

**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS #26-B10-24  
PROJECT INSPECTOR SERVICES**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that Cupertino Union School District (“District”) is seeking qualified persons, firms, partnerships, corporations, associations, or professional organizations to provide full on-site project inspection services to verify compliance with approved plans and applicable codes, perform required site visits, and prepare inspection reports in support of necessary certification under its Measure Z Bond Program and other capital improvement projects.

Respondents to this RFQ should mail or deliver five (5) bound copies and one (1) emailed PDF copy of their submittal, labeled “Statement of Qualifications – Project Inspector,” as further described herein, to:

**Cupertino Union School District  
10301 Vista Drive  
Facility Modernization, Door 10  
Cupertino, CA 95014  
ATTN: Dana Ino, Director, Business Operations  
RFQ# 26-B10-24**

If you have any questions regarding this RFQ please submit them via email to Dana Ino, at [ino\\_dana@cusdk8.org](mailto:ino_dana@cusdk8.org) before **2:00 PM on February 19, 2026**. Responses will be provided by an Addendum to this RFQ by 5:00 PM on February 26, 2026. Bid information is available on the District’s website, <https://www.cusdk8.org/departments/purchasing/bid-opportunities>

**ALL RESPONSES ARE DUE BY 2:00 PM ON March 3, 2026.** It is the sole responsibility of the Respondents to ensure that the Proposal is submitted on time to the District. Any submittal received after that date and time will not be accepted and will be returned unopened. **FAXED OR EMAILED RESPONSES WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.**

Each submittal must conform and be responsive to the requirements set forth in this RFQ. The District reserves the right to waive any informalities or irregularities in received submittals. Further, the District reserves the right to reject any and all submittals and to negotiate contract terms with one or more respondent firms for one or more of the work items. The District retains the sole discretion to determine issues of compliance and to determine whether a respondent is responsive, responsible, and qualified.

**RFQ RESPONSE SCHEDULE SUMMARY**

The District reserves the right to change the dates on the schedule, set forth below, without prior notice.

<b>DATE / TIME</b>	<b>EVENT</b>
February 6, 2026	Issue RFQ # <b>26-B10-24</b> – Measure Z Project Inspector Services.
February 19, 2026 @ 2:00 pm	Deadline for submission of written questions to District concerning RFQ # <b>26-B10-24</b> .

February 26, 2026 @ 5:00 PM	Answers posted by addenda
March 3, 2026 @ 2:00 PM	RFQ # <b>26-B10-24</b> Bids Due
March 10, 2026 @ 12:00 PM	Release of short-listed firms.
March 13, 2026 @ 5:00 PM	Notice of Pre-Qualification Status

**BACKGROUND**

The Cupertino Union School District (“District”) comprises of 17 elementary schools, one TK8 school, and five middle schools located throughout the city of Cupertino and portions of Sunnyvale, San Jose, Saratoga, Los Altos, and Santa Clara.

Located in the heart of Silicon Valley, CUSD consistently ranks amongst the top performing elementary (TK-8) school districts in California. The District employs approximately 1,480 Full Time Equivalent (FTE) staff and serves a highly diverse student population of approximately 13,500 that encompasses over 20 nationalities and 45 languages.

In November 2024, the voters approved Cupertino Union School District’s Measure Z authorizing \$347 million to make critical renovations and improve schools and classrooms throughout the District.

Briefly stated, the District is seeking experienced and proven professionals to provide project inspection services on an as-needed basis for projects. This Request for Qualifications (“RFQ”) defines the project inspector services sought and generally outlines the District’s requirements. Respondents to this RFQ shall submit a completed Statement of Qualifications (“SOQ”). The District intends to select one pool, of qualified firms for future consideration to provide project inspection services for certain future projects.

**POOL OF QUALIFIED APPLICANTS AND RECERTIFICATION**

Requests for recertification may be sent every two (2) years. Firms who do not reply to the request for recertification may be deleted from the pool of prequalified firms, at the sole discretion of the District. Additional firms may be added to the pool, at the District’s sole discretion, as the District determines the need for additional services.

**SCOPE OF SERVICES**

Any firm selected based on this RFQ process must be capable of providing full project inspector services through ensuring that all code-prescribed inspections and administrative duties are completed, including supervision of assistant inspectors and monitoring of special inspectors. The Project Inspector must comply with all duties and performance criteria cited in DSA IR A-8 and affiliated documents. The Project Inspector must maintain their DSA Project Inspector certification valid throughout the duration of the assignment to any project and fulfill the requirements of the DSA re-certification program as necessary.

The District’s form of Professional Services Agreement (“Agreement”) is distributed with this RFQ as **EXHIBIT A** and incorporated herein by this reference. The exact scope of services, however, will be negotiated with the selected firm and finalized in any resulting contract.

## **LIMITATIONS**

This RFQ is neither a formal request for bids nor an offer by the District to contract with any party responding to this RFQ. The District makes no representation that participation in the RFQ process will lead to an award of contract or any consideration whatsoever. The District shall in no event be responsible for the cost of preparing any response to this RFQ. The awarding of a contract, if at all, is at the sole discretion of the District.

The submittals and any other supporting materials submitted to the District in response to this RFQ will not be returned and will become the property of the District unless portions of the material are designated as proprietary at the time of submittal and are specifically requested to be returned. Vague designations and/or blanket statements regarding entire pages or documents are insufficient and will not bind the District to protect the designated matter from disclosure. Pursuant to *Michaelis, Montanari, & Johnson v. Superior Court* (2006) 38 Cal.4th 1065, submittals shall be held confidential by the District and shall not be subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act until after either: (1) the District and the successful respondent have completed negotiations and entered into an agreement, or (2) the District has rejected all respondents. Furthermore, the District will have no liability to the respondent or any other party as a result of any public disclosure of any submittal or supporting material.

## **FULL OPPORTUNITY**

No respondent will be discriminated against on the basis of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, sexual orientation, or military and veteran status in any consideration leading to the award of the contract. The District also affirmatively ensures that Disadvantaged Business Enterprises ("DBE"), Small Local Business Enterprises ("SLBE"), Small Emerging Local Business Enterprises ("SELBE"), and Disabled Veterans Business Enterprises ("DVBE") shall be afforded full opportunity to respond to this RFQ.

## **RESTRICTIONS ON LOBBYING AND CONTACTS**

From the period beginning on the date of the issuance of this RFQ and ending on the date of the award of the contract, no person, or entity submitting in response to this RFQ, nor any officer, employee, representative, agent, or consultant representing such a person or entity shall contact through any means or engage in any discussion regarding this RFQ, the evaluation or selection process, or the award of the contract with any member of the District, Board of Education, Selection Committee, or any member of the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee. Any such contact shall be grounds for the disqualification of the entity submitting a response.

## **INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMITTALS**

### **A. FORMAT REQUIREMENTS**

Respondents must comply with the following format requirements. Material must be in 8-1/2 x 11 inch format with font no less than 11 point font size. Submittals shall include divider tabs labeled with boldface headers below; e.g. the first tab would be entitled "Cover Letter", the second tab would be entitled "Business Information", etc.

Submittals shall be no more than thirty (30) pages double sided in length. This page limitation excludes front/back covers, divider sheets/tabs, and allowed appendices. Submittals containing more than the authorized number of pages will not be considered.

Provide five (5) bound copies and one (1) PDF copy via email of the submittal. The electronic copy will only be accepted via a flash drive in the following programs: Microsoft Office Suite or PDF.

## **B. CONTENT REQUIREMENTS**

### **1. COVER LETTER**

Provide a letter of introduction signed by an authorized officer of the firm. Clearly identify the individual(s) authorized to speak for the firm during the evaluation process. If the respondent is a joint venture, duplicate the signature block and have an authorized officer sign on behalf of each party to the joint venture.

Include a brief description of why your firm is well suited for, and can meet, the District's needs.

Respondent must include one (1) of the following statements:

*"[INSERT FIRM NAME] received a copy of the District's form of Professional Services Agreement ("Agreement") attached as EXHIBIT 'A' to the RFQ. [INSERT FIRM NAME] has reviewed the indemnity provisions and professional liability insurance provisions contained in the Agreement. If given the opportunity to contract with the District, [INSERT FIRM NAME] has no objections to the use of the Agreement."*

OR

*"[INSERT FIRM NAME] received a copy of the District's form of Professional Services Agreement ("Agreement") attached as EXHIBIT 'A' to the RFQ. [INSERT FIRM NAME] has reviewed the indemnity provisions and professional liability insurance provisions contained in the Agreement. If given the opportunity to contract with the District, [INSERT FIRM NAME] has objections to the use of the Agreement, as listed in this Submittal."*

Respondent shall certify that no official or employee of the District, nor any business entity in which an official of the District has an interest, has been employed or retained to solicit or assist in the procuring of the resulting contract(s), nor that any such person will be employed in the performance of any/all contract(s) without immediate divulgence of this fact to the District.

Respondent shall certify that no official or employee of the firm has ever been convicted of an ethics violation.

Respondent shall sign and add the following language: *"By virtue of this submission, [INSERT FIRM NAME] declares that all information provided is true and correct."*

### **2. BUSINESS INFORMATION**

- Company name.
- Address.

- Telephone.
- Fax.
- Website.
- Name and email of main contact.
- Federal Tax I.D. Number.
- License or Registration Number.
- Type of organization (i.e. corporation, partnership, etc.). If a joint venture, describe the division of responsibilities between participating companies, offices (location) that would be the primary participants, and percentage interest of each firm.
- A brief description and history of the firm, including number of years the firm has been in business and date firm was established under its given name.
- Number of employees (licensed professionals, technical support).
- Location of office where the bulk of services solicited will be performed.
- State of California certification for your firm of Small Business or Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise status, if any.

### **3. RELEVANT QUALIFICATIONS**

- Describe your experience with DSA and working within the DSA processes.
- Describe your firm's approach to quality control/assurance procedures, including coordination of design disciplines and DSA final certification.
- Describe the approach to conformance with federal/state/local applicable code requirements, including Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.
- Describe your firm's approach to modernization projects versus new construction projects.

### **4. PROPOSED PROGRAM TEAM**

The selected firm shall employ at its expense professionals properly licensed and skilled in the execution of the functions required for the architectural services.

Identify the key personnel you would assign to the District's Program for each phase of work, including their roles and their relevant experience related to the Exhibit 'B' - Bond Project List. Describe for each his or her experience with public school construction projects, including identifying those projects for the past five (5) years. The District expects that the team shall remain intact through the duration of the project(s). If a team member must leave, the District reserves the right to approve that team member's replacement.

## **5. RELEVANT EXPERIENCE AND REFERENCES**

Provide information about prior services furnished by your firm in the last ten (10) years for a minimum of five (5) TK-8 educational projects, and list the following for each project:

- District name and name of contact person, title, telephone number, and email address to be contacted for a reference.
- Project name and location.
- Beginning and end dates of project (i.e., Notice of Completion and DSA final certification).
- Square footage.
- Main program elements.
- Original budget, bid amount & final amount at close-out.
- Number of RFI's and Change Orders.
- Project delivery method utilized.
- Briefly state relevance of the project for consideration in this RFQ.
- Specify role of firm or individual if work was not exclusively by the firm (i.e., joint venture, association).
- Key individuals of the firm involved and their roles in the project.
- Any sub-consultants that worked with the firm.

Identify any and all TK-8 educational projects that have not been closed-out by DSA and provide explanation.

## **6. LITIGATION HISTORY**

Provide a comprehensive five (5)-year summary of the firm's litigation, arbitration and negotiated/settled claims history with previous clients. State the issues in the litigation, the status of the litigation, names of parties, and outcome.

Provide a comprehensive five (5)-year summary of any claims (whether or not pursued through litigation) in which your firm has been involved. Provide details as to the parties involved, the scope and nature of the claim, the status of the claim, and the outcome of the claim, if any.

Responses failing to provide the requested information on litigation, and responses which assert attorney-client privilege and fail to provide the information requested, will be considered non-responsive, disqualified from the selection process, and will not be evaluated.

## **7. FEE INFORMATION**

Provide fee information, including, at a minimum, hourly billing rates by position (proposed); The final scope of services and not-to-exceed fee will be negotiated in any resulting contract.

## **8. COMMENTS TO FORM OF AGREEMENT**

A form of the Professional Services Agreement has been distributed with this RFQ as **Exhibit 'A'**. The final form of the Agreement will incorporate the final scope of work and final fee, which shall be negotiated with the successful proposer. **As noted above, any proposed changes to the form of Agreement must be identified in respondent's submittal; undisclosed change requests may not be entertained.**

### **EVALUATION AND SELECTION**

The District's Selection Committee will evaluate all submissions. The District retains the sole discretion to determine issues of compliance and to determine whether a respondent is responsive, responsible, and qualified.

#### **A. CRITERIA**

The criteria for evaluating submissions may include, without limitation, the following:

- Experience and performance history of the firm with similar services;
- Experience and results of proposed personnel;
- References from clients contacted by the District;
- Technical capabilities and track record of use;
- Value of services under proposed fees; and
- Overall responsiveness of the submittal.
- The applicants hold valid DSA Project Inspector Certifications.
- The proposer has been the DSA Project Inspector for at least five (5) projects that has been closed out with the DSA Certification, or the proposing individual has been the DSA Project Inspector for at least three (3) projects that have been closed out with DSA Certification.

#### **B. DISTRICT INVESTIGATIONS**

The District may perform investigations of respondents that extend beyond contacting references identified in the submittals. The District may request a firm to submit additional information pertinent to the review process, and the District also reserves the right to investigate and rely upon information from other available sources in addition to any documents or information submitted.

### **CREATION OF A POOL AND AWARD OF CONTRACT**

After the interviews, if any, the Selection Committee will create a pool of qualified firm(s). The District makes no representation that participation in the RFQ process will lead to an award of contract or any consideration whatsoever. The District reserves the right to seek proposals from or to contract with any firm not participating in this process. The District shall in no event be responsible for the cost of preparing any response to this RFQ.

The District reserves the right to contract with any entity responding to this RFQ for all or portions of the above-described services, to reject any submittal as non-responsive, and/or not to contract with any respondent for the services described herein. The awarding of contract(s) is at the sole discretion of the District.