

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
• Roll Call	Present: Dr. Jo Ann Brannon Amy Frogge Dr. Sharon Gentry, Chair Tyese Hunter Elissa Kim, Vice-Chair Mary Pierce Will Pinkston Anna Shepherd Jill Speering Dr. Jesse Register, Director of Schools Dr. Gentry called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.	
• Pledge of Allegiance	Led by Dr. Julie McCargar, Executive Director of Federal Programs.	
AWARDS AND RECOGNITIONS		
• U.S. Community Credit Union	Dr. Steele announced that the district is partnering with U.S. Community Credit Union to open a branch location within Hillsboro High School.	
AND THE GOOD NEWS IS...		
• Homework Hotline	Ms. Wendy Kurland and others presented Homework Hotline data and successes.	
REPORTS		
A. Director's Report	Ms. Wiltshire and staff presented the Pre-K Update to the Board.	
1. Pre-K Update		
2. Diversity Plan	Mr. Carr and staff presented the Diversity Plan update to the Board.	
GOVERNANCE ISSUES		
• Consent Agenda	Ms. Kim read the following consent agenda: IV-A-1-a - Recommended Approval of Change Order #2 for DuPont-Tyler Middle School ADA Upgrades – Edwards Construction, LLC; IV-A-1-b - Recommended Approval of Request #2 for District-wide Roof Repairs (Ironwood Building) – Collier Roofing Company, Inc.; IV-A-1- c - Awarding of Purchases and Contracts (1) Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID), (2) Apple, Inc., (3) Archetype Film and Post, (4) Carolina Biological Supply Company, (5) Charron Sports Services, Inc., (6) Comserv Services, LLC, (7) Details Nashville, (8) Genesis Learning	

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
Consent Agenda – continued	Centers, (9) Husch Blackell LLP, (10) Infinite Campus, Inc., (11) Metropolitan Nashville Police Department (MNPd), (12) Neely Coble Company, (13) Plant the Seed, (14) Purity Dairies, Inc., (15) Trane U.S., Inc., (16) US Community Credit Union; IV-A-1-d - Consolidated Application for ESEA, IDEA, and CTE Federal Grant Programs. Ms. Shepherd made the motion to approve the consent agenda as read. Dr. Brannon seconded	VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous
Motion to Appoint an Interim Director of Schools	Dr. Gentry stated that the Director Search Committee discussed the appointment of an Interim Director of Schools at a recent committee meeting. She stated that the general consensus of the conversation around appointing an interim was that Chris Henson would be appointed to serve in the role. Dr. Gentry made a motion to appoint Chris Henson as Interim Director of Schools. Ms. Pierce seconded. Ms. Speering stated that she had great respect for Mr. Henson, but thought that Dr. Steele would be a good candidate for Interim Director of Schools. Ms. Speering made a motion to appoint Dr. Jay Steele as Interim Director of Schools effective July 1, 2015. Dr. Brannon seconded. Dr. Brannon made a motion to adjust the salary of the Interim Director of Schools accordingly. Ms. Shepherd seconded.	VOTE: 4-5 (Yes) Gentry, Pierce, Kim, Hunter (No) Brannon, Speering, Shepherd, Frogge, Pinkston VOTE: 5-4 (Yes) Brannon, Speering, Shepherd, Frogge, Pinkston (No) Gentry, Pierce, Kim, Hunter VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous
Annenberg Standards and Policy Recommendations– <i>Governance Committee</i>	Ms. Frogge made a motion to adopt the changes to EE-17 as amended during the Board discussion at the table. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Ms. Hunter made a motion to amend the standards to the original language that the Board discussed: “The Metropolitan Board of Education supports independent accountability and transparency for Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools.”	VOTE: 8-1 – (No) Gentry

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
Annenberg Standards and Policy Recommendations– <i>Governance Committee</i> - continued	Ms. Hunter stated the language that is in the current standards reads, “The Director shall require in each charter agreement that charter schools comply with the Annenberg Standards and policy recommendations as adopted and approved by the Metropolitan Board of Education. The Director shall also apply the Annenberg Standards to the traditional schools as specified below.” Dr. Gentry said, some of the recommendations that are up for approval only apply to charters. When the Board approved to adopt the Annenberg Standards, the Board agreed that the standards would apply to all of MNPS. Ms. Frogge said, wording has been included in the recommendation to include traditional schools. She read the following wording from the recommendations: “The Director shall also apply the Annenberg Standards to traditional schools as specified below.” Mr. Pinkston said, at the Governance Committee the Board reviewed and discussed each standard with Metro Legal thoroughly, and it was decided that Magnet Schools would be exempt and would be able to continue to have academic criteria. Ms. Frogge said that charters and traditional schools serve all students. Magnet Schools serve a certain population of students, therefore those schools should be exempt from the policies. Ms. Pierce said she would like to add the language “all MNPS schools” to the recommendations. Mr. Pinkston said, the committee agreed that the “all schools/traditional schools” language should be included in the preamble to avoid having to include the language within each recommendation. Mr. Pinkston suggested that the Board approve the recommendations and commit to revising and or reviewing the recommendations in the Governance Committee. Dr. Brannon said she is not sure the preamble language is clear enough and would be willing to review and revise the language at some point. Ms. Frogge and Ms. Hunter reviewed the recommendations one by one and made revisions. (See attached document). Ms. Frogge asked the Administration if additional resources will be required in order to implement the recommendations? Mr. Carr and Dr. Majors said, yes.	
2015 Charter School Recommendations	Dr. Coverstone gave a brief summary of the charter process and timeline. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the Dream Academy Charter School Application.	

Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations - continued	Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the Dream Academy Charter School Application on management’s recommendation and based on Tennessee Code Annotated 49-13-108(b), which encourages local boards of education to consider fiscal impact in determining whether new charter schools would be “contrary to the best interest of the pupils, school district or community”. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Mr. Pinkston also based the motion of denial of Dream Academy upon the “Assumptions: Anticipated MNPS Revenue and Major Expenditure Increases” spreadsheet (fiscal impact analysis) that he presented to the Board. Ms. Pierce stated that she voted to oppose the motion because of the language of Mr. Pinkston’s motion, which included denial based upon Tennessee Code Annotated 49-13-108(b), and the recommendation of the Charter Review Committee. She stated that she supported the Charter Review Committee Denial Recommendation, but not Mr. Pinkston’s motion as worded. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the International Academy of Excellence Charter School Application. Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the International Academy of Excellence Charter School Application based on management’s recommendation and based on Tennessee Code Annotated 49-13-108(b), which encourages local boards of education to consider fiscal impact in determining whether new charter schools would be “contrary to the best interest of the pupils, school district or community”. Ms. Speering seconded. Ms. Kim asked Mr. Henson if it is possible that each charter application that is recommended for denial could have a negative fiscal impact? Mr. Henson said, yes.	VOTE: (8-1) No – Pierce VOTE: (9-0) - Unanimous

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the KIPP Nashville Primary Charter School Application Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the KIPP Nashville Primary Charter School Application based on management’s recommendation and based on Tennessee Code Annotated 49-13-108(b), which encourages local boards of education to consider fiscal impact in determining whether new charter schools would be “contrary to the best interest of the pupils, school district or community”. Ms. Speering seconded. Ms. Pierce made a motion to deny the KIPP Nashville Primary Charter School Application on management’s recommendation, but not on the fiscal impact due to KIPP complying with the district request to locate in a needed area. Ms. Kim seconded. Mr. Pinkston asked, where will the school be located? Dr. Coverstone said, in South Nashville, but a specific location has not been confirmed. Mr. Pinkston said, since KIPP did not have a location confirmed, he did not believe there was a sufficient reason to move forward. Ms. Shepherd asked, if the application is approved, will KIPP be held to locating in the South Nashville area? Dr. Coverstone said, it is in their contract. Mr. Pinkston asked, what is the definition of overcrowded? Dr. Coverstone said, that definition would have to be stated by MNPS and included in the contract. He agreed with the MGT report that stated over 100 percent capacity is overcrowded. Dr. Gentry asked, what is the process of working with a charter operator to identify an overcrowded area? Dr. Coverstone said, that process would include working with Student Assignment Services to examine the five-year projections. Ms. Kim asked, is KIPP meeting the Board request concerning location? Dr. Coverstone said, KIPP is meeting the requirements set by the MGT report. Mr. Pinkston said, the Board never approved any of the audits, but the Board did approve that charter applicants should submit applications for areas that have extreme overcrowding (120% +).	VOTE: 3-5 – (Yes) - Pinkston, Speering, Frogge (No) Kim, Pierce, Hunter, Shepherd, Brannon VOTE: (5-4) – (Yes) – Gentry, Hunter, Pierce, Shepherd, Kim (No) – Pinkston, Brannon, Speering, Frogge

Board of Education Regular Meeting Minutes

June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the KIPP Nashville Middle Charter School Application. ~~Ms. Shepherd made a motion to deny the KIPP Nashville Middle application based upon the recommendation of the charter committee. Ms. Pierce seconded.~~ Mr. Pinkston asked, where will the school be located? Dr. Coverstone said, in South Nashville, but a specific location has not been confirmed. Mr. Pinkston amended Ms. Shepherd’s motion to say: a motion to deny the KIPP Nashville Middle Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management and pending additional information regarding exact school location and areas defined by MNPS as exceedingly overcrowded. Ms. Speering seconded. Mr. Pinkston asked Metro Legal if the language of his motion was legally valid? Ms. Harkey said, yes. Ms. Kim asked, what is the definition of exceedingly overcrowded? Mr. Pinkston said, over 120 percent. Ms. Kim said, she did agree with that definition of exceedingly overcrowded. The Board should also be very clear as to what is viewed as “fiscal impact” of charters. KIPP has been a long-term vendor with MNPS, and has provided benefit to the district. Ms. Kim asked, is there an area that is over 120 percent? Dr. Coverstone said that information is in the MGT report, he did not have the report in his possession at that time. Ms. Kim amended the motion to deny the KIPP Middle Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management, and pending additional information regarding exact school location and areas defined by MNPS as overcrowded. Ms. Hunter seconded. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the Rocketship #3 Charter School Application.	VOTE: (4-5) – (Yes) – Pinkston, Shepherd, Speering, Frogge (No) – Gentry, Brannon, Hunter, Pierce, Kim VOTE: (5-4) – (Yes) – Gentry, Brannon, Hunter, Pierce, Kim (No) – Pinkston, Shepherd, Speering, Frogge

Board of Education

Minutes

June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	~~Ms. Shepherd made a motion to deny on management's recommendation. Ms. Pierce seconded.~~ Mr. Pinkston amended the motion to deny the Rocketship #3 Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management and pending additional information regarding exact school location and areas defined by MNPS as overcapacity. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Dr. Brannon said, the committee made their denial based upon academic performance of their current schools. Dr. Coverstone said, that is correct. Rocketship has the option to present academic data with their resubmission to present a case to the Charter Review Committee to provide assurances. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the Rocketship #4 Charter School Application. Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the Rocketship #4 Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management and pending additional information regarding exact school location and areas defined by MNPS as overcapacity. Ms. Speering seconded. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the Rocketship Conversion Charter School Application. Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the Rocketship Conversion Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management, and the lack of an identified conversion school, and the lack of demonstrated buy-in of community support of the conversion school community. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Mr. Pinkston said, he generally supported the principle of conversions, but the Board learned from the KIPP conversion that it is best to not approve conversion applications without knowing the candidate school and ensuring that there is community buy-in. Dr. Gentry said, the Board must work to be	VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	more intent in the way it works with charter partners, and one way to do that is to utilize the Academic Performance Framework (APF). The APF could be used to monitor which schools are in red, and the Board can begin to discuss next steps concerning a possible conversion. Mr. Pinkston said, also the Board must ensure that the charter has community buy-in so that it is not considered as a “takeover”. Dr. Gentry said, the Board needs to develop a Charter Conversion Process. Ms. Kim asked Mr. Pinkston what would the community buy-in process look like if there were three schools eligible to be converted to a charter school? Mr. Pinkston said, he did not have those details. Dr. Gentry said, this topic should be discussed at a Work Session, with the Administration, around a process for conversions. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the LEAD Conversion #2 Charter School Application. Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the LEAD Conversion #2 Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management, and lack of an identified conversion school and the lack of demonstrated buy-in of community support of the conversion school community. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the LEAD Conversion #3 Charter School Application. Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the LEAD Conversion #3 Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management, and lack of an identified conversion school and the lack of demonstrated buy-in of community support of the conversion school. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to deny the LEAD Conversion #4 Charter School Application	VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous

Board of Education

Minutes

June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the LEAD Conversion #4 Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management, and lack of an identified conversion school and the lack of demonstrated buy-in of community support of the conversion school community. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Dr. Coverstone gave a brief summary of fiscal analysis of charter schools. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to approve the Knowledge Academies Charter School Application. Dr. Brannon made a motion to approve the Knowledge Academies Charter School Application based upon the management’s recommendation. Ms. Pierce seconded. Ms. Speering asked Ms. Harkey if it is correct that when the Board approves a charter application, it can’t have a condition to the approval. Ms. Harkey said, yes, according to Tennessee Code Annotated 49-13-108 (d) which says applications cannot be approved based upon conditions or contingencies. Ms. Speering made a motion to defer the approval of Knowledge Academies until the Achievement School District is made public. Dr. Brannon asked, would deferring the approval until achievement data is released comply with the charter school approval timeline. Ms. Harkey said, no. Mr. Pinkston asked, what is the timeline for data release? Dr. Changas said, the public data release is available usually at the end of July. Quick scores are available now, but not for public use. Ms. Frogge made the following comments: I want to address charter school demand and performance. In February, I requested waitlists and received the results of the MNPS lottery which supplied data for about half of the MNPS charter schools. Recently, the Office of Innovation provided a second set of numbers which are different from the MNPS lottery numbers that I received. I find the second set	VOTE: 9-0 – Unanimous VOTE: (5-4) (Yes) – Brannon, Hunter, Gentry, Kim, Pierce (No) - Pinkston, Frogge, Speering, Shepherd

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	of numbers suspect because of the delay of me receiving the information. The numbers for LEAD Academy, East End, and Knowledge Academies specifically show different data than the Office of Innovation lottery data. There were also differences in the capacity data. Currently, there are available seats in charter schools for this year and numerous seats upcoming in charter schools that have already been approved. When comparing charter school waitlists with MNPS waitlists, MNPS schools (Head Middle School, Hume-Fogg and MLK High Schools and others) have larger waitlists than charters; obviously, demand lies in those schools and not in charters. Instead of adding more charters, the district should place its' focus on answering the demand of parents who are on waiting lists for MNPS schools. When we consider college readiness, it is defined as a 21 or higher on the ACT. She then gave data from the School Finder website on individual schools' (charter vs. MNPS) college readiness data. Data shows that charters are not out performing MNPS schools. Why approve more charters when there is no data that shows demand or that charters are ensuring that students are college ready? Mr. Pinkston said, he did not agree with the idea that Knowledge Academy is in high demand. There are schools in my district with high waitlists. And the district would like to address the demand, but it is a cost issue. The reality is that we should not add charter seats due to the cost concern. Ms. Speering said, the Board needs to seriously consider the audit funded by Metro Council that showed clearly that there is a negative fiscal impact on the district from charters. Ms. Pierce said in response to Ms. Frogge's comments, she would like the Board to be more intentional in the data that is shared around the Board table. Specifically, if data is being disputed, she would prefer that data be presented by the Administration so that the Board may ask questions about the data. Mr. Pinkston said, it does not make the data any less valid if it is presented by a Board member compared to the Administration. Ms. Frogge said, she would love to have a public discussion about the data, but has not been able to obtain the needed data from the Administration. Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the Knowledge Academies Charter School Application on management's recommendation and based on Tennessee Code Annotated 49-13-108(b), which encourages local boards	VOTE: (4-5) (Yes) –

Board of Education

Minutes

TOPIC

FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME

- Pinkston, Speering, Frogge,
Shepherd (No) – Gentry,
Kim, Hunter, Brannon,
Pierce**

VOTE: (3-6) (Yes) – Pinkston, Frogge, Speering. (No) – Brannon, Hunter, Gentry, Kim, Pierce, Shepherd

Page 113

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	that it will primarily be filled with existing students. Ms. Kim said, if I’m not mistaken, the mobility rate at East End is 4% which is why the school is confident that it will retain the same students. East End is a rare gem in our city. Everyone in that school is on a mission to succeed. The Board has an opportunity to allow the school to continue, and I believe that is an honor. Ms. Shepherd said, I am struggling with rationalizing the dedicated work of the East Nashville Advisory Committee that stated they wanted no new schools in East Nashville. She asked, Ms. Kim how can you rationalize adding another school to that area? Ms. Kim said, the school will remain in the same building and maintaining the same kids. The Board would only be providing a pathway for the school to continue in excellence. I view this charter application as a continuation of an existing school, not a new school. Martha O’Bryan has been a longtime collaborator with MNPS, and their work has been profound. Dr. Brannon said, she did not see the charter application request as a new school but the continuation of an existing school. I’m interested in making the best decision for students, and I see this application as a win for the district and the community. Mr. Pinkston said, this application will add 300 seats to this existing school. There are other wait lists in the district, and committing the funds to this charter takes away from the district funding other needs in the district. Ms. Pierce asked Mr. Henson if the students that will attend East End Prep could be coming from higher funded schools? Mr. Henson said, yes, if those students are coming directly from high needs schools, but I do not have the numbers to know exactly. Ms. Pierce asked Ms. Speering if Councilman Davis is for the denial of the East End application? Ms. Speering said, Councilman Davis is in support of the East Nashville Plan. Ms. Frogge said, in reality East End is adding a “middle school”, and there will be a fiscal impact on the budget. The Board needs a more fair way to consider where demand lies in the district. I have great respect for the Martha O’Bryan Center, but I can not approve another school in East Nashville when the majority of that community asked that no new schools be placed in the community. Dr. Brannon made a motion to approve the East End Prep Charter School Application based upon management’s recommendation. Ms. Pierce seconded.	VOTE: (6-3) (Yes) – Brannon, Pierce, Gentry, Hunter, Kim, Shepherd (No) – Pinkston, Frogge, Speering

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to approve the Cameron College Prep High Charter School Application. Ms. Pierce made a motion to approve the Cameron College Prep High Charter School Application based on the recommendation of the committee. Ms. Hunter seconded. Mr. Pinkston asked if there was a LEAD school closed earlier in the month? If so, why is the committee asking the Board to approve a school that has been in failing status? Dr. Coverstone said, LEAD Middle was in review status last year. It wasn't clear to the committee that LEAD was in failing status because several of LEAD's other schools were in excellent status all of last year. Mr. Pinkston said, he did not believe that LEAD was in a capacity to deliver based upon the school closing that happened earlier in the month. Ms. Frogge stated that the Board recently closed an underperforming LEAD school and opened more seats at their existing high school. Now they want another school, yet LEAD has open seats at existing schools. Dr. Brannon asked what the enrollment capacity at the LEAD high school is, and how many students currently attend? Dr. Coverstone said, 800 students and around 340 currently attend. Ms. Pierce asked Dr. Coverstone to explain the feeder pattern. Dr. Coverstone said Cameron Middle College Prep is to be housed at the same location as the high school. Dr. Brannon asked, where is the high school currently located? Dr. Coverstone said, in the former St. Vincent De Paul School location. Dr. Brannon asked, will the school utilize buses? Dr. Coverstone said, yes. Mr. Pinkston asked, was the application for a new school? Dr. Coverstone said, yes. Mr. Pinkston said, why are we calling this application a new school and not in the other situation? Dr. Coverstone said, that is open to interpretation. Ms. Speering said, currently LEAD has five schools that have been approved. I am concerned that they are over-stretching their capacity. Dr. Coverstone said, the committee did consider the schools that LEAD currently operated. Ms. Shepherd asked, did the committee know about the middle school closure at the time of considering this application? Dr. Coverstone said, probably not. Mr. Pinkston said, LEAD has been a good charter partner, but with the recent closure I am concerned that LEAD may be	VOTE: (2-7) (Yes) – Pierce, Hunter, (No) – Pinkston, Frogge, Speering, Gentry, Kim, Brannon, Shepherd

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	over-stretching themselves. Ms. Speering asked if it was true that the Charter Review Committee was not aware of the LEAD Middle School closure, the consolidation, and additional seats added to the high school when reviewing the charter application? Dr. Coverstone said, yes that is correct. The closing of the school will free-up a school leader, and the committee did consider leadership capacity when looking at the application. Mr. Pinkston made a motion to deny the Cameron College Prep High Charter School Application. Ms. Shepherd seconded. Dr. Coverstone read the recommendation to approve the LEAD Conversion #1 Charter School Application. ~~Ms. Speering made a motion to deny the LEAD Conversion #1 Charter School application. Mr. Pinkston seconded.~~ Mr. Pinkston amended the motion to deny the LEAD Conversion #1 Charter School Application based upon the recommendation of management, and lack of an identified conversion school and the lack of demonstrated buy-in of community support of the conversion school. Ms. Speering seconded. Ms. Speering stated that the application has problems because the Board recently voted to close a LEAD middle school earlier in the month. She also referenced that one of the proposed LEAD locations would be in the East Nashville area. Mr. Pinkston said, a “Charter Conversion” process needs to be established, instead of using a generic approach. Dr. Gentry said, the Board is using the same rationale as was used when discussing the previous conversion applications. Dr. Coverstone said, the other denials also included the recommendation reports in addition to the same reason in the current discussion. Ms. Frogge stated three reasons she did not support the	VOTE: (7-2) (Yes) – Pinkston, Frogge, Speering, Gentry, Kim, Brannon, Shepherd (No) Pierce, Hunter VOTE: 8-1 – No - Kim

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
2015 Charter School Recommendations – continued	application. 1. A LEAD school recently was forced to close due to low performance, 2. Data shows that conversions do not work, 3. Conversions are problematic for schools. Ms. Kim asked, if there will be schools on the APF that have been on the target category for multiple years? Dr. Coverstone said, yes. Ms. Kim asked at what point would the district begin to have a conversation about a school that could be converted? Dr. Coverstone said, that is the challenge. Early, proactive, and consistent communication is important. The creation of the “process” that Mr. Pinkston referred to is needed. Ms. Kim asked, how many schools were in review or target status last year? Dr. Coverstone said, 38 schools which is 25% of schools (38 out of 150 schools). Dr. Gentry said, it is important that the Board be strategic with how the Board deals with charter conversions. Once a charter conversion application is approved, is there a length of time that they stay on the “list” of approved charter conversion partners? Ms. Harkey said, that should be included in the contract with the charter application.	
REPORTS		
B. Committee Reports 1. Budget, Finance and Capital Needs	Ms. Shepherd announced that the Metro Council approved the MNPS Operating and Capital Needs Budgets.	
C. Board Chairman’s Report 1. Announcements Dr. Steele’s White House Invitation	Ms. Speering announced that Dr. Steele had been invited to the White House to participate in celebrating Innovation in Career and Technical Education as it relates to connecting learning to real life experiences.	
Mayor’s Summer Scholar Academy	Ms. Speering announced that she toured the Mayor’s Summer Scholar Academy at Hunters Lane. There are other programs at McGavock, Whites Creek, and Cane Ridge High Schools.	
Thanks for Dr. Register	Ms. Shepherd and fellow Board members thanked Dr. Register for his service to MNPS.	
Mayoral Forum	Mr. Pinkston congratulated Conexion Americas and the Tennessee Refugee Rights Coalition for their successful Mayoral Forum held at Glencliff High School on June 22 nd .	
Board Chair Closing Comments	Dr. Gentry stated that she hoped the Board would be able to have conversations driven by the Board’s desire to see every student, no matter the school, reach ultimate success. She thanked Dr. Register for his hard work and the Board for their service.	

Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools
Board of Education
Regular Meeting
Minutes
June 23, 2015

TOPIC	DISCUSSION/MOTION	FOLLOW-UP/OUTCOME
WRITTEN INFORMATION TO THE BOARD		
• MNPS Assessments and Surveys for 2015-2016		
• Community Achieves Update		
• Sales Tax Collections as of June 20, 2015		
• Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Operating Budget Financial Reports		
• Upcoming Committee Meetings		
• Adjournment	Ms. Frogge adjourned the meeting at 8:56 p.m.	
• Signatures	Chris M. Henson Board Secretary Sharon Dixon Gentry Board Chair Date	