

Date 01/09/2026

Participants: Amy Love

Chandler Taylor

Cameron smith

Teil Samuels

Maleka Long

Arthur Gray

James Marks

Carolyn bell

Location: Long Beach Non-Profit Center

Agenda

Agenda Item Notes:

1. Who are we?
 - a. Good feedback on the meeting being more intimate, Arthur Gray: enjoys being in community and this type of meeting style”.
 - b. People echoed enjoying being able to work with familiar faces
2. Welcoming ourselves into community
 - a. During reflection, the group shared feeling joy and appreciated being able to learn a little about others in the room.
 - b. Members wrote down 3 values that brought them to the work, members shared 1 out to the group
 - c. Values will be used to guide community agreements during the next meeting
3. Advisory Committee Roles
 - a. Did not review
 - b. Committee members will review independently and email any questions or concerns
 - c. Advisory committee member roles will be established next meeting
4. Why, How, What
 - a. Discussion of referral process, service gaps (Lakewood jurisdiction), pre vs post adjudication diversion, informal diversion and preventative services, process for partnership with confluence rising, data review, recidivism and program adaptation through the first year
 - b. Gray: Do youth still have a CII number in the system? Who pauses the citation? How is recidivism data collected and does it include data outside of school safety
5. First Draft feedback
 - a. Marks-affirming use of trusted CBO partnerships to support vulnerable youth

Next Steps:

- Develop method to identify out of district recidivism
- Develop community agreements during next meeting