

Question and Answers

For RFQ26-006JO

Future Bond Planning, Marketing, Architectural Design, and Miscellaneous Other Bond Related Services

1. Are you looking for one group to do all of this or are you looking for multiple groups?

Answer: Both. Could be split amongst multiple groups or just one.

2. On number 32 it says, "Please address each section in your statement of qualifications and divide each section of your response with identifying tabs." Does each letter need its own tab, or can we group them? Some have similar topics.

Answer: Separate tabs are required.

3. Are you looking for MEP Engineering services under this RFQ or will a separate RFQ be issued in the future?

Answer: This would be separate.

4. Can Firms submit alone, or do you prefer a Team Submission?

Answer: Team Submission is fine.

5. Could you clarify whether this solicitation will include MEPT and/or commissioning services? As a MEPT and commissioning firm, we're also wondering if we could submit as a prime, or if the district is specifically seeking architectural firms in that role.

Answer: MEPT and/or commissioning services would be a separate RFQ

6. Regarding the above mentioned RFQ, in the Required format section #32, item "u, the requirement is: "A sample engagement letter and/or contract". We are unsure what you are requesting here. Are you seeking a sample of a previous contract, engagement letter, or letter of intent that we have signed with other districts? Any clarification would be appreciated.

Answer: If you have a required contract format, it must be submitted for review.

Otherwise, contracts related to this work will be provided by Pflugerville ISD.

7. We are unsure what you are requesting here. Are you seeking a sample of a previous contract, engagement letter, or letter of intent that we have signed with other districts? Any clarification would be appreciated.

Answer: See the answer to question 6 above.

8. Statement 32 under the Required Format section states: "Responses shall contain the following information and shall be submitted in the order shown below. Please address each section in your statement of qualifications and divide each section of your response with identifying tabs."

Could you please clarify whether PFISD requires individual tabs for each section A through X (19 tabs total), or if it is acceptable to group some sections together under combined tabs?

Answer: Tabs are required

9. Is the District seeking submissions only from Architecture firms and/or Program Managers? Or will the District consider submissions from individual firms like ours that would only provide landscape architecture/irrigation design services.

Answer: We are primarily looking for full service design firms. However, specialty firms may also be considered.

10. We understand if the District is seeking primarily architectural support for these bond planning aspects, but want to confirm if this request is also to be used to solicit other various services for IDIQ-type contracts.

Answer: Most projects would be identified as part of a planned future bond program. However, additional funding may be required and, therefore, there may be projects awarded on an "as needed" basis.

11. We have a question about this RFQ. We are a marketing company and intend to respond to the marketing portion of this RFQ and want to know if that is acceptable. We want to ensure that responses that do not respond to every section will not be disqualified.

Answer: While we would prefer vendors to submit responses covering the full scope of the RFQ, we understand that some firms specialize in certain areas and may only be able to respond to specific portions. Please know that all submissions will be reviewed and considered, and vendors will not be disqualified solely for not addressing the entire RFQ.

12. Does the district have sustainability goals identified as they relate to the technical specifications or is this something that would need to be developed as a part of the FMP process?

Answer: This would be developed.

13. Based on the District Optimization presentation shared on September 18, 2025, it seems that the engagement work of leading the committee through high performing school models would yield helpful information to be embedded within the Ed Specs that are a part of the Scope for the FMP RFQ. How does the District anticipate the timing of these two tasks syncing up to be most efficient with the time of those contributing?

Answer: The Optimization study will definitely be needed for the Ed Specs and FCA recommendations. The Optimization study should be concluded by the time Ed Specs and FCS are wrapping up.

14. Is any community engagement anticipated within the FMP process, or will all of this be done within the District Optimization Plan?

Answer: Community engagement will definitely play a part.

15. Can you share more insight on how you see the District Optimization Plan and the FMP driving one another or the sequence of how these two efforts move together to reach the Bond in 2027?

Answer: The Optimization study will definitely be needed for the Ed Specs and FCA recommendations. The Optimization study should be concluded by the time Ed Specs and FCS are wrapping up. We will then combine all these to help create the path forward for PfISD.

16. What is the schedule for the District Optimization Plan? Can PfISD share milestone dates for which the scope items in section 4 of the RFQ will be due?

Answer: Not at this time.

17. Can more information be provided on the Optimization Scenarios and the alignment of those with the work of the FMP? Do the Optimization Scenarios use specific recommendations from the FMP, or will the Optimization Scenarios be high level and provide guidance to the FMP recommendations?

- a. For example, if it is identified that popular programming will be expanded at 8 new campuses and new programming will be expanded at 4 new campuses, will these campuses be identified within the Optimization Scenarios and then implemented within the 4(a) FMP scope?

Answer: We have never participated in an Optimization Study. Therefore, we will be learning as we go. However, we do expect the Optimization Study to provide the starting point and foundation for the FMP and community engagement.

18. After reviewing the optimization study presentation dated 9/18/25, can PfISD clarify the tasks ownership and responsibility between PfISD, the optimization team and the RFQ awarded team(s) on the attached (below) matrix?

Bond Tasks	Responsibility	Notes			
		Optimization Team	RFQ award for Bond Planning, Marketing, AD & MISC	Other Consultant / Not in Scope	
Stakeholder identification	X		X		
Community Engagement	X		X		
Bonding Capacity					
Enrollment Projections					
Building Capacities/Utilization (existing ed models)					
Education Specification (based on new SGS Ed models)					
Education Suitability Assessment (based on new SGS Ed model)					
Technical Specifications					

Facility Condition Assessment					
Technology Spec					
Technology Assessment					
Technology Plan					
Security Specs					
Security Assessment					
Security Plan					
Food service Specs					
Food service Assessment					
Food Service Plan					
District Optimization Scenarios					
Implementation of Optimization Scenarios via architectural master plans					
Architectural master plan estimates					
Community surveys					
Bond campaign communications					

Answer: No, not at the present time

21. Will any bond planning and management consultant engaged under this RFQ be precluded from proposing on a future Program Management (PM) RFP for the bond program, should the District issue one?

Answer: No