CALIFORNIA HEALTHY KIDS SURVEY

Andrew Carnegie Middle
Secondary
2024-2025
Main Report

Contents

List of Tables

PREFACE

HYPERLINK FEATURE

The digital version of this report has been hyperlinked. Click on the title of a section or a table in the List of Tables and you will be automatically directed to the actual content section or table in the report.

This report provides the detailed results for each question from the 2024-25 *California Healthy Kids Survey* (CHKS) for schools within this school. The CHKS, along with its two companion surveys—the *California School Staff Survey* (CSSS) and the *California School Parent Survey* (CSPS)—form the California Department of Education's *California School Climate, Health, and Learning Survey* (CalSCHLS) System. CalSCHLS is the largest, most comprehensive state effort in the nation to regularly assess students, staff, and parents to provide key data on school climate and safety, learning supports and barriers, stakeholder engagement, and youth development, health, and well-being. Exhibit 1, at the end of the Preface, presents the major domains and constructs assessed by CalSCHLS. The Appendix provides more information about CHKS questions.

These surveys grew out of the California Department of Education's (CDE) commitment to helping schools create more positive, safe, supportive, and engaging environments and to promoting the successful cognitive, social, emotional, and physical development of all students. They provide a wealth of information to guide school improvement and **Local Control and Accountability Plan** (LCAP) efforts, particularly in regard to the state priorities of enhancing school climate, pupil engagement, parent involvement, and addressing the needs of vulnerable groups.

Factsheets, guidebooks, and other resources to help in understanding and using CHKS results are available for downloading from the CalSCHLS website (calschls.org). The California Safe and Supportive Schools website (ca-safe-supportive-schools.wested.org) provides information and tools helpful in implementing effective strategies to address the needs identified by the survey.

The CalSCHLS technical advisor team offers data workshops and coaching to help identify local needs and develop action plans to meet those needs.

THE SURVEY

CDE has funded the CHKS since 1997 to provide data to assist schools in: (1) fostering safe and supportive school climates, social-emotional competencies, and engagement in learning; (2) preventing youth health-risk behaviors and other barriers to academic achievement; and (3) promoting positive youth development, resilience, and well-being. A thorough understanding of the scope and nature of student behaviors, attitudes, experiences, and supports is essential for guiding school improvement and academic, prevention, and health programs. The Appendix is a brief guide to key CHKS Core Module indicators designed to help survey users more easily understand and interpret their findings.

The CHKS is not just a standalone instrument but a data collection system that districts can customize to meet local needs and interests. The secondary-school CHKS consists of a required general Core Module and a series of optional, supplementary topic-focused modules that districts can elect to administer. Districts may also add their own questions of local interest in a custom module. Table 1 indicates the modules administered by the district/school.

Core Module

As summarized in the Appendix, the Core Module consists of key questions that are considered most important for schools to guide improvement of academic, health, and prevention programs and promote student achievement, college and career readiness, positive development, and well-being. The majority of the questions are school-specific, including the following indicators:

- student grades, truancy, boredom at school, attendance, academic motivation, and school connectedness, as indicators of engagement;
- developmental supports (protective factors) that promote positive academic, social, and emotional outcomes: experiences of caring adult relationships, high expectations, and opportunities for meaningful participation at school;
- perceived school safety, bullying, and victimization; and
- violence perpetration, substance use, and crime-related behavior (e.g., weapons possession).

The Core Module also assesses indicators of student well-being in general, including the scope and nature of substance use and mental health and wellness (chronic sadness, social and emotional distress, life satisfaction, and contemplation of suicide). These questions provide insight into important barriers to learning and development.

A wide range of demographic questions help districts identify differences among student groups and to better address the needs of significant and vulnerable students, including those required to be included in LCAP efforts. These include differences in the results related to race/ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic status; homeless and foster status; and English language proficiency.

Behavioral Health Module (Supplementary)

The Behavioral Health Module (BHM) is a multiform survey module that assesses secondary student well-being indicators (i.e., social isolation, body image and disordered eating, responses to trauma, stress-associated health conditions, and precursors to and consequences of substance use) and protective factors (i.e., home adult supports, peer supports, and school mental health supports). This module assesses almost all of the measures that were assessed on the 2022-23 Mental Health Supports Module, Student Trauma Module, and Alcohol and Other Drugs (AOD) Module—which have been discontinued. The BHM assesses more content while minimizing the survey length for individual students by using a multiform instrument. Students are randomly administered one of two BHM survey forms to reduce survey burden and collect representative data on more aspects of behavioral health. Because the BHM is administered to all schools participating in the Biennial State CHKS, statewide comparison data will be available for all BHM results.

School Climate Module (Supplementary)

To further support school improvement efforts and the LCAP process, a supplementary School Climate Module is available. It provides additional data on student academic mindset, school academic supports, discipline/order, supports for social-emotional learning, bullying prevention, peer relationships, respect for diversity, and the quality of the physical environment (calschls.org/survey-administration/downloads).

Social Emotional Health Module (Supplementary)

The Social Emotional Health Module (SEHM) greatly enhances the value of the CHKS as a strength-based assessment of positive emotions, engagement, ability to build and maintain relationships, and other social-emotional capacities linked to student mental health and well-being and academic success. It includes 43 items that capture core adolescent psychological assets. The combination of the SEHM and Core Module

yields a comprehensive set of data to inform decisions about mental health and social-emotional learning programs.

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION AND SAMPLE

School staff administered the survey, following detailed instructions provided by CDE that were designed to assure the protection of all student and parental rights to privacy and to maintain confidentiality. Students were surveyed only with the consent of parents or guardians. Each student's participation was voluntary, anonymous, and confidential.

Table A1.1 describes the target sample of students and the final number and percent of students who completed the survey (the participation response rate).

THE REPORT

The report tables, organized by topic, provide the percentage of students that responded to each question response option by grade level. Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number.

LCAP Subgroup Results

Summary tables provide key findings (e.g., safety, school connectedness, bullying and harassment, developmental supports) disaggregated by each of the LCAP subgroups (race/ethnic subgroups, socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners and long-term English learners, students with disabilities, students in foster care, and unhoused youth). Results are also disaggregated by gender. Districts can subscribe to the District CalSCHLS data dashboard to disaggregate their CHKS results by these and other factors (i.e., students who report different levels of caring relations with adults at school).

ONLINE DATA DASHBOARDS

Public Dashboard. Anyone can examine online key state, county, and district CHKS results on the public CalSCHLS Data Dashboard (calschls.org/reports-data/dashboard). The dashboard can be used to graphically display statewide, county, and district key indicators from the Core and School Climate Modules, trends over time, and disparities in survey outcomes across subgroups. Group differences across LCAP subgroups and other characteristics (e.g., chronic sadness) can be examined. In addition to displaying results interactively on the web, dashboard results can be exported as Image and PDF files for dissemination. District data are publicly posted on the dashboard by the end of November of the year following survey administration.

The District Dashboard Option. Districts may also purchase a two-year subscription to a password-protected, private data dashboard that displays up to 11 years of CalSCHLS data at not only the district level but also the *individual school level*. The dashboard provides designated staff with graphical data displays for the district as a whole, for all schools in the district on the same page to enable comparisons across schools, and for a single, individual school. At both the district and school level, viewers can:

- compare their data with district and state averages;
- make comparisons across groups such as race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, and many other characteristics (LCAP subgroups, chronic sadness, caring relationships); and
- examine data trends over time overall and by different demographic groups.

Viewers at both the district level and the school level have the option to download data displays as a PDF document which can be shared with stakeholders.

UNDERSTANDING THE DATA

Care must be taken to understand the factors that can impact the quality, validity, and generalizability of the results. These include changes that occur in survey content, administration, and/or sample characteristics between administrations. The following are a few of the key issues that should be kept in mind.

Representativeness

Among the most important factors affecting the quality of survey results is the level and type of student participation. The validity and representativeness of the results will be adversely affected if the student response rate is lower than 70 percent (see Table A1.1 and Appendix I for student response rates). One indication of the survey's representativeness is how accurately the sample reflects the gender and ethnic composition of the student enrollment. Even if the response rate is low, the results provide an indication of what those students who did respond felt about the school and their experiences and behavior.

Changes Between Survey Administrations

Many factors besides real changes in behavior, attitudes, or experiences among students may account for changes in results from administration to administration. Changes may be due to differences over time in the characteristics or size of the sample of students who completed the survey, changes in the questions themselves, or differences between time periods in which the survey was administered (e.g., some risk behaviors tend to increase as students age, or may increase during holidays or social events).

RESOURCES

CalSCHLS.org contains numerous guidebooks and other resources for using and understanding survey results.

- CHKS **factsheets** analyze key topics at the state level, show how data variables are related, and offer suggestions for how data can be analyzed at the local level (calschls.org/resources/factsheets).

- *Making Sense of School Climate* provides a discussion of CalSCHLS survey items that relate to school climate (data.calschls.org/resources/S3_schoolclimateguidebook_final.pdf).

- The **California Center for School Climate** (ccsc.wested.org) website houses school climate resources and guides, and archived virtual events.

- The *California Safe and Supportive Schools Newsletter* provides monthly announcements of resources, tools, webinars and workshops, and research. Sign up on ca-safe-supportive-schools.wested.org/subscribe/.

- CDE's **California Safe and Supportive Schools** website (ca-safe-supportive-schools.wested.org) contains a wealth of information and tools related to school climate improvement and social-emotional learning. It includes factsheets analyzing CalSCHLS data and *What Works Briefs* that provide guidance on strategies to implement.

- The **CalSCHLS Item Crosswalk** (calschls.org/resources/#resources_and_tools) is a handy table listing all the constructs and questions that are on two or more of the CalSCHLS surveys, along with the report table number where results can be found, to assist in the comparison of findings among students, staff, and parents.

NEXT STEPS

Receiving this report is just a beginning step in a data-driven decision-making process of continuous improvement. The following describes some additional steps you should take and some custom services (additional fees apply) available from the CalSCHLS technical advisor team. These will help in fostering effective use of the results and provide additional information to support school and program improvement efforts and the LCAP process.

Engage Students, Staff, and Parents in Reviewing the Results and Action Planning

Engage students, parents, and school/community stakeholders in reviewing and exploring the meaning of the results. Obtain their input into how the school might better meet the identified school and student needs and into developing a detailed action plan to guide school/community collaborative efforts. This communicates to stakeholders that you value their input into how to improve the school/community and gives them an opportunity for meaningful participation. By enlisting their collaboration, you also increase the prospect that the identified needs will be successfully addressed.

As part of this process, WestEd staff can facilitate a *Data Workshop* designed to identify local needs based on the survey results and engage adult stakeholders in developing a detailed plan and timetable for meeting those needs using evidence-based strategies. For more information, contact your CalSCHLS technical advisor (calschls.org/contact) or email calschls@wested.org.

Compare Results with Other Data

The value of your CHKS results will be greatly enhanced if examined in the context of the following sources of related data.

- **Staff and Parent Surveys**. If your school district has administered the CalSCHLS school staff and parent surveys, obtain these results and compare them to those provided by students. It is important to determine how consistent are student, staff, and parent perceptions and experiences. To facilitate these comparisons, the CalSCHLS Survey Item Crosswalk (calschls.org/resources/#resources_and_tools) lists all the constructs and questions that are on two or more of the CalSCHLS surveys, along with the report table number where results can be found. If your district did not administer these companion surveys, urge them to do so next time.

- **Elementary CHKS Results**. Examine how the results from 9th and 11th graders compare with those from 5th and 7th graders if your district also administered the CHKS at these school levels. The elementary, middle, and high school surveys contain common indicators that will enable you to gain a sense of the developmental trajectory in these indicators and explore what programs at the elementary and middle school levels might help mitigate problems that are evident among older students.

- **Other Data**. Examine how the results compare with other youth data collected within the district that relate to the variables assessed. Other relevant school-related data include discipline referrals, school demographic information, school vandalism costs, and behavioral observations in classrooms.

- **Similar District and Statewide Results**. The results from the biennial state administration of the CHKS, which provide representative state norms, can be downloaded from the CalSCHLS website (data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1921.pdf) or examined on the CalSCHLS Data Dashboard (calschls.org/reports-data/dashboard). If there is a school district that you consider similar to your own, you can also check to see if they have administered the survey and download the results for comparison (calschls.org/reports-data/search-lea-reports). How

you compare to state and district results can provide some context for your results, but the most important consideration is what your own results say about the students in your school/community.

Data Workshop

To assist in your review of the survey results, you can request your CalSCHLS technical advisor to conduct a structured, customized *Data Workshop*. In this workshop, a survey specialist works with district stakeholders to promote better understanding of the results and to identify local needs that need to be addressed. The workshops can also include engaging stakeholders in developing a detailed Action Plan and timetable for meeting those needs using evidence-based strategies. For more information, contact your CalSCHLS technical advisor (calschls.org/contact) or email calschls@wested.org.

Request Additional Reports and Data

As you review your data with stakeholders, you may find that additional data needs emerge. The following custom services are available to help delve more deeply into your survey results and foster more effective use of the results in support of school and program improvement efforts and the LCAP process.

School Climate Report Cards, Mental Health Reports, and School Boredom Reports

In addition to this school-level report with all the survey results, three additional reports are available:

- A short, user-friendly, graphic **School Climate Report Card** that provides trends across key indicators of school climate and provides two-year state averages on those indicators (calschls.org/reports-data/#slcr)
- A graphic **Mental Health Report** that describes key indicators of mental health by grade level and by survey administration year (calschls.org/reports-data/#slcr)
- A **School Boredom Report** that describes how much students value school and how bored they feel at school (calschls.org/reports-data/#slcr)

Disaggregated Reports

CalSCHLS staff can produce full reports that look at how results vary by demographic subgroups (e.g., race/ethnicity), or by other characteristics of youth, such as those who are low in academic motivation compared to those who are high. This can be valuable for identifying what subgroups need to be targeted with what resources and programs. Given the LCAP requirement that districts identify and address the needs of underserved subgroups, doing this would be a natural next step for addressing subgroup disparities.

Additional Analysis of Data

The complete dataset is available electronically for additional analysis (calschls.org/reports-data). The dataset enables analyses of patterns in the results, how they are interrelated, and how they vary by different subgroups of students and across schools within a district. You can also request an analysis by WestEd staff of any topic of interest.

Add Questions to Your Next Surveys

Determine what additional information is needed from students to guide school improvement efforts and add questions to your next CHKS, staff, or parent surveys. All three surveys are designed so that schools can add additional questions to help them conduct a more individualized and comprehensive assessment.

For more information about survey planning or technical assistance in understanding survey results and developing effective action plans to address identified needs, call the CalSCHLS helpline (562.799.5460) or email calschls@wested.org.

Exhibit 1
Major School-Related Domains and Constructs Assessed by CalSCHLS in Secondary Schools

	Student Core	Student School Climate	Student Social Emotional Health	Staff Survey	Parent Survey
Student Learning Engagement					
Academic mindset			✓		
Academic motivation	✓	✓		✓	✓
Academic performance (grades)	✓				
Attendance (absences and reasons absent)	✓			✓	
School boredom	✓				
School connectedness	✓				
Student Social-Emotional and Physical Well-being					
Alcohol, tobacco, and drug use	✓			✓	✓
Behavioral self-control			✓		
Bedtime	✓				
Collaboration			✓		
Emotional self-regulation			✓		
Empathy			✓		
Gratitude			✓		
Life satisfaction	✓				
Optimism	✓				
Perceived safety	✓			✓	✓
Persistence			✓		
Problem solving			✓		
Self-awareness			✓		
Self-efficacy			✓		
Social-emotional competencies and health			✓	✓	
Social-emotional distress	✓				
Violence and victimization (bullying)	✓			✓	✓
Zest			✓		
School Climate Conditions					
Academic rigor and norms				✓	✓
College and career supports				✓	✓
Family support			✓		
High expectations	✓			✓	✓
Meaningful participation and decision-making	✓			✓	✓
Parent involvement	✓			✓	✓
Physical environment	✓	✓		✓	✓
Relationships among staff				✓	
Relationships among students		✓	✓	✓	✓
Relationships between students and staff	✓			✓	✓
Respect for diversity and cultural sensitivity		✓		✓	✓
Teacher and other supports for learning		✓		✓	✓
School Climate Improvement Practices					
Bullying prevention		✓		✓	✓
Discipline and order (policies, enforcement)		✓		✓	✓
Services and policies to address student needs				✓	
Social-emotional/behavioral supports		✓		✓	✓
Staff supports				✓	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thomas Hanson, Ph.D.
CalSCHLS Director, WestEd

Tom Herman
Administrator, School Health and Safety Office
California Department of Education

Survey Module Administration

Table 1

CHKS Survey Modules Administered

Survey Module	Administered
A. Core (Required)	X
B. Behavioral Health Module (BHM)	
C. Closing the Achievement Gap (CTAG) Module	
D. Community Health Module (CHM)	
E. Community Schools Module	
F. District Afterschool Module (DASM)	
G. Drug-Free Communities (DFC) Module	
H. Gang Risk Awareness Module (GRAM)	
I. Gender Identity & Sexual Orientation-Based Harassment Module	
J. Military-Connected School Module	
K. Physical Health & Nutrition Module	
L. Resilience & Youth Development Module (RYDM)	
M. Safety & Violence Module	
N. School Climate Module (SCM)	
O. Sexual Behavior Module	
P. Social Emotional Health Module (SEHM)	
Q. Tobacco Module	
Z. Custom Questions	

Core Module Results

1. Survey Sample

Table A1.1

Student Sample for Core Module

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
Student Sample Size			
Target sample	104	298	–
Final number	104	298	–
Response Rate	100%	100%	–

Table A1.2

Number of Respondents by Instructional Model

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
In-school learning only	103	295	–
Remote learning only	1	3	–

2. Summary of Key Indicators

Table A2.1

Key Indicators of School Climate

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %	Table
School Engagement and Supports				
School connectedness†	65	46	–	A6.4
School connectedness$^{\dagger\psi}$ *(Remote Only)*			–	A6.4
Academic motivation†	70	57	–	A6.4
School is really boring$^\pm$	29	42	–	A6.11
School is worthless and a waste of time$^\pm$	6	16	–	A6.11
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)¶	9	19	–	A6.2
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$	34	45	–	A6.10
Caring adult relationships‡	63	55	–	A6.4
High expectations‡	77	64	–	A6.4
Meaningful participation‡	36	25	–	A6.4
Facilities upkeep$^\sigma$	27	21	–	A6.15
Promotion of parental involvement in school†	58	43	–	A6.4
School Safety and Cyberbullying				
School perceived as very safe or safe	64	49	–	A8.1
Experienced any harassment or bullying§	32	40	–	A8.2
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you§	28	41	–	A8.3
Been afraid of being beaten up§	19	29	–	A8.3
Been in a physical fight§	5	10	–	A8.4
Seen a weapon on campus§	3	8	–	A8.6
Cyberbullying§	23	25	–	A8.3

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

†*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

$^\psi$*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

$^\pm$*Rating of 7 or higher.*

¶*Past 30 days.*

$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

‡*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

§*Past 12 months.*

Table A2.2

Key Indicators of Substance Use, Health Routines, and Remote Schooling

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %	Table
Substance Use				
Current alcohol or drug use¶	1	3	–	A9.5
Current tobacco use¶	0	3	–	A10.8
Current marijuana use¶	0	1	–	A9.5
Current binge drinking¶	0	0	–	A9.5
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever	0	0	–	A9.7
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever	0	1	–	A9.9
Current cigarette smoking¶	0	0	–	A10.5
Current use of vape products¶	0	4	–	A10.6
Current tobacco vaping¶	0	3	–	A10.6
Current marijuana vaping¶	0	0	–	A10.6
Health Routines				
Eating of breakfast∣	78	60	–	A4.1
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)	12	11	–	A4.2
Remote Schooling				
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)¶δ			–	A5.1
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)∣δ			–	A5.1
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^{\sigma\delta}$			–	A5.3
Meaningful opportunities$^{\theta\delta}$			–	A5.2

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

∣Today.

δRemote only.

∣Past 7 days.

σPercent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

θPercent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."

Table A2.3

Key Indicators of Student Well-Being

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %	Table
Social and Emotional Health				
Social emotional distress[‡]	14	26	–	A7.5
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness[§]	24	29	–	A7.1
Considered suicide[§]	8	14	–	A7.2
Optimism[‡]	65	44	–	A7.3
Life satisfaction[∓]	77	66	–	A7.4
	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	
California Student Wellness Index[ð]	107.9	102.7	–	NP

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[‡]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

[∓]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ð]*To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.*

NP-Not presented in an individual table.

3. Demographics

Table A3.1

School Schedule, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
In-School Model	99	99	–
Remote Learning Model	1	1	–

Question HS/MS A.1: Which of the following best describes your school schedule during the past 30 days?
Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
In-School Model - Respondents selecting "I went to school in person at my school building for the entire day, Monday through Friday."
Remote Learning Model - Respondents selecting "I participated in school from home for the entire day on most or all weekdays and did not go to school in person."

Table A3.2

Gender of Sample

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Male	54	46	–
Female	42	52	–
Nonbinary	0	2	–
Something else	4	0	–

Question HS/MS A.3: What is your gender?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.3

Gender Identity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No, I am not transgender	90	92	–
Yes, I am transgender	1	2	–
I am not sure if I am transgender	1	1	–
Decline to respond	8	5	–

Question HS/MS A.4: Some people describe themselves as transgender when how they think or feel about their gender is different from the sex they were assigned at birth. Are you transgender?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.4
Sexual Orientation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Heterosexual (straight)	72	77	–
Lesbian or Gay	2	4	–
Bisexual	5	6	–
Something else	2	3	–
Not sure	8	4	–
Decline to respond	11	6	–

Question HS/MS A.5: Which of the following best describes you?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.5
Race or Ethnicity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
American Indian or Alaska Native, non-Hispanic	2	1	–
Asian or Asian American, non-Hispanic	3	4	–
Black or African American, non-Hispanic	3	1	–
Hispanic or Latino/a	27	26	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	0	2	–
White, non-Hispanic	44	49	–
Multiracial, non-Hispanic	11	13	–
Something else, non-Hispanic	10	4	–

Question HS/MS A.6: What is your race or ethnicity? (Mark All That Apply.)... American Indian or Alaska Native... Asian or Asian American... Black or African American... Hispanic or Latino/a... Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander... White... Something else.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.6

Living Arrangements and Housing Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
A home with one or more parent or guardian	87	85	–
Other relative's home	0	2	–
A home with more than one family	6	7	–
Friend's home	4	0	–
Foster home, group care, or waiting placement	0	0	–
Hotel or motel	1	0	–
Shelter, car, campground, or other transitional or temporary housing	0	0	–
Other living arrangement	2	6	–

Question HS/MS A.9: What best describes where you live? A home includes a house, apartment, trailer, or mobile home.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.7

Living Situation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Home with one or more parent or guardian	87	85	–
Foster home	0	0	–
Homeless	5	0	–
Other living arrangement	8	15	–

Question HS/MS A.9: What best describes where you live? A home includes a house, apartment, trailer, or mobile home.
Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
Category "Homeless" includes "Friend's home," "Hotel or motel," and "Shelter, car, campground, or other transitional or temporary housing."
Category "Other living arrangement" includes "Other relative's home," "A home with more than one family," and "Other living arrangement."

Table A3.8
Parental Education

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Did not finish high school	0	5	–
Graduated from high school	5	12	–
Attended college but did not complete four-year degree	6	6	–
Graduated from college	52	53	–
Don't know	37	23	–

Question HS/MS A.10: What is the highest level of education your parents or guardians completed? (Mark the educational level of the parent or guardian who went the furthest in school.)
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.9
Special Education Services

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	81	78	–
Yes	7	8	–
Don't know	10	13	–
Prefer not to say	2	2	–

Question HS/MS A.15: Do you have an IEP (Individualized Education Plan) or get special education services?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.10
English Learner Program Designation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	76	83	–
Yes	6	6	–
Don't know	18	11	–

Question HS/MS A.13: Are you in the English Learner Program at school?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.11

English Learner (EL) Program Duration and Long-Term English Learner (LTEL) Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Not currently in English Learner Program	93	93	–
Less than 7 years (EL)	7	6	–
7 years or more (LTEL)	0	1	–

Question HS/MS A.13, 14: Are you in the English Learner Program at school?... How many years have you been in the English Learner Program across all schools you've attended?
Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
Category "Less than 7 years (EL)" includes responses "Less than 3 years," "3 to 4 years," and "5 to 6 years."

Table A3.12

Language Spoken at Home

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
English	82	84	–
Spanish	9	5	–
Mandarin	0	0	–
Cantonese	0	0	–
Taiwanese	0	0	–
Tagalog	0	1	–
Vietnamese	2	0	–
Korean	0	0	–
Arabic	1	0	–
Other	6	10	–

Question HS/MS A.12: What language is spoken most of the time in your home?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.13

English Language Proficiency Among Students Speaking a Language Other Than English at Home

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
How well do you...			
understand English?			
Very well	67	64	–
Well	33	34	–
Not well	0	2	–
Not at all	0	0	–
speak English?			
Very well	67	66	–
Well	28	20	–
Not well	6	11	–
Not at all	0	2	–
read English?			
Very well	78	68	–
Well	22	20	–
Not well	0	11	–
Not at all	0	0	–
write English?			
Very well	78	64	–
Well	22	27	–
Not well	0	9	–
Not at all	0	0	–
English Language Proficiency Status			
Proficient	61	59	–
Not proficient	39	41	–

Question HS/MS A.12, 12A-12D: What language is spoken most of the time in your home?... How well do you understand, speak, read, and write English?... Understand English... Speak English... Read English... Write English.

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

English Language Proficiency was determined by creating a scale score using four survey questions: how well do you understand... speak... read... and write English? Response options are reverse coded so higher values indicate higher English proficiency level ("Not at all" (1); "Not well" (2); "Well" (3); and "Very well" (4)). The scale score was computed by averaging the survey responses. Respondents are categorized as "Proficient" or "Not Proficient" based on the English language proficiency scale.

Proficient: students with average item response > 3.5; and

Not Proficient: students with average item response ≤ 3.5.

Table A3.14

Number of Days Attending Afterschool Program

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
I do not attend my school's afterschool program	87	79	–
1 day	1	3	–
2 days	4	5	–
3 days	2	4	–
4 days	3	3	–
5 days	3	6	–

Question HS/MS A.19: How many days a week do you usually go to your school's afterschool program?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A3.15

Military Connections

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	96	97	–
Yes	1	1	–
Don't know	3	2	–

Question HS/MS A.11: Is your father, mother, or guardian currently in the military (Army, Navy, Marines, Air Force, National Guard, or Reserves)?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

4. Health Routines

Table A4.1

Eating of Breakfast

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	22	40	–
Yes	78	60	–

Question HS/MS A.17: Did you eat breakfast today?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A4.2

Bedtime

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Before 7:00 pm	1	0	–
7:00-7:59 pm	3	1	–
8:00-8:59 pm	14	10	–
9:00-9:59 pm	36	30	–
10:00-10:59 pm	19	34	–
11:00-11:59 pm	16	15	–
12:00-12:59 am	5	7	–
After 1:00 am	7	4	–
Bedtime at 12 am or later	12	11	–

Question HS/MS A.16: What time did you go to bed last night?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

5. Remote Schooling

Results in this section are applicable to students who indicated that they attend school remotely. Responses from students attending school in-person are not included.

Table A5.1

Remote Schooling Schedule and Instructional Time **(Remote Only)**

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Time spent on learning and completing schoolwork from home on the average weekday			
Less than 1 hour			–
Between 1 and 2 hours			–
Between 2 and 3 hours			–
Between 3 and 4 hours			–
Between 4 and 5 hours			–
More than 5 hours			–
Number of days in the past week participating in an online class from home where your teacher talked to students			
0 days			–
1 day			–
2 days			–
3 days			–
4 days			–
5 days			–
Number of weekdays participating in school from home for the entire school day			
0 days			–
1 day			–
2 days			–
3 days			–
4 days			–
5 days			–

Question HS/MS A.20-22: In the past 30 days, how many weekdays in an average week did you participate in school from home for an entire school day?.. On the average weekday, how much of your day did you spend learning and completing schoolwork from home?... How many days in the past week did you participate in an online class from home where your teacher talked to students from a computer, phone, or tablet (iPad)?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A5.2

Interesting Activities Provided for Student in Remote Schooling *(Remote Only)*

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Not at all true			–
A little true			–
Pretty much true			–
Very much true			–

Question HS/MS A.49: There is a teacher or some other adult from my school... who provides me with interesting activities to do while I am participating in school from home.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A5.3

Interest in Schoolwork Done from Home *(Remote Only)*

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Strongly disagree			–
Disagree			–
Neither disagree nor agree			–
Agree			–
Strongly agree			–

Question HS/MS A.40: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... I am interested in the schoolwork I do when participating in school from home.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

6. School Performance, Engagement, and Supports

Table A6.1

Grades, Past 12 Months

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Mostly A's	28	25	–
A's and B's	44	36	–
Mostly B's	9	6	–
B's and C's	7	18	–
Mostly C's	6	1	–
C's and D's	4	10	–
Mostly D's	0	2	–
Mostly F's	2	2	–

Question HS/MS A.24: During the past 12 months, how would you describe the grades you mostly received in school?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.2

Absences, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
I did not miss any days of school in the past 30 days	58	42	–
1 day	24	21	–
2 days	9	19	–
3 or more days	9	19	–

Question HS/MS A.18, 23: In the past 30 days, how often did you miss an entire day of school for any reason? [In-School only]. In the past 30 days, how often did you miss an entire day of remote learning classes for any reason? [Remote only]
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.3

Reasons for Absence, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Does not apply; I didn't miss any school	51	39	–
Illness (feeling physically sick), including problems with breathing or your teeth	36	41	–
Were being bullied or mistreated at school	1	4	–
Felt very sad, hopeless, anxious, stressed, or angry	4	7	–
Didn't get enough sleep	3	5	–
Didn't feel safe at school or going to and from school	2	1	–
Had to take care of or help a family member or friend	3	3	–
Wanted to spend time with friends	1	1	–
Used alcohol or drugs	1	0	–
Were behind in schoolwork or weren't prepared for a test or class assignment	1	1	–
Were bored or uninterested in school	2	3	–
Had no transportation to school	2	0	–
Other reason	26	23	–

Question HS/MS A.25, 26: In the past 30 days, did you miss a day of school for any of the following reasons? (Mark All That Apply.) [In-School only]... In the past 30 days, did you miss a day of school from home for any of the following reasons? (Mark All That Apply.) [Remote only]

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Total percentages may exceed 100% for "mark all that apply" items.

Table A6.4

School Environment, School Connectedness, Academic Motivation, and Promotion of Parental Involvement Scales

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %	Table
Total school supports	58	47	–	
Caring relationships-adults in school‡	63	55	–	A6.5
High expectations-adults in school‡	77	64	–	A6.6
Meaningful participation at school‡	36	25	–	A6.7
School connectedness†	65	46	–	A6.8
School connectedness†ψ *(Remote Only)*			–	A6.8
Academic motivation†	70	57	–	A6.9
Promotion of parental involvement in school†	58	43	–	A6.13

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

‡ *Scales are based on average of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

† *Scales are based on average of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

ψ *The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

Table numbers refer to tables with item-level results for the survey questions that comprise each scale.

Table A6.5
Caring Relationships Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Caring relationships-adults in school			
Average reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true"	63	55	–
There is a teacher or some other adult from my school...			
who really cares about me.			
Not at all true	9	12	–
A little true	36	31	–
Pretty much true	36	39	–
Very much true	20	19	–
who notices when I'm not there.			
Not at all true	12	17	–
A little true	26	32	–
Pretty much true	37	33	–
Very much true	25	18	–
who listens to me when I have something to say.			
Not at all true	2	15	–
A little true	26	29	–
Pretty much true	44	38	–
Very much true	27	19	–

Question HS/MS A.47, 50, 53: There is a teacher or some other adult from my school... who really cares about me... who notices when I'm not there... who listens to me when I have something to say.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.6
High Expectations Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
High expectations-adults in school			
Average reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true"	77	64	–
There is a teacher or some other adult from my school...			
who tells me when I do a good job.			
Not at all true	5	12	–
A little true	18	27	–
Pretty much true	51	39	–
Very much true	26	22	–
who always wants me to do my best.			
Not at all true	1	4	–
A little true	17	24	–
Pretty much true	47	37	–
Very much true	35	34	–
who believes that I will be a success.			
Not at all true	7	14	–
A little true	20	28	–
Pretty much true	39	32	–
Very much true	35	27	–

Question HS/MS A.48, 51, 54: There is a teacher or some other adult from my school... who tells me when I do a good job... who always wants me to do my best... who believes that I will be a success.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.7
Meaningful Participation Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Meaningful participation at school			
Average reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true"	36	25	–
At school/When I participate in school,...			
I do interesting activities.			
Not at all true	7	11	–
A little true	30	39	–
Pretty much true	40	37	–
Very much true	23	13	–
I help decide things like class activities or rules.			
Not at all true	34	51	–
A little true	40	33	–
Pretty much true	17	11	–
Very much true	9	5	–
I do things that make a difference.			
Not at all true	12	32	–
A little true	42	39	–
Pretty much true	36	23	–
Very much true	10	6	–
I have a say in how things work.			
Not at all true	37	47	–
A little true	34	35	–
Pretty much true	24	13	–
Very much true	4	5	–
I help decide school activities or rules.			
Not at all true	60	67	–
A little true	26	21	–
Pretty much true	8	9	–
Very much true	7	3	–

Question HS/MS A.55-64: At school [In-School only],... When I participate in school [Remote only],... I do interesting activities... I help decide things like class activities or rules... I do things that make a difference... I have a say in how things work... I help decide school activities or rules.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.8
School Connectedness Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School connectedness			
Average reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree"	65	46	–
School connectedness$^{\psi}$ *(Remote Only)*			
Average reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree"			–
I feel close to people at/from this school.			
Strongly disagree	4	1	–
Disagree	3	7	–
Neither disagree nor agree	21	24	–
Agree	49	53	–
Strongly agree	22	14	–
I am happy with/to be at this school.			
Strongly disagree	3	7	–
Disagree	4	15	–
Neither disagree nor agree	26	37	–
Agree	45	35	–
Strongly agree	22	6	–
I feel like I am part of this school.			
Strongly disagree	5	9	–
Disagree	11	14	–
Neither disagree nor agree	19	38	–
Agree	50	34	–
Strongly agree	15	6	–

Question HS/MS A.27-34: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... I feel close to people at this school. [In-School only]... I feel close to people from this school. [Remote only]... I am happy to be at this school. [In-School only]... I am happy with this school. [Remote only]... I feel like I am part of this school.... The teachers at this school treat students fairly. [In-School only]... The teachers treat students fairly. [Remote only]... I feel safe in my school. [In-School only]

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^{\psi}$The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.

Table A6.8
School Connectedness Scale Questions – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
The teachers at this school treat students fairly/The teachers treat students fairly.			
Strongly disagree	2	10	–
Disagree	6	13	–
Neither disagree nor agree	32	37	–
Agree	46	33	–
Strongly agree	14	7	–
I feel safe in my school.			
Strongly disagree	3	3	–
Disagree	11	11	–
Neither disagree nor agree	26	41	–
Agree	44	40	–
Strongly agree	16	6	–

Question HS/MS A.32-34 How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... The teachers at this school treat students fairly. [In-School only]... The teachers treat students fairly. [Remote only]...I feel safe in my school. [In-School only]

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.9
Academic Motivation Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Academic motivation			
Average reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree"	70	57	–
I try hard to make sure that I am good at my schoolwork.			
Strongly disagree	0	1	–
Disagree	2	5	–
Neither disagree nor agree	15	20	–
Agree	38	43	–
Strongly agree	45	31	–
I try hard on my schoolwork because I am interested in it.			
Strongly disagree	4	12	–
Disagree	14	21	–
Neither disagree nor agree	38	37	–
Agree	31	25	–
Strongly agree	13	6	–
I work hard to try to understand new things when doing my schoolwork.			
Strongly disagree	1	2	–
Disagree	5	14	–
Neither disagree nor agree	23	32	–
Agree	47	39	–
Strongly agree	24	13	–
I am always trying to do better in my schoolwork.			
Strongly disagree	1	2	–
Disagree	3	6	–
Neither disagree nor agree	15	21	–
Agree	49	45	–
Strongly agree	32	26	–

Question HS/MS A.41-44: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... I try hard to make sure that I am good at my schoolwork... I try hard on my schoolwork because I am interested in it... I work hard to try to understand new things when doing my schoolwork... I am always trying to do better in my schoolwork.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.10
Maintaining Focus on Schoolwork

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
It is hard for me to stay focused when doing my schoolwork.			
Strongly disagree	6	8	–
Disagree	37	21	–
Neither disagree nor agree	22	27	–
Agree	17	29	–
Strongly agree	17	15	–

Question HS/MS A.39: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... It is hard for me to stay focused when doing my schoolwork.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.11
School Boredom and Value of School

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School boredom			
Low (0-3)	39	21	–
Moderate (4-6)	32	37	–
High (7-10)	29	42	–
Value of school			
High (0-3)	78	59	–
Moderate (4-6)	16	26	–
Low (7-10)	6	16	–

Question HS/MS A.45, 46: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... School is really boring (school boredom)... School is worthless and a waste of time (value of school). Response categories range from 0 (strongly disagree) to 10 (strongly agree).
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.12
School Boredom Profile Groups

Value of School	School Boredom	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
High Value	Low Boredom	39	20	–
	Moderate Boredom	23	25	–
	High Boredom	16	14	–
Moderate Value	Moderate Boredom	7	10	–
	High Boredom	8	14	–
Low Value	High Boredom	5	14	–

Question HS/MS A.45, 46: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... School is really boring (school boredom)... School is worthless and a waste of time (value of school). Response categories range from 0 (strongly disagree) to 10 (strongly agree)
Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
Students are classified as high on value of school if they selected 0, 1, 2, or 3, moderate if they selected 4, 5, or 6, and low if they selected 7, 8, 9, or 10.
Students are classified as high on school boredom if they selected 7, 8, 9, or 10, moderate if they selected 4, 5, or 6, and low if they selected 0, 1, 2, or 3.
Percentages may not add up to 100% because categories with very low responses are not reported.

Table A6.13

Promotion of Parental Involvement Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Promotion of parental involvement in school			
Average reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree"	58	43	–
Teachers at this school communicate with parents about what students are expected to learn in class.			
Strongly disagree	0	2	–
Disagree	5	10	–
Neither disagree nor agree	34	37	–
Agree	51	40	–
Strongly agree	10	12	–
Parents feel welcome to participate at this school.			
Strongly disagree	2	3	–
Disagree	4	4	–
Neither disagree nor agree	36	53	–
Agree	43	34	–
Strongly agree	15	6	–
School staff take parent concerns seriously.			
Strongly disagree	2	6	–
Disagree	11	12	–
Neither disagree nor agree	32	43	–
Agree	43	30	–
Strongly agree	13	9	–

Question HS/MS A.36-38: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... Teachers at this school communicate with parents about what students are expected to learn in class... Parents feel welcome to participate at this school... School staff take parent concerns seriously.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.14
Checking Student Well-Being

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
A teacher or some other adult from my school checks on how I am feeling.			
Not at all true	25	29	–
A little true	35	34	–
Pretty much true	25	22	–
Very much true	15	15	–

Question HS/MS A.52: There is a teacher or some other adult from my school... who checks on how I am feeling.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A6.15
Quality of School Physical Environment

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
My school is usually clean and tidy.			
Strongly disagree	11	14	–
Disagree	22	24	–
Neither disagree nor agree	40	41	–
Agree	22	18	–
Strongly agree	5	3	–

Question HS/MS A.35: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?... My school is usually clean and tidy.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

7. Social and Emotional Health

Table A7.1

Chronic Sad or Hopeless Feelings, Past 12 Months

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	76	71	–
Yes	24	29	–

Question HS A.153/MS A.146: During the past 12 months, did you ever feel so sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks or more that you stopped doing some usual activities?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A7.2

Seriously Considered Attempting Suicide, Past 12 Months

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	92	86	–
Yes	8	14	–

Question HS A.154/MS A.147: During the past 12 months, did you ever seriously consider attempting suicide?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A7.3
Optimism Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Optimism			
Average reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true"	65	44	–
Each day I look forward to having a lot of fun.			
Not at all true	14	19	–
A little true	23	41	–
Pretty much true	39	28	–
Very much true	24	12	–
I usually expect to have a good day.			
Not at all true	12	21	–
A little true	21	36	–
Pretty much true	44	30	–
Very much true	23	12	–
Overall, I expect more good things to happen to me than bad things.			
Not at all true	15	23	–
A little true	21	27	–
Pretty much true	41	34	–
Very much true	23	16	–

Question HS A.160-162/MS A.153-155: Please tell us how true each statement is of you... Each day I look forward to having a lot of fun... I usually expect to have a good day... Overall, I expect more good things to happen to me than bad things.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A7.4
Life Satisfaction Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Life satisfaction			
Average reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied"	77	66	–
I would describe my satisfaction with...			
my family life as...			
Very dissatisfied	3	2	–
Dissatisfied	3	2	–
A little dissatisfied	5	7	–
A little satisfied	3	10	–
Satisfied	24	35	–
Very satisfied	62	46	–
my friendships as...			
Very dissatisfied	3	1	–
Dissatisfied	5	3	–
A little dissatisfied	3	7	–
A little satisfied	6	11	–
Satisfied	48	40	–
Very satisfied	35	39	–
my school experience as...			
Very dissatisfied	6	10	–
Dissatisfied	11	12	–
A little dissatisfied	6	16	–
A little satisfied	24	32	–
Satisfied	40	21	–
Very satisfied	13	9	–

Question HS A.163-165/MS A.156-158: Please describe your level of satisfaction below. I would describe my satisfaction with... my family life as... my friendships as... my school experience as...
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A7.4
Life Satisfaction Scale Questions – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
I would describe my satisfaction with...			
myself as...			
Very dissatisfied	8	10	–
Dissatisfied	5	7	–
A little dissatisfied	3	10	–
A little satisfied	8	14	–
Satisfied	41	34	–
Very satisfied	35	25	–
where I live as...			
Very dissatisfied	2	1	–
Dissatisfied	5	2	–
A little dissatisfied	5	5	–
A little satisfied	3	10	–
Satisfied	21	34	–
Very satisfied	65	49	–

Question HS A.166, 167/MS A.159, 160: Please describe your level of satisfaction below. I would describe my satisfaction with... myself as... where I live as...

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A7.5
Social Emotional Distress Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress			
Average reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true"	14	26	–
I had a hard time relaxing.			
Not at all true	59	42	–
A little true	32	34	–
Pretty much true	6	12	–
Very much true	3	11	–
I felt sad and down.			
Not at all true	52	41	–
A little true	30	29	–
Pretty much true	12	15	–
Very much true	6	15	–
I was easily irritated.			
Not at all true	41	32	–
A little true	33	25	–
Pretty much true	15	23	–
Very much true	11	21	–
It was hard for me to cope and I thought I would panic.			
Not at all true	77	63	–
A little true	14	18	–
Pretty much true	6	10	–
Very much true	3	10	–
It was hard for me to get excited about anything.			
Not at all true	77	60	–
A little true	17	25	–
Pretty much true	3	9	–
Very much true	3	5	–

Question HS A.155-159/MS A.148-152: Over the past 30 days, how true do you feel these statements are about you?... I had a hard time relaxing... I felt sad and down... I was easily irritated... It was hard for me to cope and I thought I would panic... It was hard for me to get excited about anything.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

8. School Violence, Victimization, and Safety

Table A8.1
Perceived Safety at School

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Very safe	19	3	–
Safe	46	46	–
Neither safe nor unsafe	27	42	–
Unsafe	7	8	–
Very unsafe	1	2	–

Question HS A.117/MS A.110: How safe do you feel when you are at school?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A8.2

Reasons for Harassment, Past 12 Months

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Race, ethnicity, or national origin			
0 times	87	85	–
1 time	4	6	–
2 or more times	9	8	–
Religion			
0 times	97	90	–
1 time	1	4	–
2 or more times	1	6	–
Gender			
0 times	96	89	–
1 time	1	4	–
2 or more times	3	8	–
Because you are gay, lesbian, or bisexual or someone thought you were			
0 times	96	84	–
1 time	1	6	–
2 or more times	3	11	–
A physical or mental disability			
0 times	97	92	–
1 time	1	4	–
2 or more times	1	4	–
Any of the above five reasons	17	32	–
Any of the above five reasons (2 or more times)	13	25	–

Question HS A.137-141, 144-148/MS A.130-134, 137-141: During the past 12 months, how many times on school property were you harassed or bullied for any of the following reasons?... Your race, ethnicity, or national origin [In-school only]... Your religion [In-school only]... Your gender [In-school only]... Because you are gay, lesbian, or bisexual or someone thought you were [In-school only]... A physical or mental disability [In-school only]... During the past 12 months, how many times did students from your school harass you or bully you for any of the following reasons?... Your race, ethnicity, or national origin [Remote only]... Your religion [Remote only]... Your gender [Remote only]... Because you are gay, lesbian, or bisexual or someone thought you were [Remote only]... A physical or mental disability. [Remote only]

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A8.2

Reasons for Harassment, Past 12 Months – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
You are an immigrant or someone thought you were			
0 times	93	89	–
1 time	4	6	–
2 or more times	3	4	–
Any other reason			
0 times	81	76	–
1 time	9	6	–
2 or more times	9	19	–
Any harassment	32	40	–

Question HS A.137-150/MS A.130-143: During the past 12 months, how many times on school property were you harassed or bullied for any of the following reasons?... Your race, ethnicity, or national origin [In-school only]... Your religion [In-school only]... Your gender [In-school only]... Because you are gay, lesbian, or bisexual or someone thought you were [In-school only]... A physical or mental disability [In-school only]... You are an immigrant or someone thought you were [In-school only]... Any other reason [In-school only]... During the past 12 months, how many times did students from your school harass you or bully you for any of the following reasons?... Your race, ethnicity, or national origin [Remote only]... Your religion [Remote only]... Your gender [Remote only]... Because you are gay, lesbian, or bisexual or someone thought you were [Remote only]... A physical or mental disability [Remote only]... You are an immigrant or someone thought you were [Remote only]... Any other reason. [Remote only]

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A8.3
School Violence Victimization Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School violence victimization			
Average reporting "1 or more times"	23	35	–
During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you...			
been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit, or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around?			
0 times	68	67	–
1 time	24	17	–
2 to 3 times	6	10	–
4 or more times	1	6	–
been afraid of being beaten up?			
0 times	81	71	–
1 time	14	15	–
2 to 3 times	4	10	–
4 or more times	1	4	–
During the past 12 months, how many times have you...			
had mean rumors or lies spread about you?			
0 times	72	59	–
1 time	15	20	–
2 to 3 times	9	9	–
4 or more times	4	11	–
had sexual jokes, comments, or gestures made to you?			
0 times	83	62	–
1 time	8	18	–
2 to 3 times	3	6	–
4 or more times	6	14	–

Question HS A.118, 119, 121, 122, 133, 134/MS A.111, 112, 114, 115, 126, 127: During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you... been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit, or kicked by someone who wasn't just kidding around? [In-school only]... been afraid of being beaten up? [In-school only]... had mean rumors or lies spread about you? [In-school only]... had sexual jokes, comments, or gestures made to you? [In-School only]... During the past 12 months, how many times did students from your school... spread mean rumors or lies spread about you? [Remote only]... make sexual jokes, comments, or gestures toward you? [Remote only]
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A8.3
School Violence Victimization Scale Questions – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you...			
had your property stolen or deliberately damaged?			
0 times	86	77	–
1 time	10	9	–
2 to 3 times	3	7	–
4 or more times	1	7	–
been made fun of because of your looks or the way you talk?			
0 times	72	53	–
1 time	10	14	–
2 to 3 times	6	11	–
4 or more times	11	22	–
been made fun of, insulted, or called names?			
0 times	70	59	–
1 time	13	11	–
2 to 3 times	5	10	–
4 or more times	13	20	–
During the past 12 months, how many times did other students spread mean rumors or lies, or hurtful pictures, about you online, on social media, or on a cell phone? (Cyberbullying)			
0 times (never)	77	75	–
1 time	15	11	–
2 to 3 times	7	9	–
4 or more times	1	6	–

Question HS A.123, 124, 132, 135, 136, 151/MS A.116, 117, 125, 128, 129, 144: During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you... been made fun of because of your looks or the way you talk? [In-school only]... had your property stolen or deliberately damaged, such as your car, clothing, or books? [In-school only]... been made fun of, insulted, or called names? [In-school only]... During the past 12 months, how many times did students from your school... make fun of you because of your looks or the way you talk? [Remote only]...make fun of you, insult you, or call you names? [Remote only]... During the past 12 months, how many times did other students spread mean rumors or lies, or hurtful pictures, about you online, on social media, or on a cell phone? Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A8.4

School Violence Perpetration Scale Questions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School violence perpetration			
Average reporting "1 or more times"	2	6	–
During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you...			
been in a physical fight?			
0 times	95	90	–
1 time	5	6	–
2 to 3 times	0	3	–
4 or more times	0	1	–
been offered, sold, or given an illegal drug?			
0 times	97	89	–
1 time	1	6	–
2 to 3 times	1	4	–
4 or more times	0	2	–
damaged school property on purpose?			
0 times	99	96	–
1 time	1	3	–
2 to 3 times	0	2	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
carried a gun?			
0 times	100	100	–
1 time	0	0	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
carried any other weapon (such as a knife or club)?			
0 times	99	98	–
1 time	1	2	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–

Question HS A.120, 125-128/MS A.113, 118-121: During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you... been in a physical fight?... been offered, sold, or given an illegal drug?... damaged school property on purpose?... carried a gun?... carried any other weapon (such as a knife or club)?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A8.5

Threats and Injuries with Weapons at School, Past 12 Months

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you...			
been threatened with harm or injury?			
0 times	87	87	–
1 time	10	5	–
2 to 3 times	1	5	–
4 or more times	1	3	–
been threatened or injured with a weapon (gun, knife, club, etc.)?			
0 times	99	97	–
1 time	1	2	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–

Question HS A.129, 131/MS A.122, 124: During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you... been threatened or injured with a weapon (gun, knife, club, etc.)?... been threatened with harm or injury?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A8.6

Weapons Possession on School Property, Past 12 Months

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you...			
seen someone carrying a gun, knife, or other weapon?			
0 times	97	92	–
1 time	3	5	–
2 to 3 times	0	1	–
4 or more times	0	2	–

Question HS A.130/MS A.123: During the past 12 months, how many times on school property have you... seen someone carrying a gun, knife, or other weapon?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

9. Alcohol and Other Drug Use

Table A9.1

Summary Measures of Level of AOD Use and Perceptions

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %	Table
Lifetime alcohol or drug use	5	7	–	A9.2
Lifetime marijuana use	0	3	–	A9.2
Lifetime very drunk or high (7 or more times)	0	0	–	A9.7
Current alcohol or drug use[¶]	1	3	–	A9.5
Current marijuana use[¶]	0	1	–	A9.5
Current heavy drug use[¶]	0	0	–	A9.5
Current heavy alcohol use (binge drinking)[¶]	0	0	–	A9.5
Current alcohol or drug use on school property[¶]	0	4	–	A9.8
Harmfulness of occasional marijuana use[B]	31	31	–	A9.10
Difficulty of obtaining marijuana[C]	31	9	–	A9.11

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[¶]*Past 30 days.*

[B]*Great harm.*

[C]*Very difficult.*

Table A9.2

Summary of AOD Lifetime Use

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Alcohol	3	5	–
Marijuana	0	3	–
Inhalants	0	2	–
Any other drug, pill, or medicine to get "high"	1	1	–
Any of the above AOD use	5	7	–

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.3

Lifetime AOD Use

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Alcohol (one full drink)			
0 times	97	95	–
1 time	3	4	–
2 to 3 times	0	1	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
Marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)			
0 times	100	97	–
1 time	0	1	–
2 to 3 times	0	2	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
Inhalants			
0 times	100	98	–
1 time	0	1	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
Any other drug, pill, or medicine to get "high" or for reasons other than medical			
0 times	99	99	–
1 time	0	0	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	1	1	–

Question HS A.68-70, 76/MS A.69-71, 73: During your life, how many times have you used the following? One full drink of alcohol (such as a can of beer, glass of wine, hard seltzer, or shot of liquor)... Marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)... Inhalants (things you sniff, huff, or breathe to get "high" such as glue, paint, aerosol sprays, gasoline, poppers, gases)... Any other drug, pill, or medicine to get "high" or for reasons other than medical. Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.4

Methods of Marijuana Consumption

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
During your life, how many times have you used marijuana in any of the following ways...			
Smoke it?			
0 times	100	98	–
1 time	0	1	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
In a vaping device?			
0 times	100	97	–
1 time	0	0	–
2 to 3 times	0	2	–
4 or more times	0	1	–
Eat or drink it in products made with marijuana?			
0 times	100	99	–
1 time	0	0	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
Ever used marijuana in all three ways	0	0	–

Question HS A.69, 80-82/MS A.70, 77-79: During your life, how many times have you used the following?... Marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)... During your life, how many times have you used marijuana in any of the following ways... Smoke it?... In a vaping device (vape pens, mods, or portable vaporizers)?... Eat or drink it in products made with marijuana?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.5

Current AOD Use, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Alcohol (one or more drinks of alcohol)	0	2	–
Binge drinking (5 or more drinks in a row)	0	0	–
Marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)	0	1	–
Inhalants	0	0	–
Other drug, pill, or medicine to get "high" or for reasons other than medical	1	0	–
Any drug use	1	1	–
Heavy drug use	0	0	–
Any AOD Use	1	3	–

Question HS A.86-89, 91/MS A.83-87: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use... one or more drinks of alcohol?... five or more drinks of alcohol in a row, that is, within a couple of hours?... marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)?... inhalants (things you sniff, huff, or breathe to get "high")?... any other drug, pill, or medicine to get "high" or for reasons other than medical?...

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Heavy drug use was calculated based on pattern of combined current drug use on three or more days (marijuana, inhalants, prescription pain medicine to get "high" (high school only), or any other illegal drug/pill to get "high").

Table A9.6

Frequency of Current AOD Use, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Alcohol (one or more drinks)			
0 days	100	98	–
1 or 2 days	0	2	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Binge drinking (5 or more drinks in a row)			
0 days	100	100	–
1 or 2 days	0	0	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)			
0 days	100	99	–
1 or 2 days	0	1	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–

Question HS A.86-88/MS A.83-85: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use... one or more drinks of alcohol?... five or more drinks of alcohol in a row, that is, within a couple of hours?... marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.7

Lifetime Drunk or "High"

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Very drunk or sick after drinking alcohol			
0 times	100	99	–
1 to 2 times	0	1	–
3 to 6 times	0	0	–
7 or more times	0	0	–
"High" (loaded, stoned, or wasted) from using drugs			
0 times	100	98	–
1 to 2 times	0	2	–
3 to 6 times	0	0	–
7 or more times	0	0	–
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times	0	0	–

Question HS A.77, 78/MS A.74, 75: During your life, how many times have you been... very drunk or sick after drinking alcohol?... "high" (loaded, stoned, or wasted) from using drugs?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.8

Current AOD Use on School Property, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Alcohol			
0 days	100	96	–
1 to 2 days	0	4	–
3 or more days	0	0	–
Marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)			
0 days	100	99	–
1 to 2 days	0	1	–
3 or more days	0	0	–
Any other drug, pill, or medicine to get "high" or for reasons other than medical			
0 days	100	100	–
1 to 2 days	0	0	–
3 or more days	0	0	–
Any of the above	0	4	–

Question HS A.96-98/MS A.91-93: During the past 30 days, on how many days on school property did you... have at least one drink of alcohol?... use marijuana (smoke, vape, eat, or drink)?... use any other drug, pill, or medicine to get "high" or for reasons other than medical?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.9

Lifetime Drunk or "High" on School Property

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
0 times	100	99	–
1 to 2 times	0	1	–
3 to 6 times	0	0	–
7 or more times	0	0	–

Question HS A.79/MS A.76: During your life, how many times have you been... drunk on alcohol or "high" on drugs on school property?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.10

Perceived Harm of AOD Use

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Alcohol - drink occasionally			
Great	24	29	–
Moderate	25	18	–
Slight	21	20	–
None	30	33	–
Alcohol - 5 or more drinks once or twice a week			
Great	42	46	–
Moderate	27	17	–
Slight	5	5	–
None	27	32	–
Marijuana - use occasionally			
Great	31	31	–
Moderate	32	28	–
Slight	11	9	–
None	26	32	–
Marijuana - use daily			
Great	54	56	–
Moderate	17	9	–
Slight	5	5	–
None	25	30	–

Question HS A.104-107/MS A.99-102: How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they do the following?... Drink alcohol (beer, wine, liquor) occasionally... Have five or more drinks of alcohol once or twice a week... Use marijuana occasionally (smoke, vape, eat, or drink) ... Use marijuana daily. Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A9.11

Perceived Difficulty of Obtaining Alcohol, Marijuana, and Prescription Drugs to Get "High"

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Alcohol			
Very difficult	23	10	–
Fairly difficult	12	11	–
Fairly easy	7	17	–
Very easy	6	9	–
Don't know	52	53	–
Marijuana			
Very difficult	31	9	–
Fairly difficult	10	11	–
Fairly easy	1	12	–
Very easy	4	12	–
Don't know	55	56	–
Prescription drugs to get "high" or for reasons other than prescribed			
Very difficult	25	14	–
Fairly difficult	13	9	–
Fairly easy	2	8	–
Very easy	5	14	–
Don't know	54	57	–

Question HS A.110-112/MS A.105-107: How difficult is it for students in your grade to get any of the following if they really want them?... Alcohol... Marijuana... Prescription drugs to get "high" or for reasons other than prescribed.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

10. Use of Tobacco and Vaping Devices

Table A10.1

Summary of Key CHKS Tobacco Indicators

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %	Table
Use Prevalence and Patterns				
Ever smoked a whole cigarette	0	0	–	A10.2
Current cigarette smoking¶	0	0	–	A10.5
Current cigarette smoking at school¶	0	0	–	A10.9
Ever tried smokeless tobacco	0	1	–	A10.2
Current smokeless tobacco use¶	0	0	–	A10.5
Current smokeless tobacco use at school¶	0	0	–	A10.9
Ever used vape products	1	10	–	A10.2
Current use of vape products¶	0	4	–	A10.5
Current tobacco vaping¶	0	3	–	A10.6
Current marijuana vaping¶	0	0	–	A10.6
Current vaping at school¶	0	2	–	A10.9
Lifetime tobacco use	0	6	–	A10.2
Current tobacco use ¶	0	3	–	A10.8
Attitudes and Correlates				
Harmfulness of occasional cigarette smoking[B]	24	26	–	A10.14
Harmfulness of smoking 1 or more packs/day[B]	51	55	–	A10.14
Harmfulness of vaping occasionally[B]	29	30	–	A10.15
Harmfulness of vaping several times a day[B]	56	58	–	A10.15
Difficulty of obtaining cigarettes[C]	23	11	–	A10.16
Difficulty of obtaining vape products[C]	19	6	–	A10.16
Anti-Tobacco Policy				
School bans tobacco use and vaping	49	70	–	A10.17

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

[B]Great harm.

[C]Very difficult.

Table A10.2

Lifetime Tobacco Use and Vaping

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
A cigarette, even one or two puffs			
0 times	100	98	–
1 time	0	0	–
2 to 3 times	0	1	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
A whole cigarette			
0 times	100	100	–
1 time	0	0	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
Smokeless tobacco			
0 times	100	99	–
1 time	0	1	–
2 to 3 times	0	0	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
Vape products			
0 times	99	90	–
1 time	1	4	–
2 to 3 times	0	3	–
4 or more times	0	2	–
Lifetime tobacco use			
No	100	94	–
Yes	0	6	–

Question HS A.65-67/MS A.65-68: During your life, how many times have you used the following?... A cigarette, even one or two puffs... A whole cigarette... Smokeless tobacco (dip, chew, snuff, snus, or nicotine pouches)... Vape products.

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Lifetime tobacco use includes using a whole cigarette or smokeless tobacco, or vaping tobacco or nicotine.

Table A10.3
Substances Ever Vaped

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Vaped tobacco or nicotine			
0 times	100	94	–
1 time	0	2	–
2 to 3 times	0	2	–
4 or more times	0	2	–
Vaped marijuana or THC			
0 times	100	99	–
1 time	0	0	–
2 to 3 times	0	1	–
4 or more times	0	0	–
Vaped other product			
0 times	99	98	–
1 time	1	1	–
2 to 3 times	0	1	–
4 or more times	0	0	–

Question HS A.67, 67A-67C/MS A.68, 68A-68C: During your life, how many times have you used the following?...
Vape products... Vaped tobacco or nicotine... Vaped marijuana or THC... Vaped other product.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.4
Ever Vaped Multiple Substances

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Never vaped tobacco/nicotine or marijuana/THC	100	94	–
Vaped tobacco or nicotine only	0	5	–
Vaped marijuana or THC only	0	0	–
Vaped tobacco/nicotine and marijuana/THC	0	1	–

Question HS A.67, 67A, 67B/MS A.68, 68A, 68B: During your life, how many times have you used the following?...
Vape products... Vaped tobacco or nicotine... Vaped marijuana or THC.
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.5

Any Current and Daily Use of Cigarettes, Smokeless Tobacco, and Vape Products

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cigarettes			
Any	0	0	–
Daily (20 or more days)	0	0	–
Smokeless tobacco			
Any	0	0	–
Daily (20 or more days)	0	0	–
Vape products			
Any	0	4	–
Daily (20 or more days)	0	0	–

Question HS A.83-85/MS A.80-82: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use... cigarettes?... smokeless tobacco (dip, chew, snuff, snus, or nicotine pouches)?... vape products?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.6

Substances Vaped, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Vaped tobacco or nicotine			
0 days	100	97	–
1 or 2 days	0	2	–
3 to 9 days	0	1	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Vaped marijuana or THC			
0 days	100	100	–
1 or 2 days	0	0	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Vaped other product			
0 days	100	100	–
1 or 2 days	0	0	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–

Question HS A.85, 85A-85C/MS A.82, 82A-82C: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use... vape products?... vaped tobacco or nicotine?... vaped marijuana or THC?... vaped other product?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.7

Vaped Multiple Substances, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Did not vape tobacco/nicotine or marijuana/THC	100	96	–
Vaped tobacco or nicotine only	0	3	–
Vaped marijuana or THC only	0	0	–
Vaped tobacco/nicotine and marijuana/THC	0	0	–

Question HS A.85, 85A, 85B/MS A.82, 82A, 82B: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use... vape products?... vaped tobacco or nicotine?... vaped marijuana or THC?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.8
Current Tobacco Use, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	100	97	–
Yes	0	3	–

Question HS A.83-85, 85A/MS A.80-82, 82A: During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use... cigarettes?... smokeless tobacco (dip, chew, snuff, snus, or nicotine pouches)?... vape products?... During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use vape products?... Vaped tobacco or nicotine.
Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
Current tobacco use includes using cigarettes or smokeless tobacco, or vaping tobacco or nicotine.

Table A10.9
Current Cigarette Smoking, Smokeless Tobacco Use, and Vaping on School Property, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cigarettes			
0 days	100	100	–
1 or 2 days	0	0	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Smokeless tobacco			
0 days	100	100	–
1 or 2 days	0	0	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Vape			
0 days	100	98	–
1 or 2 days	0	2	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–

Question HS A.93-95/MS A.88-90: During the past 30 days, on how many days on school property did you... smoke cigarettes?... use smokeless tobacco (dip, chew, snuff, snus, or nicotine pouches)?... vape?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.10

Substances Vaped on School Property, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Vaped tobacco or nicotine			
0 days	100	99	–
1 or 2 days	0	1	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Vaped marijuana or THC			
0 days	100	100	–
1 or 2 days	0	0	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–
Vaped other product			
0 days	100	100	–
1 or 2 days	0	0	–
3 to 9 days	0	0	–
10 to 19 days	0	0	–
20 to 30 days	0	0	–

Question HS A.95, 95A-95C/MS A.90, 90A-90C: During the past 30 days, on how many days on school property did you... vape?... vape tobacco or nicotine?... vape marijuana or THC?... vape other product?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.11

Vaped Multiple Substances on School Property, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Did not vape tobacco/nicotine or marijuana/THC	100	99	–
Vaped tobacco or nicotine only	0	1	–
Vaped marijuana or THC only	0	0	–
Vaped tobacco/nicotine and marijuana/THC	0	0	–

Question HS A.95, 95A, 95B/MS A.90, 90A, 90B: During the past 30 days, on how many days on school property did you... vape?... vape tobacco or nicotine?... vape marijuana or THC?
Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.12

Current Tobacco Use on School Property, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	100	99	–
Yes	0	1	–

Question HS A.93-95, 95A/MS A.88-90, 90A: During the past 30 days, on how many days on school property did you... smoke cigarettes?... use smokeless tobacco (dip, chew, snuff, snus, or nicotine pouches)?... vape?... During the past 30 days, on how many days on school property did you... Vape tobacco or nicotine.

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Current tobacco use includes using cigarettes or smokeless tobacco, or vaping tobacco or nicotine.

Table A10.13

Secondhand Smoke on School Property, Past 30 Days

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Breathed the smoke or vapor from someone who was using cigarettes or e-cigarettes			
0 days	96	97	–
1 day	2	2	–
2 days	1	0	–
3-9 days	0	1	–
10-19 days	0	0	–
20-30 days	0	0	–

Question HS A.99/MS A.94: During the past 30 days, on how many days on school property did you... breathe the smoke or vapor from someone who was using cigarettes or e-cigarettes?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.14

Perceived Harm of Cigarette Smoking

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Smoke cigarettes occasionally			
Great	24	26	–
Moderate	35	31	–
Slight	17	11	–
None	25	32	–
Smoke 1 or more packs of cigarettes each day			
Great	51	55	–
Moderate	18	9	–
Slight	5	4	–
None	26	32	–

Question HS A.100, 101/MS A.95, 96: How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they do the following?... Smoke cigarettes occasionally... Smoke 1 or more packs of cigarettes each day.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.15

Perceived Harm of Vaping Tobacco or Nicotine

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Vape tobacco or nicotine occasionally			
Great	29	30	–
Moderate	38	28	–
Slight	10	12	–
None	24	30	–
Vape tobacco or nicotine several times a day (100 puffs or more)			
Great	56	58	–
Moderate	17	6	–
Slight	2	6	–
None	25	30	–

Question HS A.102, 103/MS A.97, 98: How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they do the following?... Vape tobacco or nicotine occasionally... Vape tobacco or nicotine several times a day (100 puffs or more).

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.16

Perceived Difficulty of Obtaining Cigarettes and Vape Products

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cigarettes			
Very difficult	23	11	–
Fairly difficult	14	11	–
Fairly easy	7	16	–
Very easy	4	9	–
Don't know	52	52	–
Vape products			
Very difficult	19	6	–
Fairly difficult	11	4	–
Fairly easy	12	17	–
Very easy	13	29	–
Don't know	45	44	–

Question HS A.108, 109/MS A.103, 104: How difficult is it for students in your grade to get any of the following if they really want them?... Cigarettes... Vape products.

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A10.17

School Bans Tobacco Use and Vaping

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	11	5	–
Yes	49	70	–
Don't know	40	25	–

Question HS A.116/MS A.108: Does your school ban tobacco use and vaping on school property and at school sponsored events?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

11. Other Health Risks

Table A11.1

Alone After School

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Never	53	48	–
1 day	15	15	–
2 days	15	10	–
3 days	7	5	–
4 days	1	2	–
5 days	9	19	–

Question MS A.109: In a normal week, how many days are you home after school for at least one hour without an adult there?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

Table A11.2

Gang Involvement

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
No	100	99	–
Yes	0	1	–

Question HS A.152/MS A.145: Do you consider yourself a member of a gang?

Note: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

12. Race/Ethnicity Breakdowns

Table A12.1

School Engagement and Supports by Race/Ethnicity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School Connectedness[†]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		40	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	57	34	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	68	53	–
Multiracial	40	46	–
Something else			–
School Connectedness[†][ψ] *(Remote Only)*			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a			–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White			–
Multiracial			–
Something else			–
Academic Motivation[†]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		58	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	55	56	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	79	56	–
Multiracial	38	59	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[†]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ψ]*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

Table A12.1

School Engagement and Supports by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School is really boring$^\pm$			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	33	36	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	21	45	–
Multiracial	60	46	–
Something else			–
School is worthless and a waste of time$^\pm$			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	15	16	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	17	–
Multiracial	10	14	–
Something else			–
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		18	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	21	18	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	2	15	–
Multiracial	18	22	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\pm$*Rating of 7 or higher.*

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A12.1

School Engagement and Supports by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		20	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	33	48	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	29	45	–
Multiracial	30	46	–
Something else			–
Caring adult relationships‡			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		57	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	56	50	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	70	60	–
Multiracial	50	52	–
Something else			–
High expectations‡			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		67	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	61	58	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	87	64	–
Multiracial	63	71	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\sigma$ Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

‡ Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A12.1

School Engagement and Supports by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Meaningful participation‡			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	19	20	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	42	27	–
Multiracial	18	29	–
Something else			–
Facilities upkeep$^\sigma$			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		10	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	22	9	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	26	23	–
Multiracial	10	23	–
Something else			–
Promotion of parental involvement in school†			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		50	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	52	41	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	54	46	–
Multiracial	53	35	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

$^\sigma$Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

†Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A12.2
School Safety by Race/Ethnicity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School perceived as very safe or safe			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		50	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	70	40	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	62	55	–
Multiracial		48	–
Something else			–
Experienced harassment due to five reasons[λ][§]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	18	42	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	16	21	–
Multiracial		45	–
Something else			–
Experienced any harassment or bullying[§]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	29	51	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	30	29	–
Multiracial		55	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[λ]*The five reasons include race, ethnicity, or national origin; religion; gender (being male or female); sexual orientation; and a physical or mental disability.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A12.2
School Safety by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you[§]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	22	36	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	29	37	–
Multiracial		52	–
Something else			–
Been afraid of being beaten up[§]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	35	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	22	22	–
Multiracial		41	–
Something else			–
Been in a physical fight[§]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	11	8	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	3	10	–
Multiracial		14	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A12.2

School Safety by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Seen a weapon on campus§			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	3	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	5	9	–
Multiracial		17	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
§Past 12 months.

Table A12.3

Cyberbullying by Race/Ethnicity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cyberbullying§			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	12	21	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	22	24	–
Multiracial		36	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
§Past 12 months.

Table A12.4

Substance Use by Race/Ethnicity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol or drug use¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	4	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	2	–
Multiracial	0	3	–
Something else			–
Current tobacco use¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	1	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	3	–
Multiracial	0	6	–
Something else			–
Current marijuana use¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	0	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	1	–
Multiracial	0	0	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A12.4

Substance Use by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current binge drinking¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	0	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	0	–
Multiracial	0	0	–
Something else			–
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	0	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	0	–
Multiracial	0	0	–
Something else			–
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	1	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	0	–
Multiracial	0	0	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A12.4

Substance Use by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol use¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	4	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	1	–
Multiracial	0	3	–
Something else			–
Current alcohol use at school¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	6	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	3	–
Multiracial		3	–
Something else			–
Current cigarette smoking¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	1	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	0	–
Multiracial	0	0	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A12.4

Substance Use by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current use of vape products¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	3	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	3	–
Multiracial	0	6	–
Something else			–
Current tobacco vaping¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	1	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	3	–
Multiracial	0	6	–
Something else			–
Current marijuana vaping¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		0	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	0	0	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	0	0	–
Multiracial	0	0	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A12.5

Health Routines by Race/Ethnicity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Eating of breakfast[|]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		55	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	68	51	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	87	60	–
Multiracial	45	78	–
Something else			–
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American		9	–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	25	15	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	4	9	–
Multiracial	27	11	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[|]*Today.*

Table A12.6

Remote Schooling by Race/Ethnicity *(Remote Only)*

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)¶			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a			–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White			–
Multiracial			–
Something else			–
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)‖			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a			–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White			–
Multiracial			–
Something else			–
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^{\sigma}$			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a			–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White			–
Multiracial			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
¶Past 30 days.
‖Past 7 days.
$^{\sigma}$Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

Table A12.6

Remote Schooling by Race/Ethnicity – Continued (Remote Only)

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Meaningful opportunities$^{\theta}$			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a			–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White			–
Multiracial			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^{\theta}$*Percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

Table A12.7
Social and Emotional Health by Race/Ethnicity

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress‡			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	15	30	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	13	21	–
Multiracial		29	–
Something else			–
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness§			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	24	40	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	31	22	–
Multiracial		21	–
Something else			–
Considered suicide§			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	6	13	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	6	12	–
Multiracial		25	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

§Past 12 months.

Table A12.7

Social and Emotional Health by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Optimism‡			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	69	35	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	62	50	–
Multiracial		39	–
Something else			–
Life satisfaction∓			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	75	60	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	78	72	–
Multiracial		64	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

∓Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A12.7
Social and Emotional Health by Race/Ethnicity – Continued

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
California Student Wellness Index[δ]			
American Indian or Alaska Native			–
Asian or Asian American			–
Black or African American			–
Hispanic or Latino/a	106.1	100.3	–
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			–
White	109.0	105.1	–
Multiracial		102.2	–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[δ]*To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.*

13. Gender Breakdowns

Table A13.1

School Engagement and Supports by Gender

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School Connectedness[†]			
Male	65	47	–
Female	67	47	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
School Connectedness[†][ψ] *(Remote Only)*			
Male			–
Female			–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Academic Motivation[†]			
Male	72	49	–
Female	68	65	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
School is really boring[±]			
Male	37	47	–
Female	19	36	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
School is worthless and a waste of time[±]			
Male	6	20	–
Female	5	11	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[†]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ψ]*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

[±]*Rating of 7 or higher.*

Table A13.1

School Engagement and Supports by Gender – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)¶			
Male	9	13	–
Female	9	23	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$			
Male	37	44	–
Female	29	44	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Caring adult relationships‡			
Male	63	54	–
Female	67	57	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
High expectations‡			
Male	80	60	–
Female	80	68	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Meaningful participation‡			
Male	39	21	–
Female	33	28	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

$^\sigma$Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A13.1
School Engagement and Supports by Gender – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Facilities upkeep$^\sigma$			
Male	33	14	–
Female	20	28	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Promotion of parental involvement in school†			
Male	56	43	–
Female	63	44	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

‡*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A13.2
School Safety by Gender

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School perceived as very safe or safe			
Male	63	51	–
Female	67	48	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Experienced harassment due to five reasons[λ][§]			
Male	12	21	–
Female	21	38	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Experienced any harassment or bullying[§]			
Male	30	31	–
Female	33	46	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you[§]			
Male	25	31	–
Female	33	47	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Been afraid of being beaten up[§]			
Male	18	22	–
Female	22	32	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[λ]*The five reasons include race, ethnicity, or national origin; religion; gender (being male or female); sexual orientation; and a physical or mental disability.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A13.2
School Safety by Gender – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Been in a physical fight§			
Male	7	14	–
Female	3	5	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Seen a weapon on campus§			
Male	5	12	–
Female	0	3	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
§Past 12 months.

Table A13.3
Cyberbullying by Gender

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cyberbullying§			
Male	22	21	–
Female	24	29	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
§Past 12 months.

Table A13.4
Substance Use by Gender

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol or drug use¶			
Male	0	1	–
Female	3	5	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current tobacco use¶			
Male	0	2	–
Female	0	5	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current marijuana use¶			
Male	0	0	–
Female	0	2	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current binge drinking¶			
Male	0	0	–
Female	0	0	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever			
Male	0	0	–
Female	0	0	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever			
Male	0	0	–
Female	0	2	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A13.4

Substance Use by Gender – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol use¶			
Male	0	1	–
Female	0	3	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current alcohol use at school¶			
Male	0	4	–
Female	0	5	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current cigarette smoking¶			
Male	0	0	–
Female	0	1	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current use of vape products¶			
Male	0	3	–
Female	0	5	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current tobacco vaping¶			
Male	0	2	–
Female	0	5	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Current marijuana vaping¶			
Male	0	0	–
Female	0	1	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A13.5
Health Routines by Gender

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Eating of breakfast[i]			
Male	81	60	–
Female	77	60	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)			
Male	4	12	–
Female	18	11	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[i]*Today.*

Table A13.6

Remote Schooling by Gender (Remote Only)

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)[¶]			
Male			–
Female			–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)[ǀ]			
Male			–
Female			–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^\sigma$			
Male			–
Female			–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Meaningful opportunities$^\theta$			
Male			–
Female			–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[¶]*Past 30 days.*

[ǀ]*Past 7 days.*

$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

$^\theta$*Percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

Table A13.7
Social and Emotional Health by Gender

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress[‡]			
Male	9	16	–
Female	17	33	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness[§]			
Male	15	19	–
Female	33	35	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Considered suicide[§]			
Male	3	6	–
Female	12	19	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Optimism[‡]			
Male	74	50	–
Female	57	42	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–
Life satisfaction[∓]			
Male	82	73	–
Female	72	63	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[‡]Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

[§]Past 12 months.

[∓]Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A13.7
Social and Emotional Health by Gender – Continued

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
California Student Wellness Index[δ]			
Male	110.6	107.5	–
Female	106.3	99.9	–
Nonbinary			–
Something else			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[δ]*To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.*

14. Parental Education Breakdowns

Table A14.1

School Engagement and Supports by Parental Education

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School Connectedness[†]			
Less than high school		32	–
High school graduate		46	–
Some college		49	–
College degree	64	47	–
Don't know	64	48	–
School Connectedness[†ψ] *(Remote Only)*			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate			–
Some college			–
College degree			–
Don't know			–
Academic Motivation[†]			
Less than high school		48	–
High school graduate		52	–
Some college		59	–
College degree	76	61	–
Don't know	63	50	–
School is really boring[±]			
Less than high school		38	–
High school graduate		31	–
Some college		50	–
College degree	34	45	–
Don't know	24	43	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[†]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ψ]*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

Table A14.1

School Engagement and Supports by Parental Education – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School is worthless and a waste of time$^\pm$			
Less than high school		15	–
High school graduate		12	–
Some college		19	–
College degree	8	16	–
Don't know	3	16	–
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)¶			
Less than high school		21	–
High school graduate		18	–
Some college		12	–
College degree	15	15	–
Don't know	3	27	–
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$			
Less than high school		54	–
High school graduate		50	–
Some college		62	–
College degree	36	40	–
Don't know	33	47	–
Caring adult relationships‡			
Less than high school		41	–
High school graduate		67	–
Some college		48	–
College degree	65	55	–
Don't know	54	52	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\pm$*Rating of 7 or higher.*

¶*Past 30 days.*

$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

‡*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A14.1

School Engagement and Supports by Parental Education – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
High expectations‡			
Less than high school		38	–
High school graduate		74	–
Some college		69	–
College degree	80	64	–
Don't know	71	61	–
Meaningful participation‡			
Less than high school		8	–
High school graduate		27	–
Some college		25	–
College degree	35	27	–
Don't know	34	19	–
Facilities upkeep$^\sigma$			
Less than high school		8	–
High school graduate		27	–
Some college		31	–
College degree	29	17	–
Don't know	32	27	–
Promotion of parental involvement in school†			
Less than high school		31	–
High school graduate		50	–
Some college		54	–
College degree	57	42	–
Don't know	57	41	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\sigma$ Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

‡ Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

† Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A14.2
School Safety by Parental Education

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School perceived as very safe or safe			
Less than high school		33	–
High school graduate		62	–
Some college		53	–
College degree	67	48	–
Don't know	69	51	–
Experienced harassment due to five reasons$^{\lambda\S}$			
Less than high school		45	–
High school graduate		26	–
Some college		8	–
College degree	19	32	–
Don't know	17	36	–
Experienced any harassment or bullying§			
Less than high school		55	–
High school graduate		26	–
Some college		23	–
College degree	38	43	–
Don't know	17	42	–
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you§			
Less than high school		33	–
High school graduate		25	–
Some college		27	–
College degree	29	41	–
Don't know	17	52	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^{\lambda}$*The five reasons include race, ethnicity, or national origin; religion; gender (being male or female); sexual orientation; and a physical or mental disability.*

§*Past 12 months.*

Table A14.2

School Safety by Parental Education – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Been afraid of being beaten up[§]			
Less than high school		50	–
High school graduate		27	–
Some college		20	–
College degree	18	29	–
Don't know	12	27	–
Been in a physical fight[§]			
Less than high school		17	–
High school graduate		5	–
Some college		7	–
College degree	5	7	–
Don't know	0	19	–
Seen a weapon on campus[§]			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		9	–
Some college		13	–
College degree	2	7	–
Don't know	0	10	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A14.3

Cyberbullying by Parental Education

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cyberbullying[§]			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate		9	–
Some college		8	–
College degree	19	27	–
Don't know	13	31	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A14.4
Substance Use by Parental Education

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol or drug use¶			
Less than high school		15	–
High school graduate		4	–
Some college		13	–
College degree	0	1	–
Don't know	3	3	–
Current tobacco use¶			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		0	–
Some college		7	–
College degree	0	3	–
Don't know	0	5	–
Current marijuana use¶			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		4	–
Some college		7	–
College degree	0	0	–
Don't know	0	2	–
Current binge drinking¶			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		0	–
Some college		0	–
College degree	0	0	–
Don't know	0	0	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A14.4

Substance Use by Parental Education – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		0	–
Some college		0	–
College degree	0	0	–
Don't know	0	0	–
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever			
Less than high school		8	–
High school graduate		0	–
Some college		6	–
College degree	0	0	–
Don't know	0	0	–
Current alcohol use¶			
Less than high school		15	–
High school graduate		0	–
Some college		7	–
College degree	0	1	–
Don't know	0	2	–
Current alcohol use at school¶			
Less than high school		15	–
High school graduate		8	–
Some college		13	–
College degree	0	1	–
Don't know	0	5	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A14.4

Substance Use by Parental Education – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current cigarette smoking¶			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		0	–
Some college		0	–
College degree	0	0	–
Don't know	0	2	–
Current use of vape products¶			
Less than high school		8	–
High school graduate		4	–
Some college		7	–
College degree	0	3	–
Don't know	0	5	–
Current tobacco vaping¶			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		0	–
Some college		7	–
College degree	0	3	–
Don't know	0	5	–
Current marijuana vaping¶			
Less than high school		0	–
High school graduate		4	–
Some college		0	–
College degree	0	0	–
Don't know	0	0	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A14.5
Health Routines by Parental Education

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Eating of breakfast[			
Less than high school		43	–
High school graduate		53	–
Some college		56	–
College degree	79	67	–
Don't know	86	52	–
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)			
Less than high school		21	–
High school graduate		9	–
Some college		0	–
College degree	11	12	–
Don't know	16	9	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[*Today.*

Table A14.6

Remote Schooling by Parental Education (Remote Only)

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)[¶]			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate			–
Some college			–
College degree			–
Don't know			–
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)[‖]			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate			–
Some college			–
College degree			–
Don't know			–
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^{\sigma}$			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate			–
Some college			–
College degree			–
Don't know			–
Meaningful opportunities$^{\theta}$			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate			–
Some college			–
College degree			–
Don't know			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[¶]*Past 30 days.*

[‖]*Past 7 days.*

$^{\sigma}$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

$^{\theta}$*Percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

Table A14.7
Social and Emotional Health by Parental Education

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress‡			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate		22	–
Some college		19	–
College degree	19	27	–
Don't know	2	29	–
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness§			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate		32	–
Some college		23	–
College degree	26	29	–
Don't know	9	29	–
Considered suicide§			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate		5	–
Some college		0	–
College degree	5	14	–
Don't know	5	23	–
Optimism‡			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate		57	–
Some college		36	–
College degree	71	46	–
Don't know	63	40	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

§Past 12 months.

Table A14.7

Social and Emotional Health by Parental Education – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Life satisfaction[‡]			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate		72	–
Some college		55	–
College degree	78	66	–
Don't know	81	67	–
	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
California Student Wellness Index[δ]			
Less than high school			–
High school graduate		105.6	–
Some college		100.2	–
College degree	107.1	102.9	–
Don't know	111.1	101.1	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[‡]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[δ]*To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.*

15. English Learner Breakdowns

Table A15.1
School Engagement and Supports by English Learner Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School Connectedness[†]			
Not English learner	65	46	–
English learner		51	–
Don't know	69	49	–
School Connectedness[†ψ] *(Remote Only)*			
Not English learner			–
English learner			–
Don't know			–
Academic Motivation[†]			
Not English learner	72	58	–
English learner		62	–
Don't know	59	43	–
School is really boring[±]			
Not English learner	25	43	–
English learner		38	–
Don't know	38	37	–
School is worthless and a waste of time[±]			
Not English learner	6	17	–
English learner		19	–
Don't know	12	7	–
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)[¶]			
Not English learner	11	20	–
English learner		12	–
Don't know	6	10	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[†]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ψ]*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

[±]*Rating of 7 or higher.*

[¶]*Past 30 days.*

Table A15.1

School Engagement and Supports by English Learner Status – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$			
Not English learner	32	44	–
English learner		20	–
Don't know	38	63	–
Caring adult relationships‡			
Not English learner	64	54	–
English learner		64	–
Don't know	69	56	–
High expectations‡			
Not English learner	78	63	–
English learner		82	–
Don't know	81	57	–
Meaningful participation‡			
Not English learner	34	26	–
English learner		21	–
Don't know	45	16	–
Facilities upkeep$^\sigma$			
Not English learner	26	20	–
English learner		23	–
Don't know	36	22	–
Promotion of parental involvement in school†			
Not English learner	59	42	–
English learner		67	–
Don't know	54	42	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

‡*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A15.2
School Safety by English Learner Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School perceived as very safe or safe			
Not English learner	70	48	–
English learner		58	–
Don't know	38	50	–
Experienced harassment due to five reasons[λ][§]			
Not English learner	14	33	–
English learner		25	–
Don't know	25	26	–
Experienced any harassment or bullying[§]			
Not English learner	31	42	–
English learner		33	–
Don't know	25	35	–
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you[§]			
Not English learner	26	39	–
English learner		42	–
Don't know	23	52	–
Been afraid of being beaten up[§]			
Not English learner	18	28	–
English learner		18	–
Don't know	33	39	–
Been in a physical fight[§]			
Not English learner	3	9	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	17	17	–
Seen a weapon on campus[§]			
Not English learner	3	8	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	9	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[λ]*The five reasons include race, ethnicity, or national origin; religion; gender (being male or female); sexual orientation; and a physical or mental disability.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A15.3

Cyberbullying by English Learner Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cyberbullying[§]			
Not English learner	21	26	–
English learner		18	–
Don't know	25	27	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A15.4

Substance Use by English Learner Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol or drug use[¶]			
Not English learner	2	3	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	4	–
Current alcohol or drug use[¶]			
Not English learner	0	3	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	4	–
Current marijuana use[¶]			
Not English learner	0	1	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	0	–
Current binge drinking[¶]			
Not English learner	0	0	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	0	–
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever			
Not English learner	0	0	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	0	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[¶]*Past 30 days.*

Table A15.4

Substance Use by English Learner Status – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever			
Not English learner	0	1	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	0	–
Current alcohol use¶			
Not English learner	0	2	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	4	–
Current alcohol use at school¶			
Not English learner	0	4	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	8	–
Current cigarette smoking¶			
Not English learner	0	0	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	0	–
Current use of vape products¶			
Not English learner	0	4	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	4	–
Current tobacco vaping¶			
Not English learner	0	3	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	4	–
Current marijuana vaping¶			
Not English learner	0	0	–
English learner		0	–
Don't know	0	0	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A15.5
Health Routines by English Learner Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Eating of breakfast[|]			
Not English learner	80	60	–
English learner		53	–
Don't know	67	61	–
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)			
Not English learner	12	11	–
English learner		6	–
Don't know	17	13	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[\|]*Today.*

Table A15.6

Remote Schooling by English Learner Status *(Remote Only)*

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)[¶]			
Not English learner			–
English learner			–
Don't know			–
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)[∥]			
Not English learner			–
English learner			–
Don't know			–
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^\sigma$			
Not English learner			–
English learner			–
Don't know			–
Meaningful opportunities$^\theta$			
Not English learner			–
English learner			–
Don't know			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[¶]*Past 30 days.*
[∥]*Past 7 days.*
$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*
$^\theta$*Percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

Table A15.7
Social and Emotional Health by English Learner Status

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress[‡]			
Not English learner	13	26	–
English learner		30	–
Don't know	22	24	–
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness[§]			
Not English learner	24	30	–
English learner		18	–
Don't know	25	24	–
Considered suicide[§]			
Not English learner	5	15	–
English learner		9	–
Don't know	25	14	–
Optimism[‡]			
Not English learner	65	44	–
English learner		60	–
Don't know	57	40	–
Life satisfaction[∓]			
Not English learner	80	66	–
English learner			–
Don't know		71	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[‡]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

[∓]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A15.7
Social and Emotional Health by English Learner Status – Continued

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
California Student Wellness Index$^{\delta}$			
Not English learner	109.6	102.7	–
English learner			–
Don't know		102.6	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^{\delta}$*To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.*

16. Long-Term English Learner (LTEL) Breakdowns

Table A16.1

School Engagement and Supports by English Learner (EL) Program Duration

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School Connectedness[†]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	65	46	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		50	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
School Connectedness[†][ψ] *(Remote Only)*			
Not currently in English Learner Program			–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Academic Motivation[†]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	72	58	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		67	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
School is really boring[±]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	25	43	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		31	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
School is worthless and a waste of time[±]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	6	17	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		15	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)[¶]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	11	20	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		14	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[†]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ψ]*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

[±]*Rating of 7 or higher.*

[¶]*Past 30 days.*

Table A16.1

School Engagement and Supports by English Learner (EL) Program Duration – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$			
Not currently in English Learner Program	32	44	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		17	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Caring adult relationships‡			
Not currently in English Learner Program	64	54	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		58	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
High expectations‡			
Not currently in English Learner Program	78	63	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		81	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Meaningful participation‡			
Not currently in English Learner Program	34	26	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		20	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Facilities upkeep$^\sigma$			
Not currently in English Learner Program	26	20	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		20	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Promotion of parental involvement in school†			
Not currently in English Learner Program	59	42	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		58	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\sigma$ *Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

‡ *Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A16.2

School Safety by English Learner (EL) Program Duration

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School perceived as very safe or safe			
Not currently in English Learner Program	70	48	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Experienced harassment due to five reasons[λ][§]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	14	33	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		30	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Experienced any harassment or bullying[§]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	31	42	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		40	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you[§]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	26	39	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		50	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Been afraid of being beaten up[§]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	18	28	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Been in a physical fight[§]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	3	9	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Seen a weapon on campus[§]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	3	8	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[λ]*The five reasons include race, ethnicity, or national origin; religion; gender (being male or female); sexual orientation; and a physical or mental disability.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A16.3

Cyberbullying by English Learner (EL) Program Duration

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cyberbullying§			
Not currently in English Learner Program	21	26	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		20	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
§Past 12 months.

Table A16.4

Substance Use by English Learner (EL) Program Duration

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol or drug use¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	2	3	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current tobacco use¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	3	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current marijuana use¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	1	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current binge drinking¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	0	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	0	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
¶Past 30 days.

Table A16.4

Substance Use by English Learner (EL) Program Duration – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	1	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current alcohol use¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	2	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current alcohol use at school¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	4	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current cigarette smoking¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	0	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current use of vape products¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	4	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current tobacco vaping¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	3	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Current marijuana vaping¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program	0	0	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶*Past 30 days.*

Table A16.5

Health Routines by English Learner (EL) Program Duration

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Eating of breakfast[|]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	80	60	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		50	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)			
Not currently in English Learner Program	12	11	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		0	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[|]*Today.*

Table A16.6

Remote Schooling by English Learner (EL) Program Duration **(Remote Only)**

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)¶			
Not currently in English Learner Program			–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)‖			
Not currently in English Learner Program			–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^{\sigma}$			
Not currently in English Learner Program			–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Meaningful opportunities$^{\theta}$			
Not currently in English Learner Program			–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
¶*Past 30 days.*
‖*Past 7 days.*
$^{\sigma}$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*
$^{\theta}$*Percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

Table A16.7

Social and Emotional Health by English Learner (EL) Program Duration

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress‡			
Not currently in English Learner Program	13	26	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness§			
Not currently in English Learner Program	24	30	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		20	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Considered suicide§			
Not currently in English Learner Program	5	15	–
Less than 7 years (EL)		10	–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Optimism‡			
Not currently in English Learner Program	65	44	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–
Life satisfaction∓			
Not currently in English Learner Program	80	66	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

§Past 12 months.

∓Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A16.7
Social and Emotional Health by English Learner (EL) Program Duration – Continued

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
California Student Wellness Index[δ]			
Not currently in English Learner Program	109.6	102.7	–
Less than 7 years (EL)			–
7 years or more (LTEL)			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[δ]*To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.*

17. Special Education Breakdowns

Table A17.1

School Engagement and Supports by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School Connectedness[†]			
No IEP	65	45	–
IEP		57	–
Don't know		52	–
Prefer not to say			–
School Connectedness[†][ψ] *(Remote Only)*			
No IEP			–
IEP			–
Don't know			–
Prefer not to say			–
Academic Motivation[†]			
No IEP	69	57	–
IEP		61	–
Don't know		57	–
Prefer not to say			–
School is really boring[±]			
No IEP	26	46	–
IEP		19	–
Don't know		32	–
Prefer not to say			–
School is worthless and a waste of time[±]			
No IEP	8	16	–
IEP		10	–
Don't know		15	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[†]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ψ]*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

[±]*Rating of 7 or higher.*

Table A17.1

School Engagement and Supports by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)¶			
No IEP	10	18	–
IEP		27	–
Don't know	0	14	–
Prefer not to say			–
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$			
No IEP	29	41	–
IEP		55	–
Don't know		61	–
Prefer not to say			–
Caring adult relationships‡			
No IEP	64	55	–
IEP		67	–
Don't know		50	–
Prefer not to say			–
High expectations‡			
No IEP	78	64	–
IEP		76	–
Don't know		57	–
Prefer not to say			–
Meaningful participation‡			
No IEP	34	25	–
IEP		38	–
Don't know		18	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

$^\sigma$Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A17.1

School Engagement and Supports by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Facilities upkeep$^\sigma$			
No IEP	29	18	–
IEP		43	–
Don't know		19	–
Prefer not to say			–
Promotion of parental involvement in school†			
No IEP	58	42	–
IEP		48	–
Don't know		53	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

†*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A17.2

School Safety by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School perceived as very safe or safe			
No IEP	64	48	–
IEP		47	–
Don't know		55	–
Prefer not to say			–
Experienced harassment due to five reasons[λ][§]			
No IEP	17	31	–
IEP		40	–
Don't know		32	–
Prefer not to say			–
Experienced any harassment or bullying[§]			
No IEP	29	38	–
IEP		60	–
Don't know		39	–
Prefer not to say			–
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you[§]			
No IEP	29	39	–
IEP		56	–
Don't know		43	–
Prefer not to say			–
Been afraid of being beaten up[§]			
No IEP	19	28	–
IEP		44	–
Don't know		29	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[λ]*The five reasons include race, ethnicity, or national origin; religion; gender (being male or female); sexual orientation; and a physical or mental disability.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A17.2

School Safety by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Been in a physical fight[§]			
No IEP	5	8	–
IEP		12	–
Don't know		21	–
Prefer not to say			–
Seen a weapon on campus[§]			
No IEP	3	8	–
IEP		0	–
Don't know		11	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]Past 12 months.

Table A17.3

Cyberbullying by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cyberbullying[§]			
No IEP	25	25	–
IEP		40	–
Don't know		22	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]Past 12 months.

Table A17.4

Substance Use by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol or drug use¶			
No IEP	1	2	–
IEP		6	–
Don't know		10	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current tobacco use¶			
No IEP	0	1	–
IEP		11	–
Don't know		10	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current marijuana use¶			
No IEP	0	0	–
IEP		0	–
Don't know		6	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current binge drinking¶			
No IEP	0	0	–
IEP		0	–
Don't know		0	–
Prefer not to say			–
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever			
No IEP	0	0	–
IEP		0	–
Don't know		0	–
Prefer not to say			–
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever			
No IEP	0	0	–
IEP		0	–
Don't know		7	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A17.4

Substance Use by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol use¶			
No IEP	0	2	–
IEP		6	–
Don't know		3	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current alcohol use at school¶			
No IEP	0	3	–
IEP		6	–
Don't know		7	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current cigarette smoking¶			
No IEP	0	0	–
IEP		6	–
Don't know		0	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current use of vape products¶			
No IEP	0	2	–
IEP		11	–
Don't know		10	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current tobacco vaping¶			
No IEP	0	2	–
IEP		11	–
Don't know		10	–
Prefer not to say			–
Current marijuana vaping¶			
No IEP	0	0	–
IEP		0	–
Don't know		0	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A17.5

Health Routines by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Eating of breakfast[|]			
No IEP	78	57	–
IEP		86	–
Don't know	60	64	–
Prefer not to say			–
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)			
No IEP	12	10	–
IEP		14	–
Don't know	20	11	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[\|]*Today.*

Table A17.6

Remote Schooling by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement (Remote Only)

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)[¶]			
No IEP			–
IEP			–
Don't know			–
Prefer not to say			–
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)[‖]			
No IEP			–
IEP			–
Don't know			–
Prefer not to say			–
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^\sigma$			
No IEP			–
IEP			–
Don't know			–
Prefer not to say			–
Meaningful opportunities$^\theta$			
No IEP			–
IEP			–
Don't know			–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[¶]*Past 30 days.*

[‖]*Past 7 days.*

$^\sigma$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

$^\theta$*Percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

Table A17.7

Social and Emotional Health by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress‡			
No IEP	14	26	–
IEP		17	–
Don't know		28	–
Prefer not to say			–
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness§			
No IEP	23	29	–
IEP		27	–
Don't know		26	–
Prefer not to say			–
Considered suicide§			
No IEP	9	13	–
IEP		7	–
Don't know		26	–
Prefer not to say			–
Optimism‡			
No IEP	64	46	–
IEP		31	–
Don't know		45	–
Prefer not to say			–
Life satisfaction⊤			
No IEP	80	67	–
IEP		60	–
Don't know		68	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

‡*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

§*Past 12 months.*

⊤*Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A17.7

Social and Emotional Health by Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Placement – Continued

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
California Student Wellness Index$^\delta$			
No IEP	108.7	102.6	–
IEP		102.7	–
Don't know		103.2	–
Prefer not to say			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\delta$To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.

18. Living Situation Breakdowns

Table A18.1

School Engagement and Supports by Living Situation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School Connectedness[†]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	64	48	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		39	–
School Connectedness[†][ψ] *(Remote Only)*			
Home with one or more parent or guardian			–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement			–
Academic Motivation[†]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	69	58	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		50	–
School is really boring[±]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	28	45	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		30	–
School is worthless and a waste of time[±]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	6	17	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		10	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[†]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[ψ]*The scale was based on four questions for remote respondents.*

[±]*Rating of 7 or higher.*

Table A18.1

School Engagement and Supports by Living Situation – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current absenteeism (≥3 times)¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	10	19	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		17	–
Trouble focusing on schoolwork$^\sigma$			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	32	45	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		45	–
Caring adult relationships‡			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	64	56	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		52	–
High expectations‡			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	77	64	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		62	–
Meaningful participation‡			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	34	27	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		14	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

$^\sigma$Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

‡Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A18.1

School Engagement and Supports by Living Situation – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Facilities upkeep^σ			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	24	20	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		26	–
Promotion of parental involvement in school[†]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	58	42	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		51	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^{\sigma}$ Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."

† Average percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree" across survey questions that comprise the scale.

Table A18.2
School Safety by Living Situation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
School perceived as very safe or safe			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	65	50	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		44	–
Experienced harassment due to five reasons[λ][§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	15	31	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		34	–
Experienced any harassment or bullying[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	31	40	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		41	–
Had mean rumors or lies spread about you[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	27	39	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		50	–
Been afraid of being beaten up[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	20	27	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		41	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[λ]*The five reasons include race, ethnicity, or national origin; religion; gender (being male or female); sexual orientation; and a physical or mental disability.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A18.2

School Safety by Living Situation – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Been in a physical fight[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	6	8	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		19	–
Seen a weapon on campus[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	3	8	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		6	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A18.3

Cyberbullying by Living Situation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Cyberbullying[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	21	25	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		30	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[§]*Past 12 months.*

Table A18.4
Substance Use by Living Situation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol or drug use¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	1	3	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		3	–
Current tobacco use¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	3	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		3	–
Current marijuana use¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	1	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		0	–
Current binge drinking¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	0	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		0	–
Very drunk or "high" 7 or more times, ever			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	0	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		0	–
Been drunk or "high" on drugs at school, ever			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	1	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		0	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A18.4

Substance Use by Living Situation – Continued

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Current alcohol use¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	2	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		3	–
Current alcohol use at school¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	4	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		3	–
Current cigarette smoking¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	0	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		0	–
Current use of vape products¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	4	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		3	–
Current tobacco vaping¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	3	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		3	–
Current marijuana vaping¶			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	0	0	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		0	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

¶Past 30 days.

Table A18.5

Health Routines by Living Situation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Eating of breakfast[l]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	76	61	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		53	–
Bedtime (at 12 am or later)			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	12	12	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		7	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.
[l]*Today.*

Table A18.6

Remote Schooling by Living Situation (Remote Only)

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Remote learning frequency (5 days per week)[¶]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian			–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement			–
Synchronous instruction (4 days or more)[ǀ]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian			–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement			–
Interest in schoolwork done from home$^{\sigma}$			
Home with one or more parent or guardian			–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement			–
Meaningful opportunities$^{\theta}$			
Home with one or more parent or guardian			–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement			–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[¶]*Past 30 days.*

[ǀ]*Past 7 days.*

$^{\sigma}$*Percent of respondents reporting "Agree" or "Strongly agree."*

$^{\theta}$*Percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true."*

Table A18.7

Social and Emotional Health by Living Situation

	Grade 6 %	Grade 7 %	Grade 8 %
Social emotional distress[‡]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	14	25	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		34	–
Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	25	28	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		34	–
Considered suicide[§]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	8	13	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		21	–
Optimism[‡]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	68	45	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		42	–
Life satisfaction[⊤]			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	78	67	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		60	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

[‡]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Pretty much true" or "Very much true" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

[§]*Past 12 months.*

[⊤]*Average percent of respondents reporting "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" across survey questions that comprise the scale.*

Table A18.7
Social and Emotional Health by Living Situation – Continued

	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
California Student Wellness Index$^\delta$			
Home with one or more parent or guardian	108.1	103.2	–
Foster home			–
Homeless			–
Other living arrangement		98.8	–

Notes: Cells are empty if there are less than 10 respondents.

$^\delta$*To construct the index, the ten items that comprise both the social emotional distress and life satisfaction scales were each recoded such that the lowest possible score on each item was zero. These scores were then added together, resulting in a total raw score that could vary between 0 and 40. To calculate the scale score for the Student Wellness Index, the raw score was divided by the maximum possible score (40). Additionally, for clearer comparisons across groups and for interpreting future trends across time, a standardized version of the Student Wellness Index was constructed based on statewide data from the 2021-23 Biennial State CHKS. The standardized score (presented in the table) is scaled to have a mean score of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.*

Appendix

CHKS Content Overview, 2024-25

This brief guide to key CHKS Core Module indicators is designed to help survey users more easily understand and interpret their findings.[1] References are provided to the tables in CHKS reports where results for the indicators discussed can be found. References are also made to other relevant CHKS reports, factsheets, and resources that can be downloaded from the CalSCHLS website (calschls.org) for further information. What Works Briefs that provide guidance on best practices related to areas assessed by the survey are available from the California Safe and Supportive Schools website (ca-safe-supportive-schools.wested.org/resources).

Special attention is directed toward indicators related to the requirements of California's Local Control and Accountability Plans (LCAP). For a summary of state-level LCAP-related baseline CHKS data, see Factsheet #15.[2]

MAIN CONTENT FOCUS: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND THE NEEDS OF THE WHOLE CHILD

The main purpose of the CHKS Core Module is to assess indicators of school climate, pupil engagement, and student supports, all three key priorities required of the LCAP. It provides schools with essential data to determine the degree to which they provide the conditions and supports that all youth need to succeed in learning and developmentally thrive. Forty-nine items assessing 14 school climate domains included in a *School Climate Report Card (SCRC)*.[3] All districts receive a district-level SCRC and can request school-level SCRCs. The items used in the SCRC assess school connectedness, developmental supports (e.g., caring adult relationships), and safety, including bullying and victimization.

School climate, as measured by the CHKS, is strongly related to student learning and academic performance. Data for high school students show that as school climate improves—as the schools became safer, more supportive, and more engaging—academic performance increased as well.[4]

In a ground-breaking analysis, a positive school climate was a distinguishing characteristic of California secondary schools that "beat the odds" academically and consistently performed better on the state's standardized tests than *would be predicted* based on the characteristics of their students (i.e., comparing schools with similar student demographics). The more positive the school climate, the greater the probability there was for beating the odds. School climate was more strongly associated with beating the odds than a school's level of personnel resources.[5]

In addition, the CHKS Core Module provides data to help understand the degree to which schools address the needs of the whole child. CHKS data show that California secondary schools made greater progress in raising standardized test scores over a one-year period when they had higher percentages of students who reported: (1) being less engaged in risky behaviors such as substance use and violence; (2) being more likely to eat nutritiously and exercise; and (3) experiencing caring adult relationships and high

---

[1] To see how local results compare with state averages in California, download a copy of the latest Biennial State CHKS report (calschls.org/reports-data/#state-level_reports). The Biennial State data are derived from a randomly-selected, representative state sample. County-level reports are also available on the CHKS website. Biennial State CHKS and county-level data can also be examined interactively on the CalSCHLS Data Dashboard (calschls.org/reports-data/dashboard).

[2] Austin. (2016). Download calschls.org/docs/factsheet-15.pdf

[3] See calschls.org/reports-data/#scrc

[4] O'Malley & Hanson. (2012). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet3_API_20120716.pdf

[5] Voight, Austin, & Hanson. (2013). Download www.wested.org/online_pubs/hd-13-10.pdf

expectations at school.[6] These results suggest that addressing the health and developmental needs of youth is a critical component of a comprehensive strategy for meeting accountability demands for improved academic performance.

GRADE-LEVEL PATTERNS

More than 20 years of survey administration has demonstrated that as youth progress through secondary school, they become: (1) less likely to report feeling connected to school and being academically motivated; and (2) more likely to report truancy, involvement in substance use, and chronic sadness and hopelessness. Here are some additional grade-level patterns in CHKS data:

- **Seventh graders** report the highest rates of harassment and victimization, as well as participation in a physical fight and seeing a weapon on campus.

- **Ninth graders** report the lowest rates of developmental support.

- **Nontraditional (continuation school) students** report risk behavior (e.g., binge drinking, fighting at school) prevalence rates at least twice those of 11th graders in traditional comprehensive high schools.[7] They also exhibit lower perceived school safety, school connectedness, and developmental supports. Continuation school students are a highly vulnerable population in need of a wide range of academic, social, emotional, and behavioral supports and intervention services.[8]

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND POPULATION GROUP DIFFERENCES (Section 3)

Indicators of the demographic and background characteristics of the survey respondents help users gain a better sense of how representative the survey sample is compared to the student population overall. They also enable users to analyze how survey results vary by important groups in the school and help them to identify, and target programs for, youth most in need. School districts can use these data to meet LCAP requirements to demonstrate actions across state priorities in regard to socioeconomically disadvantaged students, English learners, and foster youth, as well as other indicators such as race/ethnicity, homeless youth, and LGBTQ youth.

In the standard CHKS report, breakdowns for selected key indicators are provided by all the LCAP priority groups such as race/ethnicity, gender, parental education, English learner and long-term English learner status, disability status, foster youth, and unhoused youth. For selected key indicators, the CalSCHLS Data Dashboard (calschls.org/reports-data/dashboard) can be used to display group differences across these same groups as well as additional groups (e.g., chronic sadness, caring relationships). Districts/schools can also subscribe to the District CalSCHLS private data dashboard to disaggregate their CHKS results by these groups for each school (email calschls@wested.org).

Racial-Ethnic Group Identification

Respondents self-report their identification with six racial/ethnic groups. In one study of CHKS data, both academic performance and school well-being—the degree to which students feel safe at, supported by, and connected to the school—varied consistently and persistently across schools by racial/ethnic groupings.[9] They were highest in schools with large proportions of white and Asian students, as well as

---

[6] Hanson & Austin. (2003). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-3.pdf

[7] In interpreting the results for 11th graders, note that many youth who are most disengaged and involved in high risk behaviors may have already dropped out of school or did not participate in the survey because of truancy or absenteeism.

[8] Austin, Dixon, & Bailey. (2007). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-7.pdf

[9] Austin, Hanson, Bono, & Zheng. (2008). Download data.calschls.org/resources/factsheet_8.pdf

in middle- and high-income schools. Controlling for socioeconomic status and other school demographic characteristics reduced these racial/ethnic group differences, but the disparities still remained. This suggests that school climate factors related to student well-being may also play a role in racial/ethnic group differences in achievement. One strategy to close the gap may be to enhance learning supports that foster caring adult relationships, high expectations, meaningful participation, safety, and connectedness in schools serving large proportions of low-income African American and Hispanic students.

In the majority of cases, with notable exceptions for Asians, *the racial/ethnic gaps in performance, engagement, perceived support, and safety are greater within-schools than between-schools*.[10] White students feel more safe, engaged, and supported than their African American, Hispanic, and Asian peers *within the same school*.[11] Inequities in these factors can possibly contribute to the achievement differences. Further, these findings suggest that practices designed to ensure equitable access to academic resources, opportunities, learning supports, and promotion of common experiences may be effective in ameliorating the gap.

Youth in Foster Care

Compared to youth who live with their parents, youth in foster care settings report much higher rates of substance abuse, poor school attendance and grades, more violence-related behaviors, more harassment, and higher depression risk.[12] [13] They were also more likely to be low in caring adult relationships and total developmental support.

Unhoused Youth

Homeless youth are substantially more likely than other youth to engage in substance use, have lower attendance at school and struggle with academic performance, feel less safe at school and experience greater levels of harassment and bullying, and exhibit higher levels of chronic sadness.[14] Like youth in foster care, unhoused youth were also more likely to be low in caring adult relationships at school.

Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning or queer (LGBTQ) youth are at elevated risk for bullying and violence victimization, mental health problems, drug and alcohol use, and exhibiting poor performance in school.[15] [16] For example, analysis of the Biennial State CHKS data indicate that LGBTQ youth[17] are:

- about 30 percentage points more likely than non-LGBTQ youth to experience harassment and bullying at school;
- 30-to-40 percentage points more likely to exhibit chronic sadness;
- 2-to-3 times more likely to smoke cigarettes, binge drink, and to have been drunk or high at school; and

---

[10] Hanson, Austin, & Li. (2012). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-13_20120405.pdf

[11] See calschls.org/my-surveys/

[12] Austin, Jones, & Annon. (2007). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-6.pdf

[13] See calschls.org/my-surveys/

[14] See calschls.org/my-surveys/

[15] Hanson, T., Zhang, G., Cerna, R., Stern, A., & Austin, G. (2019) Understanding the experiences of LGBTQ youth in California. San Francisco, CA: WestEd. Download wested.org/resources/lgbtq-students-in-california/

[16] Russell, S. T., & Fish, J. N. (2016). Mental health in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 12*, 465-487. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-021815-093153

[17] See calschls.org/my-surveys/

- receive substantially fewer social supports from teachers and peers at school than their counterparts who do not identify as LGBTQ.

Because of such disparities, it is important to examine disparities across LGBTQ and non-LGBTQ youth to identify needs and support the development of practices that promote the healthy development and safety of all youth.

SCHOOL PERFORMANCE, ENGAGEMENT, AND SUPPORTS (Section 6)

A major focus of the CHKS is providing data to gauge the level of pupil engagement, an LCAP state priority on which districts are required to show improvement. Enhancing student engagement has been identified as the key to addressing problems of low achievement, high levels of student misbehavior, alienation, and high dropout rates.[18] CHKS and other surveys consistently show that as youth progress through the school system, indicators of engagement decline.

It is important to look at engagement from three perspectives: behavioral, emotional, and cognitive. The CHKS provides data on all three types. As discussed below, the Academic Motivation scale, school boredom, and self-reported grades provide insight into cognitive engagement; the School Connectedness scale and school value item into emotional engagement; and attendance data into behavioral engagement. Another behavioral engagement indicator on the survey is substance use at school. Research shows that student engagement is malleable and that all three types of engagement are influenced by the level to which students perceive the school as having a positive environment.

The CHKS Developmental Supports scales provide insight into whether students experience three protective factors in their school (caring relationships, high expectations, and opportunities for meaningful participation). These protective factors characterize a positive school climate and are linked to school connectedness and positive academic, behavioral, and health outcomes. Other school climate factors assessed are the level of parent involvement and the quality of the school physical environment, both also LCAP priorities. The likelihood that students will be motivated and engaged is increased to the extent that their teachers, family, and friends effectively support their purposeful involvement in learning and in school.

Self-Reported Grades

The CHKS asks students to indicate what grades they received in school in the past 12 months. Although self-reports are not as accurate as actual report card data, they provide a general sense of the school performance of the survey respondents from their self-perception.

Academic Motivation

A four-item scale gauges student academic motivation by asking how strongly they agree that they try hard to do well on schoolwork, try hard because they are interested in the work, work hard to understand new things at school, and always try to do better. On the 2019-21 State CHKS, the percentage of students agreeing with the questions on this scale ranged from 64 percent in 11th grade to 71 percent in 7th.[19]

In order to achieve rigorous academic goals, students need not only a challenging and engaging curriculum, but they need to be emotionally connected to their learning. Student perceptions of the school environment and their degree of school connectedness influence their achievement motivation. But students may be behaviorally and/or emotionally invested in a given activity without actually exerting the

---

[18] Wang, M-T, & Eccles, J. (2013). School context, achievement motivation, and academic engagement: A longitudinal study of school engagement using a multidimensional perspective. *Learning and Instruction, 28*, 12-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.04.002

[19] Download data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1921.pdf

necessary mental effort to understand and master the knowledge, craft, or skill that the activity promotes. This scale provides insight into the overall level of that mental effort.

In addition, the supplementary School Climate Module includes Student Learning Environment and Learning Engagement Climate scales and a series of questions about supports for learning and academic rigor.

School Boredom – School Value

Boredom is commonly experienced among secondary students, but its meaning and effects vary from student to student. Student boredom can be associated with specific subjects and academic tasks or it can be a pervasive response to school activities. Frequent boredom is associated with poor academic and mental health outcomes. Two CHKS questions ask about students' school boredom (school is really boring) and how much they value their schooling experience (school is worthless and a waste of time). Combined, these two survey questions are used to identify School Boredom Mindset Profiles.[20,21,22]

				School Boredom										
				School is really boring										
				Low Boredom				Moderate Boredom			High Boredom			
				0 Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 Agree
Value of School	School is worthless, a waste of time	High Value	0 Disagree	**Optimal** **1** High Value–Low Boredom Students did not think school was boring and valued school highly				**2** High Value–Moderate Boredom Students valued school highly with moderate-level school boredom			**3** High Value–High Boredom Students indicated school was very boring, yet they valued it highly			
			1											
			2											
			3											
		Moderate Value	4	**4** Moderate Value–Low Boredom				**5** Moderate Value–Moderate Boredom Students with moderate-level school boredom and school value			**6** Moderate Value–High Boredom Students indicated school was very boring, and valued it at a moderate level			
			5											
			6											
		Low Value	7	**7** Low Value–Low Boredom *Only a low percentage of students' responses place them in groups 4, 7, and 8.*				**8** Low Value–Moderate Boredom			**Suboptimal** **9** Low Value–High Boredom Students indicated school was very boring, and valued it at a very low level			
			8											
			9											
			10 Agree											

---

[20] Furlong, M. J., Smith, D. C., Springer, T., & Dowdy, E. (2021). Bored with school! Bored with life? Well-being characteristics associated with a school boredom mindset. *Journal of Positive School Psychology, 5*(1), 42–64. https://www.covitalityucsb.info/ewExternalFiles/10.%20Furlong%20et%20al%20Boredom-JPSP..pdf

[21] Branstetter, R. (2021). What do kids mean when they say they're bored at school? Greater Good Magazine. https://greatergood.berkeley.edu/article/item/what_do_kids_mean_when_they_say_theyre_bored_at_school

[22] See D. Michael Furlong's RISE 360 School Boredom Resource to learn more about school boredom and how these two items are combined to identify School Boredom Mindset Profiles https://rise.articulate.com/share/evs7SLqtz3xvWkgVnQjW6YNu1vFyRm6_#/

Students with high school boredom and low school-value, a suboptimal profile, report substantially poorer well-being than students with low boredom combined with elevated school-value, an optimal profile. Moreover, students with high school boredom and high school-value may be experiencing episodic or task-specific boredom, which requires different types of support than students who exhibit the suboptimal pattern. The percentage of students falling in each of these profile groups is presented in the CHKS report so that school personnel can monitor the boredom experiences of students to best address their academic and social-emotional needs.

Absenteeism

Before good teachers with quality curriculums and engaging activities can improve academic performance, the students have to show up. The CHKS provides data to identify what proportion of respondents are at risk of chronic absenteeism (missed 3 or more days during the past 30 days) and what were the reasons for absences.

Poor attendance is a marker of a wider variety of other problems, including low school connectedness and learning engagement. Both the US Department of Education and the California Office of the Attorney General have launched initiatives designed to raise awareness about and combat chronic absenteeism, generally defined as missing 10 percent of school days. According to a report by Attendance Works, the nation's large and persistent achievement gaps are rooted in a largely hidden crisis of chronic absenteeism, especially among low-income and minority children.[23]

Biennial State CHKS data indicate that the most common reasons for being absent in secondary school, after illness, are generally not getting enough sleep and anxiety or stress, followed by being behind in schoolwork, and being bored or uninterested in school, another good indicator of disengagement.[24]

Developmental Supports

Research shows that when schools (or families or communities) provide three developmental supports—**caring adult relationships, high expectations, and opportunities for meaningful participation**—students are more likely to report more positive academic, social-emotional, and health outcomes, including higher grades, school connectedness, attendance, and perceived safety. CHKS measures these supports using multi-item scales. Results overall suggest that the great majority of students do not receive sufficient levels of support and that the supports received are lower in high school than in middle school, even though student need for them is arguably higher.[25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30]

School Connectedness

School connectedness is one of the indicators of school climate that a California school district must address in its LCAP. Research shows that school connectedness is associated with multiple positive

[23] Attendance Works & Everyone Graduates Center. (2017, September). *Portraits of Change: Aligning School and Community Resources to Reduce Chronic Absence.* Download www.attendanceworks.org/portraits-of-change/

[24] Austin, Hanson, Bala, & Zheng. (2023). Download data.calschls.org/resources/18th_Biennial_State_1921.pdf

[25] Hanson & Austin. (2002). Download data.calschls.org/resources/factsheet.pdf

[26] Hanson & Austin. (2002). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-3.pdf

[27] Hanson. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet1_caring_20120223.pdf

[28] Hanson. (2012). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet2_participation_20120224.pdf

[29] O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief1_CaringRelationships_final.pdf

[30] O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief2_MeaningfulPart_final.pdf

academic, social-emotional, and health outcomes.[31] It also shows that youth who feel safe at school, experience caring adults, and have opportunities for engagement and other developmental supports are more likely to feel connected to the school. The CHKS five-item School Connectedness scale is an important differentiator between low-performing and high-performing high schools and has value as an indicator of school quality. The online Query CalSCHLS system (calschls.org/reports-data/query-calschls/) enables examination of how key survey indicators vary by school connectedness.

School connectedness declines markedly after elementary school. A substantial minority of middle and high school students are not connected to their schools. On the 2017-19 State CHKS, the average percentage of students agreeing to these scale questions declined from 62 percent in 7th grade to 53 percent in 11th, a decline similar to that found for the Academic Motivation scale.[32] This may be related at least in part to their lower levels of developmental support. Prior analyses have indicated that the lowest rates of both connectedness and test scores are in low-income schools.[33] [34]

Parent Involvement

Parent involvement, including promoting parental participation in school programs, is one of the LCAP state priorities. A three-item scale assesses student perceptions (level of agreement) of three components of parent involvement: teachers communicating with parents about what students are expected to learn in class, parents feeling welcome to participate at this school, and school staff taking parent concerns seriously. The same questions also are asked on the staff and parent surveys so that perceptions can be compared across all three stakeholder groups. A large and growing body of evidence has linked school success to the manner and degree to which a school communicates with parents, engages them both in school activities and education, and fosters a school climate that parents perceive as warm, inviting, and helpful.[35]

Facilities and Physical Environment

As an indicator of student perceptions of the school's physical environment, the CHKS includes a question asking students how much they agree the school "is usually clean and tidy." Additional questions are included in the supplementary School Climate Module. An analysis of 2018/20 data from the questions on both modules found that a plurality of students report that their school facilities are in good condition, but a non-trivial minority of students were dissatisfied with the condition of their school facilities.[36] Between 20 percent and 25 percent of students disagree or strongly disagree on the quality of the physical environment items. Almost one-third of schools serving 11th graders were categorized as having low quality physical environments based on student reports on the clean and tidy item. Student perceptions of the quality of their school's physical environment were strongly related to student achievement.

SCHOOL VIOLENCE, VICTIMIZATION, AND SAFETY (Section 8)

The CHKS asks students a wide range of questions to ascertain the scope and nature of physical and verbal violence and victimization that occurs on campus, as well as vandalism, and the overall level of school

---

[31] National Research Council and the Institute of Medicine. (2004). *Engaging schools: Fostering high school students' motivation to learn.* Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.

[32] Austin, Hanson, Zhang, & Zheng. (2020). Download data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1719.pdf. School Connectedness was not assessed on the 2019-21 State CHKS because the majority of students did not attend school in-person in 2020-21 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

[33] Austin, Hanson, & Voight. (2013). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3factsheet5_connectedness_20130827.pdf

[34] O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief4_Connectedness_final.pdf

[35] Wilder, S. (2014). Effects of parental involvement on academic achievement. A meta-synthesis. *Educational Review,* 66:3, 377-397.

[36] Hanson & Zheng. (2021). Download calschls.org/docs/facilities_030221.pdf

safety.[37] Physical violence and weapons on campus have long been a major public concern. Equally disruptive are the uncounted acts of bullying, teasing, and other nonviolent misbehavior among youth, such as substance use and sales on campus.[38] These behaviors adversely affect not only students' ability to learn and willingness to attend school, but also the overall school environment, the ability of teachers to teach, and the willingness of adults to enter the teaching profession.[39] [40] [41]

Perceived Safety

Perceived safety is another indicator that districts/schools are required to monitor as part of their LCAP school climate priority. School safety is more than the antithesis of violence. Perceived school safety is psychological as well as physical. Feelings of insecurity can have multiple sources, not all of which correctly reflect the level of danger and violence on a school campus, such as verbal bullying. Both physical and psychological safety are essential for high quality teaching and learning. It is a key factor in students feeling connected to school. Another indicator important for attendance is the percentage of students who report missing school because they didn't feel safe (Table A6.3).

If students report low levels of perceived school safety, further examination of all the indicators related to bullying and victimization is warranted. Consider conducting follow-up student voice and feedback sessions such as focus groups or Student Listening Circles and integrating questions on why students do not feel safe.[42]

Harassment and Victimization

Most of the safety-related questions on the survey assess victimization. Because a relatively small number of students can victimize a large number of their peers, victimization questions provide important insight into the overall school climate. Nonviolent harassment in any form—threats, intimidation, rumors, and ostracism—can instill a sense of vulnerability, isolation, frustration, and fear among its victims, leading to engagement in health risk behaviors or avoidance behaviors such as missing school and social isolation. This type of misbehavior, vastly more common than any other, ruins the school day for many students.

The CHKS asks about the frequency with which students experienced any bullying/harassment related to six bias-related categories (gender, race/ethnicity, disability, sexual identity, religion, immigrant status), as well as for any other reason (Table A8.2). About three-in-ten secondary students report experiencing some harassment in the past year on the 2017-19 State CHKS, with the percentages declining between 7th and 11th grades.[43] Analyses show that victims of harassment are more likely to not feel safe at, and connected to, school; to have higher truancy; and to experience lower developmental (resilience) supports at school.[44] [45] They report higher rates of fighting and weapons possession at school, as well as risk of depression. Students who report bias-related harassment, particularly for disability and sexual orientation, have poorer well-being than students who were only harassed for other reasons.

Different forms of verbal harassment at school are assessed by asking students about: mean rumors or

---

[37] The CHKS also includes two violence-related questions that are not school based: the frequency students experienced cyberbullying and whether they are gang members.

[38] Juvonen, J., & Graham, S. (2001). *Peer harassment in school: The plight of the vulnerable and victimized.* New York: Guilford Press.

[39] Barton, P. E. (2001). *Facing the hard facts in education reform.* Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

[40] O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief5_ViolencePerpetration_final.pdf

[41] O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief6_ViolenceVictimization_final.pdf

[42] O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief3_Safety_final.pdf

[43] Austin, Hanson, Zhang, & Zheng. (2020). Download data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1719.pdf. Harassment at school was not assessed on the 2019-21 State CHKS due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

[44] Heck, Russell, O'Shaughnessy, Laub, Calhoun, & Austin. (2005). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-4.pdf

[45] Austin, Nakamoto, & Bailey. (2010). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-10.pdf

lies; sexual-related jokes, comments, or gestures; being made fun of because of looks or speech; and, more generally, being made fun of, insulted or called names. Physical victimization is assessed by questions about having been pushed/shoved, threatened with harm or injury (without or without weapon), and being afraid of being beaten up. Students are also asked whether they have ever been in a physical fight as a measure of general violence.

The supplementary School Climate Module includes Bullying Prevention and Respect for Diversity scales to provide insight into what the school is doing to reduce the problem.

Vandalism and Weapons

Two other safety-related areas assessed by the survey are school vandalism and weapons possession. Students are asked whether they damaged school property or had their property damaged at school and whether they carried a knife or other weapon to school or observed someone else doing these things.[46] Overall, weapons possession is not common. Statewide, only about two percent of secondary students report carrying a gun and 4-5 percent report carrying another weapon.[47] About 14 percent of 7th and 9th graders report seeing someone carrying a weapon compared to 11 percent of 11th graders.

SUBSTANCE USE (Sections 9 and 10)

An important barrier to learning, positive youth development, and health, substance use is assessed by the CHKS Core.

Alcohol and Other Drug Use (Section 9)

The misuse of alcohol and other drugs (AOD) continues to be among the most important issues confronting the nation. For schools, the problem is particularly relevant. Years of research have shown that adolescent substance use is closely connected to academic performance and contributes to raising the level of truancy and absenteeism, special education, disciplinary problems, disengagement and dropout rates, teacher turnover, and property damage.

Reflecting this, on the California School Staff Survey, 39 percent of high school staff statewide reported that alcohol and drug use are a moderate to severe problem at their school.[48]

Alcohol and Other Drug Use at School (Section 9)

AOD use at school is especially troubling. This is behavior that threatens not only the user's learning ability but also the school's efforts to educate all youth. It also is an indirect indicator of school disengagement. An analysis of CHKS data found that substance use and intoxication at school, being offered drugs at school, and lifetime intoxication were significantly related to changes in California achievement test scores one year later.[49] Schools with proportionately large numbers of students who reported these behaviors exhibited smaller gains in test scores than other schools.[50] [51]

Cigarette Smoking (Section 10)

Students who report smoking cigarettes are significantly more likely than those who do not to engage in alcohol and other drug use, be involved in violence and gang membership, and experience school-related

---

[46] Students who participated in school exclusively via remote learning were not asked these questions in 2020-21.

[47] Austin, Hanson, Polik, & Zheng. (2018). Download data.calschls.org/resources/Biennial_State_1517.pdf

[48] Statewide CSSS. (2018). Download calschls.org/docs/statewide_1517_csss.pdf

[49] Hanson, T. L., Austin, G. A., & Lee-Bayha, J. (2004). *Ensuring that No Child is Left Behind: How are Student Health Risks & Resilience Related to the Academic Progress of Schools*. San Francisco: WestEd.

[50] Hanson & Austin. (2003). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-3.pdf

[51] O'Malley & Amarillas. (2011). Download data.calschls.org/resources/S3_WhatWorksBrief8_AOD_final.pdf

problems and disengagement. To a lesser extent, students who smoke are also more likely to be victims of violence and harassment, feel unsafe at school, and experience incapacitating sadness and loneliness. These results suggest that efforts to reduce student smoking may be more successful if embedded in approaches that address a broad range of risk behaviors and problems.[52] [53]

SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL HEALTH (Section 7)

Student mental health can have a big impact on their health and well-being. The CHKS Core provides four measures for assessing mental health among students: (1) whether they experience chronic, incapacitating sadness or hopelessness; (2) whether they ever contemplated suicide; (3) social and emotional distress; and (4) life satisfaction. Students who experience chronic sadness, compared to their peers who do not, are at elevated risk of a wide range of educational, health, social, and emotional problems, including lower school attendance, performance, and connectedness.[54] They also report lower levels of the developmental supports in their schools and communities that have been shown to mitigate these problems. Similar findings are found among youth who have contemplated suicide.[55]

CHKS data also show that students who report bias-related harassment, particularly for disability and sexual orientation, have poorer overall mental health than students who are harassed for other reasons or not harassed. Students who report any harassment are about twice as likely to experience chronic sadness/hopelessness than their non-harassed peers.[56] [57]

See also the percentage of students who report missing school because they "felt very sad, hopeless, anxious, stressed, or angry." In addition, the supplementary School Climate Module includes a Social and Emotional Supports scale that provides insight from students on what the school does to help promote mental health and foster social-emotional competencies.

---

[52] Hanson & Zheng. (2006). Download data.calschls.org/resources/factsheet2update.pdf

[53] Austin, McCarthy, Slade, & Bailey. (2007). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-5.pdf

[54] Austin, Nakamoto, & Bailey. (2010). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-11.pdf

[55] Austin, Cragle, Delong-Cotty. (2012). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-12.pdf

[56] Heck, Russell, O'Shaughnessy, Laub, Calhoun, & Austin. (2005). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-4.pdf

[57] Austin, Nakamoto, & Bailey. (2010). Download data.calschls.org/resources/FACTSHEET-10.pdf