



Not just a district, a destination.



# TOMBALL

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

**2025-2026**

Campus Name	Oakcrest Intermediate School
TEA District ID	101-921-116
Principal	Sara Rush
Date of Board Approval	October 14, 2025



At Tomball ISD, we are not a big, impersonal school district; we are a home for people looking for a warm, close-knit community where teachers, principals, staff and administrators truly care about each individual child. We are also a destination for our strong academic programs and a wide variety of extracurricular activities. Our focus is to make sure that every single student finds a place to belong, a passion to pursue and a love of learning that will serve him or her well beyond the years spent with us.

### **Board of Education**

Dr. Michael J. Pratt  
*President*

Mark Lewandowski  
*Vice President*

John E. McStravick  
*Secretary*

Tina Salem  
*Assistant Secretary*

Amanda Bass  
*Trustee*

Jennifer Kratky  
*Trustee*

Coco White  
*Trustee*



### **District Leadership**

Dr. Martha Salazar-Zamora  
*Superintendent of Schools*

Zachery Boles  
*Chief Financial Officer*

Dr. Steven Gutierrez  
*Chief Operating Officer*

Dr. Amy Schindewolf  
*Deputy Superintendent*

Holly Sherman J.D.  
*General Counsel*

Dr. Michael Webb  
*Chief Academic Officer*

Dr. George Flores  
*Assistant Superintendent of Elementary Schools*

Dr. Mindy Munoz  
*Assistant Superintendent of Secondary School*

Dr. Alicia Reeves  
*Assistant Superintendent of Development & Engagement*

Mark White  
*Assistant Superintendent of Accountability*

Dr. Lee Wright  
*Assistant Superintendent of Human Talent*

## Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	3
Tomball ISD Non-Discrimination Policies.....	4
Legal Notice.....	5
Federal Funds.....	10
Vision, Mission, Goals, and Objectives.....	12
District Profile.....	15
Accreditation.....	15
Campus and Program Description.....	15
Demographics.....	16
Students.....	16
<b>Campus Improvement Team (CIT).....</b>	<b>19</b>
Data Analysis and Comprehensive Needs Assessment.....	20
Sample Integrated Data Sources.....	20
Effective Schools Framework (ESF).....	21
ESF Theory of Action.....	21
ESF Levers.....	22
Accountability Summary and Performance Data.....	23
State A-F Accountability.....	23
STAAR Results.....	24
Attendance.....	31
Discipline.....	32
<b>Campuswide Reform Strategies and SMART Goals.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Staff Development Needs.....</b>	<b>36</b>
Assurances.....	38
Waivers.....	40
District of Innovation.....	41

## Tomball ISD Non-Discrimination Policies

### General Policies

Tomball ISD does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability in its programs or activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups. The following person has been designated to handle inquiries regarding the nondiscrimination policies: Karen Graves, at 310 South Cherry, Tomball, TX 77375-5595, (281)357-3100, Ext. 2010, karengraves@tomballisd.net

#### Tomball ISD Board Policy FFH (LOCAL)

The District prohibits discrimination, including harassment, against any student. Discrimination is defined as treating a student or group of students differently from similarly situated students on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law. Retaliation against anyone exercising their rights under this policy is a violation of District policy and is prohibited.

#### Tomball ISD Board Policy DIA (LOCAL)

The District prohibits discrimination, including harassment, against any employee on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law that adversely affects the employee's employment.

In accordance with law, discrimination on the basis of sex includes discrimination on the basis of any other prohibited basis related to sex.

### Vocational Programs

Tomball ISD offers career and technical education (CTE) programs of study in a variety of fields published in the Tomball ISD Course Catalog along with admission requirements.

It is the policy of Tomball ISD not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex or handicap in its vocational programs, services or activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

It is the policy of Tomball ISD not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, handicap, or age in its employment practices as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

Tomball ISD will take steps to assure that lack of English language skills will not be a barrier to admission and participation in all educational and vocational programs.

For information about your rights or grievance procedures, contact the Title IX Coordinator, Steve Guerrero, at 310 South Cherry, Tomball, TX 77375-5595, (281)357-3100, Ext. 2061, steveguerrero@tomballisd.net; and or the Section 504 Coordinator, Steven Shiels, 11211 F.M. 2920, Tomball, TX 77375, (281)357-3100, Ext. 4111, stevenshiels@tomballisd.net.

## Legal Notice

In annual accordance and compliance with chapters 4, 11 and 39 of the Texas Education Code and Tomball ISD Board Policies BQ, BQA, and BQB; each campus principal of all Tomball Independent School District schools has collaborated with the District Improvement Team to analyze data relative to the academic performance of all students including students in special education programs and at-risk students. The data analysis was used as part of a comprehensive needs assessment for the purpose of developing goals and determining strategies to improve student performance and support district and state goals and initiatives. This process aligns with the Texas Effective Schools Framework (ESF). This process and plan satisfy any improvement actions required by the Texas State Accountability Rating System including the Results Driven Accountability (RDA), Data Validation and Verification Monitoring, Data Reporting Compliance, and the state and federal Identification of Schools for Improvement. This process and plan satisfy the federal requirements for campuses and districts under regulation of and/or receiving funding through Titles I, II, III, and IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, reauthorized in 2015 under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Part B, including the Local Equitable Access Plan required by Title I, Part A Sec. 1112(b)(2). This process and plan satisfy the requirements for the annual comprehensive needs assessment of at-risk students and the development of goals and strategies to improve the academic performance of at-risk students at campuses receiving State Compensatory Education (SCE) funds ([TEC. §29.081](#) [TEC. §48.104](#)). The SCE procedures, plans, evaluation, and At-Risk population data is located in the District Improvement Plan (DIP) and school-specific documentation is located in the Campus Improvement Plans (CIP).

The Board shall ensure that a District Improvement Plan and improvement plans for each campus are developed, reviewed, and revised annually for the purpose of improving the performance of all students. The Board shall annually approve District and campus performance objectives and shall ensure that the District and campus plans:

1. Are mutually supportive to accomplish the identified objectives; and
2. At a minimum, support the state goals and objectives under Education Code Chapter 4.

*Texas Education Code 11.251(a)*

A board shall adopt a policy to establish a district- and campus-level planning and decision-making process that will involve the professional staff of a district, parents of students enrolled in a district, business representatives, and community members in establishing and reviewing the district's and campuses' educational plans, goals, performance objectives, and major classroom instructional programs.

*Texas Education Code 11.251(b)*

The District's planning process to improve student performance includes the development of the District's educational goals, the legal requirements for the District and campus improvement plans, all pertinent federal planning requirements, and administrative procedures. The Board shall approve the process under which the educational goals are developed and shall ensure that input is gathered from the District-level committee. [See BQA]

*BQ(LOCAL)*

Each school year, the principal of each school campus, with the assistance of the campus-level committee, shall develop, review, and revise the campus improvement plan. The purpose of the campus-level plan is to improve student performance for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A, with respect to the student achievement indicators and any other appropriate performance measures for special needs populations.

*Texas Education Code 11.253(c)*

The district policy must provide that all pertinent federal planning requirements are addressed through the district- and campus-level planning process.

*Texas Education Code 11.251(f)*

A district that receives Title I, Part A funds shall develop jointly with, agree with, and distribute to, parents and family members of participating children a written parent and family engagement policy. The policy shall be incorporated into a district plan developed under 20 U.S.C. 6312 [TEA-approved plan to receive Title I funds], establish the district's expectations and objectives for meaningful parent and family involvement, and describe how the district will:

1. Involve parents and family members in jointly developing the district plan, and the development of support and improvement plans under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 6311(d);
2. Provide the coordination, technical assistance, and other support necessary to assist and build the capacity of all participating schools within the district in planning and implementing effective parent and family involvement activities to improve student academic achievement and school performance, which may include meaningful consultation with employers, business leaders, and philanthropic organizations, or individuals with expertise in effectively engaging parents and family members in education;
3. Coordinate and integrate parent and family engagement strategies under Title I, Part A with parent and family engagement strategies to the extent feasible and appropriate, with other relevant federal, state, and local laws and programs;
4. Conduct, with the meaningful involvement of parents and family members, an annual evaluation of the content and effectiveness of the parent and family engagement policy in improving the academic quality of all schools served under Title I, Part A, including identifying:
  - a. Barriers to greater participation by parents in activities authorized by section 6318 (with particular attention to parents who are economically disadvantaged, are disabled, have limited English proficiency, have limited literacy, or are of any racial or ethnic minority background);
  - b. The needs of parents and family members to assist with the learning of their children, including engaging with school personnel and teachers; and
  - c. Strategies to support successful school and family interactions;
5. Use the findings of the above evaluation to design evidence-based strategies for more effective parental involvement, and to revise, if necessary, the parent and family engagement policies described in section 6318; and
6. Involve parents in the activities of the schools served under Title I, Part A, which may include establishing a parent advisory board comprised of a sufficient number and representative group of parents or family members served by the local educational agency to adequately represent the needs of the population served by such agency for the purposes of developing, revising, and reviewing the parent and family engagement policy.

*20 U.S.C. 6318(a)(2) [See BQ(LOCAL)]*

A district that receives Title I, Part A funds shall develop a district improvement plan that addresses equity 1111(g)(1)(B). The policy shall be incorporated into a district plan developed under 20 U.S.C. 6312 [TEA-approved plan to receive Title I funds], establish the district's expectations and objectives (b) Plan Provisions.

To ensure that all children receive a high-quality education, and to close the achievement gap between children meeting the challenging State academic standards and those children who are not meeting such standards, each local educational agency plan shall describe:

1. How the local educational agency will monitor students' progress in meeting the challenging State academic standards by
  - a. developing and implementing a well-rounded program of instruction to meet the academic needs of all students;
  - b. identifying students who may be at risk for academic failure;
  - c. providing additional educational assistance to individual students the local educational agency or school determines need help in meeting the challenging State academic standards; and
  - d. identifying and implementing instructional and other strategies intended to strengthen academic programs and improve school conditions for student learning;

2. How the local educational agency will identify and address, as required under State plans as described in section 1111(g)(1)(B), any disparities that result in low-income students and minority students being taught at higher rates than other students by ineffective, inexperienced, or out-of-field teachers;
3. How the local educational agency will carry out its responsibilities under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 1111(d);
4. The poverty criteria that will be used to select school attendance areas under section 1113;
5. In general, the nature of the programs to be conducted by such agency's schools under sections 1114 and 1115 and, where appropriate, educational services outside such schools for children living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children, and for neglected and delinquent children in community day school programs;

*20 U.S.C. 6312 section 1111(g)(1)(B)*

A district shall have a district improvement plan that is developed, evaluated, and revised annually, in accordance with district policy, by the superintendent with the assistance of the district-level committee. The purpose of the district improvement plan is to guide district and campus staff in the improvement of student performance for all student groups in order to attain state standards in respect to the achievement indicators.

*Texas Education Code 11.252(a)*

The district improvement plan must include provisions for:

1. A comprehensive needs assessment addressing performance on the achievement indicators, and other appropriate measures of performance, that are disaggregated by all student groups served by a district, including categories of ethnicity, socioeconomic status, sex, and populations served by special programs, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A.
2. Measurable district performance objectives for all appropriate achievement indicators for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A, and other measures of student performance that may be identified through the comprehensive needs assessment.
3. Strategies for improvement of student performance that include:
  - a. Instructional methods for addressing the needs of student groups not achieving their full potential.
  - b. Evidence-based practices that address the needs of students for special programs, including:
    - i. (1) Suicide prevention programs, in accordance with Health and Safety Code Chapter 161, Subchapter O-1, which includes a parental or guardian notification procedure [see FFB];
    - ii. (2) Conflict resolution programs;
    - iii. (3) Violence prevention programs; and
    - iv. (4) Dyslexia treatment programs.
  - c. Dropout reduction.
  - d. Integration of technology in instructional and administrative programs.
  - e. Positive behavior interventions and support, including interventions and support that integrate best practices on grief-informed and trauma-informed care
  - f. Staff development for professional staff of a district.
  - g. Career education to assist students in developing the knowledge, skills, and competencies necessary for a broad range of career opportunities.
  - h. Accelerated education.
  - i. Implementation of a comprehensive school counseling program under Section 33.005
4. Strategies for providing to middle school, junior high school, and high school students, those students' teachers and school counselors, and those students' parents information about:
  - a. Higher education admissions and financial aid opportunities such as the TEXAS grant program and the Teach for Texas grant program established under Chapter 56.

- b. The need for students to make informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school.
  - c. Sources of information on higher education admissions and financial aid.
5. Resources needed to implement identified strategies.
  6. Staff responsible for ensuring the accomplishment of each strategy.
  7. Timelines for ongoing monitoring of the implementation of each improvement strategy.
  8. Formative evaluation criteria for determining periodically whether strategies are resulting in intended improvement of student performance.
  9. The policy under Section 38.0041 addressing sexual abuse and other maltreatment of children; and
  10. The trauma-informed care policy required under Section 38.036 which must address
    - a. using resources developed by the agency, methods for:
      - i. increasing staff and parent awareness of trauma-informed care; and
      - ii. implementation of trauma-informed practices and care by district and campus staff; and
    - b. available counseling options for students affected by trauma or grief.

*Texas Education Code 11.252(a)*

11. A discipline management program providing for prevention of and education concerning unwanted physical or verbal aggression, sexual harassment, and other forms of bullying in schools, on school grounds, and in school vehicles.

*Texas Education Code 37.083(a)*

12. A dating violence policy that must:
  - a. Include a definition of dating violence that includes the intentional use of physical, sexual, verbal, or emotional abuse by a person to harm, threaten, intimidate, or control another person in a dating relationship, as defined by Family Code 71.0021; and
  - b. a clear statement that dating violence is not tolerated at school; and
  - c. reporting procedures and guidelines for students who are victims of dating violence, including a procedure for immediately notifying the parent or guardian of a student about a report received by the district identifying the student as an alleged victim or perpetrator of dating violence; and
  - d. Address safety planning, enforcement of protective orders, school-based alternatives to protective orders, training for teachers and administrators, counseling for affected students, and awareness education for students and parents.

Texas Education Code 37.0831 [See FFH]

13. An Anti-Bullying policy that must:
  - a. include an emphasis on bullying prevention by focusing on school climate and building healthy relationships between students and staff;
  - b. require each district campus to establish a committee to address bullying by focusing on prevention efforts and health and wellness initiatives;
  - c. require students at each grade level to meet periodically for instruction on building relationships and preventing bullying, including cyberbullying;
  - d. include an emphasis on increasing student reporting of bullying incidents to school employees by:
    - i. increasing awareness about district reporting procedures; and
    - ii. providing for anonymous reporting of bullying incidents;
  - e. require districts to:
    - i. collect information annually through student surveys on bullying, including cyberbullying; and
    - ii. use those survey results to develop action plans to address student concerns regarding bullying, including cyberbullying; and

- f. require districts to develop a rubric or checklist to assess an incident of bullying and to determine the district's response to the incident.

14. A policy addressing sexual abuse, sex trafficking, and other maltreatment of children that must address:
- a. methods for increasing staff, student, and parent awareness of issues regarding sexual abuse, sex trafficking, and other maltreatment of children, including prevention techniques and knowledge of likely warning signs indicating that a child may be a victim of sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment, using resources developed by the agency or the commissioner regarding those issues, including resources developed by the agency under Section 38.004;
  - b. actions that a child who is a victim of sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment should take to obtain assistance and intervention; and
  - c. available counseling options for students affected by sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment.
- Texas Education Code 38.0041*

A district's plan for the improvement of student performance is not filed with TEA, but the district must make the plan available to TEA on request.

*Texas Education Code 11.252(b)*

The district-level committee shall assist the superintendent with the annual development, evaluation, and revision of the district improvement plan.

*Texas Education Code 11.252(a)*

Each school district shall use the results from the teaching and learning conditions survey required by Education Code 7.065(a), conducted biennially, to review and revise, as appropriate, the district-level improvement plan, and for other purposes, as appropriate to enhance the district learning environment.

*Texas Education Code 7.065(e)*

A district-level committee of a district with a junior high, middle, or high school campus shall analyze information related to dropout prevention, including:

- 1. The results of the audit of dropout records;
- 2. District information related to graduation rates, dropout rates, high school equivalency certificate rates, and the percentage of students who remain in high school more than four years after entering grade 9;
- 3. The number of students who enter a high school equivalency certificate program and:
  - a. Do not complete the program,
  - b. Complete the program but do not take the high school equivalency examination, or
  - c. Complete the program and take the high school equivalency examination but do not obtain a high school equivalency certificate;
- 4. For students enrolled in grades 9 and 10, information related to academic credit hours earned, retention rates, and placements in disciplinary alternative education programs and expulsions under Chapter 37; and
- 5. The results of an evaluation of each school-based dropout prevention program in a district.

Each district-level committee shall use the information in developing the district improvement plan.

*Texas Education Code 11.255*

For staff development under Education Code 21.451(a), a district may use district-wide staff development developed and approved through the district-level decision process.

*Texas Education Code 21.451(c)*

Staff development shall be predominantly campus-based, related to achieving campus performance objectives, and developed and approved by the campus-level committee.

*DMA(LEGAL)*

## Federal Funds

### *Supplement, Not Supplant Methodology*

County-District #: 101921

LEA Name: Tomball ISD

School Year: 2023-2024

## Supplement, Not Supplant (SNS) Methodology

### Purpose:

The Supplement, Not Supplant (SNS) Methodology described in this document is used to ensure that State and local funds are distributed in such a way that each Title I campus receives all of the State and local funds that it would receive in the absence of Title I funds.

(1) IN GENERAL –A State educational agency or local educational agency shall use Federal funds received under this part only to supplement the funds that would, in the absence of such Federal funds, be made available from State and local sources for the education of students participating in programs assisted under this part, and not to supplant such funds.

Tomball ISD has adopted the following policies to assure compliance with regulations regarding Federal funds:

[EHBD \(LEGAL\)](#)

[EHBD \(LOCAL\)](#)

(2) COMPLIANCE. –To demonstrate compliance with paragraph (1), a local educational agency shall demonstrate that the methodology used to allocate State and local funds to each school receiving assistance under this part ensures that such school receives all of the State and local funds it would otherwise receive if it were not receiving assistance under this part.

### Assurance:

The LEA assures that—

- any State and local funds that are retained at the LEA level will be used in a Title I neutral manner; and
- any Title I, Part A funds that are reserved at the LEA level will be used only for Title I, Part A purposes, as indicated in the LEA's approved ESSA Consolidated Federal Grant Application.

As part of Tomball ISD's priority-based budget process, the District uses the following methodology to allocate state and local funds to district and campus programs without regard to participation in the Title I, Part A program.

*Distribution by Personnel and Non-personnel Expenditures  
(per-personnel position plus per-pupil).*

The annual budget process is a major activity in moving the District and its organizational units toward the achievement of strategic goals designed to improve student learning. In essence, the budget process is the translation of the planning process into financial terms and measurements. Tomball ISD focuses on the following priorities when financially planning for the school year:

1. Sustainability of existing staff
2. Hiring need for the projected student enrollment growth
3. Class-reduction teachers for campuses with greatest number of at-risk students
4. Innovative programs

The District prioritizes campus staffing because we understand that providing smaller student-teacher ratios in all classrooms increases student academic achievement. The average student-teacher ratio for Tomball ISD is 16:1 K-12 in comparison to the State’s mandated 22:1 for K-4.

It is understood that the LEA must provide sufficient State and local funds to campuses in order to provide a free, public education, in the absence of Title I, Part A funds.

Description of methodology:

The basis on which State and local funds are allocated:

- DISTRICTWIDE or
- CAMPUS CATEGORY

The type of methodology used:

- SIMPLE FLAT AMOUNT PER-PUPIL
- WEIGHTED PER-PUPIL
- PERSONNEL-NONPERSONNEL COSTS

Description of criteria used: Tomball ISD (LEA) used a simple per-pupil amount for all campuses in Tomball ISD (LEA). The per-pupil amount is multiplied by the campus enrollment amount to identify the campus allocation for the school year.

Tomball ISD spends approximately 87 percent of general fund expenditures on payroll related expenses.

Attachments:

- [Annual Budget Budget Book](#)
- [Annual Comprehensive Financial Report \(ACFR\)](#)

This methodology was originally developed on September 6, 2018. Dates of any revisions are below:

December 5, 2018	September 23, 2021	October 27, 2022	February 29, 2024
September 30, 2019	May 5, 2022	January 26, 2023	April 11, 2024
October 2, 2020	July 5, 2022	April 13, 2023	May 16, 2024
December 15, 2020	September 22, 2022	May 18, 2023	

Committee dates for 2025-2026 school year:

9/4/25, 9/18/25, 2/26/26, 4/23/26, 5/7/26

## Vision, Mission, Goals, and Objectives

### State Mission

The mission of the public education system of this state is to ensure that all Texas children have access to a quality education that enables them to achieve their potential and fully participate now and in the future in the social, economic, and educational opportunities of our state and nation. That mission is grounded on the conviction that a general diffusion of knowledge is essential for the welfare of this state and for the preservation of the liberties and rights of citizens. It is further grounded on the conviction that a successful public education system is directly related to a strong, dedicated, and supportive family and that parental involvement in the school is essential for the maximum educational achievement of a child.

### State Objectives

The objectives of public education are:

OBJECTIVE 1: Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

OBJECTIVE 2: Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.

OBJECTIVE 3: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

OBJECTIVE 4: A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students. Through that curriculum, students will be prepared to succeed in a variety of postsecondary activities, including employment and enrollment in institutions of higher education.

OBJECTIVE 5: Educators shall cultivate in students an informed American patriotism and lead students in a close study of the founding documents of the United States and Texas. The purpose of this objective is to:

- (1) increase students' knowledge of the deepest and noblest purposes of the United States and Texas;
- (2) enhance students' intellectual independence so that students may become thoughtful, informed citizens who have an appreciation for the fundamental democratic principles of our state and national heritage; and
- (3) guide students toward understanding and productively functioning in a free enterprise society.

OBJECTIVE 6: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

OBJECTIVE 7: The state's students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.

OBJECTIVE 8: School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.

OBJECTIVE 9: Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.

OBJECTIVE 10: Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.

OBJECTIVE 11: The State Board of Education, the agency, and the commissioner shall assist school districts and charter schools in providing career and technology education to students.

## State Goals

The academic goals of public education are to serve as a foundation for a well-balanced and appropriate education. The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in:

Goal 1: The reading and writing of the English language.

Goal 2: The understanding of mathematics.

Goal 3: The understanding of science.

Goal 4: The understanding of social studies.

GOAL 5: The students who graduate high school in the public education system will have the skills and credentials necessary to immediately enter this state's workforce

GOAL 6: The students who graduate high school in the public education system and who elect to pursue postsecondary education will be ready for postsecondary coursework without the need for remediation.

Education Code 4.001, .002

## District Beliefs

1. Each student is a valuable individual, and success resides in every student.
2. Students actively engaged in innovative learning experiences will adapt and succeed in an ever-changing world.
3. Culture cultivates character development and mutual accountability.
4. Providing a safe, secure, caring environment nurtures a sense of physical, emotional, and social safety for the entire school community.
5. Respecting diversity leads to cultural awareness and a global citizenship.
6. Authentic relationships in our classrooms, our schools, and our community are essential for connectedness.
7. Intentional and aligned professional development leads to improved instruction, which promotes higher achievement.
8. Enthusiastic and effective educators bring the joy of learning to the classroom and inspire lifelong learners.
9. An aligned and challenging curriculum fosters personalized and continuous learning experiences.
10. Transparent communication, which actively seeks input from our school community, ensures accountability and trust.

## District Vision

Tomball ISD students will lead in creating the future.

## District Mission

Tomball ISD empowers students to become impactful, contributing citizens by providing innovative, individually rigorous and personally valuable educational experiences while partnering with the community.

## District Goals

Tomball ISD will:

1. Recruit, develop, and retain highly qualified staff who foster connections and are empowered to continue to seek growth opportunities and influence student success for all.
2. Foster a resilient school community by intentionally equipping staff to promote healthy behaviors, decision-making, and emotional growth.
3. Provide a comprehensive character education framework to develop contributing citizens and leaders in the community.
4. Prioritize family and community partnerships to cultivate pride and ensure a strong and stable public school experience.
5. Provide a safe, secure, and caring environment that nurtures a sense of physical and social safety for the entire school community.
6. Empower students with the knowledge necessary to be responsible, ethical digital citizens, while navigating the digital world.
7. Create a unified technology vision across all campuses that enriches high quality instruction.
8. Provide a premier learning experience for present and future students through maximum utilization of financial and physical resources.
9. Design and deliver innovative, aligned professional learning that inspires high expectations and fosters autonomy.
10. Ensure relevant college, career, and military readiness programming to cultivate a deeper understanding of vertical alignment for career awareness and post-secondary planning.

## District Objectives

All Tomball ISD students will:

1. Develop the necessary skills and competencies to excel in college, the military, careers, and various life pursuits, ensuring they are prepared for success in whichever path they choose.
2. Demonstrate resilience and self-awareness to overcome barriers and experience belonging.
3. Responsibly engage and adapt to emerging global technology and leverage these skills as a tool for their learning.
4. Maximize their own academic potential by turning obstacles into opportunities.
5. Develop and internalize the ability to function independently and collaborate effectively with others while cultivating essential skills that ensure their success in various aspects of life.
6. Demonstrate respect for themselves and others, developing character skills such as integrity and resilience that reinforce healthy behaviors.
7. Cultivate a deeper understanding of service mindedness, empowering them to become active stewards of the community.

AE(LOCAL)

## District Profile

### Accreditation

Tomball Independent School District and Oakcrest Intermediate are fully [accredited](#) by the Texas Education Agency with no warnings or probationary sanctions for the district nor any campuses.

Tomball ISD is a Texas Education Agency District of Innovation.

### Campus and Program Description

#### Instructional Program and Campus Culture Summary

Oakcrest Intermediate School (OIS) is committed to fostering a Culture of Respect and Academic Excellence through the Great Expectations (GE) model, which has guided campus practices and expectations for students and staff. OIS has been recognized as a Model Great Expectations Campus for seven consecutive years and a Model PLC Campus for four consecutive years, reflecting a consistent emphasis on collaboration, high-quality instruction, and student-centered learning.

Instruction at OIS is grounded in research-based practices that emphasize engaging, rigorous instruction aligned to TEKS standards. Teachers collaborate regularly in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to analyze student data, plan instruction, and monitor growth, ensuring targeted support for all learners.

OIS is proud to offer specialized programs that support the diverse needs of its students:


#### Structured Learning Lab (SLL):

Designed for students identified with Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD) or similar behavioral needs, this program provides highly structured, individualized instruction. Components include communication and language training, social skills development, sensory-based activities, positive behavioral supports, and inclusion opportunities in general education settings where appropriate.

#### Bilingual Education Program:

OIS implements the Tomball ISD One-Way Dual Language Program, promoting Spanish and English as languages of equal value. This multifaceted program prepares students to thrive academically and socially in a multicultural, bilingual environment.

Through these programs and a strong foundation of respect and excellence, Oakcrest fosters an inclusive, supportive learning environment where all students are empowered to achieve their highest potential.



## Demographics

The following demographics represent the composition of the students and staff for the previous school year (2024-2025 Fall PEIMS) associated with the student performance measures used in the data analysis.

### Students

School Population	Count	Percent
<b>Student Total</b>	815	<b>100%</b>
5th Grade	407	49.94%
6th Grade	408	50.06%
Student Demographics	Count	Percent
<b>Gender</b>		
Female	393	48.22%
Male	422	51.78%
<b>Ethnicity</b>		
Hispanic-Latino	296	36.32%
<b>Race</b>		
American Indian - Alaskan Native	4	0.49%
Asian	97	11.90%
Black - African American	43	5.28%
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	0	0.00%
White	332	40.74%
Two-or-More	43	5.28%
Student Programs	Count	Percent
Dyslexia	86	10.55%
Gifted and Talented	129	15.83%
Regional Day School Program for the Deaf	0	0.00%
Section 504	40	4.91%
Special Education (SPED)	141	17.30%
<b>Bilingual/ESL</b>		
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	121	14.85%
Bilingual	92	11.29%
English as a Second Language (ESL)	35	4.29%

Alternative Methods for Bilingual Education	5	0.61%
Alternative Methods for ESL	0	0.00%
<b>Title I Part A</b>		
Schoolwide Program	0	0.00%
Targeted Assistance	0	0.00%
Targeted Assistance Previously Participated	0	0.00%
Title I Homeless	1	0.12%
Neglected	0	0.00%
<b>Student Indicators</b>	<b>Count</b>	<b>Percent</b>
At-Risk	230	28.22%
Foster Care	0	0.00%
IEP Continuer	0	0.00%
Immigrant	27	3.31%
Intervention Indicator	104	12.76%
Migratory	0	0.00%
Military Connected	7	0.86%
Transfer In Students	21	2.58%
Unschool'd Asylee/Refugee	0	0%
<b>Economic Disadvantage</b>		
Economic Disadvantage Total	251	30.80%
Free Meals	149	18.28%
Reduced-Price Meals	55	6.75%
Other Economic Disadvantage	47	5.77%
<b>Homeless and Unaccompanied Youth</b>		
Homeless Status Total	1	0.12%
Shelter	0	0.00%
Doubled Up	1	0.12%
Unsheltered	0	0.00%
Hotel/Motel	0	0.00%
Not Unaccompanied Youth	1	0.12%
Is Unaccompanied Youth	0	0.00%
<b>Special Education Services</b>	<b>Count</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Primary Disabilities</b>		
No Disability	0	0.00%
Orthopedic impairment	0	0.00%
Other health impairment	8	5.67%
Auditory impairment	1	0.71%

Visual impairment	0	0.00%
Deaf-Blind	0	0.00%
Intellectual disability	1	0.71%
Emotional disturbance	11	7.80%
Learning disability	88	62.41%
Speech impairment	9	6.38%
Autism	23	16.31%
Developmental delay	0	0.00%
Traumatic brain injury	0	0.00%
Noncategorical early childhood	0	0.00%
<b>Instructional Settings</b>		
Speech Therapy	9	6.38%
Homebound	1	0.71%
Hospital Class	0	0.00%
Mainstream	17	12.06%
Resource Room	97	68.79%
VAC	0	0.00%
Off Home Campus	0	0.00%
State School	0	0.00%
Residential Care	0	0.00%
Self Contained	17	12.06%
Full-Time Early Childhood	0	0.00%
Nonpublic Day School	0	0.00%

## Campus Improvement Team (CIT)

A campus improvement team shall be established on each campus to assist the principal. The committee shall meet for the purpose of implementing planning processes and site-based decision making in accordance with Board policy and administrative procedures and shall be chaired by the principal.

The committee shall serve exclusively in an advisory role except that each campus committee shall approve staff development of a campus nature.


### *Campus Improvement Team (CIT) Membership*

<b>Name of CIT Member</b>	<b>Position</b>
Dave Surdovel	District Appointee
Charlene Woodroof	Parent
Amy Harris	Parent
Robin Sokol	Classroom Teacher
Cartina Bivens	Classroom Teacher
Melissa Clark	Classroom Teacher
Rachel Weber	Classroom Teacher
Crystal Gidrey	Non-classroom Professional
Stacey Barron	Business Representative
Lucia Clark	Business Representative
Josh True	Community Representative
Anna Oneal	Community Representative

<b>Meeting Dates</b>
tba
tba
tba
tba

## Data Analysis and Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Data Action is structured around a multidimensional “data cube” which represents the dynamic relationship between all students, teachers, and standards. Data Action starts with an analysis of integrated data sources through which district needs are identified. The District Improvement Plan is based on a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that takes into account information on the academic achievement of children in relation to challenging State academic standards, particularly the needs of those children who are failing, or are at-risk of failing, to meet the challenging State academic standards and any other factors as determined by the district. The needs are assessed to determine what is the root cause which drives the current results. Lastly, strategies are developed to address those root causes. Action items are detailed to monitor timelines, responsibility, and resources, and a SMART goal is established to measure efficacy.



### Sample Integrated Data Sources


Students	Teachers	Standards
<a href="#">STAAR/EOC Results</a> <a href="#">Texas Academic Performance Reports</a> <a href="#">TELPAS results</a> Curriculum- Based Assessments Formative assessments Interim Assessments Student portfolios CLI/STAR Early Literacy Results <a href="#">Results Driven Accountability (RDA)</a> Eduphoria Aware Reports Individual education plans Attendance data <a href="#">Discipline referrals</a> Rtl Progress Monitoring reports Report cards <a href="#">Graduation/Dropout rates</a> <a href="#">AP data</a> Dual credit data CCMR Reports <a href="#">SAT/ACT Scores</a> Summary of Major Assessment (SOMA) Framework Panorama Survey Results <a href="#">PEIMS, TPEIR, TAPR, SRC</a> Reports	Student Growth/Progress reports <a href="#">STAAR/EOC Results</a> TTESS domain scores Walk-through data Professional development goals Attendance <a href="#">Retention rates</a> <a href="#">Discipline referrals</a> Lesson Plans Failure rates Grades vs Assessment Results TEKS content knowledge Technology skills Assessment design Participation in collaboratives AP exam participation and results UIL participation and results Growth Analysis Reports Star Renaissance SGA analysis Panorama Survey Results	Assessment item analysis Lead4Ward reports Vertical Alignment Matrices TEKS gaps analysis Tomball ISD Curriculum Lesson plans/Instructional design Most Missed Questions (MMQ's) SAT Analysis Reports AP Analysis Reports PSAT Analysis Reports Summary of Major Assessment (SOMA) Framework

Data from the above listed sources is analyzed at the district and campus level and disaggregated by student populations and special programs. Information on a wide variety of district, campus and student performance is publicly available at: <https://tea.texas.gov/reports-and-data>

## Effective Schools Framework (ESF)

At the core of effective schools is effective instruction: interactions between students, teachers, and content determine learning outcomes. This instructional core is strengthened and supported by effective, well-supported teachers, high-quality curriculum, and positive school culture. Strong school leadership and careful planning encompass and ensure each of these prioritized levers.

The [Effective Schools Framework](#) consists of a set of district commitments and, for schools, essential actions. District Commitments describe what local education agencies do to ensure that schools are set up for success. The Essential Actions describe what the most effective schools do to support powerful teaching and learning. The ESF framework is rooted in the continuous improvement process.



### ESF Theory of Action

#### BUILD A COMMON VISION

The Effective Schools Framework builds a common vision with clarity and specificity to codify the best practices that effective Texas schools engage in daily.

#### ASSESS

Campuses should assess current campus practices to the aspiration language of the Essential Actions in the Effective Schools Framework. This practice will act as a needs assessment to determine strengths and areas of growth. To ensure campuses and districts are appropriately prioritizing the highest leverage actions for continuous improvement efforts, the ESF Diagnostic Process serves as an effective tool to clearly identify strengths and areas of growth through the lens of an unbiased ESF Facilitator.

#### PRIORITIZE GAPS

Once a campus has assessed their current practices, they will prioritize 2 – 3 Essential Actions to prioritize their focus. Prioritization can be identified through a big gap/small gap analysis to narrow the focus on highest leverage actions that lead to improved systems and student outcomes.

#### CONNECT WITH CAPACITY BUILDERS

Capacity building is a key part of the school improvement process. Campuses can partner with Vetted Improvement Partners (VIPs) or Education Services Centers (ESCs) who offer services to help improve campus practices and procedures aligned to specific essential actions of the Effective Schools Framework.

#### TARGETED SUPPORT

Accountability is the foundation to success in any change effort. Districts and campuses should establish an ongoing targeted support plan throughout their continuous improvement efforts.



## ESF Levers

	Strong School Leadership and Planning	Effective campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities develop, implement, and monitor campus systems and structures that are aligned to a compelling school mission, vision, values, and goals rooted in student achievement.
	Strategic Staffing	Campus leadership retains effective, well-supported teachers by strategically recruiting, selecting, assigning and inducting teachers so that all students have access to high-quality educators.
	Positive School Culture	Campus systems support positive school culture through explicit behavioral expectations, school-wide culture routines, proactive and responsive student support services, and involved families and communities.
	High Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments	All students engage daily with TEKS-aligned, high-quality instructional materials, and assessments that support learning at appropriate levels of rigor.
	Effective Instruction	Campus leaders provide teachers with job-embedded professional development, including observation and feedback cycles, and access to time and data needed to reflect, adjust, and deliver instruction that meets the needs of all students.

### Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning

- 1.1 **Develop campus instructional leaders (principal, assistant principal, counselors, teacher leaders) with clear roles and responsibilities**
- 1.2 **Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment, high expectations, and rigorous instruction**
- 1.3 Focused plan development and regular monitoring of implementation and outcomes

### Lever 2: Strategic Staffing

- 2.1 **Recruit, select, assign, induct, and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators**

### Lever 3: Positive School Culture

- 3.1 **Explicit school-wide behavioral expectations and culture routines**
- 3.2 Proactive and responsive student support services
- 3.3 Involving families and community

### Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials & Assessments

- 4.1 **Daily use of high-quality instructional materials**

### Lever 5: Effective Instruction

- 5.1 **Professional Development for Effective Classroom Instruction**
- 5.2 **Build teacher capacity through observation and feedback cycles**
- 5.3 **Data-driven instruction**
- 5.4 MTSS for students with learning gaps



# Accountability Summary and Performance Data

## State A-F Accountability

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: [txschools.gov](https://txschools.gov)

### Summary

	Component Score	Scaled Score	Rating	Proportion of Overall Rating
<b>Overall</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>A</b>	
<b>Student Achievement</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>70%</b>
STAAR Performance	73	93		
College, Career and Military Readiness				
Graduation Rate				
<b>School Progress</b>		<b>91</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>0%</b>
Academic Growth	81	91	<b>A</b>	✓
Relative Performance (Eco Dis: 30.8%)		91	<b>A</b>	
<b>Closing the Gaps</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>30%</b>

### Identification of Schools for Improvement

This campus is not identified for comprehensive support and improvement, targeted support and improvement, or additional targeted support.

### Distinction Designations



Released August 13, 2025

TEA | Analytics, Assessment, and Reporting |  
Performance Reporting



District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD  
Campus: 116 OAKCREST INT

STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS  
Summary Report  
Grade 5 Reading Language Arts

Report Date: JULY 2025  
Date of Testing: SPRING 2025

Administration Summary			Number of Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Results for Each Reporting Category														
					Did Not Meet					Approaches					Meets				
Number	Percent				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	1		2				
					Reading		Writing												
					Number of Points Possible		Number of Points Possible						26		26				
					Avg. # of Points / % Achieved		Avg. # of Points / % Achieved						#		%				
					#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
<b>All Students</b>			380	1697	38	10	342	90	307	81	197	52	19.6	75	17.0	65			
<b>Male</b>			194	1679	25	13	169	87	149	77	91	47	19.0	73	16.5	63			
<b>Female</b>			186	1715	13	7	173	93	158	85	106	57	20.2	78	17.6	68			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Hispanic/Latino</b>			122	1663	14	11	108	89	91	75	50	41	18.7	72	15.8	61			
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>			2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Asian</b>			52	1774	2	4	50	96	50	96	39	75	21.4	82	19.6	75			
<b>Black or African American</b>			24	1637	4	17	20	83	17	71	8	33	18.7	72	14.6	56			
<b>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>White</b>			161	1705	16	10	145	90	133	83	89	55	19.8	76	17.4	67			
<b>Two or More Races</b>			19	1693	2	11	17	89	14	74	10	53	18.3	70	17.8	69			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>																			
Yes			103	1609	20	19	83	81	65	63	27	26	17.2	66	14.1	54			
No			277	1729	18	6	259	94	242	87	170	61	20.4	79	18.1	70			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Title I, Part A</b>																			
Participants			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Nonparticipants			380	1697	38	10	342	90	307	81	197	52	19.6	75	17.0	65			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Migrant</b>																			
Yes			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
No			380	1697	38	10	342	90	307	81	197	52	19.6	75	17.0	65			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Identified as Emergent Bilingual (EB)</b>																			
Monitored 1st Year (M1), reclassified from EB			18	1753	0	0	18	100	18	100	14	78	21.3	82	19.6	75			
Monitored 2nd Year (M2), reclassified from EB			2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Monitored 3rd Year (M3), reclassified from EB			2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Monitored 4th Year (M4), reclassified from EB			8	1842	0	0	8	100	8	100	7	88	23.1	89	21.4	82			
Former EB (Post Monitoring)			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Non-Emergent Bilingual (Non-EB)</b>			311	1700	31	10	280	90	252	81	164	53	19.7	76	17.1	66			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Bilingual</b>																			
Participants			27	1612	4	15	23	85	17	63	7	26	17.4	67	13.9	54			
Nonparticipants			353	1703	34	10	319	90	290	82	190	54	19.7	76	17.3	66			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>ESL</b>																			
Participants			12	1608	2	17	10	83	10	83	3	25	16.8	64	14.6	56			
Nonparticipants			368	1700	36	10	332	90	297	81	194	53	19.6	76	17.1	66			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Special Education</b>																			
Yes			73	1535	30	41	43	59	30	41	9	12	14.7	57	11.3	43			
No			307	1735	8	3	299	97	277	90	188	61	20.7	80	18.4	71			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Section 504</b>																			
Yes			14	1662	1	7	13	93	13	93	6	43	19.1	73	17.1	66			
No			366	1698	37	10	329	90	294	80	191	52	19.6	75	17.0	65			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>Gifted/Talented</b>																			
Participants			67	1864	0	0	67	100	67	100	63	94	23.2	89	22.0	84			
Nonparticipants			313	1661	38	12	275	88	240	77	134	43	18.8	72	16.0	61			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
<b>At-Risk</b>																			
Yes			97	1532	34	35	63	65	42	43	10	10	15.0	58	11.2	43			
No			283	1753	4	1	279	99	265	94	187	66	21.1	81	19.0	73			
<b>No Information Provided</b>			0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			



# STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS

## Summary Report

### Grade 5 Mathematics

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD  
 Campus: 116 OAKCREST INT

Report Date: JULY 2025  
 Date of Testing: SPRING 2025

Administration Summary			Number of Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Results for Each Reporting Category															
					Number Percent		Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters		1		2		3	
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Students Tested	392	100																		
Students Not Tested																				
Absent	1	0																		
Other	0	0																		
Total Documents Submitted	393	100																		
Legend																				
--- = No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students																				
All Students	392	1770	32	8	360	92	295	75	196	50	7.2	80	14.9	74	6.1	68	2.8	70		
Male	201	1782	19	9	182	91	155	77	104	52	7.2	80	14.8	74	6.4	71	2.8	70		
Female	191	1757	13	7	178	93	140	73	92	48	7.1	79	14.9	75	5.9	66	2.8	70		
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Hispanic/Latino	135	1726	15	11	120	89	89	66	56	41	6.8	75	14.0	70	5.7	63	2.6	65		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Asian	52	1907	1	2	51	98	49	94	42	81	8.2	91	17.8	89	7.2	80	3.3	84		
Black or African American	24	1712	3	13	21	88	16	67	6	25	6.8	75	13.8	69	5.0	55	2.5	64		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
White	161	1769	12	7	149	93	123	76	82	51	7.2	80	14.8	74	6.3	70	2.8	70		
Two or More Races	18	1785	1	6	17	94	16	89	9	50	7.6	85	15.4	77	6.7	75	2.7	68		
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Economically Disadvantaged	Yes	113	1687	18	16	95	84	65	58	33	29	6.4	71	12.9	64	5.1	57	2.6	64	
	No	279	1803	14	5	265	95	230	82	163	58	7.5	83	15.7	78	6.6	73	2.9	72	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Title I, Part A	Participants	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	Nonparticipants	392	1770	32	8	360	92	295	75	196	50	7.2	80	14.9	74	6.1	68	2.8	70	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Migrant	Yes	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	No	392	1770	32	8	360	92	295	75	196	50	7.2	80	14.9	74	6.1	68	2.8	70	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Identified as Emergent Bilingual (EB)		52	1686	7	13	45	87	30	58	17	33	6.4	71	13.3	67	5.3	59	2.4	60	
Monitored 1st Year (M1), reclassified from EB		18	1905	0	0	18	100	18	100	12	67	8.1	90	17.8	89	7.3	81	3.3	82	
Monitored 2nd Year (M2), reclassified from EB		2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Monitored 3rd Year (M3), reclassified from EB		2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Monitored 4th Year (M4), reclassified from EB		8	1890	1	13	7	88	7	88	7	88	7.9	88	16.8	84	7.3	81	3.4	84	
Former EB (Post Monitoring)		0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Non-Emergent Bilingual (Non-EB)		310	1769	24	8	286	92	236	76	156	50	7.2	80	14.9	74	6.1	68	2.8	70	
No Information Provided		0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Bilingual	Participants	40	1695	5	13	35	88	25	63	14	35	6.3	70	13.8	69	5.2	58	2.5	63	
	Nonparticipants	352	1778	27	8	325	92	270	77	182	52	7.3	81	15.0	75	6.2	69	2.8	70	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
ESL	Participants	12	1722	1	8	11	92	7	58	5	42	7.2	80	13.5	68	6.4	71	2.3	58	
	Nonparticipants	380	1771	31	8	349	92	288	76	191	50	7.2	80	14.9	75	6.1	68	2.8	70	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Special Education	Yes	73	1598	24	33	49	67	28	38	14	19	5.2	58	10.8	54	4.4	49	1.9	48	
	No	319	1809	8	3	311	97	267	84	182	57	7.6	84	15.8	79	6.5	73	3.0	75	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Section 504	Yes	14	1699	1	7	13	93	10	71	6	43	6.6	74	13.9	69	5.5	61	2.6	64	
	No	378	1772	31	8	347	92	285	75	190	50	7.2	80	14.9	75	6.2	68	2.8	70	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Gifted/Talented	Participants	67	1968	0	0	67	100	66	99	62	93	8.7	97	18.4	92	8.0	89	3.3	84	
	Nonparticipants	325	1729	32	10	293	90	229	70	134	41	6.8	76	14.2	71	5.8	64	2.7	67	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
At-Risk	Yes	110	1604	31	28	79	72	43	39	21	19	5.5	61	11.2	56	4.3	47	2.0	50	
	No	282	1834	1	0	281	100	252	89	175	62	7.8	87	16.3	82	6.9	76	3.1	77	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		



# STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS

## Summary Report

### Grade 5 Science

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD  
 Campus: 116 OAKCREST INT

Report Date: JULY 2025  
 Date of Testing: SPRING 2025

Administration Summary			Number of Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Results for Each Reporting Category																							
					Number Percent		Did Not Meet				Approaches				Meets				Masters				1	2	3	4		
					#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Number of Points Possible							
					Matter and Energy				Force, Motion, and Energy				Earth and Space				Organisms and Environments				6	9	10	14	Avg. # of Points / % Achieved			
					#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%				
Students Tested		383	100		38	10	345	90	258	67	143	37			3.6	59	6.6	73	6.9	69	10.2	73						
Students Not Tested																												
Absent		0	0																									
Other		0	0																									
Total Documents Submitted		383	100																									
Legend		--- = No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students																										
All Students		383	4230		38	10	345	90	258	67	143	37			3.6	59	6.6	73	6.9	69	10.2	73						
Male		195	4235		21	11	174	89	131	67	76	39			3.5	59	6.6	73	7.0	70	10.2	73						
Female		188	4226		17	9	171	91	127	68	67	36			3.6	60	6.6	74	6.8	68	10.3	73						
No Information Provided		0																										
Hispanic/Latino		125	4050		19	15	106	85	71	57	30	24			3.1	52	6.2	69	6.3	63	9.6	69						
American Indian or Alaska Native		2																										
Asian		52	4559		1	2	51	98	46	88	33	63			4.5	74	7.4	82	8.0	80	11.2	80						
Black or African American		24	4046		3	13	21	88	12	50	5	21			3.3	55	6.0	66	6.7	67	8.7	62						
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		0																										
White		161	4270		15	9	146	91	115	71	65	40			3.6	60	6.8	75	7.0	70	10.5	75						
Two or More Races		19	4398		0	0	19	100	12	63	9	47			3.7	61	6.6	73	7.5	75	11.1	79						
No Information Provided		0																										
Economically Disadvantaged		106	3911	Yes	21	20	85	80	42	40	18	17			3.1	51	5.6	62	5.8	58	8.8	63						
		277	4353	No	17	6	260	94	216	78	125	45			3.8	63	7.0	78	7.3	73	10.8	77						
No Information Provided		0																										
Title I, Part A		0		Participants																								
		383	4230	Nonparticipants	38	10	345	90	258	67	143	37			3.6	59	6.6	73	6.9	69	10.2	73						
No Information Provided		0																										
Migrant		0		Yes																								
		383	4230	No	38	10	345	90	258	67	143	37			3.6	59	6.6	73	6.9	69	10.2	73						
No Information Provided		0																										
Identified as Emergent Bilingual (EB)		42	3835		12	29	30	71	14	33	4	10			2.9	48	5.6	63	5.4	54	8.3	59						
Monitored 1st Year (M1), reclassified from EB		18	4533		0	0	18	100	17	94	12	67			4.3	72	7.1	78	8.2	82	11.7	83						
Monitored 2nd Year (M2), reclassified from EB		2																										
Monitored 3rd Year (M3), reclassified from EB		2																										
Monitored 4th Year (M4), reclassified from EB		8	4650		0	0	8	100	7	88	5	63			4.4	73	6.8	75	8.5	85	11.9	85						
Former EB (Post Monitoring)		0																										
Non-Emergent Bilingual (Non-EB)		311	4244		26	8	285	92	216	69	118	38			3.6	59	6.7	74	7.0	70	10.3	74						
No Information Provided		0																										
Bilingual		30	3782	Participants	11	37	19	63	7	23	3	10			2.6	43	5.4	60	5.0	50	8.3	59						
		353	4269	Nonparticipants	27	8	326	92	251	71	140	40			3.6	61	6.7	75	7.1	71	10.4	74						
No Information Provided		0																										
ESL		12	4160	Participants	0	0	12	100	8	67	2	17			3.8	64	6.8	76	7.1	71	9.3	67						
		371	4233	Nonparticipants	38	10	333	90	250	67	141	38			3.5	59	6.6	73	6.9	69	10.3	73						
No Information Provided		0																										
Special Education		73	3808	Yes	22	30	51	70	26	36	9	12			2.7	46	5.5	61	5.3	53	8.1	58						
		310	4330	No	16	5	294	95	232	75	134	43			3.8	63	6.9	76	7.3	73	10.7	77						
No Information Provided		0																										
Section 504		14	4105	Yes	2	14	12	86	9	64	4	29			3.4	56	6.3	70	6.9	69	9.6	69						
		369	4235	No	36	10	333	90	249	67	139	38			3.6	59	6.6	74	6.9	69	10.2	73						
No Information Provided		0																										
Gifted/Talented		67	4885	Participants	0	0	67	100	67	100	63	94			4.5	75	8.1	91	8.7	87	12.7	91						
		316	4092	Nonparticipants	38	12	278	88	191	60	80	25			3.4	56	6.3	70	6.5	65	9.7	69						
No Information Provided		0																										
At-Risk		100	3706	Yes	32	32	68	68	26	26	7	7			2.7	45	5.3	58	5.0	50	7.8	55						
		283	4416	No	6	2	277	98	232	82	136	48			3.9	65	7.1	79	7.6	76	11.1	79						
No Information Provided		0																										



# STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS SPANISH

## Summary Report

### Grade 5 Reading Language Arts

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD  
 Campus: 116 OAKCREST INT

Report Date: JULY 2025  
 Date of Testing: SPRING 2025

Administration Summary			Number of Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Results for Each Reporting Category															
					Did Not Meet					Approaches					Meets					Masters
Number	Percent				#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
Students Tested	13	100			#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
Students Not Tested					#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
Absent	0	0			#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
Other	0	0			#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
Total Documents Submitted	13	100			#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
Legend					#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
--- = No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students					#		%		#		%		#		%		#		%	
All Students	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
Male	8	S-1615	1	13	7	88	5	63	3	38	19.6	75	16.4	63						
Female	5	S-1554	1	20	4	80	2	40	2	40	16.2	62	15.8	61						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Hispanic/Latino	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Asian	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Black or African American	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
White	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Two or More Races	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Economically Disadvantaged	11	S-1566	2	18	9	82	5	45	3	27	17.5	67	15.3	59						
Yes	11	S-1566	2	18	9	82	5	45	3	27	17.5	67	15.3	59						
No	2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Title I, Part A	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Participants	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Nonparticipants	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Migrant	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Yes	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Identified as Emergent Bilingual (EB)	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
Monitored 1st Year (M1), reclassified from EB	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Monitored 2nd Year (M2), reclassified from EB	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Monitored 3rd Year (M3), reclassified from EB	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Monitored 4th Year (M4), reclassified from EB	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Former EB (Post Monitoring)	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Non-Emergent Bilingual (Non-EB)	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Bilingual	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
Participants	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
Nonparticipants	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
ESL	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Participants	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Nonparticipants	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Special Education	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Yes	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Section 504	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Yes	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Gifted/Talented	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Participants	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
Nonparticipants	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
At-Risk	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
Yes	13	S-1591	2	15	11	85	7	54	5	38	18.3	70	16.2	62						
No	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						



# STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS SPANISH

## Summary Report

### Grade 5 Science

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD  
 Campus: 116 OAKCREST INT

Report Date: JULY 2025  
 Date of Testing: SPRING 2025

Administration Summary			Number of Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Results for Each Reporting Category																
					Number Percent		Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters		1		2		3		4
					#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Students Tested	10	100																			
Students Not Tested																					
Absent	0	0																			
Other	0	0																			
Total Documents Submitted	10	100																			
Legend																					
--- = No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students																					
All Students	10		3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
Male	7		3837	2	29	5	71	1	14	1	14	1.7	29	5.6	62	5.7	57	9.0	64		
Female	3		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
No Information Provided	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Hispanic/Latino	10		3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Asian	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Black or African American	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
White	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Two or More Races	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
No Information Provided	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Economically Disadvantaged	8	Yes	3801	2	25	6	75	1	13	1	13	1.8	29	5.6	63	5.8	58	8.4	60		
	2	No	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Title I, Part A	0	Participants	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	10	Nonparticipants	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Migrant	0	Yes	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	10	No	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Identified as Emergent Bilingual (EB)	10		3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
Monitored 1st Year (M1), reclassified from EB	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Monitored 2nd Year (M2), reclassified from EB	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Monitored 3rd Year (M3), reclassified from EB	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Monitored 4th Year (M4), reclassified from EB	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Former EB (Post Monitoring)	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Non-Emergent Bilingual (Non-EB)	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
No Information Provided	0		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Bilingual	10	Participants	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	Nonparticipants	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
ESL	0	Participants	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	10	Nonparticipants	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Special Education	0	Yes	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	10	No	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Section 504	0	Yes	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	10	No	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
Gifted/Talented	0	Participants	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	10	Nonparticipants	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
At-Risk	10	Yes	3892	2	20	8	80	3	30	2	20	1.9	32	6.0	67	6.0	60	9.1	65		
	0	No	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	0	No Information Provided	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		



# STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS Summary Report

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD  
Campus: 116 OAKCREST INT

## Grade 6 Reading Language Arts

Report Date: JULY 2025  
Date of Testing: SPRING 2025

Administration Summary			Number of Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Results for Each Reporting Category												
					Did Not Meet					Approaches					Meets		Masters
Number	Percent				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Number of Points Possible				
					29		27		Avg. # of Points / % Achieved								
					#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Students Tested	399	100															
Students Not Tested																	
Absent	1	0															
Other	1	0															
Total Documents Submitted	401	100															
Legend																	
--- = No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students																	
All Students	399	1745	46	12	353	88	316	79	220	55	20.3	70	18.4	68			
Male	199	1713	32	16	167	84	147	74	92	46	19.4	67	17.1	63			
Female	200	1777	14	7	186	93	169	85	128	64	21.2	73	19.7	73			
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Hispanic/Latino	147	1701	30	20	117	80	97	66	64	44	18.6	64	16.5	61			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Asian	47	1834	1	2	46	98	45	96	37	79	23.0	79	21.8	81			
Black or African American	20	1720	1	5	19	95	15	75	9	45	19.6	68	17.7	65			
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
White	166	1761	13	8	153	92	143	86	98	59	21.1	73	19.2	71			
Two or More Races	17	1758	1	6	16	94	15	88	11	65	20.7	71	19.4	72			
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Economically Disadvantaged	Yes 121 No 278 No Information Provided 0	1673 1776	31 15	26 5	90 263	74 95	74 242	61 87	50 170	41 61	17.5 21.5	60 74	15.6 19.6	58 73			
Title I, Part A	Participants 0 Nonparticipants 399 No Information Provided 0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Migrant	Yes 0 No 399 No Information Provided 0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Identified as Emergent Bilingual (EB)	61	1576	26	43	35	57	22	36	8	13	14.1	49	11.1	41			
Monitored 1st Year (M1), reclassified from EB	25	1755	0	0	25	100	22	88	16	64	20.8	72	19.6	72			
Monitored 2nd Year (M2), reclassified from EB	6	1844	0	0	6	100	6	100	5	83	22.5	78	23.8	88			
Monitored 3rd Year (M3), reclassified from EB	4	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Monitored 4th Year (M4), reclassified from EB	1	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Former EB (Post Monitoring)	12	1881	0	0	12	100	12	100	10	83	24.9	86	22.7	84			
Non-Emergent Bilingual (Non-EB)	290	1771	20	7	270	93	249	86	176	61	21.2	73	19.5	72			
No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---			
Bilingual	Participants 49 Nonparticipants 350 No Information Provided 0	1602 1765	22 24	45 7	27 326	55 93	21 295	43 84	11 209	22 60	14.8 21.1	51 73	12.4 19.3	46 71			
ESL	Participants 20 Nonparticipants 379 No Information Provided 0	1630 1751	3 43	15 11	17 336	85 89	9 307	45 81	3 217	15 57	16.6 20.5	57 71	13.3 18.7	49 69			
Special Education	Yes 49 No 350 No Information Provided 0	1566 1770	19 27	39 8	30 323	61 92	18 298	37 85	6 214	12 61	14.6 21.1	50 73	10.4 19.5	39 72			
Section 504	Yes 23 No 376 No Information Provided 0	1696 1748	2 44	9 12	21 332	91 88	17 299	74 80	10 210	43 56	19.7 20.3	68 70	16.9 18.5	62 69			
Gifted/Talented	Participants 62 Nonparticipants 337 No Information Provided 0	1912 1714	0 46	0 14	62 291	100 86	62 254	100 75	60 160	97 47	24.9 19.4	86 67	24.5 17.3	91 64			
At-Risk	Yes 108 No 291 No Information Provided 0	1579 1807	43 3	40 1	65 288	60 99	42 274	39 94	14 206	13 71	14.7 22.4	51 77	11.4 21.0	42 78			



# STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS

## Summary Report

### Grade 6 Mathematics

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD  
Campus: 116 OAKCREST INT

Report Date: JULY 2025  
Date of Testing: SPRING 2025

Administration Summary			Number of Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Results for Each Reporting Category															
					Number Percent		Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters		1		2		3	
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Students Tested		401	100																	
Students Not Tested																				
Absent		0	0																	
Other		0	0																	
Total Documents Submitted		401	100																	
Legend																				
--- = No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students																				
All Students		401	1885	21	5	380	95	306	76	200	50	8.4	76	10.9	72	4.7	67	7.4	74	
Male		200	1885	13	7	187	94	154	77	100	50	8.4	76	10.8	72	4.7	67	7.4	74	
Female		201	1886	8	4	193	96	152	76	100	50	8.3	76	11.0	73	4.6	66	7.3	73	
No Information Provided		0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Hispanic/Latino		147	1829	11	7	136	93	93	63	50	34	7.7	70	10.0	67	4.2	60	6.6	66	
American Indian or Alaska Native		2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Asian		47	1995	1	2	46	98	43	91	35	74	9.4	86	12.7	85	5.5	79	8.2	82	
Black or African American		20	1838	3	15	17	85	14	70	10	50	8.1	73	9.9	66	4.2	60	7.1	71	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
White		168	1906	6	4	162	96	141	84	96	57	8.6	79	11.2	75	4.9	70	7.8	78	
Two or More Races		17	1924	0	0	17	100	14	82	8	47	9.1	82	11.3	75	4.5	65	7.9	79	
No Information Provided		0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Economically Disadvantaged	Yes	123	1811	11	9	112	91	77	63	37	30	7.5	68	9.7	65	4.1	59	6.4	64	
	No	278	1918	10	4	268	96	229	82	163	59	8.8	80	11.4	76	4.9	70	7.8	78	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Title I, Part A	Participants	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
	Nonparticipants	401	1885	21	5	380	95	306	76	200	50	8.4	76	10.9	72	4.7	67	7.4	74	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Migrant	Yes	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
	No	401	1885	21	5	380	95	306	76	200	50	8.4	76	10.9	72	4.7	67	7.4	74	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Identified as Emergent Bilingual (EB)		61	1736	8	13	53	87	23	38	9	15	6.4	58	8.3	55	3.7	52	5.3	53	
Monitored 1st Year (M1), reclassified from EB		25	1935	0	0	25	100	20	80	14	56	8.7	79	11.6	77	4.6	66	8.0	80	
Monitored 2nd Year (M2), reclassified from EB		6	1984	0	0	6	100	5	83	5	83	8.8	80	13.2	88	5.7	81	8.2	82	
Monitored 3rd Year (M3), reclassified from EB		4	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Monitored 4th Year (M4), reclassified from EB		1	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Former EB (Post Monitoring)		12	2093	0	0	12	100	12	100	12	100	10.4	95	14.2	94	5.5	79	9.5	95	
Non-Emergent Bilingual (Non-EB)		292	1900	13	4	279	96	241	83	156	53	8.6	78	11.1	74	4.8	69	7.6	76	
No Information Provided		0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Bilingual	Participants	49	1774	5	10	44	90	20	41	11	22	6.7	61	9.0	60	3.8	55	5.8	58	
	Nonparticipants	352	1901	16	5	336	95	286	81	189	54	8.6	78	11.1	74	4.8	68	7.6	76	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
ESL	Participants	20	1767	2	10	18	90	10	50	5	25	6.7	60	9.2	61	3.9	56	5.8	58	
	Nonparticipants	381	1892	19	5	362	95	296	78	195	51	8.4	77	11.0	73	4.7	67	7.4	74	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Special Education	Yes	50	1692	12	24	38	76	12	24	7	14	6.2	56	7.2	48	3.3	47	4.5	45	
	No	351	1913	9	3	342	97	294	84	193	55	8.7	79	11.4	76	4.9	69	7.8	78	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Section 504	Yes	23	1826	1	4	22	96	16	70	8	35	7.9	72	9.9	66	4.2	60	7.0	70	
	No	378	1889	20	5	358	95	290	77	192	51	8.4	76	10.9	73	4.7	67	7.4	74	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Gifted/Talented	Participants	63	2115	0	0	63	100	63	100	60	95	10.3	94	14.0	93	6.2	89	9.3	93	
	Nonparticipants	338	1843	21	6	317	94	243	72	140	41	8.0	73	10.3	69	4.4	62	7.0	70	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
At-Risk	Yes	109	1705	20	18	89	82	36	33	11	10	6.2	56	7.6	51	3.3	47	5.1	51	
	No	292	1953	1	0	291	100	270	92	189	65	9.2	83	12.1	81	5.2	74	8.2	82	
	No Information Provided	0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	

## Attendance

Tomball ISD	PIA - Cumulative
	2024-2025
<b>All Students</b>	96.5
<b>Ethnicity</b>	
Hispanic/Latino	95.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	96.4
Asian	97.3
Black or African American	96.7
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	
White	96.8
Two or More Races	96.5
<b>Gender</b>	
Male	96.2
Female	96.8
<b>Grade</b>	
5th	96.5
6th	96.5
<b>Special Population</b>	
At Risk	95.5
Early Reading Indicator	
Economic Disadvantage	95.4
Emergent Bilingual	95.5
Foster Care	100
Gifted and Talented	97.6
Homeless Status	79.2
Migrant	
Military Connected	96.8
RDSPD	
Section 504	96.5
Special Education	95.1
Transfer in Students	96.5
Unaccompanied Youth	

## Discipline

Texas statute requires every district to publish a report on violent or criminal incidents at their schools. This annual report must include a statement of the number, rate, and type of violent or criminal incidents that occurred on each district campus, to the extent permitted under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974. These codes include those specified by TEA as mandatory expulsion incidents in the [Unsafe School Choice Option Guidance Handbook](#). Codes 59 and 61 are also monitored by TEA.

Discipline	PEIMS Code	Descriptor
Drugs	36	Felony Controlled Substance Violation – TEC §37.007(a)(3)
Assaults	29	Aggravated Assault Under Penal Code §22.02 Against a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(d)
	30	Aggravated Assault Under Penal Code §22.02 Against someone other than a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007 (a)(2)(A)
	31	Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.011 Or Aggravated Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.021 Against a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(d)
	32	Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.011 Or Aggravated Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.021 Against someone other than a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(a)(2)(A)
Weapons	11	Brought a Firearm to School – TEC 37.007(e) or Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun under Penal Code 46.02 – TEC 37.007(a)(1)
	12	Unlawful Carrying of a Location-Restricted Knife under Penal Code 46.02 – TEC 37.007(a)(1) (Location-Restricted knife - longer than 5.5 inches)
	14	Conduct Containing the Elements of an Offense Relating to Prohibited Weapons Under Penal Code 46.05 – TEC 37.007(a)(1)
Arson	16	Arson TEC 37.007(a)(2)(B)
Death / Deadly Conduct	17	Murder, Capital Murder, Criminal Attempt to Commit Murder or Capital Murder TEC 37.007(a)(2)(C)
	47	Manslaughter TEC 37.007(a)(2)(G)
	48	Criminally Negligent Homicide TEC 37.007 (a)(2)(H)
Child Abuse	18	Indecency with a Child TE C37.007(a)(2)(D)
	57	Continuous Sexual Abuse of a Young Child or Disabled Individual Under Penal Code 21.02 (TEC 37.007(a)(2)(I))
Kidnaping	19	Aggravated Kidnapping TEC 37.007(a)(2)(E)
Robbery	46	Aggravated Robbery TEC 37.007(a)(2)(F); 37.007(C)-(D)
Other Serious Misbehavior	59	<i>Serious Misbehavior, as defined by TEC §37.007(c), while expelled to/placed in a Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP)- TEC §37.007(c) defines "serious misbehavior" as:</i> <i>(1) deliberate violent behavior that poses a direct threat to the health or safety of others;</i> <i>(2) extortion, meaning the gaining of money or other property by force or threat;</i> <i>(3) conduct that constitutes coercion, as defined by Section 1.07, Penal Code; or</i> <i>(4) conduct that constitutes the offense of:</i> <i>(A) public lewdness under Section 21.07, Penal Code;</i> <i>(B) indecent exposure under Section 21.08, Penal Code;</i> <i>(C) criminal mischief under Section 28.03, Penal Code;</i> <i>(D) personal hazing under Section 37.152; or</i> <i>(E) harassment under Section 42.07(a)(1), Penal Code, of a student or district employee.</i>
	61	<i>Bullying TEC 37.0052(b)</i>

Tomball ISD reported the following number of incidents for the above listed discipline infractions over the last three school years:

Year	Enrollment	Discipline Code																Total
		11	12	14	16	17	18	19	29	30	31	32	36	46	47	48	57	
2024-2025	828	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2023-2024	861	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2022-2023	819	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# Disciplinary Removals

Oakcrest ES	Number of Students		ISS Actions		ISS Students		ISS Percent		OSS Actions		OSS Students		OSS Percent		DAEP Actions		DAEP Students		DAEP Percent		JJAEP Actions		JJAEP Students		JJAEP Percent		Total Actions		Total Students		Total Percent	
All	823	67	44	5.35%	15	14	1.70%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	82	46	5.59%							
Asian	97	6	2	2.06%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	6	2	2.06%							
Black or African American	45	13	8	17.78%	4	3	6.67%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	17	8	17.78%							
Hispanic/Latino	299	35	22	7.36%	8	8	2.68%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	43	23	7.69%							
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%							
Two or More Races	43	2	2	4.65%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	2	2	4.65%							
White	335	11	10	2.99%	3	3	0.90%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	14	11	3.28%							
Female	395	6	4	1.01%	1	1	0.25%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	7	4	1.01%							
Male	428	61	40	9.35%	14	13	3.04%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	75	42	9.81%							
Special Education - Summer	155	30	16	10.32%	7	6	3.87%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	37	17	10.97%							
Economic Disadvantage - Fall	251	41	26	10.36%	9	7	2.79%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	50	26	10.36%							
Economic Disadvantage - Summer	256	42	26	10.16%	8	7	2.73%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	50	26	10.16%							
At Risk - Fall	230	28	18	7.83%	7	5	2.17%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	35	19	8.26%							
Bilingual - Fall	92	11	8	8.70%	1	1	1.09%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	12	8	8.70%							
ESL - Fall	35	3	2	5.71%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	3	2	5.71%							
CTE Attendance - Summer	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%							
Section 504 - Summer	46	6	4	8.70%	1	1	2.17%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	7	4	8.70%							

ISS: In-School Suspension

OSS: Out-of-School Suspension

DAEP: Disciplinary Alternative Education Program

JJAEP: Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: [teatexas.gov/reports-and-data](http://teatexas.gov/reports-and-data)

## Campuswide Reform Strategies and SMART Goals

The Campus will implement the following campus-wide reform strategies to address campus needs and provide opportunities for all children, including each of the subgroups of students (economically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, children with disabilities, English learners, and at-risk students) to meet the challenging State academic standards. The Campus will particularly address the needs of those at-risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards. This Campus will use methods and instructional strategies to strengthen the academic program in the Campus, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.

<b>Goal 1</b>	We will increase the percentage of students scoring 5 or above in Writing in STAAR RLA to 60%			
<b>Strategies</b>	writing instruction across content areas, Targeted writing interventions, Professional learning			
<b>Actions</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Timeline</b>	<b>Resource(s)</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>
Implement cross-curricular writing tasks with rubric-based feedback	Core Content Teachers	Aug - April	Writing Rubrics, PLCs	Writing samples evaluated by RLA team and specialist
Identify students in Approaches range and assign intervention groups	RLA teachers and Reading specialist	Aug - April	STAAR data and benchmarks	Intervention progress monitoring every 3 weeks
Host writing calibration sessions and exemplar scoring training	Reading specialist	each 9 weeks	Host writing calibration sessions and exemplar scoring training	

<b>Goal 2</b>	Increase the percentage of Emergent Bilingual (EB) students scoring Meets or above on RLA STAAR to 40%			
<b>Strategies</b>	Language-Rich Instruction, Targeted Small Group, Teacher PD, Family Engagement			
<b>Actions</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Timeline</b>	<b>Resource(s)</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>
Implement sentence stems, visuals, and language objectives in every content lesson	EB Specialist, Core Teachers	Daily	EB specialist, sentence stems	Walkthrough data
Provide bilingual/intervention pull-outs for EB students scoring Approaches/Did Not Meet	EB specialist, Bilingual Teachers	Weekly	District curriculum	Progress monitoring and tracking forms
Host literacy family nights	EB specialist, Bilingual Teachers	twice a year	Bilingual teachers and EB specialist	Attendance and parent feedback

<b>Goal 3</b>	<i>Raise the Masters level in Science from 37% to 45%</i>			
<b>Strategies</b>	<i>STEMscopes Implementation, Explore activities, Literacy in Science</i>			
<b>Actions</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Timeline</b>	<b>Resource(s)</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>
<i>Use STEMscopes Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate (5E) lessons weekly to spiral TEKS</i>	<i>Science Teachers</i>	<i>Weekly</i>	<i>STEMscopes digital platform</i>	<i>Assessments</i>
<i>Build academic vocabulary and comprehension</i>	<i>Science Teacher, EB specialist</i>	<i>Weekly</i>	<i>STEMscopes digital platform</i>	<i>Benchmarks</i>
<i>STEMscopes Explore activities</i>	<i>Science teachers</i>	<i>Biweekly</i>	<i>STEMscopes digital platform</i>	<i>Assessments</i>

## Staff Development Needs

### *Summary of Needs:*

The district addresses the Excellent Educators for All Initiative (Title I, Part A under the Every Student Succeeds Act) by developing goals and strategies to decrease the equity gaps as they relate to low-income students and students of color being taught at higher rates than other students by inexperienced, out-of-field, and ineffective teachers. It does not matter what classroom these students walk into – in Tomball ISD, an effective teacher awaits them. In order to successfully implement the CIP for 2025-2026, professional development, including teacher-led training is required for teachers and administrators in the major areas of collaboration, infused technology, multiple pathways to teaching and leading, meaningful evaluation and support, strong teacher leadership, mentoring, instructional leadership.



## TOMBALL ISD Professional Learning

The professional learning and development provided for all staff members is aligned to district Goals, Priorities contained in the Destination 2030 strategic plan, and designed to support the TISD Boulders.

### Future Ready Learners:

Specific sessions aligned to this priority are designed to address CTE and CCMR outcomes.

### Responsive and Personalized Learning:

Collaborative practices and coaching related to these practices is a focus to support the instructional design process and to ensure collaboration and high quality instruction in each classroom.

### Social, Emotional, and Safety Welfare of the Whole Child:

A focus on emotional health and wellness for staff and students continue to advance the culture of campuses through professional learning about the following:

Great Expectations

Emotional Backpack & Wraparound Services

### Technology and Digital Learning:

Continued integration of technology into instruction enhances blended learning outcomes.

### Quality Staffing and Professional Learning:

All professional learning sessions are aligned to TISD Boulders:

Collaborative Culture

High Quality Instruction

Social & Emotional Learning

## Campus Staff Development Needs

***Staff development has been identified as one of the strategies to meet the assessed needs addressed in this improvement plan. Summarize below what those campus staff development needs are. The Campus Improvement Team must approve this portion of the Campus Improvement Plan.***

Date of CIT  
Approval:

9/29/2025

*Summary of  
Needs:*

Staff development at Oakcrest Intermediate is provided throughout the year by campus specialists, team leads, and administration to directly address identified needs. Professional learning is embedded in PLCs, staff meetings, and campus PD days, with a focus on writing instruction, bilingual/EB student growth, science mastery through STEMscopes, and effective PLC/data practices. These sessions are designed to be job-embedded, ongoing, and responsive to student data to ensure continuous improvement in instruction and student outcomes.

## Assurances

	Topic	Goals and Compliance
☑	CIP Content and Development	This content and development process of this district improvement plan complies with the requirements of Texas Education Codes Chapters 11 and 39.
☑	Comprehensive Needs Assessment	The Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of data for all students, student groups by ethnicity, gender, economic disadvantage, at-risk status, and participation in programs for special education, bilingual/ESL, gifted and talented and CTE. Goals and strategies were developed according to determined needs.
☑	Needs of All Students	Through individual student level planning, monitoring and support, the goals of the CIP will be met for all students.
☑	Goals and Strategies	The CIP goals and strategies include responsible staff, resources, timelines, monitoring, and evaluation.
☑	Attendance and Completion	The CIP Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of campus-relevant data related to student attendance, dropout rates, graduation rates, on-track credit accrual of 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> grade students, and college readiness.
☑	Transitions	The CIP Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of any campus-relevant transitions from Early Childhood or home to Pre-Kindergarten or Kindergarten, transitions from 8 <sup>th</sup> grade to 9 <sup>th</sup> grade including counseling on the Foundation High School program and endorsements, transition to college including counseling and information to students and parents about higher education admissions, financial aid opportunities, the TEXAS and Teach for Texas Grant programs, and the need for making informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school.
☑	Suicide Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFB, DMA, and FFE relating to suicide prevention to reduce and eliminate cases.
☑	Conflict/Violence Prevention and Intervention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FOC, and FOCA relating to violence prevention and intervention.
☑	Dating Violence Awareness	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFH relating to dating violence awareness and training.
☑	Bullying Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB relating to the prevention of bullying.
☑	Coordinated Health Program	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFA and EHAA relating to a coordinated health program.
☑	Child Abuse Prevention and Reporting	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education DG, DH, DHB, FFG, FFH, and GRA related to the prevention and reporting of child abuse.
☑	Child Sexual Abuse Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education DG, DH, DHB, FFG, FFH, and GRA related to the prevention and reporting of child abuse.
☑	Drug, Tobacco, Alcohol Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FNF relating to drug, tobacco, and alcohol prevention to reduce and eliminate use.
☑	State Compensatory Education	The district-wide SCE plan is described in the District Improvement Plan. The comprehensive needs assessment of this DIP included an analysis of student achievement data for at-risk students.
☑	Dyslexia Treatment Program	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education EHB, FB, EHBC, and EKB relating to a Dyslexia Treatment Program.
☑	Trauma-Informed Care Policy	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFBA(LOCAL) in relation to requiring the integration of trauma-informed practices in each school environment.
☑	Duties of District SRO's	<p>The District shall follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education CKE in relation to the duties of Peace Officers and School Resource Officers. The Board approves the duties of Peace Officers and School Resource Officers through an annual Memorandum of Understanding and those duties are detailed as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abide by school board policies and shall consult with and coordinate activities through the school principal but shall remain fully responsive to the chain of command of the law enforcement agency in all matters relating to employment and supervision.</li> <li>2. Encourage individual and small group discussions about law enforcement related matters with students, faculty, and parents.</li> <li>3. Refrain completely from functioning as a school disciplinarian. The School Resource Officer is not to be involved in the enforcement of disciplinary infractions that do not constitute violations of the law.</li> <li>4. Confer with the principal to develop plans and strategies to prevent and/or minimize dangerous situations on or near the campus or involving students at school-related activities.</li> </ol>

		<p>5. Abide by school board policy and applicable law concerning interviews should it be necessary to conduct formal law enforcement interviews with students or staff on property or at school functions under the jurisdiction of the School Board.</p> <p>6. Give assistance to officers in matters regarding the duties of SROs whenever necessary.</p> <p>7. Reaffirm their roles as law enforcement officers by wearing their uniforms, unless doing so would be inappropriate for scheduled school activities. (The uniform will also be worn at events where it will enhance the image of the officers and their ability to perform their duties.)</p> <p>8. Officers work an eight hour shift that begins when they leave their department and ends when they return to their department. Any additional time must be compensated according to the Fair Labor Standards Act at time and a half.</p>
--	--	--

## Waivers

Tomball ISD has requested and been approved by the Commissioner of Education for the following waivers allowable through the Texas Education Code and the Texas Administrative Code:

Waiver Type	Reason	Expires	Description
Foreign Exchange Student (5 or more)	Financial or staffing hardship / diminish high quality services / competition for resources	2027	Allows the district to limit the number of foreign exchange students to 5 per high school.
Modified Schedule State Assessment Testing Days	STAAR EOC testing day schedules	2027	This waiver allows the district or charter school to modify the schedule of classes on State Assessment testing days during the school year to reduce interruptions during testing periods.
Texas Data Portal of Texas Assessment Management System	Use of Eduphoria Aware	2027	This waiver allows school districts and charter schools to apply for a waiver of participation in the teacher portal component of the Texas Assessment Management System. A waiver is granted if a district or charter school can provide assurance that the local teacher data portal meets the statutory requirements.
Staff Development General	Professional Development	2026	<p>Each district and open-enrollment charter school may choose how to apply their approved Staff Development Minutes Waiver. For instance, schools may choose to offer early release, late start, all day staff development, or a combination. However, the total waiver minutes for staff development shall not exceed 2,100 minutes per year.</p> <p>This waiver is for staff development in place of student instruction; therefore, the waiver minutes are only applicable to staff development provided instead of student instruction during the school year. Effective with the 2019-2020 school year, the Staff Development Minutes Waiver may not be used prior to the first day of student instruction or after the last day of student instruction.</p>

## District of Innovation

Tomball ISD is an approved Texas Education Agency District of Innovation. Districts of Innovation may be exempt from state statutes to:

- take greater local control in decision-making about the educational and instructional model for students
- have increased autonomy from state mandates that govern educational programming
- be empowered to innovate and plan differently – to think outside of the box

The Tomball ISD renewal plan will be in effect for the 2022-2023 school year through the 2026-2027 school year. This plan may be amended at any time by the committee with the approval of the Board of Trustees.

Statutory Exemption	Texas Education Code/ Tomball ISD Board Policy	Proposed Innovation
<p>1. OPERATION OF SCHOOL AND SCHOOL ATTENDANCE</p>	<p>TEC 25.0811 EB(LLEGAL)</p>	<p>To allow for a calendar that fits the local needs of our community, we would like to consider moving the mandatory start date back one week which would better benefit our students.</p> <p>a. Students will begin no earlier than the <u>2nd</u> Monday of August.</p> <p>b. Teachers will begin no earlier than the <u>1st</u> Monday of August.</p> <p>c. This will allow the first and second semesters to be closer in the number of days of instruction.</p> <p>d. The goal is to improve the district attendance rate and student success through the flexibility in the calendar.</p> <p>e. Flexible start dates and times will accommodate Early College High School schedules.</p>
<p>2. SUBMITTING WAIVERS FOR KINDERGARTEN – GRADE 4 CLASS SIZE</p>	<p>TEC 25.111 TEC 25.112 TEC 25.113 EEB(LLEGAL)</p>	<p>While we certainly believe that small class size plays a positive role in the classroom, we do not believe it has a negative effect when you only add one or two more students. Many times it is not the number of the students but the makeup and chemistry of the classroom which influence the learning environment.</p> <p>a. TISD will attempt to keep all K-4th core classrooms to a 22:1 ratio. However, in the event the class size exceeds this ratio, the superintendent will report to the Board of Trustees.</p> <p>b. In the event a K-4th core classroom reaches 24:1, the campus will notify the parents of the number of students in the classroom and inform them of the situation.</p> <p>c. A TEA waiver will not be necessary when a K-4th classroom exceeds the 22:1 ratio.</p> <p>d. This gives TISD flexibility without having to apply for waivers within the Texas Education Agency.</p>

<p>3. TEACHER CERTIFICATION</p>	<p>TEC 21.003a TEC 21.057a-e</p> <p>(DK LEGAL) (DK LOCAL) (DK EXHIBIT)</p>	<p>In order to best serve TISD students and allow more flexibility in our scheduling and class offerings, certification issues will be handled locally.</p> <p>a. The campus principal may submit to the superintendent a request to allow a certified teacher to teach one subject out of their certified field. The principal must specify in writing the reason for the request and document what credentials the certified teacher possesses which qualify this individual to teach this subject.</p> <p>b. Flexibility with personnel on Title I campuses per ESSA guidelines.</p> <p>c. In exceptional circumstances, when a certified educator is not found for a unique or innovative class, the campus principal may submit to the superintendent a request for local certification that will allow a non-certified yet highly qualified professional to teach OR a certified educator to teach a subject in a related field for which she or he is not credentialed by the state.</p> <p>d. A teacher certification waiver, state permit applications or other paperwork will not be submitted to the Texas Education Agency.</p>
<p>4. IMPLEMENT A LOCAL TEACHER AND ADMINISTRATOR APPRAISAL SYSTEM</p>	<p>TEC 21.203 TEC 21.352</p> <p>DNA(LEGAL)</p>	<p>a. Tomball ISD will follow a modified TTESS and TPESS as a localized Teacher Appraisal System and an Administrator Appraisal System, which are better aligned with the Tomball ISD strategic goals and student assessments. This exemption would allow flexibility to evaluate various performance measures, including classroom observations, goal setting and tracking, and collective student growth progress toward identified learning objectives. The local system will be detailed in Board Policy DNA(LOCAL) and accompanying documents.</p>
<p>5. CAMPUS BEHAVIOR COORDINATOR</p>	<p>TEC 37.0012</p> <p>FO(LEGAL)</p>	<p>The proposal is for the District to seek exemption from the statute requiring each school to have a designated campus behavior coordinator. The relationships that are established between the campus administrator, counselor, student, and parent are the foundation for promoting and maintaining positive behavior. Utilizing a local district process allows the administrator who currently has a relationship with the parent and student to be the person to make parental contact. The administrator notifies the parent of discipline or behavior concerns, rather than having contact by a campus behavior coordinator, who may not know all the students, providing a much more individual and personal approach.</p>

<p>6. INTER-DISTRICT TRANSFERS</p>	<p>TEC 25.036 FDA(LLEGAL)</p>	<p>Texas Education Code 25.036 and Tomball ISD Board Policy FDA (Local) currently allow for inter-district student transfers. Under Section 25.036, a transfer is interpreted to be for one school year. However, in rare instances, a transfer appears not to be in the best interest of the student, the students of Tomball ISD, and the District when the transferred student engages in behavior that warrants significant discipline, does not attend needed interventions, and/or has attendance that falls below the TEA truancy standard. In those rare instances, Tomball ISD seeks the ability to revoke the transfer during the one school year time period.</p> <p>Proposed Innovation: Tomball maintains an inter-district transfer policy under Board Policy FDA (Local) requiring nonresident students wishing to transfer to file a transfer application for each school year, and in approving transfer requests, the Superintendent or designee shall consider the availability of space and instructional staff and the student’s disciplinary history and attendance records. Under Policy FDA, transfer students are expected to follow the rules and regulations of the District. The District is seeking to eliminate the provision of a one-year commitment in accepting an inter-district transfer for the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- student behavior warrants suspension (in or out of school), placement in a disciplinary alternative program, or expulsion; and/or</li> <li>- student has not attended required interventions (if needed); and/or</li> <li>- student attendance falls below the TEA truancy standard.</li> </ul>
------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

