


Final Copy
rec'd 4/13/86



MAR 10 1986
Anna Mae

ORIGINAL

REGISTERED
MAR 14 1986

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

MARGARET TINSLEY, individually and as Guardian <u>ad Litem</u> for KAREN L. TINSLEY and VALARIE L. TINSLEY, et al.,	)	NO. 206010
	)	
	)	
Petitioners,	)	<u>SETTLEMENT ORDER</u>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	)	
	)	
Respondent,	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

R E C I T A L S

WHEREAS, Petitioners MARGARET TINSLEY, et al.
("petitioners") on July 3, 1979 filed a Fourth Amended Petition
for Writ of Mandate in the Superior Court of San Mateo County,
No. 206010 against respondents the RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT ("Ravenswood"), the PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
("Palo Alto"), the MENLO PARK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT ("Menlo

1 Park"), the REDWOOD CITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT ("Redwood
2 City"), the LAS LOMITAS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
3 ("Las Lomitas"), the WOODSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
4 ("Woodside"), the PORTOLA VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
5 ("Portola Valley"), the BELMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
6 ("Belmont"), the SAN CARLOS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
7 ("San Carlos"), the SEQUOIA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
8 ("Sequoia") the SAN MATEO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT
9 ORGANIZATION, the SANTA CLARA COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT
10 ORGANIZATION, the SAN MATEO COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS and
11 the STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and the
12 STATE OF CALIFORNIA;

13
14 WHEREAS, in consideration of all parties executing this
15 Settlement Order ("Order") and upon entry of the Order by the
16 Superior Court ("Court"), all parties desire to resolve the
17 dispute set forth in the Fourth Amended Petition pursuant to the
18 terms of this Order;

19
20 THEREFORE, in consideration of the following covenants,
21 conditions and promises, the parties agree, and the Court orders,
22 as follows:

23
24 * * *
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6

2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9
0
1

2
3
4
5
6
7

8
9
0

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

(30) days of registration of each student in the district. Each district shall also provide upon request of a parent or custodian of a student a description of programs available in its district.

b. The parent(s) or other person(s) having legal custody of the student shall have at least sixty (60) days from date of mailing or personal delivery of the notice in which to file a request for permitted transfer. By December 15 of each year the receiving districts and the transferring students shall be advised of the district of attendance for the following year pursuant to the Transfer Plan. The transferring student must elect or decline such district for transfer by the last school day of the following January of each year. Each transferring student electing to transfer shall be notified by a receiving district of the school to which he or she shall be assigned in that district, on or before March 1 of each year. Transfers under the Transfer Plan shall be processed by the San Mateo and Santa Clara County Superintendents of School ("County Superintendents"). All parties hereto indemnify and hold harmless the County Superintendents from any and all liability in connection with the County Superintendents' good faith actions pursuant to this Order.

c. This Transfer Plan will only permit (1) minority students from Ravenswood to elect to attend the districts of Menlo Park, Las Lomitas, Portola Valley, Woodside, Redwood City, San Carlos, Belmont, or Palo Alto, or a model school which may be established pursuant to this Order; and (2)

1 non-minority students in each respondent district other than
2 Ravenswood to elect to attend Ravenswood or a model school which
3 may be established pursuant to this Order. For each student
4 transferring under the Transfer Plan, the Court finds it is
5 reasonable for the receiving district to receive from the State
6 the district's district revenue limit for that student, and not
7 to be limited to 105% of the Statewide average. The parties
8 agree and the Court finds that all costs incurred as a result of
9 the Transfer Plan are mandated costs pursuant to the provisions
10 of paragraph 18 below.

11 d. The County Superintendents shall process all
12 applications pursuant to the following rules:

13 (1) To the extent possible, of the students
14 permitted to transfer from Ravenswood or to Ravenswood, no more
15 than one-third of the potential transfer slots shall be allotted
16 to each of the following categories of students as identified by
17 the transferring district: high, average or low academic
18 achievers;

19 (2) To the extent possible, no more than 20%
20 of the transfer students shall come from any school; however, if
21 any school's allotted slots are not filled by eligible students
22 who have requested a transfer, the unfilled slots may be used by
23 any other school(s) in the same district up to 30% of the
24 eligible transferable student population;

25 (3) To the extent possible, students
26 permitted to participate in the voluntary transfer program from

1 Ravenswood shall be selected so as to be composed of the various
2 minority groups in proportion to their representation in the
3 eligible student population;

4 (4) Should there be unfilled transfer slots
5 remaining in the transferring district after the processing of
6 applications pursuant to the criteria of paragraphs 4d(1)-(3),
7 then any transfer application will be permitted, subject to the
8 provisions of paragraph 4f up to the number of total transfers
9 permitted by paragraph 4k.

10 e. The County Superintendents in any and all
11 aspects of processing the Transfer Plan shall be advised upon
12 request by the respondent school districts Superintendents or
13 their designated representatives acting as an advisory committee.

14 f. The County Superintendents shall have the
15 discretion to screen for transfer on an individual basis all
16 applicants based on considerations of safety, health and
17 well-being of those applying for transfer.

18 g. No student will be discouraged by any
19 employee or official of any respondent from exercising any right
20 granted pursuant to this Order, nor be required to meet any
21 admission standard other than that required of all students in
22 the State of California.

23 h. Transfer students shall be accorded all the
24 rights and privileges of the receiving district's students.

25 i. Once transferred, a student shall have the
26 same right to remain in the receiving district as does any

1 student residing in the receiving district. A student who agrees
2 to transfer to a receiving district must commit to attendance in
3 that district for a minimum of one year unless the transferring
4 and receiving districts mutually agree otherwise. After one
5 year's attendance, a student may elect to return to the
6 transferring district, provided that the student has notified the
7 transferring and receiving districts by the last school day of
8 January of the previous school year. Once a student has elected
9 to leave the receiving district, applicants for transfer under
10 the Transfer Plan who have not yet transferred shall have
11 priority over that student for future transfers, subject to the
12 provisions of paragraph 4n below.

13 j. The Transfer Plan shall become effective
14 September 1, 1986, and the first transfers shall take place no
15 later than the opening of school, September, 1987.

16 k. Each transferring school district must allow
17 the following percentages of students to transfer pursuant to
18 paragraph 4c above to other districts or to model schools:

19 (1) For the first year that transfers are
20 allowed, 5% of the school enrollment reported by the transferring
21 district to the State Superintendent of Schools for October 1986;

22 (2) For the second year that transfers are
23 allowed, a total of 5% of the school enrollment reported by the
24 transferring district to the State Superintendent of Schools for
25 October 1986;

26

(3) For the third year that transfers are allowed, a total of 10% of the school enrollment reported by the transferring district to the State Superintendent of Schools for October 1986;

(4) For the fourth year that transfers are allowed, a total of 17.5% of the school enrollment reported by the transferring district to the State Superintendent of Schools for October 1986;

(5) For the fifth year that transfers are allowed, a total of 25% of the school enrollment reported by the transferring district to the State Superintendent of Schools for October 1986; and

(6) For the sixth year and following years, every student who applies, subject to the provisions of paragraph 4n below.

1. The percentages in paragraph 4k(1)-(5) above are exclusive of students who live in the transferring district but have not regularly attended transferring district schools. In any year, such students who register their intent to participate with the County Superintendents by November 15 of the previous year may attend receiving districts pursuant to the Transfer Plan, subject to the limitations in paragraph 4n below.

m. If model school(s) are opened, and in the event there are more applicants for the Transfer Plan and model school transfers than the number of students which the transferring district must allow to transfer, then the County

1 Superintendents shall alternately allow one Transfer Plan
2 transfer, then one model school transfer, and continuing thereby
3 (1) until all spaces are filled or (2) until all applications for
4 either Transfer Plan transfers or model school transfers have
5 been approved, after which the remaining unfilled spaces shall be
6 filled from the remaining unprocessed applications.

7 n. (1) For the first year of the Transfer Plan,
8 eligible students shall be students entering kindergarten, first,
9 second, and third grades for the school year commencing 1987.
10 Thereafter, eligible students shall be students entering
11 kindergarten, first and second grades in the school year
12 following application. Unless a district notifies the County
13 Superintendent(s) to the contrary, first priority shall be given
14 to entering kindergarten students, next to entering 1st grade,
15 2nd grade, and (in the first year only) 3rd grade students, in
16 that order. Districts shall accept eligible students in the
17 following numbers each and every year:

18	BELMONT	31
19	LAS LOMITAS	12
20	MENLO PARK	24
21	PALO ALTO	60
22	PORTOLA VALLEY	8
23	REDWOOD CITY	40
24	SAN CARLOS	26
25	WOODSIDE	5

26

(2) The obligation to receive students under the Transfer Plan shall be unconditional, subject to paragraph 16. In any given year a district having only one school per grade level shall not be required to admit more than one-third of the students eligible to transfer to that district under paragraph 4n(1) to any one grade level.

(3) Districts are also required to accept new transfer students at the beginning of each school year to replace those who have dropped out of the kindergarten and first grade classes only.

(4) To the extent possible and consistent with this paragraph, students shall attend districts of their choice.

(5) No student must accept a transfer, and all transfers are voluntary. Pursuant to the Transfer Plan, no student shall attend a district which he or she has not affirmatively selected on the request for permitted transfer described in paragraph 4b above, and applicants may select any number of districts.

(6) No receiving district shall be required to accept a student who shall cause that district to become 60% or more minority.

o. The Transfer Plan shall be in addition to, separate and distinct from any other inter-district transfer programs which are established by law or permitted by agreements

1 which have been or may be entered into by a participating
2 district.

3 p. Transfer students completing the eighth grade
4 in Palo Alto shall be given the option of attending high school
5 in Palo Alto or in Sequoia. Such transfer students shall
6 participate in the Interdistrict Interaction Program of Sequoia
7 commencing in the fifth grade and continuing in the sixth,
8 seventh and eighth grades thereafter.

9 5. TRANSPORTATION.

10 a. Contingent upon execution of this Order by
11 Sequoia, respondent elementary school districts (not including
12 Palo Alto) in conjunction with Sequoia shall develop a reasonable
13 plan for transportation services, and modify such from time to
14 time as may be necessary, which shall be subject to and
15 contingent upon the final approval of Sequoia, with the goal that
16 to the extent feasible, each student participating in the
17 Transfer Plan shall be provided transportation from a central
18 area in the transferring district to the school of attendance in
19 the receiving district. Palo Alto shall develop its own
20 transportation plan with the same goal.

21 b. Sequoia shall advance \$75,240 per annum in
22 the first two years for its transportation services for the
23 Transfer Plan and agrees to incur \$25,000 per annum of such sum
24 in the first two years, whether or not reimbursed. Thereafter,
25 Sequoia shall advance \$75,240 per annum adjustable annually
26 pursuant to the Consumer Price Index as maintained by the U.S.

Bureau of Labor Statistics for transportation services for the Transfer Plan ("Transportation Expense"). The percentage of Transportation Expense not reimbursed to or otherwise recovered by Sequoia shall be paid to Sequoia by the districts as follows:

BELMONT	13.211
LAS LOMITAS	6.393
MENLO PARK	12.361
PORTOLA VALLEY	4.673
REDWOOD CITY	47.952
SAN CARLOS	13.227
WOODSIDE	2.184

The \$75,240 set forth in this subparagraph 5b is a maximum contribution which may be required of the above respondent school districts for transportation funding, exclusive of monies, if any, collected by a district from its students. The percentages for each district contributing to pay unreimbursed transportation expense under this subparagraph 5b may not be increased, nor may the maximum contribution contemplated thereby be increased.

c. The above contribution by each district represents the maximum liability and responsibility for each district for transportation for any purpose under this Order. By agreeing to contribute to or provide transportation, no district shall be deemed to have waived its rights under California Constitution Article I §7 regarding nonliability for pupil transportation relative to any implementation or modifications under this Order, or any future litigation. No district shall

1 have any additional obligation with respect to transportation.
2 The parties agree and the Court finds that the costs of
3 transportation services for the Transfer Plan are mandated costs
4 pursuant to paragraph 18 below.

5 6. SEQUOIA DISTRICT. Notwithstanding any other
6 provision of this order, with the exception of the provisions
7 found in subparagraph a and b of paragraph 5, Sequoia is
8 expressly excluded from the terms of all other provisions,
9 paragraphs and subparagraphs of this Order.

10 7. MODEL SCHOOLS.

11 a. Respondent school districts shall retain the
12 State Board of Education to prepare the report described in
13 paragraph 7b. The respondent school districts shall reimburse
14 the State Department of Education for the costs of preparing the
15 report, only when and to the extent they receive mandated costs
16 therefor, pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 18 hereof, and
17 no district shall be otherwise obligated with respect to said
18 report.

19 b. The consultant shall estimate the high,
20 average and low enrollments expected in model schools, develop a
21 plan for a model school(s) and explore the problems, costs,
22 advantages, disadvantages, feasibility and reasonableness of said
23 plan. The consultant shall consider the creation of one to three
24 model schools designed and located to attract both minority and
25 non-minority students. The consultant shall consider the
26 advantages and disadvantages of having the model school

1 administered by one or more school districts or the County
2 Superintendents. Respondents shall provide the consultant with
3 data and with staff assistance as he/she requests. The plan
4 shall provide for the opening of one such model school, to be
5 located in Ravenswood, in September 1987, and additional model
6 schools as needed to meet the goals of this Order. Within 8
7 months after entry of this Order, the consultant shall present
8 the report to the Court.

9 c. Upon receipt of the consultant's report, the
10 Court shall make such orders, including approval or disapproval,
11 with respect to model schools and the implementation of a plan
12 for model schools as it deems appropriate, after notice and
13 hearing to all parties. The availability of state, federal or
14 third party funds specifically designated for model schools
15 sufficient to cover all the costs of implementing any model
16 school order shall determine the scope and timing of
17 implementation of any such order, except each respondent district
18 agrees to provide as its sole financial obligation under this
19 paragraph nominal funds for the model schools, if any, up to
20 \$3,000 per district per year. All respondents agree that during
21 the existence of this Order, they jointly and severally shall
22 continue to seek funding as needed from federal, state, and
23 private sources to implement the Court's orders with respect to
24 model schools.

25 d. The costs of any such model program shall be
26 mandated costs pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 18.

1 8. RAVENSWOOD IMPROVEMENT.

2 a. Ravenswood shall retain the State Department
3 of Education to conduct a study to determine those educational
4 practices and programs that should be implemented by Ravenswood
5 to improve the academic achievement of its students and to assist
6 the transition of Ravenswood elementary school students to the
7 high school level. The consultant shall also consider and
8 propose a plan which allows all teachers in Ravenswood as of the
9 date of entry of this Order, whom Ravenswood has the right to lay
10 off pursuant to paragraph 11 below, the opportunity to remain as
11 teachers in Ravenswood. The consultant's report shall be filed
12 with the Court within 8 months of entry of this Order, and shall
13 be served on all parties.

14 b. Upon approval by the Court of the
15 recommendations made in said report, the Court shall make such
16 orders directed to Ravenswood and the State Department of
17 Education only with respect to the implementation of the report
18 as it deems appropriate after notice and hearing to all parties.
19 The implementation of any Court order as to recommendations of
20 the consultant shall be dependent upon the availability of funds
21 from federal, state or private sources. For the purpose of
22 implementing those recommendations approved by the Court,
23 Ravenswood shall receive additional funding for a period of not
24 less than five years in an amount to be determined by agreement
25 between Ravenswood and the State Department of Education or by
26 order of the Court. Said funding shall be mandated costs

pursuant to paragraph 18 of this Order. Should the Ravenswood district experience a decline in enrollment attributable to the Transfer Plan, it shall also be entitled to receive the statutorily provided special funding for districts with declining enrollment. All respondents agree that during the existence of this Order, they jointly and severally shall continue to seek funds as needed from federal, state, and private sources to implement the Court's orders with respect to the consultant's recommendations. No respondent school district except Ravenswood shall have any financial responsibility under this paragraph.

c. With respect to any order of the Court described in paragraph 8b, Ravenswood shall plan for the funding of the approved educational practices and programs, and the Court finds that implementation of any such educational practices and programs shall be a mandated cost pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 18 below.

9. MULTICULTURAL EDUCATION. Respondent school districts shall participate in the continued progress and development of the Inter-District Interaction Committee cooperative efforts for the purpose of providing more inter-racial educational experience for students attending schools among the respondent districts.

10. STAFF TRAINING. Each district or its designated representative shall make itself available upon request to support any district's in-service training program for school personnel focusing on race and human relations.

1 11. CERTIFICATED STAFF. Due to the Transfer Plan, if
2 there is a decline in average daily attendance and/or elimination
3 of a particular kind of service, then Ravenswood has the right to
4 lay off certificated employees pursuant to the provisions of the
5 Education Code. Any teacher laid off as a result of the
6 establishment of the Transfer Plan shall, upon request, be
7 interviewed for any vacant teaching position in respondent
8 districts for which the teacher is credentialed and otherwise
9 qualified. The right to be interviewed shall extend for
10 thirty-nine months from the date of lay-off. No respondent
11 school district will be under any obligation to notify any
12 teacher laid off as a result of the Transfer Plan of any openings
13 or vacancies in its district. A teacher laid off as a result of
14 the Transfer Plan shall not have any preference in hiring or any
15 vested right to be hired by any other respondent district.

16 12. DISTRICT POLICIES. Each respondent school
17 district shall adopt these policies in suitable form:

18 a. Not to discriminate on the basis of race,
19 ethnic background or sex in the employment of certificated and
20 non-certificated staff.

21 b. To offer multi-cultural experiences for
22 students.

23 c. To foster student interaction both inside and
24 outside the classroom.

25 13. SEVERABILITY. The provisions of this Order are
26 severable. That is, the inability to carry out any provision

1 herein or the modification of any provision herein shall not
2 nullify the duty to carry out all other provisions.

3 14. ANNUAL COMPLIANCE REPORT. The State Board of
4 Education by itself or by delegation to the County
5 Superintendents of Education of Santa Clara and San Mateo
6 counties shall monitor compliance with this Order and shall file
7 an annual report with the Court evaluating such performance each
8 July, and shall serve copies of said report on all parties to
9 this suit. For the purposes of this paragraph, service on
10 counsel for petitioners shall be considered to be service on all
11 petitioners.

12 15. STATE AND COUNTY ASSISTANCE. The Superintendent
13 of Public Instruction of the State of California is requested,
14 and The Board of Education of the State of California and the
15 Santa Clara and San Mateo County Superintendents of Schools are
16 directed, to assist the respondent school districts to carry out
17 this Order and any further orders of the Court to the degree
18 consistent with this Order and the duties of their respective
19 offices, and any costs so incurred are found by the Court to be
20 mandated costs pursuant to paragraph 18 below.

21 16. JURISDICTION. The Court shall maintain continuing
22 jurisdiction over this Settlement Agreement and Order until it
23 determines it no longer need do so to implement it. It may only
24 make such further orders as acting within its constitutional
25 authority, and as specifically contemplated by this Agreement and
26 Order. By way of example, but not limitation, orders which are

not contemplated by the parties include district dissolution, establishment of a K-8 or K-12 district, establishment of a joint powers authority other than for the administration of a model school program, school closure, school site selection, teacher reassignment, merger of districts, restriction or modification of curriculum, and increase, or (unless paragraph 19c applies) decrease, of students which each district must receive. Any party hereto may be heard by the Court upon proper notice to all other parties hereto with respect to the enforcement or modification of any item set forth in this Order, based on such factors including but not limited to substantial hardship in compliance with the Transfer Plan after the first and second years that transfers are allowed, or the inability to implement this Order at any time with respect to children wishing to transfer or attend a model school. In considering any requested modification or enforcement of this Order, the Court shall make its ruling in light of all the facts and circumstances relating to the whole Order and its goals.

17. ATTORNEY'S FEES. Petitioners' claims for attorney's fees and costs are reasonable and fair and are fully settled in the amount of \$185,000 in fees and \$15,000 in costs, to be paid for by each respondent according to the following percentages: State respondents at 19%, Palo Alto at 19%, Redwood City at 19%, Menlo Park at 9%, Belmont at 9%, San Carlos at 9%, Las Lomitas at 5%, Ravenswood at 4%, Portola Valley at 4%, and Woodside at 3%. Liability for attorneys' fees and costs as set

1 forth herein shall not be joint and several. Any party executing
2 this Order and paying its share of attorneys' fees and costs
3 shall have no further liability for fees and costs incurred to
4 date or for trial or appeal of this action. The attorneys' fees
5 paid by the State respondents shall be paid pursuant to the
6 provisions of Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 and do not
7 represent an hourly rate of more than \$90.00 per hour.

8 18. MANDATED COSTS.

9 a. The parties agree and the Court finds that
10 the costs of compliance with and the monitoring of this Order
11 constitute costs mandated by a final Court order for which the
12 respondent school districts are entitled to reimbursement under
13 §42243.9 and §42249 and §42247 of the Education Code.

14 b. The respondent State Board of Education and
15 its chief executive officer shall assist the respondent districts
16 in documenting their claims for reimbursement under §42243.9 and
17 §42249 and §42247 with respect to costs of compliance with this
18 Order, and will support such claims before the State legislature,
19 the State Controller and the State Board of Control.

20 c. In the event that the respondent districts'
21 claims for reimbursement are challenged, the respondent districts
22 and the State defendants shall report to the Court, identifying
23 the difficulties in obtaining reimbursement. Any of the parties
24 may propose to the Court action designed to protect the integrity
25 and timely implementation of provisions of this Order.

26 19. MISCELLANEOUS.

1 a. The parties agree and the Court finds that
2 during the term of this Order, compliance with this Order shall
3 be deemed compliance with the mandate of the equal protection
4 clause of the California Constitution, Article I §7, and related
5 State statutory provisions with respect to all matters alleged in
6 the Fourth Amended Petition for Writ of Mandate filed in this
7 action.

8 b. In entering into this settlement of a dispute
9 based upon disputed facts, no party is deemed to have made any
10 admission of any such disputed fact. No respondent executing
11 this Order admits liability or any predicate fact necessary to
12 establish liability under the Fourth Amended Petition for Writ of
13 Mandate on file herein.

14 c. Pursuant to this Order, no district shall be
15 required to alter the use of or add any district facility, or
16 adopt or drop any element of its curriculum except as otherwise
17 required by state law.

18 20. CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION. The parties agree to
19 seek and support and the Court agrees to consider a motion for
20 proper certification of this action as a class action pursuant to
21 the standards of FRCP Rule 23(b)(1) or (2). The parties agree
22 that an appropriate class in this action consists of all children
23 born prior to the date of this Order residing in respondent
24 school districts who are or may be eligible to attend elementary
25 schools in any of the respondent school districts. The
26 respondent school districts shall provide such notice as shall be

1 required pursuant to applicable law, and the parties shall use
2 best faith efforts to complete all steps necessary under this
3 paragraph by April 10, 1986.

4 21. PUBLIC HEARING AND FINAL APPROVAL. This
5 Settlement Order has the support and recommendation of the Boards
6 of Education of the respondent school districts stipulating to
7 approval of the Order subject to this paragraph, except San
8 Carlos and Belmont which find the basic concepts of this proposed
9 Order reasonable and will present it to the public. Formal
10 execution of this Order is hereby deferred. The Boards of
11 Education of the respondent districts may conduct public
12 hearings. The Order shall be implemented if it is subsequently
13 ratified by respondent districts including Palo Alto and Menlo
14 Park comprising at least 66% of the annual transfer spaces
15 identified in paragraph 4n(1) by April 10, 1986.. The petitioners
16 agree to continue the litigation against all respondents not
17 ratifying this Order by April 10, 1986, and the petitioners agree
18 not to enter into settlement with such districts more favorable
19 than this Order without the consent of all districts executing
20 this Order.

21
22 APPROVED BY:

WITH THE AUTHORITY FROM AND
ON BEHALF OF ALL PETITIONERS

23
24 Date: 3/10/86

By Mrs. Margaret Linsley

25 Date: 3/10/86

By Ellen J. Linsley
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

RAVENSWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

MENLO PARK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

REDWOOD CITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

LAS LOMITAS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

WOODSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

PORTOLA VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

BELMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

SAN CARLOS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: _____

By _____

SEQUOIA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: 3/10/86

By Melvin D. Fushling, Superintendent

SAN MATEO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL
DISTRICT ORGANIZATION

Date: _____

By _____

SANTA CLARA COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL
DISTRICT ORGANIZATION

Date: _____

By _____

SAN MATEO COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF
SCHOOLS

Date: _____

By _____

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA

Date: _____

By _____

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Date: _____

By _____

1 IT IS SO ORDERED:

2 Date: _____

3 Judge of the Superior Court

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26