

[Back](#)

MHSCPC Staff Survey Results Overview

Respondents: 136 displayed, 136 total

Status: Open

Launched Date: N/A

Closed Date: 10/12/2023

Display: Display all pages and questions ▼

Active Report Filters: None Active.

Manage Filters: 0 filters

Share Results: Disabled

[More](#)

1. Classroom

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Classroom Appearance (aesthetics)	8.82% (12)	56.62% (77)	27.94% (38)	1.47% (2)	5.15% (7)	136
Classroom Size (dimensions)	13.97% (19)	40.44% (55)	36.76% (50)	2.21% (3)	6.62% (9)	136
Classroom Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	23.53% (32)	48.53% (66)	20.59% (28)	0.74% (1)	6.62% (9)	136
Classroom Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	13.24% (18)	47.79% (65)	30.15% (41)	2.21% (3)	6.62% (9)	136
Classroom Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	63.24% (86)	25% (34)	5.15% (7)	0.74% (1)	5.88% (8)	136
					Total Respondents	136

2. Library

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Library Appearance (aesthetics)	1.47% (2)	25% (34)	55.15% (75)	13.24% (18)	5.15% (7)	136
Library Size (dimensions)	1.47% (2)	17.65% (24)	61.76% (84)	10.29% (14)	8.82% (12)	136
Library Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	2.94% (4)	19.85% (27)	52.94% (72)	14.71% (20)	9.56% (13)	136
Library Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	5.15% (7)	23.53% (32)	51.47% (70)	8.82% (12)	11.03% (15)	136
Library Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	21.32% (29)	24.26% (33)	29.41% (40)	3.68% (5)	21.32% (29)	136
					Total Respondents	136

3. Administrative Offices

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Administrative Offices Appearance (aesthetics)	8.82% (12)	44.12% (60)	22.06% (30)	2.21% (3)	22.79% (31)	136
Administrative Offices Size (dimensions)	11.76% (16)	34.56% (47)	25.74% (35)	2.94% (4)	25% (34)	136
Administrative Offices Furniture (desks/chairs/shelving)	5.15% (7)	33.09% (45)	29.41% (40)	2.94% (4)	29.41% (40)	136
Administrative Offices Location (position in building)	16.18% (22)	33.09% (45)	25.74% (35)	3.68% (5)	21.32% (29)	136
Administrative Offices Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	22.06% (30)	19.12% (26)	13.24% (18)	2.21% (3)	43.38% (59)	136
					Total Respondents	136

4. Faculty Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Faculty Room Appearance (aesthetics)	33.09% (45)	48.53% (66)	14.71% (20)	0.74% (1)	2.94% (4)	136
Faculty Room Location (position in building)	27.21% (37)	25% (34)	43.38% (59)	1.47% (2)	2.94% (4)	136
Faculty Room Size (dimensions)	26.47% (36)	30.15% (41)	36.76% (50)	1.47% (2)	5.15% (7)	136

Faculty Room Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	25.74% (35)	37.5% (51)	32.35% (44)	0.74% (1)	3.68% (5)	136
Faculty Room Furniture (desks/chairs/shelving)	27.94% (38)	44.85% (61)	22.79% (31)	0.74% (1)	3.68% (5)	136
Faculty Room Appliances/Equipment	30.88% (42)	46.32% (63)	16.91% (23)	0.74% (1)	5.15% (7)	136
Faculty Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	31.62% (43)	35.29% (48)	19.85% (27)	0.74% (1)	12.5% (17)	136
Total Respondents						136

5. Faculty Restroom

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Faculty Restroom Appearance (aesthetics)	21.32% (29)	43.38% (59)	31.62% (43)	0.74% (1)	2.94% (4)	136
Faculty Restroom Size (dimensions)	16.91% (23)	26.47% (36)	50.74% (69)	1.47% (2)	4.41% (6)	136
Faculty Restroom Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	20.59% (28)	30.88% (42)	43.38% (59)	2.94% (4)	2.21% (3)	136
Faculty Restroom Furniture (stalls, urinals, sinks, mirrors, towel dispensers)	22.06% (30)	41.91% (57)	33.09% (45)	0.74% (1)	2.21% (3)	136
Faculty Restroom Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	32.35% (44)	28.68% (39)	33.09% (45)	0.74% (1)	5.15% (7)	136
Total Respondents						136

6. Science Lab

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Science Lab Appearance (aesthetics)	5.88% (8)	20.59% (28)	13.24% (18)	0.74% (1)	59.56% (81)	136
Science Lab Size (dimensions)	5.88% (8)	20.59% (28)	11.76% (16)	1.47% (2)	60.29% (82)	136
Science Lab Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	8.09% (11)	19.85% (27)	10.29% (14)	1.47% (2)	60.29% (82)	136
Science Lab Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	5.15% (7)	21.32% (29)	11.03% (15)	1.47% (2)	61.03% (83)	136
Science Lab Equipment	6.62% (9)	22.06% (30)	7.35% (10)	2.21% (3)	61.76% (84)	136
Science Lab Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	19.85% (27)	15.44% (21)	5.88% (8)	0.74% (1)	58.09% (79)	136
Total Respondents						136

7. Technology Education Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Technology Education Room Appearance (aesthetics)	11.03% (15)	17.65% (24)	6.62% (9)	1.47% (2)	63.24% (86)	136
Technology Education Room Size (dimensions)	11.03% (15)	18.38% (25)	8.09% (11)	1.47% (2)	61.03% (83)	136
Technology Education Room Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	13.97% (19)	16.91% (23)	5.15% (7)	1.47% (2)	62.5% (85)	136
Technology Education Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	11.03% (15)	16.18% (22)	8.09% (11)	1.47% (2)	63.24% (86)	136
Technology Education Room Equipment	11.76% (16)	17.65% (24)	6.62% (9)	1.47% (2)	62.5% (85)	136
Technology Education Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	22.06% (30)	15.44% (21)	2.94% (4)	0.74% (1)	58.82% (80)	136
Total Respondents						136

8. Family Consumer Science Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Family Consumer Science Room Appearance (aesthetics)	13.24% (18)	22.79% (31)	8.82% (12)	1.47% (2)	53.68% (73)	136
Family Consumer Science Room Size (dimensions)	13.97% (19)	21.32% (29)	11.76% (16)	0.74% (1)	52.21% (71)	136
Family Consumer Science Room Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	12.5% (17)	18.38% (25)	13.97% (19)	0.74% (1)	54.41% (74)	136
Family Consumer Science Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	12.5% (17)	19.85% (27)	11.03% (15)	0.74% (1)	55.88% (76)	136
Family Consumer Science Room Equipment	13.24% (18)	23.53% (32)	6.62% (9)	0.74% (1)	55.88% (76)	136
Family Consumer Science Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	23.53% (32)	13.24% (18)	4.41% (6)	0.74% (1)	58.09% (79)	136
Total Respondents						136

9. Life Skills Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
--	------------	-------------------	--------------------	----------------------	------------	----------------

Life Skills Room Appearance (aesthetics)	27.94% (38)	22.06% (30)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	47.06% (64)	136
Life Skills Room Size (dimensions)	31.62% (43)	17.65% (24)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	46.32% (63)	136
Life Skills Room Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	29.41% (40)	19.12% (26)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	47.06% (64)	136
Life Skills Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	27.94% (38)	20.59% (28)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	47.06% (64)	136
Life Skills Room Equipment	29.41% (40)	19.12% (26)	1.47% (2)	0.74% (1)	49.26% (67)	136
Life Skills Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	33.82% (46)	13.97% (19)	1.47% (2)	0.74% (1)	50% (68)	136
Total Respondents						136

10. Hallway Appearance

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Hallway Appearance (aesthetics)	26.47% (36)	52.94% (72)	18.38% (25)	0.74% (1)	1.47% (2)	136
Hallway Size (dimensions)	38.97% (53)	45.59% (62)	13.24% (18)	0.74% (1)	1.47% (2)	136
Hallway Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	36.03% (49)	34.56% (47)	20.59% (28)	0.74% (1)	8.09% (11)	136
Total Respondents						136

11. Main Gymnasium

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Main Gymnasium Appearance (aesthetics)	13.24% (18)	24.26% (33)	44.85% (61)	3.68% (5)	13.97% (19)	136
Main Gymnasium Size (dimensions)	22.79% (31)	30.15% (41)	30.88% (42)	1.47% (2)	14.71% (20)	136
Main Gymnasium Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	16.91% (23)	26.47% (36)	34.56% (47)	2.21% (3)	19.85% (27)	136
Main Gymnasium Spectator Seating	22.06% (30)	33.09% (45)	26.47% (36)	1.47% (2)	16.91% (23)	136
Main Gymnasium Equipment	9.56% (13)	27.94% (38)	23.53% (32)	0.74% (1)	38.24% (52)	136
Main Gymnasium Storage (location for equipment)	17.65% (24)	24.26% (33)	13.24% (18)	0.74% (1)	44.12% (60)	136
Main Gymnasium Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	25% (34)	22.06% (30)	18.38% (25)	0.74% (1)	33.82% (46)	136
Total Respondents						136

12. Gymnasiums

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Main Gymnasium Appearance (aesthetics)	19.85% (27)	24.26% (33)	28.68% (39)	2.94% (4)	24.26% (33)	136
Main Gymnasium Size (dimensions)	24.26% (33)	25.74% (35)	25.74% (35)	1.47% (2)	22.79% (31)	136
Main Gymnasium Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	19.85% (27)	25.74% (35)	26.47% (36)	2.21% (3)	25.74% (35)	136
Main Gymnasium Spectator Seating	25% (34)	28.68% (39)	22.06% (30)	1.47% (2)	22.79% (31)	136
Main Gymnasium Equipment	13.97% (19)	25.74% (35)	17.65% (24)	0.74% (1)	41.91% (57)	136
Main Gymnasium Storage (location for equipment)	19.12% (26)	18.38% (25)	11.76% (16)	0.74% (1)	50% (68)	136
Main Gymnasium Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	26.47% (36)	19.12% (26)	17.65% (24)	0.74% (1)	36.03% (49)	136
Aux Gymnasium (boys/girls gym) Appearance (aesthetics)	27.94% (38)	25.74% (35)	11.76% (16)	0.74% (1)	33.82% (46)	136
Aux Gymnasium (boys/girls gym) Size (dimensions)	30.15% (41)	21.32% (29)	13.97% (19)	0.74% (1)	33.82% (46)	136
Aux Gymnasium (boys/girls gym) Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	27.94% (38)	21.32% (29)	13.97% (19)	0.74% (1)	36.03% (49)	136
Aux Gymnasium (boys/girls gym) Spectator Seating	36.76% (50)	20.59% (28)	5.88% (8)	0.74% (1)	36.03% (49)	136
Aux Gymnasium (boys/girls gym) Equipment	24.26% (33)	19.85% (27)	9.56% (13)	0.74% (1)	45.59% (62)	136
Aux Gymnasium Storage (location for equipment)	26.47% (36)	16.91% (23)	6.62% (9)	0.74% (1)	49.26% (67)	136
Aux Gymnasium Climate (boys/girls gym) (air conditioning/heat/noise)	32.35% (44)	19.12% (26)	8.09% (11)	0.74% (1)	39.71% (54)	136
Total Respondents						136

13. Cafeteria

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Cafeteria Appearance (aesthetics)	19.85% (27)	53.68% (73)	20.59% (28)	0.74% (1)	5.15% (7)	136
Cafeteria Size (dimensions)	36.03% (49)	40.44% (55)	13.97% (19)	0.74% (1)	8.82% (12)	136
Cafeteria Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	27.94% (38)	39.71% (54)	21.32% (29)	0.74% (1)	10.29% (14)	136
Cafeteria Furniture (student tables/chairs/counters)	22.79% (31)	44.85% (61)	24.26% (33)	1.47% (2)	6.62% (9)	136
Cafeteria Appliances (student use)	20.59% (28)	36.03% (49)	22.79% (31)	0.74% (1)	19.85% (27)	136
Cafeteria Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	30.88% (42)	30.15% (41)	19.85% (27)	1.47% (2)	17.65% (24)	136

Total Respondents 136

14. WiFi and Cellular Reception

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
District provided WiFi reception	41.18% (56)	38.24% (52)	16.91% (23)	0.74% (1)	2.94% (4)	136
Personal Cellular WiFi reception	52.94% (72)	30.88% (42)	10.29% (14)	0.74% (1)	5.15% (7)	136
Personal Cellular Phone reception	57.35% (78)	29.41% (40)	9.56% (13)	0.74% (1)	2.94% (4)	136
Total Respondents						136

15. Locker Rooms

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Physical Education locker room Appearance (aesthetics)	21.32% (29)	15.44% (21)	1.47% (2)	0.74% (1)	61.03% (83)	136
Physical Education locker room Size (dimensions)	22.06% (30)	14.71% (20)	1.47% (2)	0.74% (1)	61.03% (83)	136
Physical Education locker room Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	20.59% (28)	14.71% (20)	1.47% (2)	0.74% (1)	62.5% (85)	136
Physical Education locker room Furniture (student benches, lockers, showers)	22.06% (30)	13.97% (19)	0.74% (1)	0.74% (1)	62.5% (85)	136
Physical Education locker room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	24.26% (33)	11.76% (16)	0.74% (1)	0.74% (1)	62.5% (85)	136
Athletic Team locker room Appearance (aesthetics)	22.79% (31)	13.97% (19)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	60.29% (82)	136
Athletic Team locker room Size (dimensions)	22.79% (31)	14.71% (20)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	59.56% (81)	136
Athletic Team locker room Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	19.85% (27)	16.18% (22)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	59.56% (81)	136
Athletic Team locker room Furniture (student benches, lockers, showers)	21.32% (29)	16.18% (22)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	59.56% (81)	136
Athletic Team locker room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	23.53% (32)	16.18% (22)	0.74% (1)	0.74% (1)	58.82% (80)	136
Student Locker Appearance (aesthetics)	16.18% (22)	14.71% (20)	5.88% (8)	0.74% (1)	62.5% (85)	136
Student Locker Size (dimensions)	16.18% (22)	13.24% (18)	7.35% (10)	0.74% (1)	62.5% (85)	136
Student Locker Location (places in building)	15.44% (21)	13.97% (19)	7.35% (10)	0.74% (1)	62.5% (85)	136
Student Locker Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	17.65% (24)	16.18% (22)	4.41% (6)	0.74% (1)	61.03% (83)	136
Total Respondents						136

16. Student Restrooms

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Student Restroom Appearance (aesthetics)	27.94% (38)	51.47% (70)	12.5% (17)	0.74% (1)	7.35% (10)	136
Student Restroom Size (dimensions)	21.32% (29)	43.38% (59)	27.21% (37)	0.74% (1)	7.35% (10)	136
Student Restroom Location (position(s) in building)	16.18% (22)	27.94% (38)	46.32% (63)	2.21% (3)	7.35% (10)	136
Student Restroom Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	15.44% (21)	41.18% (56)	35.29% (48)	0.74% (1)	7.35% (10)	136
Student Restroom Furniture (stalls, urinals, sinks, mirrors, towel dispensers)	22.79% (31)	52.21% (71)	16.18% (22)	0.74% (1)	8.09% (11)	136
Student Restroom Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	30.88% (42)	35.29% (48)	14.71% (20)	0.74% (1)	18.38% (25)	136
Total Respondents						136

17. Weight Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Weight Room Appearance (aesthetics)	40.44% (55)	17.65% (24)	0.74% (1)	0.74% (1)	40.44% (55)	136
Weight Room Size (dimensions)	45.59% (62)	12.5% (17)	0.74% (1)	0.74% (1)	40.44% (55)	136
Weight Room Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	41.18% (56)	15.44% (21)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	40.44% (55)	136
Weight Room Equipment (weights/machines)	39.71% (54)	17.65% (24)	1.47% (2)	0.74% (1)	40.44% (55)	136
Weight Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	37.5% (51)	16.91% (23)	4.41% (6)	0.74% (1)	40.44% (55)	136
Total Respondents						136

18. Storage

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
--	------------	-------------------	--------------------	----------------------	------------	----------------

Storage Location(s) (inside building)	27.21% (37)	23.53% (32)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	44.85% (61)	136
Storage Location Size (internal dimensions)	27.94% (38)	22.06% (30)	4.41% (6)	0.74% (1)	44.85% (61)	136
Storage Location Functionality (inside building) (layout or design serves purpose)	26.47% (36)	21.32% (29)	5.15% (7)	0.74% (1)	46.32% (63)	136
Total Storage Space (inside building)	27.21% (37)	23.53% (32)	2.94% (4)	0.74% (1)	45.59% (62)	136
Storage Location(s) (outside building)	21.32% (29)	20.59% (28)	2.94% (4)	0.74% (1)	54.41% (74)	136
Storage Location Size (outside building)	22.06% (30)	18.38% (25)	2.94% (4)	0.74% (1)	55.88% (76)	136
Storage Location Functionality (outside building)	22.06% (30)	17.65% (24)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	55.88% (76)	136
Total Storage Space (outside building)	22.79% (31)	17.65% (24)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	56.62% (77)	136
Total Respondents						136

19. Art Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Art Room Appearance (aesthetics)	5.88% (8)	26.47% (36)	7.35% (10)	0.74% (1)	59.56% (81)	136
Art Room Size (dimensions)	10.29% (14)	19.85% (27)	9.56% (13)	0.74% (1)	59.56% (81)	136
Art Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	9.56% (13)	22.79% (31)	5.15% (7)	0.74% (1)	61.76% (84)	136
Art Room Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	7.35% (10)	23.53% (32)	6.62% (9)	0.74% (1)	61.76% (84)	136
Art Room Storage (space for supplies)	10.29% (14)	22.06% (30)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	64.71% (88)	136
Art Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	17.65% (24)	16.91% (23)	2.94% (4)	0.74% (1)	61.76% (84)	136
Total Respondents						136

20. Music Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Music Room Appearance (aesthetics)	8.82% (12)	18.38% (25)	7.35% (10)	2.21% (3)	63.24% (86)	136
Music Room Size (dimensions)	8.82% (12)	15.44% (21)	8.82% (12)	2.94% (4)	63.97% (87)	136
Music Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	10.29% (14)	15.44% (21)	6.62% (9)	1.47% (2)	66.18% (90)	136
Music Room Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	6.62% (9)	17.65% (24)	7.35% (10)	1.47% (2)	66.91% (91)	136
Music Room Storage (space for supplies/instruments)	12.5% (17)	11.76% (16)	6.62% (9)	1.47% (2)	67.65% (92)	136
Music Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	14.71% (20)	11.76% (16)	5.88% (8)	1.47% (2)	66.18% (90)	136
Total Respondents						136

21. Band Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Band Room Appearance (aesthetics)	4.41% (6)	19.12% (26)	8.09% (11)	2.21% (3)	66.18% (90)	136
Band Room Size (dimensions)	4.41% (6)	19.85% (27)	5.88% (8)	2.94% (4)	66.91% (91)	136
Band Room Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	6.62% (9)	15.44% (21)	7.35% (10)	2.21% (3)	68.38% (93)	136
Band Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	5.88% (8)	16.91% (23)	5.15% (7)	2.21% (3)	69.85% (95)	136
Band Room Storage (space for supplies/instruments)	8.82% (12)	12.5% (17)	5.15% (7)	2.21% (3)	71.32% (97)	136
Band Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	12.5% (17)	11.03% (15)	3.68% (5)	1.47% (2)	71.32% (97)	136
Total Respondents						136

22. Chorus/Vocal Room

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Chorus/Vocal Room Appearance (aesthetics)	8.82% (12)	17.65% (24)	4.41% (6)	2.21% (3)	66.91% (91)	136
Chorus/Vocal Room Size (dimensions)	9.56% (13)	15.44% (21)	5.88% (8)	2.94% (4)	66.18% (90)	136
Chorus/Vocal Room Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	8.82% (12)	15.44% (21)	5.15% (7)	1.47% (2)	69.12% (94)	136
Chorus/Vocal Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	8.82% (12)	16.91% (23)	4.41% (6)	1.47% (2)	68.38% (93)	136
Chorus/Vocal Room Storage (space for supplies/instruments)	8.82% (12)	14.71% (20)	5.15% (7)	1.47% (2)	69.85% (95)	136
Chorus/Vocal Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	13.97% (19)	11.76% (16)	3.68% (5)	1.47% (2)	69.12% (94)	136
Total Respondents						136

23. Nursing Office

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Nursing Office Appearance (aesthetics)	13.97% (19)	39.71% (54)	22.79% (31)	1.47% (2)	22.06% (30)	136
Nursing Office Location (position within building)	15.44% (21)	25.74% (35)	36.76% (50)	1.47% (2)	20.59% (28)	136
Nursing Office Size (dimensions)	33.09% (45)	36.03% (49)	5.88% (8)	0.74% (1)	24.26% (33)	136
Nursing Office Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	22.06% (30)	41.91% (57)	8.09% (11)	0.74% (1)	27.21% (37)	136
Nursing Office Furniture (tables/beds/desks/chairs/shelving/etc.)	20.59% (28)	39.71% (54)	8.09% (11)	0.74% (1)	30.88% (42)	136
Nursing Office Storage (space for supplies)	24.26% (33)	28.68% (39)	5.15% (7)	0.74% (1)	41.18% (56)	136
Nursing Office Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	27.21% (37)	21.32% (29)	11.03% (15)	0.74% (1)	39.71% (54)	136
					Total Respondents	136

24. Counseling Office

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Counseling Office Appearance (aesthetics)	5.88% (8)	35.29% (48)	30.88% (42)	3.68% (5)	24.26% (33)	136
Counseling Office Location (position within building)	7.35% (10)	21.32% (29)	44.85% (61)	6.62% (9)	19.85% (27)	136
Counseling Office Size (dimensions)	13.97% (19)	25.74% (35)	27.94% (38)	4.41% (6)	27.94% (38)	136
Counseling Office Functionality (layout or design serves purpose)	11.76% (16)	26.47% (36)	25.74% (35)	4.41% (6)	31.62% (43)	136
Counseling Office Furniture (tables/desks/chairs/shelving/etc.)	9.56% (13)	30.15% (41)	21.32% (29)	4.41% (6)	34.56% (47)	136
Counseling Office Storage (space for supplies)	12.5% (17)	22.79% (31)	13.97% (19)	3.68% (5)	47.06% (64)	136
Counseling Office Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	25% (34)	19.85% (27)	13.24% (18)	2.94% (4)	38.97% (53)	136
					Total Respondents	136

25. Accessibility

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Accessibility inside building for all including students with disabilities	51.47% (70)	30.88% (42)	7.35% (10)	1.47% (2)	8.82% (12)	136
Accessibility outside building for all including students with disabilities	44.85% (61)	33.09% (45)	11.76% (16)	0.74% (1)	9.56% (13)	136
					Total Respondents	136

26. General

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Overall External Campus Appearance	14.71% (20)	55.15% (75)	27.21% (37)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	136
Overall External Building Appearance	15.44% (21)	56.62% (77)	25% (34)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	136
Overall Internal Building Appearance	30.88% (42)	55.88% (76)	10.29% (14)	1.47% (2)	1.47% (2)	136
Overall Internal Building Functionality (layout or design serves instructional purpose)	44.85% (61)	43.38% (59)	8.09% (11)	1.47% (2)	2.21% (3)	136
Visibility of School Spirit inside building	24.26% (33)	52.21% (71)	18.38% (25)	2.21% (3)	2.94% (4)	136
Visibility of School Spirit outside building	35.29% (48)	48.53% (66)	8.82% (12)	0.74% (1)	6.62% (9)	136
Student gathering spaces (space in building students can collaborate/socialize)	47.06% (64)	41.91% (57)	7.35% (10)	1.47% (2)	2.21% (3)	136
Staff gathering spaces (space in building students can collaborate/socialize)	47.79% (65)	40.44% (55)	8.09% (11)	1.47% (2)	2.21% (3)	136
					Total Respondents	136

27. Auditorium

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Auditorium Stage Sound System (dependable/functional)	47.06% (64)	27.94% (38)	5.15% (7)	0.74% (1)	19.12% (26)	136
Auditorium Stage Lighting System (dependable/functional)	39.71% (54)	32.35% (44)	6.62% (9)	0.74% (1)	20.59% (28)	136
Auditorium Appearance (aesthetics)	42.65% (58)	40.44% (55)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	12.5% (17)	136
Auditorium Size (dimensions)	54.41% (74)	26.47% (36)	6.62% (9)	0.74% (1)	11.76% (16)	136
Auditorium Functionality (layout or design serves performance purpose)	41.18% (56)	31.62% (43)	9.56% (13)	0.74% (1)	16.91% (23)	136
Auditorium Furniture (seating)	41.18% (56)	34.56% (47)	11.76% (16)	0.74% (1)	11.76% (16)	136
Auditorium Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	41.18% (56)	25.74% (35)	11.03% (15)	0.74% (1)	21.32% (29)	136
					Total Respondents	136

28. Natatorium (room that contains pool)

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Natatorium (room that contains pool) Appearance (aesthetics)	22.79% (31)	21.32% (29)	7.35% (10)	0.74% (1)	47.79% (65)	136
Natatorium (room that contains pool) Accessibility (student access)	22.79% (31)	18.38% (25)	6.62% (9)	0.74% (1)	51.47% (70)	136
Natatorium (room that contains pool) Size (dimensions)	21.32% (29)	19.85% (27)	8.09% (11)	0.74% (1)	50% (68)	136
Natatorium (room that contains pool) Functionality (layout or design serves performance purpose)	19.12% (26)	22.06% (30)	8.09% (11)	0.74% (1)	50% (68)	136
Natatorium (room that contains pool) Furniture (student benches, platforms)	22.79% (31)	20.59% (28)	5.88% (8)	0.74% (1)	50% (68)	136
Natatorium (room that contains pool) Storage	22.06% (30)	16.91% (23)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	56.62% (77)	136
Natatorium (room that contains pool) Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	28.68% (39)	14.71% (20)	4.41% (6)	0.74% (1)	51.47% (70)	136
					Total Respondents	136

29. Pool

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Pool Appearance (aesthetics)	19.85% (27)	23.53% (32)	9.56% (13)	1.47% (2)	45.59% (62)	136
Pool Accessibility (student access to pool)	22.06% (30)	19.12% (26)	11.03% (15)	1.47% (2)	46.32% (63)	136
Pool Size (dimensions)	16.91% (23)	16.18% (22)	17.65% (24)	1.47% (2)	47.79% (65)	136
Pool Functionality (layout or design serves performance purpose)	16.91% (23)	19.85% (27)	16.18% (22)	1.47% (2)	45.59% (62)	136
Pool Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	22.06% (30)	21.32% (29)	5.88% (8)	0.74% (1)	50% (68)	136
Pool Locker Room Appearance (aesthetics)	28.68% (39)	14.71% (20)	2.21% (3)	0.74% (1)	53.68% (73)	136
Pool Locker Room Accessibility (student access)	25% (34)	13.97% (19)	5.88% (8)	0.74% (1)	54.41% (74)	136
Pool Locker Room Size (dimensions)	25.74% (35)	13.24% (18)	3.68% (5)	0.74% (1)	56.62% (77)	136
Pool Locker Room Functionality (layout or design serves performance purpose)	25% (34)	13.24% (18)	2.94% (4)	0.74% (1)	58.09% (79)	136
Pool Locker Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	27.21% (37)	13.24% (18)	2.94% (4)	0.74% (1)	55.88% (76)	136
					Total Respondents	136

30. Water Bottle filler stations

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Water Bottle filler stations locations	22.06% (30)	34.56% (47)	33.82% (46)	3.68% (5)	5.88% (8)	136
Water Bottle filler stations Functionality	11.03% (15)	19.12% (26)	55.88% (76)	5.88% (8)	8.09% (11)	136
Water Bottle filler stations quantity	27.21% (37)	33.09% (45)	28.68% (39)	5.15% (7)	5.88% (8)	136
					Total Respondents	136

31. Large Group Instruction

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Large Group Instruction Room Appearance (aesthetics)	17.65% (24)	33.82% (46)	38.97% (53)	1.47% (2)	8.09% (11)	136
Large Group Instruction Room Size (dimensions)	22.79% (31)	32.35% (44)	35.29% (48)	0.74% (1)	8.82% (12)	136
Large Group Instruction Room Furniture (student/teacher desks/chairs/shelving)	20.59% (28)	33.82% (46)	35.29% (48)	0.74% (1)	9.56% (13)	136
Large Group Instruction Room Climate (air conditioning/heat/noise)	45.59% (62)	20.59% (28)	19.12% (26)	0.74% (1)	13.97% (19)	136
					Total Respondents	136

32. Entrance/Parking/Traffic

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Front Entrance Appearance (aesthetics)	38.24% (52)	33.82% (46)	24.26% (33)	0.74% (1)	2.94% (4)	136
Car drop off location (student)	56.62% (77)	26.47% (36)	6.62% (9)	0.74% (1)	9.56% (13)	136
Student parking location	44.85% (61)	29.41% (40)	18.38% (25)	0.74% (1)	6.62% (9)	136
Student parking quantity of spots	55.15% (75)	26.47% (36)	9.56% (13)	1.47% (2)	7.35% (10)	136
Staff parking locations	50.74% (69)	25% (34)	22.06% (30)	1.47% (2)	0.74% (1)	136
Staff parking quantity of spots	56.62% (77)	29.41% (40)	12.5% (17)	0.74% (1)	0.74% (1)	136
Campus Traffic Flow morning	67.65% (92)	22.06% (30)	5.15% (7)	0.74% (1)	4.41% (6)	136
Campus Traffic Flow afternoon	61.76% (84)	27.94% (38)	5.15% (7)	0.74% (1)	4.41% (6)	136

Total Respondents 136

33. Athletic Fields

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Overall condition of Stadium Field	5.15% (7)	11.03% (15)	41.18% (56)	9.56% (13)	33.09% (45)	136
Overall condition of Auxiliary Field	2.94% (4)	13.24% (18)	36.03% (49)	9.56% (13)	38.24% (52)	136
Overall condition of Softball - Varsity Field	4.41% (6)	17.65% (24)	27.21% (37)	5.15% (7)	45.59% (62)	136
Overall condition of Softball - Junior Varsity Field	4.41% (6)	16.91% (23)	27.94% (38)	3.68% (5)	47.06% (64)	136
Overall condition of Baseball – Varsity Field	2.94% (4)	11.03% (15)	30.15% (41)	13.24% (18)	42.65% (58)	136
Overall condition of Baseball – Junior Varsity Field	8.82% (12)	19.12% (26)	22.06% (30)	2.94% (4)	47.06% (64)	136
Overall condition of Tennis Courts	6.62% (9)	22.79% (31)	20.59% (28)	2.94% (4)	47.06% (64)	136
Overall condition of the Courtyard	13.97% (19)	31.62% (43)	18.38% (25)	2.94% (4)	33.09% (45)	136
					Total Respondents	136

34. Lighting

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Lighting (interior) hallways	13.97% (19)	35.29% (48)	43.38% (59)	2.21% (3)	5.15% (7)	136
Lighting (interior) classrooms	13.24% (18)	37.5% (51)	38.24% (52)	2.94% (4)	8.09% (11)	136
Lighting (interior) cafeteria	11.76% (16)	33.09% (45)	44.12% (60)	2.21% (3)	8.82% (12)	136
Lighting (exterior) parking lots	26.47% (36)	41.91% (57)	20.59% (28)	2.21% (3)	8.82% (12)	136
Lighting (exterior) entrances	20.59% (28)	41.18% (56)	25.74% (35)	2.21% (3)	10.29% (14)	136
Lighting (exterior) sidewalks	23.53% (32)	38.97% (53)	24.26% (33)	2.21% (3)	11.03% (15)	136
					Total Respondents	136

35. Signage

	Inadequate	Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	No Opinion	Response Total
Exterior Directional Signage	24.26% (33)	46.32% (63)	22.79% (31)	2.21% (3)	4.41% (6)	136
Interior Directional Signage	30.15% (41)	47.79% (65)	15.44% (21)	2.21% (3)	4.41% (6)	136
					Total Respondents	136

36. Do you feel safe in the school during the day?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes		119	88%
No		17	12%
		Total Respondents	136

37. Do you feel safe in the school after school hours?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Yes		108	79%
No		28	21%
		Total Respondents	136