from mobile sources, which travel well out of the local area. Therefore, from an air quality standpoint, the cumulative analysis would extend beyond any local projects and when wind patterns are considered, would cover an even larger area. Accordingly, the cumulative analysis for the project's air quality must be generic by nature.

The area that encompasses the project is out of attainment for both ozone and particulate matter. Construction and operation of cumulative projects will further degrade the air quality of the SJVAB. The greatest cumulative impact on the quality of regional air cell will be the incremental addition of pollutants mainly from increased traffic from residential, commercial, and industrial development and the use of heavy equipment and trucks associated with the construction of these projects. Air quality will be temporarily degraded during construction activities that occur separately or simultaneously. However, in accordance with the SJVAPCD methodology, projects that do not exceed the SJVAPCD criteria or can be mitigated to less than criteria levels are not significant and do not add to the overall cumulative impact.

Project operations would generate emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, ROG, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, which would not exceed the SJVAPCD regional thresholds and would not be expected to result in ground level concentrations that exceed the NAAQS or CAAQS. Therefore, operation of the project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase for non-attainment of criteria pollutants or ozone precursors. As a result, the project would result in a less than significant cumulative impact for operational emissions.

## **6.5 Air Quality Compliance**

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a discussion of any inconsistencies between a proposed project and applicable General Plans and Regional Plans (CEQA Guidelines Section 15125). According to the SJVAPCD, a project is non-conforming if it conflicts with or delays implementation of any applicable attainment or maintenance plan.

A project is conforming if it complies with all applicable District rules and regulations, complies with all proposed control measures that are not yet adopted from the applicable plan(s), and is consistent with the growth forecasts in the applicable plan(s) (or is directly included in the applicable plan). Conformity with growth forecasts can be established by demonstrating that the project is consistent with the land use plan that was used to generate the growth forecast. An example of a non-conforming project would be one that increases the gross number of dwelling units, increases the number of trips, and/or increases the overall vehicle miles traveled in an affected area all in excess of the applicable land use plan).

The project site is located in the City of Lodi. The proposed project will be an elementary school. Per the City's Land Use Zoning map, the current land use zoning is Planned Development, which allows for the Project's uses. As shown by the results of this air analysis, the project's emissions do not exceed any SJVAPCD thresholds during either short-term construction or long-term operation of the project. Therefore, as the project would be a religious assembly use that conforms to the City's zoning classification, the proposed project is not anticipated to exceed the Attainment Plan assumptions for the project site.

Based on the above, the proposed project would not conflict with implementation of the SJVAPCD Attainment Plans, and its anticipated impacts are thus considered to be less than significant.

.

## 7.0 Greenhouse Gas Impact Analysis

### 7.1 Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact

The greenhouse gas emissions from project construction equipment and worker vehicles are shown in Table 10. The emissions are from all phases of construction. The total construction emissions amortized over a period of 30 years are estimated at 27.53 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e per year. Annual CalEEMod output calculations are provided in Appendix A.

**Table 10: Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions<sup>1</sup>**

| Year                                                   | Metric Tons Per Year (MTCO <sub>2</sub> e) |                      |                       |                 |                  |                        |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|
|                                                        | Bio-CO <sub>2</sub>                        | NBio-CO <sub>2</sub> | Total CO <sub>2</sub> | CH <sub>4</sub> | N <sub>2</sub> O | CO <sub>2</sub> e (MT) |
| 2026                                                   | 0.00                                       | 258.00               | 258.00                | 0.01            | 0.02             | 464.00                 |
| 2027                                                   | 0.00                                       | 346.00               | 346.00                | 0.01            | 0.01             | 349.00                 |
| 2028                                                   | 0.00                                       | 13.00                | 13.00                 | 0.00            | 0.00             | 13.00                  |
| <b>Total</b>                                           | 0.00                                       | 617.00               | 617.00                | 0.02            | 0.03             | 826.00                 |
| <b>Annualized Construction Emissions<sup>1</sup></b>   |                                            |                      |                       |                 |                  | 27.53                  |
| Notes:                                                 |                                            |                      |                       |                 |                  |                        |
| <sup>1</sup> Source: CalEEMod output (Appendix A)      |                                            |                      |                       |                 |                  |                        |
| <sup>2</sup> The emissions are averaged over 30 years. |                                            |                      |                       |                 |                  |                        |

### 7.2 Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact

Operational emissions occur over the life of the project. Table 11 below shows that the subtotal for the proposed project would result in annual emissions of 1,592.65 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e per year (without the addition of amortized construction emissions which would add an additional 10.27 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e per year; see Appendix A CalEEMod Annual Output for details). The total emissions would be 1,613.25 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/year.

<Table 11, next>

**Table 11: Opening Year Project-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

| Category                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Metric Tons/Year) <sup>1</sup> |                        |                 |                 |                  |                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Bio-CO <sub>2</sub>                                      | NonBio-CO <sub>2</sub> | CO <sub>2</sub> | CH <sub>4</sub> | N <sub>2</sub> O | CO <sub>2</sub> e |
| Area Sources <sup>2</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 0.00                                                     | 1.00                   | 1.00            | 0.00            | 0.00             | 1.00              |
| Energy Usage <sup>3</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 0.00                                                     | 311.00                 | 311.00          | 0.03            | 0.00             | 313.00            |
| Mobile Sources <sup>4</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 0.00                                                     | 944.00                 | 944.00          | 0.05            | 0.05             | 962.00            |
| Solid Waste <sup>5</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 14.00                                                    | 0.00                   | 14.00           | 1.40            | 0.00             | 48.00             |
| Water <sup>6</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 0.65                                                     | 1.80                   | 2.45            | 0.07            | 0.00             | 4.60              |
| Refrigerants                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 0.00                                                     | 0.00                   | 0.00            | 0.00            | 0.00             | 0.05              |
| <b>Total Emissions</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 14.65                                                    | 1,257.80               | 1,272.45        | 1.55            | 0.05             | 1,328.65          |
| Construction <sup>7</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 0.00                                                     | 20.57                  | 20.57           | 0.00            | 0.00             | 27.53             |
| <b>Combined Emissions</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 14.65                                                    | 1,278.37               | 1,293.02        | 1.55            | 0.05             | <b>1,356.18</b>   |
| Notes:<br><sup>1</sup> Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.36<br><sup>2</sup> Area sources consist of GHG emissions from consumer products, architectural coatings, and landscape equipment.<br><sup>3</sup> Energy usage consist of GHG emissions from electricity and natural gas usage.<br><sup>4</sup> Mobile sources consist of GHG emissions from vehicles.<br><sup>5</sup> Solid waste includes the CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions created from the solid waste placed in landfills.<br><sup>6</sup> Water includes GHG emissions from electricity used for transport of water and processing of wastewater.<br><sup>7</sup> Construction GHG emissions based on a 30-year amortization rate. |                                                          |                        |                 |                 |                  |                   |

## 7.3 Greenhouse Gas Plan Consistency

### *Consistency with AB32 Scoping Plan*

The ARB Board approved a Climate Change Scoping Plan in December 2008. The Scoping Plan outlines the State’s strategy to achieve the 2020 greenhouse gas emissions limit. The Scoping Plan “proposes a comprehensive set of actions designed to reduce overall greenhouse gas emissions in California, improve our environment, reduce our dependence on oil, diversify our energy sources, save energy, create new jobs, and enhance public health” (California Air Resources Board 2008). The measures in the Scoping Plan have been in place since 2012.

This Scoping Plan calls for an “ambitious but achievable” reduction in California’s greenhouse gas emissions, cutting approximately 30 percent from business-as-usual emission levels projected for 2020, or about 10 percent from today’s levels. In May 2014, the CARB released its *First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan* (CARB 2014). This *Update* identifies the next steps for California’s leadership on climate change. In November 2017, the CARB released the 2017 Scoping Plan. This Scoping Plan incorporates, coordinates, and leverages many existing and ongoing efforts and identifies new policies and actions to accomplish the State’s climate goals, and includes a description of a suite of specific actions to meet the State’s 2030 GHG limit. The 2022 Scoping Plan builds upon the successful framework established by the Initial Scoping Plan and First Update, while identifying new, technologically feasible, and cost-effective strategies to ensure that California meets its GHG reduction targets.

As the latest, 2022 Scoping Plan builds upon previous versions, project consistency with applicable strategies of the 2008, 2017, and 2020 Plan are assessed in Table 12. As shown in Table 12, the project is consistent with the applicable strategies within the Scoping Plan.

**Table 12: Project Consistency with CARB Scoping Plan Policies and Measures<sup>1</sup>**

| 2008 Scoping Plan Measures to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Project Compliance with Measure                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| California Light-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Standards – Implement adopted standards and planned second phase of the program. Align zero-emission vehicle, alternative and renewable fuel and vehicle technology programs with long-term climate change goals.                             | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with the strategy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Energy Efficiency – Maximize energy efficiency building and appliance standards; pursue additional efficiency including new technologies, policy, and implementation mechanisms. Pursue comparable investment in energy efficiency from all retail providers of electricity in California. | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards which conform to the Scoping Plan’s energy efficiency standards.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Low Carbon Fuel Standard – Develop and adopt the Low Carbon Fuel Standard.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with this strategy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Vehicle Efficiency Measures – Implement light-duty vehicle efficiency measures.                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with this strategy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Medium/Heavy-Duty Vehicles – Adopt medium and heavy-duty vehicle efficiency measures.                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with this strategy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Green Building Strategy – Expand the use of green building practices to reduce the carbon footprint of California’s new and existing inventory of buildings.                                                                                                                               | Consistent. The California Green Building Standards Code (proposed Part 11, Title 24) was adopted as part of the California Building Standards Code in the CCR. Part 11 establishes voluntary standards, that are mandatory in the 2022 edition of the Code, on planning and design for sustainable site development, energy efficiency (in excess of the California Energy Code requirements), water conservation, material conservation, and internal air contaminants. The project will be subject to these mandatory standards which will accomplish this Plan’s Green Building Strategy. |
| High Global Warming Potential Gases – Adopt measures to reduce high global warming potential gases.                                                                                                                                                                                        | Consistent. CARB identified five measures that reduce HFC emissions from vehicular and commercial refrigeration systems; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the measures will comply with this strategy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Recycling and Waste – Reduce methane emissions at landfills. Increase waste diversion, composting, and commercial recycling. Move toward zero-waste.                                                                                                                                       | Consistent. The state is currently developing a regulation to reduce methane emissions from municipal solid waste landfills. The project will be required to comply with City programs, such as City’s recycling and waste reduction program, which comply, with the 75 percent reduction required by 2020 per AB 341.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Water – Continue efficiency programs and use cleaner energy sources to move and treat water.                                                                                                                                                                                               | Consistent. The project will comply with all applicable City ordinances and CAL Green requirements relating to cleaner energy sources to move and treat water.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

| 2017 Scoping Plan Recommended Actions to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Project Compliance with Recommended Action                                                                                                                                                            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Implement Mobile Source Strategy: Further increase GHG stringency on all light-duty vehicles beyond existing Advanced Clean Car regulations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with this strategy.                                        |
| Implement Mobile Source Strategy: At least 1.5 million zero emission and plug-in hybrid light-duty electric vehicles by 2025 and at least 4.2 million zero emission and plug-in hybrid light-duty electric vehicles by 2030.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with this strategy.                                        |
| Implement Mobile Source Strategy: Innovative Clean Transit: Transition to a suite of to-be-determined innovative clean transit options. Assumed 20 percent of new urban buses purchased beginning in 2018 will be zero emission buses with the penetration of zero-emission technology ramped up to 100 percent of new sales in 2030. Also, new natural gas buses, starting in 2018, and diesel buses, starting in 2020, meet the optional heavy-duty low-NOX standard. | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with the strategy.                                         |
| Implement Mobile Source Strategy: Last Mile Delivery: New regulation that would result in the use of low NOX or cleaner engines and the deployment of increasing numbers of zero-emission trucks primarily for class 3-7 last mile delivery trucks in California. This measure assumes ZEVs comprise 2.5 percent of new Class 3–7 truck sales in local fleets starting in 2020, increasing to 10 percent in 2025 and remaining flat through 2030.                       | Consistent. These are CARB enforced standards; vehicles that access the project that are required to comply with the standards will comply with this strategy.                                        |
| Implement SB 350 by 2030: Establish annual targets for statewide energy efficiency savings and demand reduction that will achieve a cumulative doubling of statewide energy efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas end uses by 2030.                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards which will enable the Project to achieve these energy efficiency savings.                                               |
| By 2019, develop regulations and programs to support organic waste landfill reduction goals in the SLCP and SB 1383.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Consistent. The project will be required to comply with City programs, such as City's recycling and waste reduction program, which comply, with the 75 percent reduction required by 2020 per AB 341. |
| 2022 Scoping Plan Recommended Actions to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Project Compliance with Recommended Action                                                                                                                                                            |
| Deploy ZEVs and reduce driving demand                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards that advance this goal.                                                                                                 |
| Coordinate supply of liquid fossil fuels with declining California fuel demand                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards that advance this goal.                                                                                                 |
| Generate clean electricity                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards that advance this goal and would not interfere with clean energy generation.                                            |
| Decarbonize industrial energy supply                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards that advance this goal.                                                                                                 |
| Decarbonize buildings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards that advance this goal.                                                                                                 |
| Reduce non-combustion emissions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Consistent. The project will be compliant with the current Title 24 standards that advance this goal.                                                                                                 |
| Notes:<br><sup>1</sup> Source: CARB Scoping Plan (2008, 2017, and 2022)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

## 7.4 Cumulative Greenhouse Gas Impacts

Although the project is expected to emit GHGs, the emission of GHGs by a single project into the atmosphere is not itself necessarily an adverse environmental effect. Rather, it is the increased accumulation of GHG from more than one project and many sources in the atmosphere that may result in global climate change. Therefore, in the case of global climate change, the proximity of the project to other GHG emission generating activities is not directly relevant to the determination of a cumulative impact because climate change is a global condition. According to CAPCOA, “GHG impacts are exclusively cumulative impacts; there are no non-cumulative GHG emission impacts from a climate change perspective.”<sup>10</sup> The resultant consequences of that climate change can cause adverse environmental effects. A project’s GHG emissions typically would be very small in comparison to state or global GHG emissions and, consequently, they would, in isolation, have no significant direct impact on climate change.

The state has mandated a goal of reducing statewide emissions to 1990 levels by 2020, even though statewide population and commerce are predicted to continue to expand. In order to achieve this goal, CARB is in the process of establishing and implementing regulations to reduce statewide GHG emissions. As discussed in Section 7.3 above, the project is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Scoping Plan. Therefore, consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064h(3),<sup>11</sup> the City, as lead agency, has determined that the project’s contribution to cumulative GHG emissions and global climate change would be less than significant if the project is consistent with the applicable regulatory plans and policies to reduce GHG emissions.

Therefore, the project’s incremental contribution to greenhouse gas emissions and their effects on climate change would not be cumulatively considerable.

---

<sup>10</sup> Source: California Air Pollution Control Officers Association, CEQA & Climate change: Evaluating and Addressing Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Projects Subject to the California Environmental Quality Act, (2008).

<sup>11</sup> The State CEQA Guidelines were amended in response to SB 97. In particular, the State CEQA Guidelines were amended to specify that compliance with a GHG emissions reduction program renders a cumulative impact insignificant. Per State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064(h)(3), a project’s incremental contribution to a cumulative impact can be found not cumulatively considerable if the project will comply with an approved plan or mitigation program that provides specific requirements that will avoid or substantially lessen the cumulative problem within the geographic area of the project. To qualify, such a plan or program must be specified in law or adopted by the public agency with jurisdiction over the affected resources through a public review process to implement, interpret, or make specific the law enforced or administered by the public agency. Examples of such programs include a “water quality control plan, air quality attainment or maintenance plan, integrated waste management plan, habitat conservation plan, natural community conservation plan, [and] plans or regulations for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.”

## 8.0 Energy Analysis

Information from the CalEEMod 2022.1.1.36 Output contained in the air quality and greenhouse gas analyses above was utilized for this analysis. The CalEEMod outputs detail project related construction equipment, transportation energy demands, and facility energy demands.

### 8.1 Construction Energy Demand

#### 8.1.1 Construction Equipment Electricity Usage Estimates

Electrical service will be provided by Lodi Electric Utility. Based on the 2017 National Construction Estimator, Richard Pray (2017)<sup>12</sup>, the typical power cost per 1,000 square feet of building construction per month is estimated to be \$2.32. The project plans to develop the site with 71,063 square feet of cultivation facility over the course of approximately 18 months. Based on Table 13, the total power cost of the on-site electricity usage during the construction of the proposed project is estimated to be approximately \$2,967.59. As shown in Table 13, the total electricity usage from Project construction related activities is estimated to be approximately 20,825 kWh.<sup>13</sup>

**Table 13: Project Construction Power Cost and Electricity Usage**

| Power Cost (per 1,000 square foot of building per month of construction) | Total Building Size (1,000 Square Foot) <sup>1</sup> | Construction Duration (months) | Total Project Construction Power Cost |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| \$2.32                                                                   | 71.063                                               | 18                             | \$2,967.59                            |

| Cost per kWh | Total Project Construction Electricity Usage (kWh) |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| \$0.14       | 20,825                                             |

#### 8.1.2 Construction Equipment Fuel Estimates

Using the CalEEMod data input, the project's construction phase would consume electricity and fossil fuels as a single energy demand, that is, once construction is completed their use would cease. CARB's 2017 Emissions Factors Tables show that on average aggregate fuel consumption (gasoline and diesel

<sup>12</sup> Pray, Richard. 2017 National Construction Estimator. Carlsbad: Craftsman Book Company, 2017.

<sup>13</sup> Per Lodi Electric Utility Medium Commercial rate. <https://www.lodi.gov/DocumentCenter/View/7613/Small-Commercial-Rates->

fuel) would be approximately 18.5 hp-hr-gal.<sup>14</sup> As presented in Table 14 below, project construction activities would consume an estimated 45,671 gallons of diesel fuel.

**Table 14: Construction Equipment Fuel Consumption Estimates**

| Phase                                                    | Number of Days | Offroad Equipment Type    | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor | HP hrs/day | Total Fuel Consumption (gal diesel fuel) <sup>1</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Site Preparation                                         | 10             | Rubber Tired Dozers       | 3      | 8           | 367         | 0.4         | 3,523      | 1,904                                                 |
|                                                          | 10             | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4      | 8           | 84          | 0.37        | 995        | 538                                                   |
| Grading                                                  | 30             | Excavators                | 2      | 8           | 36          | 0.38        | 219        | 355                                                   |
|                                                          | 30             | Graders                   | 1      | 8           | 148         | 0.41        | 485        | 787                                                   |
|                                                          | 30             | Rubber Tired Dozers       | 1      | 8           | 367         | 0.4         | 1,174      | 1,904                                                 |
|                                                          | 30             | Scrapers                  | 2      | 8           | 423         | 0.48        | 3,249      | 5,268                                                 |
|                                                          | 30             | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2      | 8           | 84          | 0.37        | 497        | 806                                                   |
| Building Construction                                    | 300            | Cranes                    | 1      | 7           | 367         | 0.29        | 745        | 12,081                                                |
|                                                          | 300            | Forklifts                 | 3      | 8           | 82          | 0.2         | 394        | 6,383                                                 |
|                                                          | 300            | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8           | 14          | 0.74        | 83         | 1,344                                                 |
|                                                          | 300            | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3      | 7           | 84          | 0.37        | 653        | 10,584                                                |
|                                                          | 300            | Welders                   | 1      | 8           | 46          | 0.45        | 166        | 2,685                                                 |
| Paving                                                   | 20             | Pavers                    | 1      | 7           | 81          | 0.42        | 238        | 257                                                   |
|                                                          | 20             | Paving Equipment          | 2      | 8           | 89          | 0.36        | 513        | 554                                                   |
|                                                          | 20             | Rollers                   | 1      | 7           | 36          | 0.38        | 96         | 104                                                   |
| Architectural Coating                                    | 20             | Air Compressors           | 1      | 6           | 37          | 0.48        | 107        | 115                                                   |
| <b>CONSTRUCTION FUEL DEMAND (gallons of diesel fuel)</b> |                |                           |        |             |             |             |            | <b>45,671</b>                                         |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Using Carl Moyer Guidelines Table D-21 Fuel consumption rate factors (bhp-hr/gal) for engines less than 750 hp.

(Source: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/2017\\_cmpgl.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/2017_cmpgl.pdf))

### 8.1.3 Construction Worker Fuel Estimates

It is assumed that all construction worker trips are from light duty autos (LDA) along area roadways. With respect to estimated VMT, the construction worker trips would generate an estimated 122,400 VMT. Vehicle fuel efficiencies for construction workers were estimated in the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis using information generated using CARB's EMFAC model (see Appendix C for details). Table 15 shows that an estimated 4,053 gallons of fuel would be consumed for construction worker trips.

<sup>14</sup> Aggregate fuel consumption rate for all equipment was estimated at 18.5 hp-hr/day (from CARB's 2017 Emissions Factors Tables and fuel consumption rate factors as shown in Table D-21 of the Moyer Guidelines: ([https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/2017\\_cmpgl.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/2017_cmpgl.pdf))).

**Table 15: Construction Worker Fuel Consumption Estimates**

| Phase                                             | Number of Days | Worker Trips/Day | Trip Length (miles) | Vehicle Miles Traveled | Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg) | Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons) |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Site Preparation                                  | 10             | 18               | 12                  | 2,160                  | 30.20                              | 72                                   |
| Grading                                           | 30             | 20               | 12                  | 7,200                  | 30.20                              | 238                                  |
| Building Construction                             | 300            | 30               | 12                  | 108,000                | 30.20                              | 3,576                                |
| Paving                                            | 20             | 15               | 12                  | 3,600                  | 30.20                              | 119                                  |
| Architectural Coating                             | 20             | 6                | 12                  | 1,440                  | 30.20                              | 48                                   |
| <b>Total Construction Worker Fuel Consumption</b> |                |                  |                     |                        |                                    | <b>4,053</b>                         |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Assumptions for the worker trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2022.1.1.36 defaults.

### 8.1.4 Construction Vendor/Hauling Fuel Estimates

Tables 16 and 17 show the estimated fuel consumption for vendor and hauling during building construction and architectural coating. With respect to estimated VMT, the vendor and hauling trips would generate an estimated 82,560 VMT. For the architectural coatings it is assumed that the contractors would be responsible for bringing coatings and equipment with them in their light duty vehicles. Tables 16 and 17 show that an estimated 12,870 gallons of fuel would be consumed for vendor and hauling trips.

**Table 16: Construction Vendor Fuel Consumption Estimates (MHD Trucks)<sup>1</sup>**

| Phase                                | Number of Days | Vendor Trips/Day | Trip Length (miles) | Vehicle Miles Traveled | Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg) | Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Site Preparation                     | 10             | 0                | 9.1                 | 0                      | 8.58                               | 0                                    |
| Grading                              | 30             | 0                | 9.1                 | 0                      | 8.58                               | 0                                    |
| Building Construction                | 300            | 12               | 9.1                 | 32,760                 | 8.58                               | 3,819                                |
| Paving                               | 20             | 5                | 9.1                 | 910                    | 8.58                               | 106                                  |
| Architectural Coating                | 20             | 0                | 9.1                 | 0                      | 8.58                               | 0                                    |
| <b>Total Vendor Fuel Consumption</b> |                |                  |                     |                        |                                    | <b>3,925</b>                         |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Assumptions for the vendor trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2022.1.1.36 defaults.

**Table 17: Construction Hauling Fuel Consumption Estimates (HHD Trucks)<sup>1</sup>**

| Phase                                              | Number of Days | Hauling Trips/Day | Trip Length (miles) | Vehicle Miles Traveled | Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg) | Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons) |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Site Preparation                                   | 10             | 0                 | 20                  | 0                      | 5.57                               | 0                                    |
| Grading                                            | 30             | 83                | 20                  | 49,800                 | 5.57                               | 8,946                                |
| Building Construction                              | 300            | 0                 | 20                  | 0                      | 5.57                               | 0                                    |
| Paving                                             | 20             | 0                 | 20                  | 0                      | 5.57                               | 0                                    |
| Architectural Coating                              | 20             | 0                 | 20                  | 0                      | 5.57                               | 0                                    |
| <b>Total Construction Hauling Fuel Consumption</b> |                |                   |                     |                        |                                    | <b>8,946</b>                         |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Assumptions for the hauling trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2022.1.1.36 defaults.

## 8.1.5 Construction Energy Efficiency/Conservation Measures

Construction equipment used over the approximately 18-month construction phase would conform to CARB regulations and California emissions standards and is evidence of related fuel efficiencies. In addition, the CARB Airborne Toxic Control Measure limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than five minutes, thereby minimizing unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. Furthermore, the project has been designed in compliance with California's Energy Efficiency Standards and 2022 CALGreen Standards.

Construction of the proposed development would require the typical use of energy resources. There are no unusual project characteristics or construction processes that would require the use of equipment that would be more energy intensive than is used for comparable activities; or equipment that would not conform to current emissions standards (and related fuel efficiencies). Equipment employed in construction of the project would therefore not result in inefficient wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of fuel.

## 8.2 Operational Energy Demand

Energy consumption in support of or related to project operations would include transportation energy demands (energy consumed by employee and patron vehicles accessing the project site) and facilities energy demands (energy consumed by building operations and site maintenance activities).

### 8.2.1 Transportation Fuel Consumption

The largest source of operational energy use would be vehicle operation of customers. The site is located in an urban area. Using the CalEEMod output, it is assumed that an average trip for all vehicles to be 5.74 miles. To show a worst-case analysis, as the proposed project is an school project, it was assumed that vehicles would operate 365 days per year. Table 18 shows the worst-case estimated annual fuel

consumption for all classes of vehicles from autos to heavy-heavy trucks.<sup>15</sup> Table 18 shows that an estimated 6,015 gallons of fuel would be consumed per year for the operation of the proposed project.

**Table 18: Estimated Vehicle Operations Fuel Consumption**

| Vehicle Type                         | Vehicle Mix  | Number of Vehicles <sup>1</sup> | Average Trip (miles) <sup>2</sup> | Daily VMT | Average Fuel Economy (mpg) | Total Gallons per Day | Total Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Light Auto                           | Automobile   | 900.0                           | 6.0927                            | 5,483     | 30.20                      | 181.55                | 66,267                                  |
| Light Truck                          | Automobile   | 101.8                           | 6.0927                            | 621       | 24.90                      | 24.92                 | 9,095                                   |
| Light Truck                          | Automobile   | 306.4                           | 6.0927                            | 1,867     | 24.49                      | 76.23                 | 27,824                                  |
| Medium Truck                         | Automobile   | 213.5                           | 6.0927                            | 1,301     | 19.73                      | 65.95                 | 24,071                                  |
| Light Heavy Truck                    | 2-Axle Truck | 39.5                            | 6.0927                            | 240       | 11.81                      | 20.36                 | 7,430                                   |
| Light Heavy Truck 10,000 lbs +       | 2-Axle Truck | 10.7                            | 6.0927                            | 65        | 11.20                      | 5.80                  | 2,117                                   |
| Medium Heavy Truck                   | 3-Axle Truck | 19.8                            | 6.0927                            | 121       | 8.58                       | 14.07                 | 5,135                                   |
| Heavy Heavy Truck                    | 4-Axle Truck | 14.3                            | 6.0927                            | 87        | 5.57                       | 15.68                 | 5,724                                   |
| Total                                |              | 1,606                           | --                                | 9,785     | --                         | 404.56                | --                                      |
| <b>Total Annual Fuel Consumption</b> |              |                                 |                                   |           |                            |                       | <b>147,664</b>                          |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Per CalEEMod defaults, the project is to generate 1,606 total net new trips after reduction of existing uses. Default CalEEMod vehicle fleet mix utilized.

<sup>2</sup> Based on the size of the site and relative location, trips were assumed to be local rather than regional.

Trip generation generated by the proposed project are consistent with other similar uses of similar scale and configuration as reflected in the traffic assessment for the project. That is, the proposed project does not propose uses or operations that would inherently result in excessive and wasteful vehicle trips, nor associated excess and wasteful vehicle energy consumption. Therefore, project transportation energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

## 8.2.2 Facility Energy Demands (Electricity and Natural Gas)

The annual natural gas and electricity demands were provided per the CalEEMod output and are provided in Table 19.

<Table 19, next page>

<sup>15</sup> Average fuel economy based on aggregate mileage calculated in EMFAC 2021 for 2025. See Appendix C for EMFAC output.

**Table 19: Project Unmitigated Annual Operational Energy Demand Summary<sup>1</sup>**

| Natural Gas Demand | kBTU/year        |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Elementary School  | 3,070,504        |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>3,070,504</b> |
| Electricity Demand | kWh/year         |
| Elementary School  | 325,696          |
| Parking Lot        | 396,849          |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>722,545</b>   |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Taken from the CalEEMod 2022.1.1.36 annual output.

As shown in Table 19, the estimated electricity demand for the proposed project is approximately 206,384 kWh per year. In 2024, the non-residential sector of the County of San Joaquin consumed approximately 3,929 million kWh of electricity.<sup>16</sup> In addition, the estimated natural gas consumption for the proposed project is approximately 187,738 kBTU per year. In 2024, the non-residential sector of the County of San Joaquin consumed approximately 92.76 million therms of gas.<sup>17</sup> Therefore, the increase in both electricity and natural gas demand from the proposed project is insignificant compared to the County's 2024 demand.

### 8.3 Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Plan Consistency

Regarding federal transportation regulations, the project site is located in an already developed area. Access to/from the project site is from existing roads. These roads are already in place so the project would not interfere with, nor otherwise obstruct intermodal transportation plans or projects that may be proposed pursuant to the ISTEA because SCAG is not planning for intermodal facilities in the project area.

Regarding the State's Energy Plan and compliance with Title 24 CCR energy efficiency standards, the applicant is required to comply with the California Green Building Standard Code requirements for energy efficient buildings and appliances as well as utility energy efficiency programs implemented by the Pacific Gas & Electric.

Regarding the State's Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards, the project would be required to meet or exceed the energy standards established in the California Green Building Standards Code, Title 24, Part 11 (CALGreen). CalGreen Standards require that new buildings reduce water consumption, employ

<sup>16</sup> California Energy Commission, California Electricity Consumption. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/california-energy-consumption-dashboards-0>

<sup>17</sup> California Energy Commission, California Natural Gas Consumption. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/california-energy-consumption-dashboards-1>

building commissioning to increase building system efficiencies, divert construction waste from landfills, and install low pollutant-emitting finish materials.

## 9.0 References

The following references were used in the preparing this analysis.

### **California Air Pollution Control Officers Association**

2009 Health Risk Assessments for Proposed Land Use Projects

### **California Air Resources Board**

2008 Resolution 08-43

2008 Recommended Approaches for Setting Interim Significance Thresholds for Greenhouse Gases under the California Environmental Quality Act

2008 ARB Recommended Interim Risk Management Policy for Inhalation-Based Residential Cancer Risk – Frequently Asked Questions

2008 Climate Change Scoping Plan, a framework for change.

2011 Supplement to the AB 32 Scoping Plan Functional Equivalent Document

2013 Revised Emission Factors for Gasoline Marketing Operations at California Gasoline Dispensing Facilities

2014 First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan, Building on the Framework Pursuant to AB32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. May.

2017 California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan. November.

2020 Historical Air Quality, Top 4 Summary

### **City of Lodi**

2010 City of Lodi General Plan. April. <https://www.lodi.gov/190/General-Plan>.

### **Governor's Office of Planning and Research**

2008 CEQA and Climate: Addressing Climate Change Through California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Review

2009 CEQA Guideline Sections to be Added or Amended

### **Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment**

2015 Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD)**

- 2000 Environmental Review Guidelines Procedures for Implementing the California Environmental Quality Act. August 2000.  
[http://www.valleyair.org/transportation/CEQA%20Rules/ERG%20Adopted%20\\_August%202000\\_.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/transportation/CEQA%20Rules/ERG%20Adopted%20_August%202000_.pdf).
- 2004 Extreme Ozone Attainment Demonstration Plan. October 2004. Accessed August 2017.  
[http://www.valleyair.org/Air\\_Quality\\_Plans/AQ\\_Final\\_Adopted\\_Ozone2004.htm](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/AQ_Final_Adopted_Ozone2004.htm).
- 2006 Guidance for Air Dispersion Modeling. Accessed July 2018. [http://www.valleyair.org/busind/pto/tox\\_resources/Modeling%20Guidance.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/busind/pto/tox_resources/Modeling%20Guidance.pdf).
- 2007a 2007 Ozone Plan. April 2007. Accessed August 2017. [http://www.valleyair.org/Air\\_Quality\\_Plans/docs/AQ\\_Ozone\\_2007\\_Adopted/2007\\_8HourOzone\\_CompletePlan.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/docs/AQ_Ozone_2007_Adopted/2007_8HourOzone_CompletePlan.pdf).
- 2007b 2007 PM10 Maintenance Plan and Request for Redesignation. September 2007. Accessed August 2017. [http://www.valleyair.org/Air\\_Quality\\_Plans/docs/Maintenance%20Plan10-25-07.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/docs/Maintenance%20Plan10-25-07.pdf).
- 2008 2008 PM2.5 Plan. April 2008. Accessed August 2017. [http://www.valleyair.org/Air\\_Quality\\_Plans/AQ\\_Final\\_Adopted\\_PM25\\_2008.htm](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/AQ_Final_Adopted_PM25_2008.htm).
- 2009 Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Demonstration for Ozone State Implementation Plans (SIP). April 2009. Accessed August 2017.  
[http://www.valleyair.org/Air\\_Quality\\_Plans/docs/RACTSIP-2009.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/docs/RACTSIP-2009.pdf).
- 2012 2012 PM2.5 Plan. December 2012. Accessed August 2017. [http://www.valleyair.org/Air\\_Quality\\_Plans/PM25Plan2012/CompletedPlanbookmarked.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/Air_Quality_Plans/PM25Plan2012/CompletedPlanbookmarked.pdf).
- 2015 Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts. Accessed August 2017.  
[http://www.valleyair.org/transportation/GAMAQI\\_3-19-15.pdf](http://www.valleyair.org/transportation/GAMAQI_3-19-15.pdf).

## **Appendix A:**

CalEEMod Emissions Output

# Ganter Elementary Detailed Report

## Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.2. Land Use Types
  - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
  - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
  - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
  - 3.1. Site Preparation (2026) - Unmitigated
  - 3.3. Grading (2026) - Unmitigated
  - 3.5. Building Construction (2026) - Unmitigated
  - 3.7. Building Construction (2027) - Unmitigated
  - 3.9. Paving (2027) - Unmitigated

3.11. Paving (2028) - Unmitigated

3.13. Architectural Coating (2028) - Unmitigated

#### 4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

#### 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

##### 4.8.1. Unmitigated

#### 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

##### 4.9.1. Unmitigated

#### 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

##### 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

##### 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

##### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

### 5. Activity Data

#### 5.1. Construction Schedule

#### 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

##### 5.2.1. Unmitigated

#### 5.3. Construction Vehicles

##### 5.3.1. Unmitigated

#### 5.4. Vehicles

##### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

#### 5.5. Architectural Coatings

#### 5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

## 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

### 5.15.1. Unmitigated

## 5.16. Stationary Sources

### 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

### 5.16.2. Process Boilers

## 5.17. User Defined

## 5.18. Vegetation

### 5.18.1. Land Use Change

#### 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

### 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

#### 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

### 5.18.2. Sequestration

#### 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

## 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

### 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

### 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

### 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

### 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

## 7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

## 8. User Changes to Default Data

8.1. Justifications

# 1. Basic Project Information

## 1.1. Basic Project Information

| Data Field                  | Value                               |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Project Name                | Ganter Elementary                   |
| Construction Start Date     | 8/1/2026                            |
| Operational Year            | 2027                                |
| Lead Agency                 | —                                   |
| Land Use Scale              | Project/site                        |
| Analysis Level for Defaults | County                              |
| Windspeed (m/s)             | 3.4                                 |
| Precipitation (days)        | 34                                  |
| Location                    | 2801 W Vine St, Lodi, CA 95242, USA |
| County                      | San Joaquin                         |
| City                        | Lodi                                |
| Air District                | San Joaquin Valley APCD             |
| Air Basin                   | San Joaquin Valley                  |
| TAZ                         | 2115                                |
| EDFZ                        | 4                                   |
| Electric Utility            | Lodi Electric Utility               |
| Gas Utility                 | Pacific Gas & Electric              |
| App Version                 | 2022.1.1.36                         |

## 1.2. Land Use Types

| Land Use Subtype  | Size | Unit    | Lot Acreage | Building Area (sq ft) | Landscape Area (sq ft) | Special Landscape Area (sq ft) | Population | Description |
|-------------------|------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Elementary School | 850  | Student | 1.6         | 71,063                | 0.00                   | 0.00                           | —          | —           |

|             |    |      |    |      |        |   |   |   |
|-------------|----|------|----|------|--------|---|---|---|
| Parking Lot | 10 | Acre | 10 | 0.00 | 75,000 | — | — | — |
|-------------|----|------|----|------|--------|---|---|---|

### 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

## 2. Emissions Summary

### 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Un/Mit.             | ROG  | NOx | CO  | SO2     | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2  | CO2T   | CH4  | N2O  | R    | CO2e   |
|---------------------|------|-----|-----|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|------|------|--------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —   | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —      | —      | —    | —    | —    | —      |
| Unmit.              | 3.2  | 34  | 30  | 0.10    | 1.2   | 7.8   | 9.1   | 1.1    | 4.0    | 5.1    | —    | 12,497 | 12,497 | 0.36 | 0.96 | 14   | 12,808 |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —   | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —      | —      | —    | —    | —    | —      |
| Unmit.              | 23   | 35  | 30  | 0.10    | 1.2   | 5.3   | 6.6   | 1.1    | 1.9    | 3.0    | —    | 12,483 | 12,483 | 0.36 | 0.97 | 0.36 | 12,781 |
| Average Daily (Max) | —    | —   | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —      | —      | —    | —    | —    | —      |
| Unmit.              | 1.3  | 7.0 | 10  | 0.02    | 0.24  | 0.69  | 0.88  | 0.22   | 0.27   | 0.44   | —    | 2,092  | 2,092  | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 2,110  |
| Annual (Max)        | —    | —   | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —      | —      | —    | —    | —    | —      |
| Unmit.              | 0.24 | 1.3 | 1.8 | < 0.005 | 0.04  | 0.13  | 0.16  | 0.04   | 0.05   | 0.08   | —    | 346    | 346    | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 349    |

### 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Year                 | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|----------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Daily - Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

|                      |      |      |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |   |        |        |         |         |         |        |
|----------------------|------|------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| 2026                 | 3.2  | 34   | 30   | 0.10    | 1.2     | 7.8     | 9.1     | 1.1     | 4.0     | 5.1     | — | 12,497 | 12,497 | 0.36    | 0.96    | 14      | 12,808 |
| 2027                 | 1.2  | 9.8  | 14   | 0.03    | 0.34    | 0.34    | 0.68    | 0.31    | 0.08    | 0.40    | — | 2,980  | 2,980  | 0.11    | 0.08    | 1.5     | 3,008  |
| Daily - Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —      | —      | —       | —       | —       | —      |
| 2026                 | 3.2  | 35   | 30   | 0.10    | 1.2     | 5.3     | 6.6     | 1.1     | 1.9     | 3.0     | — | 12,483 | 12,483 | 0.36    | 0.97    | 0.36    | 12,781 |
| 2027                 | 2.2  | 9.9  | 14   | 0.03    | 0.34    | 0.34    | 0.68    | 0.31    | 0.08    | 0.40    | — | 2,955  | 2,955  | 0.11    | 0.08    | 0.04    | 2,981  |
| 2028                 | 23   | 6.8  | 10   | 0.01    | 0.26    | 0.16    | 0.42    | 0.24    | 0.04    | 0.28    | — | 1,763  | 1,763  | 0.07    | 0.04    | 0.02    | 1,775  |
| Average Daily        | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —      | —      | —       | —       | —       | —      |
| 2026                 | 0.51 | 5.0  | 5.1  | 0.01    | 0.18    | 0.69    | 0.88    | 0.17    | 0.27    | 0.44    | — | 1,559  | 1,559  | 0.05    | 0.09    | 0.60    | 1,588  |
| 2027                 | 0.84 | 7.0  | 10   | 0.02    | 0.24    | 0.23    | 0.48    | 0.22    | 0.06    | 0.28    | — | 2,092  | 2,092  | 0.08    | 0.06    | 0.47    | 2,110  |
| 2028                 | 1.3  | 0.30 | 0.46 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.02    | 0.01    | < 0.005 | 0.01    | — | 76     | 76     | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 76     |
| Annual               | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —      | —      | —       | —       | —       | —      |
| 2026                 | 0.09 | 0.91 | 0.93 | < 0.005 | 0.03    | 0.13    | 0.16    | 0.03    | 0.05    | 0.08    | — | 258    | 258    | 0.01    | 0.02    | 0.10    | 263    |
| 2027                 | 0.15 | 1.3  | 1.8  | < 0.005 | 0.04    | 0.04    | 0.09    | 0.04    | 0.01    | 0.05    | — | 346    | 346    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.08    | 349    |
| 2028                 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.08 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 13     | 13     | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 13     |

## 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Un/Mit.             | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2  | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2  | CO2T   | CH4 | N2O  | R    | CO2e   |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|-----|------|------|--------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —      | —      | —   | —    | —    | —      |
| Unmit.              | 8.0 | 5.0 | 41 | 0.09 | 0.14  | 7.0   | 7.1   | 0.13   | 1.8    | 1.9    | 88   | 10,348 | 10,436 | 9.3 | 0.43 | 27   | 10,823 |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —      | —      | —   | —    | —    | —      |
| Unmit.              | 7.0 | 5.6 | 35 | 0.08 | 0.13  | 7.0   | 7.1   | 0.13   | 1.8    | 1.9    | 88   | 9,737  | 9,825  | 9.4 | 0.46 | 0.96 | 10,198 |

|                     |     |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |    |       |       |     |      |     |       |
|---------------------|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|-------|-------|-----|------|-----|-------|
| Average Daily (Max) | —   | —    | —   | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —  | —     | —     | —   | —    | —   | —     |
| Unmit.              | 5.7 | 4.0  | 26  | 0.06 | 0.11 | 4.9  | 5.0  | 0.11 | 1.2  | 1.3  | 88 | 7,601 | 7,689 | 9.2 | 0.32 | 8.4 | 8,024 |
| Annual (Max)        | —   | —    | —   | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —  | —     | —     | —   | —    | —   | —     |
| Unmit.              | 1.0 | 0.73 | 4.8 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 14 | 1,259 | 1,273 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 1.4 | 1,329 |

## 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Sector              | ROG  | NOx  | CO   | SO2     | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E  | PM2.5D | PM2.5T  | BCO2 | NBCO2  | CO2T   | CH4     | N2O     | R    | CO2e   |
|---------------------|------|------|------|---------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|---------|------|--------|--------|---------|---------|------|--------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —      | —      | —       | —       | —    | —      |
| Mobile              | 5.7  | 4.1  | 37   | 0.08    | 0.07  | 7.0   | 7.0   | 0.06    | 1.8    | 1.8     | —    | 8,444  | 8,444  | 0.39    | 0.41    | 26   | 8,601  |
| Area                | 2.2  | 0.03 | 3.1  | < 0.005 | 0.01  | —     | 0.01  | < 0.005 | —      | < 0.005 | —    | 13     | 13     | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 13     |
| Energy              | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.69 | < 0.005 | 0.06  | —     | 0.06  | 0.06    | —      | 0.06    | —    | 1,881  | 1,881  | 0.15    | 0.01    | —    | 1,888  |
| Water               | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | 3.9  | 11     | 15     | 0.41    | 0.01    | —    | 28     |
| Waste               | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | 84   | 0.00   | 84     | 8.4     | 0.00    | —    | 292    |
| Refrig.             | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —      | —      | —       | —       | 0.27 | 0.27   |
| Total               | 8.0  | 5.0  | 41   | 0.09    | 0.14  | 7.0   | 7.1   | 0.13    | 1.8    | 1.9     | 88   | 10,348 | 10,436 | 9.3     | 0.43    | 27   | 10,823 |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —      | —      | —       | —       | —    | —      |
| Mobile              | 5.2  | 4.7  | 34   | 0.08    | 0.07  | 7.0   | 7.0   | 0.06    | 1.8    | 1.8     | —    | 7,845  | 7,845  | 0.45    | 0.44    | 0.68 | 7,989  |
| Area                | 1.7  | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —      | —      | —       | —       | —    | —      |
| Energy              | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.69 | < 0.005 | 0.06  | —     | 0.06  | 0.06    | —      | 0.06    | —    | 1,881  | 1,881  | 0.15    | 0.01    | —    | 1,888  |
| Water               | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | 3.9  | 11     | 15     | 0.41    | 0.01    | —    | 28     |
| Waste               | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | 84   | 0.00   | 84     | 8.4     | 0.00    | —    | 292    |
| Refrig.             | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —      | —      | —       | —       | 0.27 | 0.27   |
| Total               | 7.0  | 5.6  | 35   | 0.08    | 0.13  | 7.0   | 7.1   | 0.13    | 1.8    | 1.9     | 88   | 9,737  | 9,825  | 9.4     | 0.46    | 0.96 | 10,198 |

|               |      |         |      |         |         |      |         |         |      |         |      |       |       |         |         |      |       |
|---------------|------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|---------|------|-------|-------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Average Daily | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Mobile        | 3.8  | 3.2     | 24   | 0.06    | 0.05    | 4.9  | 4.9     | 0.05    | 1.2  | 1.3     | —    | 5,703 | 5,703 | 0.30    | 0.30    | 8.1  | 5,810 |
| Area          | 1.9  | 0.01    | 1.5  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | < 0.005 | —    | 6.3   | 6.3   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 6.3   |
| Energy        | 0.05 | 0.82    | 0.69 | < 0.005 | 0.06    | —    | 0.06    | 0.06    | —    | 0.06    | —    | 1,881 | 1,881 | 0.15    | 0.01    | —    | 1,888 |
| Water         | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | 3.9  | 11    | 15    | 0.41    | 0.01    | —    | 28    |
| Waste         | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | 84   | 0.00  | 84    | 8.4     | 0.00    | —    | 292   |
| Refrig.       | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | 0.27 | 0.27  |
| Total         | 5.7  | 4.0     | 26   | 0.06    | 0.11    | 4.9  | 5.0     | 0.11    | 1.2  | 1.3     | 88   | 7,601 | 7,689 | 9.2     | 0.32    | 8.4  | 8,024 |
| Annual        | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Mobile        | 0.69 | 0.58    | 4.3  | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.89 | 0.90    | 0.01    | 0.23 | 0.23    | —    | 944   | 944   | 0.05    | 0.05    | 1.3  | 962   |
| Area          | 0.35 | < 0.005 | 0.28 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | < 0.005 | —    | 1.0   | 1.0   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 1.0   |
| Energy        | 0.01 | 0.15    | 0.13 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | —    | 0.01    | 0.01    | —    | 0.01    | —    | 311   | 311   | 0.03    | < 0.005 | —    | 313   |
| Water         | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | 0.65 | 1.8   | 2.4   | 0.07    | < 0.005 | —    | 4.6   |
| Waste         | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | 14   | 0.00  | 14    | 1.4     | 0.00    | —    | 48    |
| Refrig.       | —    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | 0.05 | 0.05  |
| Total         | 1.0  | 0.73    | 4.8  | 0.01    | 0.02    | 0.89 | 0.91    | 0.02    | 0.23 | 0.25    | 14   | 1,259 | 1,273 | 1.5     | 0.05    | 1.4  | 1,329 |

### 3. Construction Emissions Details

#### 3.1. Site Preparation (2026) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Location            | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2  | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T  | CH4  | N2O  | R | CO2e  |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|------|---|-------|
| Onsite              | —   | —   | —  | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | — | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | — | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 3.1 | 29  | 29 | 0.05 | 1.2   | —     | 1.2   | 1.1    | —      | 1.1    | —    | 5,298 | 5,298 | 0.21 | 0.04 | — | 5,316 |

|                             |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |      |      |      |   |      |      |         |         |      |      |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---|------|------|---------|---------|------|------|
| Dust From Material Movement | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | 7.7  | 7.7  | —    | 3.9  | 3.9  | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Onsite truck                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Daily, Winter (Max)         | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Average Daily               | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Off-Road Equipment          | 0.09 | 0.80 | 0.79 | < 0.005 | 0.03 | —    | 0.03 | 0.03 | —    | 0.03 | — | 145  | 145  | 0.01    | < 0.005 | —    | 146  |
| Dust From Material Movement | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | 0.21 | 0.21 | —    | 0.11 | 0.11 | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Onsite truck                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Annual                      | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Off-Road Equipment          | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.14 | < 0.005 | 0.01 | —    | 0.01 | 0.01 | —    | 0.01 | — | 24   | 24   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 24   |
| Dust From Material Movement | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | 0.04 | 0.04 | —    | 0.02 | 0.02 | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Onsite truck                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Offsite                     | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Daily, Summer (Max)         | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Worker                      | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.84 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | — | 158  | 158  | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.54 | 161  |
| Vendor                      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling                     | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |

|                     |         |         |         |      |      |         |         |      |         |         |   |      |      |         |         |         |      |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|---|------|------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —       | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Average Daily       | —       | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.00 | 0.00 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 4.0  | 4.0  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 4.1  |
| Vendor              | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Annual              | —       | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00 | 0.00 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 0.67 | 0.67 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.68 |
| Vendor              | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |

### 3.3. Grading (2026) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Location                    | ROG  | NOx  | CO   | SO2  | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T  | CH4  | N2O  | R    | CO2e  |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| Onsite                      | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max)         | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment          | 3.0  | 27   | 28   | 0.06 | 1.1   | —     | 1.1   | 1.0    | —      | 1.0    | —    | 6,599 | 6,599 | 0.27 | 0.05 | —    | 6,621 |
| Dust From Material Movement | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | 3.6   | 3.6   | —      | 1.4    | 1.4    | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Daily, Winter (Max)         | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment          | 3.0  | 27   | 28   | 0.06 | 1.1   | —     | 1.1   | 1.0    | —      | 1.0    | —    | 6,599 | 6,599 | 0.27 | 0.05 | —    | 6,621 |

|                             |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |      |      |      |   |       |       |         |         |      |       |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---|-------|-------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Dust From Material Movement | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | 3.6  | 3.6  | —    | 1.4  | 1.4  | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Average Daily               | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment          | 0.25 | 2.2  | 2.3  | 0.01    | 0.09 | —    | 0.09 | 0.08 | —    | 0.08 | — | 542   | 542   | 0.02    | < 0.005 | —    | 544   |
| Dust From Material Movement | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | 0.30 | 0.30 | —    | 0.12 | 0.12 | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Annual                      | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment          | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.41 | < 0.005 | 0.02 | —    | 0.02 | 0.02 | —    | 0.02 | — | 90    | 90    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 90    |
| Dust From Material Movement | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | 0.05 | 0.05 | —    | 0.02 | 0.02 | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Offsite                     | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max)         | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Worker                      | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.96 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | — | 181   | 181   | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.62 | 184   |
| Vendor                      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Hauling                     | 0.10 | 6.8  | 1.6  | 0.04    | 0.11 | 1.5  | 1.7  | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.53 | — | 5,718 | 5,718 | 0.09    | 0.90    | 13   | 6,003 |
| Daily, Winter (Max)         | —    | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |

|               |         |         |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |   |       |       |         |         |         |       |
|---------------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Worker        | 0.07    | 0.06    | 0.76 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.17    | 0.17    | 0.00    | 0.04    | 0.04    | — | 164   | 164   | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.02    | 166   |
| Vendor        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00  |
| Hauling       | 0.09    | 7.2     | 1.7  | 0.04    | 0.11    | 1.5     | 1.7     | 0.11    | 0.42    | 0.53    | — | 5,721 | 5,721 | 0.09    | 0.91    | 0.35    | 5,994 |
| Average Daily | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —       | —     |
| Worker        | 0.01    | < 0.005 | 0.06 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 14    | 14    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 14    |
| Vendor        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00  |
| Hauling       | 0.01    | 0.58    | 0.14 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.12    | 0.13    | 0.01    | 0.03    | 0.04    | — | 470   | 470   | 0.01    | 0.07    | 0.47    | 493   |
| Annual        | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —       | —     |
| Worker        | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.00    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 2.3   | 2.3   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 2.3   |
| Vendor        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00  |
| Hauling       | < 0.005 | 0.11    | 0.02 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.02    | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01    | — | 78    | 78    | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.08    | 82    |

### 3.5. Building Construction (2026) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Location            | ROG  | NOx  | CO   | SO2     | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T  | CH4  | N2O     | R    | CO2e  |
|---------------------|------|------|------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|
| Onsite              | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —       | —    | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —       | —    | —     |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 1.1  | 9.9  | 13   | 0.02    | 0.38  | —     | 0.38  | 0.35   | —      | 0.35   | —    | 2,397 | 2,397 | 0.10 | 0.02    | —    | 2,405 |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Average Daily       | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.14 | 1.3  | 1.7  | < 0.005 | 0.05  | —     | 0.05  | 0.04   | —      | 0.04   | —    | 310   | 310   | 0.01 | < 0.005 | —    | 311   |

|                     |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |   |      |      |         |         |      |      |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|------|------|---------|---------|------|------|
| Onsite truck        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Annual              | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.03    | 0.23    | 0.31    | < 0.005 | 0.01    | —       | 0.01    | 0.01    | —       | 0.01    | — | 51   | 51   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 51   |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Offsite             | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Worker              | 0.11    | 0.09    | 1.1     | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.25    | 0.25    | 0.00    | 0.06    | 0.06    | — | 244  | 244  | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.02 | 248  |
| Vendor              | 0.01    | 0.42    | 0.14    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.09    | 0.09    | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.03    | — | 324  | 324  | 0.01    | 0.05    | 0.02 | 339  |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Average Daily       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Worker              | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.15    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.03    | 0.03    | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.01    | — | 32   | 32   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.05 | 33   |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | 0.05    | 0.02    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 42   | 42   | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.04 | 44   |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Annual              | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Worker              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.03    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 5.4  | 5.4  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01 | 5.4  |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | 0.01    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 6.9  | 6.9  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01 | 7.2  |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |

### 3.7. Building Construction (2027) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Location | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|----------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Onsite   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

|                     |      |      |      |         |         |      |      |         |      |      |   |       |       |         |         |      |       |
|---------------------|------|------|------|---------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|---|-------|-------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 1.0  | 9.4  | 13   | 0.02    | 0.34    | —    | 0.34 | 0.31    | —    | 0.31 | — | 2,397 | 2,397 | 0.10    | 0.02    | —    | 2,405 |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 1.0  | 9.4  | 13   | 0.02    | 0.34    | —    | 0.34 | 0.31    | —    | 0.31 | — | 2,397 | 2,397 | 0.10    | 0.02    | —    | 2,405 |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Average Daily       | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.72 | 6.5  | 9.0  | 0.02    | 0.23    | —    | 0.23 | 0.22    | —    | 0.22 | — | 1,665 | 1,665 | 0.07    | 0.01    | —    | 1,671 |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Annual              | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.13 | 1.2  | 1.6  | < 0.005 | 0.04    | —    | 0.04 | 0.04    | —    | 0.04 | — | 276   | 276   | 0.01    | < 0.005 | —    | 277   |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Offsite             | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Worker              | 0.11 | 0.07 | 1.3  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.00    | 0.06 | 0.06 | — | 266   | 266   | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.83 | 270   |
| Vendor              | 0.01 | 0.37 | 0.13 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.09 | 0.09 | < 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.03 | — | 317   | 317   | 0.01    | 0.05    | 0.70 | 332   |
| Hauling             | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —    | —    | — | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |

|               |         |      |      |         |         |      |      |         |         |         |   |      |      |         |         |      |      |
|---------------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|---------|---|------|------|---------|---------|------|------|
| Worker        | 0.10    | 0.09 | 1.1  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.00    | 0.06    | 0.06    | — | 241  | 241  | 0.01    | 0.01    | 0.02 | 244  |
| Vendor        | 0.01    | 0.40 | 0.13 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.09 | 0.09 | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.03    | — | 317  | 317  | 0.01    | 0.05    | 0.02 | 332  |
| Hauling       | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Average Daily | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Worker        | 0.07    | 0.05 | 0.75 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.00    | 0.04    | 0.04    | — | 171  | 171  | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.25 | 174  |
| Vendor        | 0.01    | 0.27 | 0.09 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.06 | 0.06 | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.02    | — | 220  | 220  | < 0.005 | 0.03    | 0.21 | 231  |
| Hauling       | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Annual        | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —    |
| Worker        | 0.01    | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.01    | — | 28   | 28   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.04 | 29   |
| Vendor        | < 0.005 | 0.05 | 0.02 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 36   | 36   | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.03 | 38   |
| Hauling       | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 |

### 3.9. Paving (2027) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Location            | ROG  | NOx  | CO   | SO2  | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T  | CH4  | N2O  | R    | CO2e  |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| Onsite              | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.74 | 6.9  | 10.0 | 0.01 | 0.30  | —     | 0.30  | 0.27   | —      | 0.27   | —    | 1,511 | 1,511 | 0.06 | 0.01 | —    | 1,516 |
| Paving              | 1.4  | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Average Daily       | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |

|                     |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |   |      |      |         |         |         |      |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|------|------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.01    | 0.14    | 0.19    | < 0.005 | 0.01    | —       | 0.01    | 0.01    | —       | 0.01    | — | 30   | 30   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | 30   |
| Paving              | 0.03    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Annual              | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Off-Road Equipment  | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.04    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | < 0.005 | — | 4.9  | 4.9  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | 4.9  |
| Paving              | < 0.005 | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Offsite             | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | 0.05    | 0.04    | 0.53    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.00    | 0.03    | 0.03    | — | 121  | 121  | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01    | 123  |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | 0.17    | 0.06    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.04    | 0.04    | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01    | — | 136  | 136  | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.01    | 143  |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Average Daily       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.00    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 2.4  | 2.4  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 2.5  |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 2.7  | 2.7  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 2.8  |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Annual              | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 0.40 | 0.40 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.41 |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 0.44 | 0.44 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.46 |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |

## 3.11. Paving (2028) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Location            | ROG     | NOx  | CO   | SO2     | PM10E   | PM10D | PM10T   | PM2.5E  | PM2.5D | PM2.5T  | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T  | CH4     | N2O     | R    | CO2e  |
|---------------------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------|-------|-------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Onsite              | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.69    | 6.6  | 9.9  | 0.01    | 0.26    | —     | 0.26    | 0.24    | —      | 0.24    | —    | 1,511 | 1,511 | 0.06    | 0.01    | —    | 1,516 |
| Paving              | 1.4     | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00   | 0.00    | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Average Daily       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | 0.03    | 0.25 | 0.37 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | —     | 0.01    | 0.01    | —      | 0.01    | —    | 56    | 56    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 56    |
| Paving              | 0.05    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00   | 0.00    | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Annual              | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Off-Road Equipment  | < 0.005 | 0.04 | 0.07 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —     | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —      | < 0.005 | —    | 9.3   | 9.3   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —    | 9.3   |
| Paving              | 0.01    | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Onsite truck        | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00   | 0.00    | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Offsite             | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —     | —       | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —     | —       | —       | —    | —     |

|                     |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |   |      |      |         |         |         |      |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|------|------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | 0.04    | 0.04    | 0.49    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.00    | 0.03    | 0.03    | — | 119  | 119  | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01    | 120  |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | 0.16    | 0.05    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.04    | 0.04    | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01    | — | 133  | 133  | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.01    | 139  |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Average Daily       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.02    | 0.00    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 4.5  | 4.5  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 4.6  |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | 0.01    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 4.9  | 4.9  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 5.2  |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Annual              | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 0.75 | 0.75 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.76 |
| Vendor              | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 0.82 | 0.82 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.86 |
| Hauling             | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |

### 3.13. Architectural Coating (2028) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Location               | ROG  | NOx  | CO  | SO2     | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4  | N2O     | R | CO2e |
|------------------------|------|------|-----|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|------|---------|---|------|
| Onsite                 | —    | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —       | — | —    |
| Daily, Summer (Max)    | —    | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —       | — | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max)    | —    | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —       | — | —    |
| Off-Road Equipment     | 0.11 | 0.81 | 1.1 | < 0.005 | 0.02  | —     | 0.02  | 0.01   | —      | 0.01   | —    | 134   | 134  | 0.01 | < 0.005 | — | 134  |
| Architectural Coatings | 23   | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —       | — | —    |

|                        |         |         |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |   |      |      |         |         |         |      |
|------------------------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|------|------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Onsite truck           | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Average Daily          | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Off-Road Equipment     | 0.01    | 0.04    | 0.06 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | < 0.005 | — | 7.3  | 7.3  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | 7.3  |
| Architectural Coatings | 1.2     | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Onsite truck           | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Annual                 | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Off-Road Equipment     | < 0.005 | 0.01    | 0.01 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | < 0.005 | — | 1.2  | 1.2  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | —       | 1.2  |
| Architectural Coatings | 0.23    | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Onsite truck           | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Offsite                | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Daily, Summer (Max)    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max)    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker                 | 0.02    | 0.02    | 0.20 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.01    | — | 47   | 47   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 48   |
| Vendor                 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Hauling                | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Average Daily          | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker                 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.00    | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00    | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 2.6  | 2.6  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 2.7  |
| Vendor                 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Hauling                | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |

|         |         |         |         |      |      |         |         |      |         |         |   |      |      |         |         |         |      |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|---|------|------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Annual  | —       | —       | —       | —    | —    | —       | —       | —    | —       | —       | — | —    | —    | —       | —       | —       | —    |
| Worker  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00 | 0.00 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.00 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 0.44 | 0.44 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | 0.44 |
| Vendor  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00 |

## 4. Operations Emissions Details

### 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Land Use            | ROG  | NOx  | CO   | SO2  | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T  | CH4  | N2O  | R    | CO2e  |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Elementary School   | 5.7  | 4.1  | 37   | 0.08 | 0.07  | 7.0   | 7.0   | 0.06   | 1.8    | 1.8    | —    | 8,444 | 8,444 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 26   | 8,601 |
| Parking Lot         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Total               | 5.7  | 4.1  | 37   | 0.08 | 0.07  | 7.0   | 7.0   | 0.06   | 1.8    | 1.8    | —    | 8,444 | 8,444 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 26   | 8,601 |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |
| Elementary School   | 5.2  | 4.7  | 34   | 0.08 | 0.07  | 7.0   | 7.0   | 0.06   | 1.8    | 1.8    | —    | 7,845 | 7,845 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 7,989 |
| Parking Lot         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Total               | 5.2  | 4.7  | 34   | 0.08 | 0.07  | 7.0   | 7.0   | 0.06   | 1.8    | 1.8    | —    | 7,845 | 7,845 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 7,989 |
| Annual              | —    | —    | —    | —    | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —     | —    | —    | —    | —     |

|                |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Element School | 0.69 | 0.58 | 4.3  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.23 | — | 944  | 944  | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1.3  | 962  |
| Parking Lot    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total          | 0.69 | 0.58 | 4.3  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.23 | — | 944  | 944  | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1.3  | 962  |

## 4.2. Energy

### 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Land Use            | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4     | N2O     | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|---------|---------|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | 404   | 404  | 0.03    | < 0.005 | — | 406  |
| Parking Lot         | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | 493   | 493  | 0.04    | < 0.005 | — | 495  |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | 897   | 897  | 0.07    | 0.01    | — | 901  |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | 404   | 404  | 0.03    | < 0.005 | — | 406  |
| Parking Lot         | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | 493   | 493  | 0.04    | < 0.005 | — | 495  |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | 897   | 897  | 0.07    | 0.01    | — | 901  |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | 67    | 67   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 67   |

|             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |      |         |   |     |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|------|---------|---|-----|
| Parking Lot | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 82  | 82  | 0.01 | < 0.005 | — | 82  |
| Total       | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 149 | 149 | 0.01 | < 0.005 | — | 149 |

#### 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Land Use            | ROG  | NOx  | CO   | SO2     | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4  | N2O     | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|------|------|------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|------|---------|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.69 | < 0.005 | 0.06  | —     | 0.06  | 0.06   | —      | 0.06   | —    | 984   | 984  | 0.09 | < 0.005 | — | 987  |
| Parking Lot         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00  | —     | 0.00  | 0.00   | —      | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | — | 0.00 |
| Total               | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.69 | < 0.005 | 0.06  | —     | 0.06  | 0.06   | —      | 0.06   | —    | 984   | 984  | 0.09 | < 0.005 | — | 987  |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.69 | < 0.005 | 0.06  | —     | 0.06  | 0.06   | —      | 0.06   | —    | 984   | 984  | 0.09 | < 0.005 | — | 987  |
| Parking Lot         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00  | —     | 0.00  | 0.00   | —      | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | — | 0.00 |
| Total               | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.69 | < 0.005 | 0.06  | —     | 0.06  | 0.06   | —      | 0.06   | —    | 984   | 984  | 0.09 | < 0.005 | — | 987  |
| Annual              | —    | —    | —    | —       | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.13 | < 0.005 | 0.01  | —     | 0.01  | 0.01   | —      | 0.01   | —    | 163   | 163  | 0.01 | < 0.005 | — | 163  |
| Parking Lot         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00  | —     | 0.00  | 0.00   | —      | 0.00   | —    | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | — | 0.00 |
| Total               | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.13 | < 0.005 | 0.01  | —     | 0.01  | 0.01   | —      | 0.01   | —    | 163   | 163  | 0.01 | < 0.005 | — | 163  |

### 4.3. Area Emissions by Source

#### 4.3.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Source                 | ROG  | NOx  | CO  | SO2     | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E  | PM2.5D | PM2.5T  | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4     | N2O     | R | CO2e |
|------------------------|------|------|-----|---------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|---------|------|-------|------|---------|---------|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max)    | —    | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Consumer Products      | 1.6  | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Architectural Coatings | 0.12 | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Landscape Equipment    | 0.51 | 0.03 | 3.1 | < 0.005 | 0.01  | —     | 0.01  | < 0.005 | —      | < 0.005 | —    | 13    | 13   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 13   |
| Total                  | 2.2  | 0.03 | 3.1 | < 0.005 | 0.01  | —     | 0.01  | < 0.005 | —      | < 0.005 | —    | 13    | 13   | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 13   |
| Daily, Winter (Max)    | —    | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Consumer Products      | 1.6  | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Architectural Coatings | 0.12 | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Total                  | 1.7  | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Annual                 | —    | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Consumer Products      | 0.28 | —    | —   | —       | —     | —     | —     | —       | —      | —       | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |

|                        |      |         |      |         |         |   |         |         |   |         |   |     |     |         |         |   |     |
|------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|---------|---|---------|---------|---|---------|---|-----|-----|---------|---------|---|-----|
| Architectural Coatings | 0.02 | —       | —    | —       | —       | — | —       | —       | — | —       | — | —   | —   | —       | —       | — | —   |
| Landscape Equipment    | 0.05 | < 0.005 | 0.28 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | < 0.005 | — | 1.0 | 1.0 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 1.0 |
| Total                  | 0.35 | < 0.005 | 0.28 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | < 0.005 | — | 1.0 | 1.0 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 1.0 |

## 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

### 4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Land Use            | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4     | N2O     | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|---------|---------|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 3.9  | 8.3   | 12   | 0.41    | 0.01    | — | 25   |
| Parking Lot         | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 0.00 | 2.3   | 2.3  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 2.3  |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 3.9  | 11    | 15   | 0.41    | 0.01    | — | 28   |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 3.9  | 8.3   | 12   | 0.41    | 0.01    | — | 25   |
| Parking Lot         | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 0.00 | 2.3   | 2.3  | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 2.3  |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 3.9  | 11    | 15   | 0.41    | 0.01    | — | 28   |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —       | —       | — | —    |

|                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |      |      |      |         |         |   |      |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|------|---------|---------|---|------|
| Element School | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 0.65 | 1.4  | 2.0  | 0.07    | < 0.005 | — | 4.2  |
| Parking Lot    | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 | < 0.005 | < 0.005 | — | 0.38 |
| Total          | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 0.65 | 1.8  | 2.4  | 0.07    | < 0.005 | — | 4.6  |

## 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

### 4.5.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Land Use            | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4  | N2O  | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|------|------|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —    | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 84   | 0.00  | 84   | 8.4  | 0.00 | — | 292  |
| Parking Lot         | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 84   | 0.00  | 84   | 8.4  | 0.00 | — | 292  |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —    | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 84   | 0.00  | 84   | 8.4  | 0.00 | — | 292  |
| Parking Lot         | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 84   | 0.00  | 84   | 8.4  | 0.00 | — | 292  |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —    | —    | — | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | 14   | 0.00  | 14   | 1.4  | 0.00 | — | 48   |

|             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |      |      |      |      |      |   |      |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|------|------|------|---|------|
| Parking Lot | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | — | 0.00 |
| Total       | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 14   | 0.00 | 14   | 1.4  | 0.00 | — | 48   |

## 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

### 4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Land Use            | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R    | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | —    | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | —    | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | —    | —    |
| Elementary School   | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | 0.05 | 0.05 |

## 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Equipment Type      | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

## 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Equipment Type      | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

## 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Equipment Type      | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

## 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

### 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Vegetation          | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

|        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Annual | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

#### 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Land Use            | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Daily, Winter (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Annual              | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Total               | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

#### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

| Species             | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | PM10E | PM10D | PM10T | PM2.5E | PM2.5D | PM2.5T | BCO2 | NBCO2 | CO2T | CH4 | N2O | R | CO2e |
|---------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|---|------|
| Daily, Summer (Max) | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Avoided             | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Subtotal            | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Sequestered         | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Subtotal            | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |
| Removed             | —   | —   | —  | —   | —     | —     | —     | —      | —      | —      | —    | —     | —    | —   | —   | — | —    |

|                           |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Subtotal                  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| —                         | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Daily,<br>Winter<br>(Max) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Avoided                   | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal                  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Sequest<br>ered           | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal                  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Remove<br>d               | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal                  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| —                         | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Annual                    | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Avoided                   | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal                  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Sequest<br>ered           | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal                  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Remove<br>d               | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal                  | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| —                         | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

## 5. Activity Data

### 5.1. Construction Schedule

| Phase Name       | Phase Type       | Start Date | End Date  | Days Per Week | Work Days per Phase | Phase Description |
|------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 8/30/2026  | 9/13/2026 | 5.0           | 10.0                | —                 |

|                       |                       |            |            |     |     |   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|-----|-----|---|
| Grading               | Grading               | 9/14/2026  | 10/26/2026 | 5.0 | 30  | — |
| Building Construction | Building Construction | 10/27/2026 | 12/21/2027 | 5.0 | 300 | — |
| Paving                | Paving                | 12/22/2027 | 1/19/2028  | 5.0 | 20  | — |
| Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/20/2028  | 2/17/2028  | 5.0 | 20  | — |

## 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

### 5.2.1. Unmitigated

| Phase Name            | Equipment Type             | Fuel Type | Engine Tier | Number per Day | Hours Per Day | Horsepower | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| Site Preparation      | Rubber Tired Dozers        | Diesel    | Average     | 3.0            | 8.0           | 367        | 0.40        |
| Site Preparation      | Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes | Diesel    | Average     | 4.0            | 8.0           | 84         | 0.37        |
| Grading               | Excavators                 | Diesel    | Average     | 2.0            | 8.0           | 36         | 0.38        |
| Grading               | Graders                    | Diesel    | Average     | 1.00           | 8.0           | 148        | 0.41        |
| Grading               | Rubber Tired Dozers        | Diesel    | Average     | 1.00           | 8.0           | 367        | 0.40        |
| Grading               | Scrapers                   | Diesel    | Average     | 2.0            | 8.0           | 423        | 0.48        |
| Grading               | Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes | Diesel    | Average     | 2.0            | 8.0           | 84         | 0.37        |
| Building Construction | Cranes                     | Diesel    | Average     | 1.00           | 7.0           | 367        | 0.29        |
| Building Construction | Forklifts                  | Diesel    | Average     | 3.0            | 8.0           | 82         | 0.20        |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets             | Diesel    | Average     | 1.00           | 8.0           | 14         | 0.74        |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes | Diesel    | Average     | 3.0            | 7.0           | 84         | 0.37        |
| Building Construction | Welders                    | Diesel    | Average     | 1.00           | 8.0           | 46         | 0.45        |
| Paving                | Pavers                     | Diesel    | Average     | 2.0            | 8.0           | 81         | 0.42        |
| Paving                | Paving Equipment           | Diesel    | Average     | 2.0            | 8.0           | 89         | 0.36        |
| Paving                | Rollers                    | Diesel    | Average     | 2.0            | 8.0           | 36         | 0.38        |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors            | Diesel    | Average     | 1.00           | 6.0           | 37         | 0.48        |

## 5.3. Construction Vehicles

### 5.3.1. Unmitigated

| Phase Name            | Trip Type    | One-Way Trips per Day | Miles per Trip | Vehicle Mix   |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Site Preparation      | Worker       | 18                    | 12             | LDA,LDT1,LDT2 |
| Site Preparation      | Vendor       | —                     | 9.1            | HHDT,MHDT     |
| Site Preparation      | Hauling      | 0.00                  | 20             | HHDT          |
| Site Preparation      | Onsite truck | —                     | —              | HHDT          |
| Grading               | Worker       | 20                    | 12             | LDA,LDT1,LDT2 |
| Grading               | Vendor       | —                     | 9.1            | HHDT,MHDT     |
| Grading               | Hauling      | 83                    | 20             | HHDT          |
| Grading               | Onsite truck | —                     | —              | HHDT          |
| Building Construction | Worker       | 30                    | 12             | LDA,LDT1,LDT2 |
| Building Construction | Vendor       | 12                    | 9.1            | HHDT,MHDT     |
| Building Construction | Hauling      | 0.00                  | 20             | HHDT          |
| Building Construction | Onsite truck | —                     | —              | HHDT          |
| Paving                | Worker       | 15                    | 12             | LDA,LDT1,LDT2 |
| Paving                | Vendor       | 5.0                   | 9.1            | HHDT,MHDT     |
| Paving                | Hauling      | 0.00                  | 20             | HHDT          |
| Paving                | Onsite truck | —                     | —              | HHDT          |
| Architectural Coating | Worker       | 6.0                   | 12             | LDA,LDT1,LDT2 |
| Architectural Coating | Vendor       | —                     | 9.1            | HHDT,MHDT     |
| Architectural Coating | Hauling      | 0.00                  | 20             | HHDT          |
| Architectural Coating | Onsite truck | —                     | —              | HHDT          |

## 5.4. Vehicles

### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

## 5.5. Architectural Coatings

| Phase Name            | Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft) | Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft) | Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft) | Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft) | Parking Area Coated (sq ft) |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Architectural Coating | 0.00                                     | 0.00                                     | 106,595                                      | 35,532                                       | 27,181                      |

## 5.6. Dust Mitigation

### 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

| Phase Name       | Material Imported (cy) | Material Exported (cy) | Acres Graded (acres) | Material Demolished (sq. ft.) | Acres Paved (acres) |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Site Preparation | —                      | —                      | 15                   | 0.00                          | 0.00                |
| Grading          | —                      | 20,000                 | 90                   | 0.00                          | 0.00                |
| Paving           | 0.00                   | 0.00                   | 0.00                 | 0.00                          | 10                  |

### 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

| Control Strategies Applied | Frequency (per day) | PM10 Reduction | PM2.5 Reduction |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Water Exposed Area         | 2                   | 61%            | 61%             |

## 5.7. Construction Paving

| Phase Name | Land Use          | Area Paved (acres) | % Asphalt |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Paving     | Elementary School | 0.00               | 0%        |
| Paving     | Parking Lot       | 10                 | 100%      |

## 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

### kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

| Year | kWh per Year | CO2 | CH4  | N2O     |
|------|--------------|-----|------|---------|
| 2026 | 0.00         | 453 | 0.03 | < 0.005 |

|      |      |     |      |         |
|------|------|-----|------|---------|
| 2027 | 0.00 | 453 | 0.03 | < 0.005 |
| 2028 | 0.00 | 453 | 0.03 | < 0.005 |

## 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

### 5.9.1. Unmitigated

| Land Use Type     | Trips/Weekday | Trips/Saturday | Trips/Sunday | Trips/Year | VMT/Weekday | VMT/Saturday | VMT/Sunday | VMT/Year  |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Elementary School | 1,606         | 0.00           | 0.00         | 418,837    | 9,788       | 0.00         | 0.00       | 2,551,863 |
| Parking Lot       | 0.00          | 0.00           | 0.00         | 0.00       | 0.00        | 0.00         | 0.00       | 0.00      |

## 5.10. Operational Area Sources

### 5.10.1. Hearths

| Land Use          | Hearth Type               | Unmitigated (number) | Mitigated (number) |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Elementary School | Wood Fireplaces           | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | Gas Fireplaces            | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | Propane Fireplaces        | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | Electric Fireplaces       | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | No Fireplaces             | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | Conventional Wood Stoves  | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | Catalytic Wood Stoves     | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves | 0                    | 0                  |
| Elementary School | Pellet Wood Stoves        | 0                    | 0                  |
| Parking Lot       | Wood Fireplaces           | 0                    | 0                  |
| Parking Lot       | Gas Fireplaces            | 0                    | 0                  |
| Parking Lot       | Propane Fireplaces        | 0                    | 0                  |
| Parking Lot       | Electric Fireplaces       | 0                    | 0                  |
| Parking Lot       | No Fireplaces             | 0                    | 0                  |

|             |                           |   |   |
|-------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| Parking Lot | Conventional Wood Stoves  | 0 | 0 |
| Parking Lot | Catalytic Wood Stoves     | 0 | 0 |
| Parking Lot | Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves | 0 | 0 |
| Parking Lot | Pellet Wood Stoves        | 0 | 0 |

### 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

| —         | Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft) | Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft) | Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft) | Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft) | Parking Area Coated (sq ft) |
|-----------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| undefined | 0.00                                     | 0.00                                     | 106,595                                      | 35,532                                       | 27,181                      |

### 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

| Season      | Unit   | Value |
|-------------|--------|-------|
| Snow Days   | day/yr | 0.00  |
| Summer Days | day/yr | 180   |

## 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

### 5.11.1. Unmitigated

#### Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

| Land Use          | Electricity (kWh/yr) | CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | Natural Gas (kBTU/yr) |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----|--------|--------|-----------------------|
| Elementary School | 325,696              | 453 | 0.0330 | 0.0040 | 3,070,504             |
| Parking Lot       | 396,849              | 453 | 0.0330 | 0.0040 | 0.00                  |

## 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

### 5.12.1. Unmitigated

| Land Use          | Indoor Water (gal/year) | Outdoor Water (gal/year) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Elementary School | 2,060,604               | 0.00                     |

|             |      |           |
|-------------|------|-----------|
| Parking Lot | 0.00 | 1,052,704 |
|-------------|------|-----------|

### 5.13. Operational Waste Generation

#### 5.13.1. Unmitigated

| Land Use          | Waste (ton/year) | Cogeneration (kWh/year) |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Elementary School | 155              | 0.00                    |
| Parking Lot       | 0.00             | 0.00                    |

### 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

#### 5.14.1. Unmitigated

| Land Use          | Equipment Type                                | Refrigerant | GWP   | Quantity (kg) | Operations Leak Rate | Service Leak Rate | Times Serviced |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Elementary School | Household refrigerators and/or freezers       | R-134a      | 1,430 | 0.02          | 0.60                 | 0.00              | 1.00           |
| Elementary School | Other commercial A/C and heat pumps           | R-410A      | 2,088 | < 0.005       | 4.0                  | 4.0               | 18             |
| Elementary School | Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers | R-134a      | 1,430 | < 0.005       | 1.00                 | 0.00              | 1.00           |
| Elementary School | Walk-in refrigerators and freezers            | R-404A      | 3,922 | < 0.005       | 7.5                  | 7.5               | 20             |

### 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

#### 5.15.1. Unmitigated

### 5.16. Stationary Sources

#### 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

## 5.16.2. Process Boilers

## 5.17. User Defined

## 5.18. Vegetation

## 5.18.1. Land Use Change

## 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

| Vegetation Land Use Type | Vegetation Soil Type | Initial Acres | Final Acres |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|

## 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

## 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

| Biomass Cover Type | Initial Acres | Final Acres |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|

## 5.18.2. Sequestration

## 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

| Tree Type | Number | Electricity Saved (kWh/year) | Natural Gas Saved (btu/year) |
|-----------|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|-----------|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|

## 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

### 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

| Climate Hazard               | Result for Project Location | Unit                                       |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Temperature and Extreme Heat | 24                          | annual days of extreme heat                |
| Extreme Precipitation        | 3.8                         | annual days with precipitation above 20 mm |

|                |      |                            |
|----------------|------|----------------------------|
| Sea Level Rise | —    | meters of inundation depth |
| Wildfire       | 0.00 | annual hectares burned     |

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about  $\frac{3}{4}$  an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

## 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

| Climate Hazard               | Exposure Score | Sensitivity Score | Adaptive Capacity Score | Vulnerability Score |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Temperature and Extreme Heat | 2              | 0                 | 0                       | N/A                 |
| Extreme Precipitation        | N/A            | N/A               | N/A                     | N/A                 |
| Sea Level Rise               | N/A            | N/A               | N/A                     | N/A                 |
| Wildfire                     | N/A            | N/A               | N/A                     | N/A                 |
| Flooding                     | 0              | 0                 | 0                       | N/A                 |
| Drought                      | 0              | 0                 | 0                       | N/A                 |
| Snowpack Reduction           | N/A            | N/A               | N/A                     | N/A                 |
| Air Quality Degradation      | 0              | 0                 | 0                       | N/A                 |

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

## 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

| Climate Hazard               | Exposure Score | Sensitivity Score | Adaptive Capacity Score | Vulnerability Score |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Temperature and Extreme Heat | 2              | 1                 | 1                       | 3                   |

|                         |     |     |     |     |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Extreme Precipitation   | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sea Level Rise          | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Wildfire                | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Flooding                | 1   | 1   | 1   | 2   |
| Drought                 | 1   | 1   | 1   | 2   |
| Snowpack Reduction      | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Air Quality Degradation | 1   | 1   | 1   | 2   |

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

## 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

# 7. Health and Equity Details

## 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

| Indicator           | Result for Project Census Tract |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Exposure Indicators | —                               |
| AQ-Ozone            | 47                              |
| AQ-PM               | 49                              |
| AQ-DPM              | 41                              |
| Drinking Water      | 87                              |
| Lead Risk Housing   | 1.6                             |
| Pesticides          | 69                              |
| Toxic Releases      | 17                              |
| Traffic             | 11                              |
| Effect Indicators   | —                               |

|                                 |      |
|---------------------------------|------|
| CleanUp Sites                   | 0.00 |
| Groundwater                     | 0.00 |
| Haz Waste Facilities/Generators | 43   |
| Impaired Water Bodies           | 0.00 |
| Solid Waste                     | 0.00 |
| Sensitive Population            | —    |
| Asthma                          | 36   |
| Cardio-vascular                 | 68   |
| Low Birth Weights               | 18   |
| Socioeconomic Factor Indicators | —    |
| Education                       | 15   |
| Housing                         | 9.8  |
| Linguistic                      | 14   |
| Poverty                         | 6.3  |
| Unemployment                    | 9.7  |

## 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

| Indicator              | Result for Project Census Tract |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Economic               | —                               |
| Above Poverty          | 91.65918132                     |
| Employed               | 46.28512768                     |
| Median HI              | 78.53201591                     |
| Education              | —                               |
| Bachelor's or higher   | 57.80828949                     |
| High school enrollment | 100                             |
| Preschool enrollment   | 77.51828564                     |
| Transportation         | —                               |

|                                              |             |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Auto Access                                  | 50.17323239 |
| Active commuting                             | 20.21044527 |
| Social                                       | —           |
| 2-parent households                          | 91.22289234 |
| Voting                                       | 95.31630951 |
| Neighborhood                                 | —           |
| Alcohol availability                         | 80.97010137 |
| Park access                                  | 54.02284101 |
| Retail density                               | 14.42320031 |
| Supermarket access                           | 38.32926986 |
| Tree canopy                                  | 59.11715642 |
| Housing                                      | —           |
| Homeownership                                | 93.63531374 |
| Housing habitability                         | 86.83433851 |
| Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden | 93.25035288 |
| Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden    | 32.18272809 |
| Uncrowded housing                            | 74.48992686 |
| Health Outcomes                              | —           |
| Insured adults                               | 86.65469011 |
| Arthritis                                    | 0.0         |
| Asthma ER Admissions                         | 79.3        |
| High Blood Pressure                          | 0.0         |
| Cancer (excluding skin)                      | 0.0         |
| Asthma                                       | 0.0         |
| Coronary Heart Disease                       | 0.0         |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease        | 0.0         |
| Diagnosed Diabetes                           | 0.0         |
| Life Expectancy at Birth                     | 41.4        |

|                                       |      |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| Cognitively Disabled                  | 72.6 |
| Physically Disabled                   | 32.1 |
| Heart Attack ER Admissions            | 50.3 |
| Mental Health Not Good                | 0.0  |
| Chronic Kidney Disease                | 0.0  |
| Obesity                               | 0.0  |
| Pedestrian Injuries                   | 19.6 |
| Physical Health Not Good              | 0.0  |
| Stroke                                | 0.0  |
| Health Risk Behaviors                 | —    |
| Binge Drinking                        | 0.0  |
| Current Smoker                        | 0.0  |
| No Leisure Time for Physical Activity | 0.0  |
| Climate Change Exposures              | —    |
| Wildfire Risk                         | 0.0  |
| SLR Inundation Area                   | 0.0  |
| Children                              | 93.4 |
| Elderly                               | 26.2 |
| English Speaking                      | 68.9 |
| Foreign-born                          | 22.0 |
| Outdoor Workers                       | 62.5 |
| Climate Change Adaptive Capacity      | —    |
| Impervious Surface Cover              | 42.4 |
| Traffic Density                       | 6.6  |
| Traffic Access                        | 0.0  |
| Other Indices                         | —    |
| Hardship                              | 34.5 |
| Other Decision Support                | —    |

|             |      |
|-------------|------|
| 2016 Voting | 85.3 |
|-------------|------|

### 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

| Metric                                                                              | Result for Project Census Tract |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)                                  | 12                              |
| Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)                                 | 80                              |
| Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)           | No                              |
| Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)                      | No                              |
| Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617) | No                              |

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

### 7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

### 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

### 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

## 8. User Changes to Default Data

### 8.1. Justifications

| Screen                            | Justification          |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Construction: Construction Phases | No demolition required |

## **Appendix B:**

EMFAC2025 Output

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory

Region Type: Sub-Area

Region: Fresno (SJV)

Calendar Year: 2025

Season: Annual

Vehicle Classification: EMFAC202x Categories

Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

| Region       | Calendar Y | Vehicle Category                       | Model Yea | Speed     | Fuel     | Total VMT | Trips    | Fuel Consumption | Miles per Gallon | Average       |
|--------------|------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LDA                                    | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 12141533  | 1465880  | 402.2140566      | 30.18674519      | 30.20264 LDA  |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LDA                                    | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 19482.67  | 2790.563 | 0.43338164       | 44.95500714      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LDT1                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 969835.6  | 128564.1 | 38.94444053      | 24.90305581      | 24.90314 LDT1 |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LDT1                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 189.085   | 47.84291 | 0.007454601      | 25.36486702      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LDT2                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 5788459   | 690571.5 | 236.5988227      | 24.46529228      | 24.48682 LDT2 |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LDT2                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 16923.86  | 1923.28  | 0.48308615       | 35.03279518      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | MDV                                    | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 4501806   | 582404.8 | 228.9602591      | 19.66195237      | 19.72825 MDV  |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | MDV                                    | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 68763.3   | 8556.807 | 2.716088295      | 25.31703272      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LHD1                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 436975.9  | 181127.2 | 45.27577145      | 9.65142846       | 11.8084 LHD1  |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LHD1                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 383946.9  | 136161.1 | 24.24444468      | 15.83649136      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LHD2                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 67578.34  | 29695.88 | 8.022756778      | 8.423331435      | 11.19772 LHD2 |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | LHD2                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 146655.6  | 51090.56 | 11.10918097      | 13.20130172      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 CAIRP Class 4                       | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 1006.396  | 349.6103 | 0.111856024      | 8.997246126      | 8.579277 MHD  |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 CAIRP Class 5                       | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 1382.885  | 463.0468 | 0.153786385      | 8.992243023      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 CAIRP Class 6                       | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 3588.941  | 1562.358 | 0.392899925      | 9.134490936      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 CAIRP Class 7                       | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 22749.01  | 2580.999 | 2.315934224      | 9.822821865      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Delivery Class 4            | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 9934.668  | 4215.414 | 1.202618647      | 8.260862686      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Delivery Class 5            | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 12625.28  | 5305.105 | 1.527809101      | 8.263653446      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Delivery Class 6            | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 28944.55  | 12193.54 | 3.48285642       | 8.310579233      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Delivery Class 7            | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 18168.19  | 4776.599 | 2.144356676      | 8.472561585      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Other Class 4               | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 24165.83  | 6730.354 | 2.825615841      | 8.552413199      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Other Class 5               | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 62839.83  | 16829.54 | 7.312404304      | 8.593593062      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Other Class 6               | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 55153.23  | 15109.96 | 6.414268763      | 8.598521975      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Other Class 7               | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 34675.35  | 9280.236 | 3.957893602      | 8.761061432      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Tractor Class 6             | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 612.6489  | 142.2848 | 0.068402076      | 8.956583964      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Instate Tractor Class 7             | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 57134.36  | 10770.74 | 6.164210012      | 9.268723298      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 OOS Class 4                         | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 591.6977  | 207.0178 | 0.064995019      | 9.103738527      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 OOS Class 5                         | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 811.7028  | 272.8196 | 0.089329357      | 9.086629629      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 OOS Class 6                         | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 2121.003  | 927.4491 | 0.228641805      | 9.276530133      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 OOS Class 7                         | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 15422.33  | 1406.12  | 1.556590032      | 9.907763753      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Public Class 4                      | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 2669.261  | 404.4197 | 0.348893666      | 7.650644547      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Public Class 5                      | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 3897.274  | 553.1623 | 0.501459838      | 7.771857552      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Public Class 6                      | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 4803.325  | 684.659  | 0.618306621      | 7.768516182      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Public Class 7                      | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 13012.72  | 1434.047 | 1.636559142      | 7.951266385      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Utility Class 5                     | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 2400.922  | 758.5653 | 0.269851859      | 8.89718436       |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Utility Class 6                     | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 453.0334  | 143.7158 | 0.050767399      | 8.923707326      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6 Utility Class 7                     | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 629.2747  | 162.6451 | 0.070005586      | 8.988920545      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T6TS                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 51143.17  | 18217.84 | 10.76905535      | 4.749086047      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 CAIRP Class 8                       | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 560480    | 63509.28 | 90.4116714       | 6.199199552      | 5.566945 HHD  |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 NNOOS Class 8                       | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 670487.7  | 56982.68 | 105.1163191      | 6.378530714      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 NOOS Class 8                        | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 243576.3  | 24145.45 | 39.11335977      | 6.227444079      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 Other Port Class 8                  | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 10324.09  | 909.6849 | 1.726437795      | 5.979993106      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 POAK Class 8                        | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 24463.95  | 4020.494 | 4.173681018      | 5.861480038      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 POLA Class 8                        | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 37273.56  | 4837.821 | 6.421219305      | 5.804747371      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 Public Class 8                      | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 23951.55  | 2803.107 | 4.537634161      | 5.278421923      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 Single Concrete/Transit Mix Class 8 | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 16133.04  | 2205.509 | 2.71003333       | 5.953078502      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 Single Dump Class 8                 | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 17037.98  | 2696.517 | 2.948140201      | 5.779229535      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 Single Other Class 8                | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 57921.97  | 10983.21 | 9.774131586      | 5.926047264      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 SWCV Class 8                        | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 22049.15  | 1564.552 | 8.586353761      | 2.567929373      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 Tractor Class 8                     | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 394187    | 78282.46 | 64.11404396      | 6.148215979      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7 Utility Class 8                     | Aggregate | Aggregate | Diesel   | 1920.103  | 553.025  | 0.328864039      | 5.838590758      |               |
| Fresno (SJV) | 2025       | T7IS                                   | Aggregate | Aggregate | Gasoline | 73.54576  | 15.85617 | 0.018412582      | 3.994321153      |               |