

SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Minutes of the Board of Trustees' Meeting of July 18, 2019

OPEN SESSION - 7:01 p.m.

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. ROLL CALL

Board Members: Mr. John Baker - Present
Mr. Eddie Flores - Present
Ms. Daina Lujan - Present
Mrs. Patricia Murray - Present
Mrs. Mina Richardson - Present

Cabinet Members: Dr. Shawnterra Moore, Superintendent - Present
Mr. Keith Irish, Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services and Categorical Programs - Present
Mr. Ted O, Assistant Superintendent, Business Services - Present
Dr. Jay Spaulding, Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources and Student Services - Present

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

D. REVIEW OF AGENDA - No changes.

E. PTA COUNCIL REMARKS - None

F. STAFF ASSOCIATION REPRESENTATIVES' REMARKS

South San Francisco Federation of Adult Educators - None

South San Francisco Classroom Teachers Association – None

California School Employees Association Chapter 197 - President Jolene Malfatti reported that she met with Assistant Superintendent Jay Spaulding regarding MOUs to enhance the hiring of Nutrition Services staff.

G. PERSONNEL COMMISSION – Nothing to report

H. ITEMS FROM BOARD - None

I. SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

- a. **Summer School graduation** - Superintendent Shawnterra Moore reported that she, along with some Board members, attended this ceremony. She congratulated all of the students who persevered and earned their diplomas.

J. COMMUNICATIONS

Mike Harris, a resident, spoke about the Buri Buri ES survey. He said Sandis has done three surveys and none have been recorded. He wants a new fence installed on the property boundary line. Dr. Moore said the survey results should be available in four to six weeks, at which time she would contact Mr. Harris.

K. PRESENTATIONS

1. ADMINISTRATION

a. Update on Workforce Housing

Representatives from DCG Strategies, RBC Capital, and Isom Advisors provided an update on the financial feasibility findings related to workforce housing in the District.

Blake Peters, of DCG Strategies, told the Board that 2525 Wexford Avenue is a fantastic site. He reviewed the following:

Five Phases

- Phase 1 - Market assessment
- Phase 2 - Site assessment
- Phase 3 - Financing and development
- Phase 4 - Entitlements and programming
- Phase 5 - Construction and pre-leasing

The project is currently in phase 3:

Phase 3 – Development Feasibility Findings

- Expansion of study area to entire parcel – 6.89 acres
 - Increase in unit count from 84 to 132 units
- Civil engineering report – utility research
 - Adequate utility services available
- Environmental assessment
 - No historic or recognized environmental conditions
- Boundary survey
 - Boundaries determined for the property
- Geotechnical report
 - Soil structure is suitable for the intended development use
 - Foundation would be built on bedrock and there are no liquefaction issues.

Lead and asbestos would need to be considered before the demolition of any existing buildings.

Preliminary estimates indicate a path to feasibly develop the project with General Fund supported certificates of participation (COPs), General Obligation Bonds (GOBs), or a combination of both.

Development of the project is preliminarily financially feasible, should the District be able to fund initial cash flow shortages at the onset of development (if using COPs) or pass a bond measure.

- With COPS, future rental revenue will repay the debt and result in new net revenue to the District's General Fund.
- A partial or full G.O. funded project will result in new net revenue to the District's General Fund.

Christen Villalobos, of RBC Capital, said the project would cost between \$60M and \$66M. There would be one, two, and three bedroom units with varying rental rates. She reviewed two financing options. COPs don't require voter approval and use net revenue from the project to pay the debt service. General Fund support would be needed in the early years. If interest rates or construction costs are higher or rental income is lower, the general fund support may increase. GOBs do require voter approval and revenue would be put into the District's General Fund.

Makiko Sato, of ISOM Advisors, spoke about factors which could impact the project. She is not making a recommendation, just assessing the financial feasibility. Interest rates are currently very low. However, with financing a year or two away, it could be a different interest rate environment. Her firm relies on DCG information regarding costs and rental revenue and any changes would impact financing. Based on their current analysis, there may be some years with a cash shortage. She agrees with the feasibility to develop the project. Mr. Peters asked Ms. Sato to confirm a funding gap in the first two to three years of the project. She said they are looking at four years of shortages totaling about \$500K, then transitioning to a positive.

Mr. Peters said the site can be developed for a 132 unit project. The next step is programming to determine the building configuration and working with the City on an entitlement timeline/pathway.

Trustee Mina Richardson stated that workforce housing needs to be below market rate and at \$400-\$500K per unit, this project would require a subsidy which is not in the projections. Mr. Peters said they did a rental survey and looked at the average salary. Affordability for teachers and faculty is incorporated into the proforma. Trustee Richardson asked about the 16K square footage child care center. Mr. Peters said this meets the current needs. Trustee Richardson inquired

about other costs such as geotechnical engineering, environmental analysis, etc. Mr. Peters replied these costs have already been paid for in other phases. Once the project is fully designed, it is provided to the original consultants to see if it agrees with the findings in their report. For example, as part of the geotechnical report, NGO knows of the shared easement to accommodate utilities. Trustee Richards said she wants to understand the peripheral costs and asked about the interest rate at 3.9%. Ms. Villalobos said it would be a fixed rate when the bonds are issued.

Trustee Eddie Flores noted that rates may fluctuate and asked if they were to increase, would it make the project financially unfeasible. Ms. Sato said it depends on how much the interest rates change. The current four year cash shortage is an estimate and it may go to five years or beyond. It could impact the rental rates since interest rates don't change in a vacuum and the shortfall could increase. Trustee Flores asked how the four years was estimated. Ms. Sato replied they structured the financing to best match the expected cash flow from the workforce housing. In the initial years, fixed interest costs may exceed the revenue, which will increase over time. Trustee Flores asked about the number of parking places. Mr. Peters said they made basic assumptions for two and three story housing with surface and tuck under parking which gave them the range of construction costs. Trustee Flores said parking in the City must be seriously considered and it is something the Board is looking into. Mr. Peters noted the project's proximity to a BART station and shared that a shuttle service was included in some of their other projects.

Trustee Diana Lujan said the community is unique with primarily single family homes surrounded by a number of condos. Some neighbors won't want high density housing in their backyard. Mr. Peters said this project is not high density housing and is more comparable with Sacramento and the Central Valley. Trustee Lujan stated that the project is great for the District's staff and teachers.

Vice President Patricia Murray asked if they would get school and community-at-large involvement before the project is approved. Mr. Peters said the next step is community input and involvement, which would be done for the surrounding area and also further out.

President John Baker questioned whether a walkway easement to Gellert Blvd. from the property still exists. Mr. Peters was unsure and then confirmed that the shared easement, previously spoken about, is for gas and electric. President Baker inquired about an environmental impact report (EIR) and, if needed, whether the District or the City would be the certifying agency. Mr. Peters replied this would usually need a general plan amendment (GPA) and a re-zone process for

which an EIR would be needed, unless one already exists. There is some sensitivity with the City and a separate GPA may not be required. President Baker asked if the cost of an EIR study was accounted for. Mr. Peters said it was not and the process needed will be the next question for the City. President Baker noted that the last discussion of the project showed 84 units with significant open space for neighbors, but the new rendering shows little open space. Mr. Peters replied there is a possibility of building a larger middle density area with surrounding open space. The design could be rearranged if the Board wished. President Baker said they want to limit parking and create more units in the space. Mr. Peters stated that they have not found that providing fewer parking spaces leads to fewer people driving their cars and those cars often spill out onto surrounding streets. President Baker asked whether COPs would include grant funds, such as the County's Measure K funds. DCG CEO Landis Graden said there are currently no grant funding sources available but there is ongoing work in this area. President Baker said when they are further in the process, they can look into Measure K funds.

Trustee Lujan confirmed there is a pedestrian walkway easement to Gellert Blvd. via Kenry Way. The rest of the area is fenced in.

Trustee Richardson said the District already has a bond on the books and asked if that would be a factor in the type of funding chosen. Ms. Sato said CABs are used occasionally and GOBs are mainly used with school districts. Also, multiple bond measures exist in several districts and it is not a restriction to getting GOBs, but it depends on how a community supports its schools. Trustee Richardson asked if Prop 39 put a tax cap on districts with outstanding bonds. Ms. Sato said it lowered the voter threshold to pass GOBs to 55%. For every Prop 39 bond measure, a unified school district needs to project that the tax rate will not exceed \$60/\$100K in assessed value, per Bond authorization. Ms. Sato said past bond measures factor into this and confirmed SSFUSD is under its bonding capacity. President Baker asked how much the current Measure J assessment per \$100K is. Assistant Superintendent Ted O said he will look into this. Ms. Sato confirmed that the current tax rate is in the low forty dollars per \$100K for all outstanding SSFUSD Bond measures.

COMMUNICATIONS *(continued)*

Mike Harris stated that former Trustee Phil Weise told him the Measure J assessment, in 2003, was \$14.5/\$1,000 (sic). President Baker said Measure J passed in 2010, so this would be different.

Tom Carney, a SSF resident, said the project would be located two blocks from a fault and this could result in lots of shaking. The weather, in that area, is detrimental. He

said Martin ES has not been modernized. The District needs to fix what they have first and kids come first. As the SSF population increases, there may be a future need for the Wexford site. He asked, as a taxpayer, for an update on the USS Cal litigation.

President Baker polled the Board members on the direction for the project. All Trustees agreed to move to the next phase. Trustee Flores said they need to get more information and suggested a possible study session. Trustee Richardson said the Board is not hearing the numbers and costs can balloon. She stated that the District is in the business of education, not real estate, and she is concerned with workforce housing the District may not be able to subsidize. President Baker said the consensus is to move forward with more details to come. He is reluctant to ask for another bond and prefers COPs with funds for the project being kept separate from funds for educating children.

2. HUMAN RESOURCES

a. Peninsula Conflict Resolution Center (PCRC)/Communities in Schools (CIS)

Michelle Vilchez, Executive Director for PCRC, and Gary Chapman, Vice President at CIS, presented information on a CIS model which provides student support enabling them to remain in school.

PCRC Mission

“PCRC partners with individuals, groups and institutions to empower people, build relationships and reduce violence through collaborative and innovative processes.”

PCRC has served San Mateo County for over 30 years. The organization has grown from a community-based mediation model to include other services that compliment and build on mediation fundamentals.

Core Services are facilitation, mediation, training, conflict coaching, and restorative practices.

CIS Licensed Partner

- CIS will certify PCRC to deploy the CIS model in identified schools.
- CIS model implemented through PCRC staff.
- PCRC will have a CIS advisory council and 3-year initial commitment.
- Benefits include building on existing PCRC reputation and infrastructure to deliver impact.

Site Coordinators In Schools

Experienced professionals are trained by CIS and placed in schools,

becoming an integral part of students' lives. They coordinate services in the school to connect students and families with resources they need most. Students get one-on-one attention to ensure they stay in school. These coordinators are trained professionals who work with school administrators to assess needs, develop a plan and build a team to provide supports to schools and students. School-based coordinators remove barriers for vulnerable students by leveraging evidence, relationships and local resources to drive results.

CIS Mission

"We surround students with a community of support empowering them to stay in school and achieve in life."

Theory of Change

- Develop relationships with caring adults and others
- Develop social, emotional, and academic competencies
- Improve attendance, behavior, and coursework
- Reduce dropout rates
- Increase graduation rates
- Increase college/career readiness and civic engagement

Nationwide demographics

Race/ethnicity: 46% Latino or Hispanic, 33% Black, 15% White, 5% Other

Gender: 51% female, 49% male

Free/Reduced lunch: 93% eligible

2017-18 Outcomes - 99% of CIS case managed kids remained in school, 93% of K-11 students promoted to next level, 91% graduated, 77% of graduates planned a post-secondary education, 78% met attendance goal, 88% met academics improvement goals, and 90% met their behavior goals.

After three years of implementation, CIS elementary schools experienced an improvement in the average daily attendance rate and an improvement in standardized test scores for ELA. After three years of implementation, CIS high schools experienced an improvement in their 4-year cohort graduation rate, a decrease in their annual dropout rate, and an improvement in standardized ELA test scores.

Elements of School Campus Support

PCRC and CIS will focus on strengthening student outcomes.

- Assessing school needs and creating a strategic plan helps schools lay a foundation for developing an action plan, framework, and team mobilized around student success
- Using data to identify and track non-Academic student needs prepares educators to monitor and promote student outcomes

beyond the classroom.

- Forming and managing effective community partnerships imparts the groundwork for activating or deepening a school's strategic partnerships.
- Implementing integrated student supports for the Individual conveys how and when to provide students with a range of increasingly intensive support.

Mr. Chapman said CIS currently works with about 400 school districts. In SSFUSD, their work would be focused in three to four Title 1 schools.

Trustee Flores said this is a tremendous resource and one he supports. It provides a tangible solution, is evidence-based, and makes sense. He can foresee the effectiveness and success through CIS's partnership with PCRC.

Trustee Lujan said CIS offers individualized wrap-around support for students, is student-centric, and the program has her full support.

Trustee Richardson stated that she is encouraged to see the increased number of graduates and CIS is doing a great job.

Vice President Murray asked how CIS would integrate with the work the District is already doing. Dr. Moore said the Board gave their support to expand mental health services for students. Existing partnership provide some of the services. The District does not have anything, to date, which is as comprehensive in terms of wrap around services for all. This would be an amazing asset for the District. Of course there are competing priorities and some overlap with existing services. The District is looking at costs and how to make this work. Perhaps they would start on a smaller scale with targeted schools based on current data. Overall, the program fits in well with what the District is currently doing.

President Baker said he is glad to see CIS working with PCRC. Many District students need to have someone who cares. In concept, he wants to go forward with the program, but wants to see the funding aspect.

The Board reached consensus to move forward with this program.

The Board agreed to move the following item ahead in the agenda as audience members wanted to comment on it.

INFORMATION/DISCUSSION

1. HUMAN RESOURCES

b. Intra-District Transfer Appeals Process

Assistant Superintendent Spaulding reviewed Board Policy 5116.1 and Administrative Regulation 5116.1 concerning the Open Enrollment/intra-district transfer appeals process, which is handled by the Student Services Department. This year there were 615 intra-district transfer requests received and 362 were approved. The department checks available space at schools as some are at capacity. They consider each specific case. The District conducts a non-biased, random drawing from among all the applications that are received. Some are offered enrollment and others are placed on a waiting list. 250 were denied and 56 were appealed, with five being granted. Requests mainly occur when students are being promoted from kindergarten to first grade, fifth to sixth grade, and eighth grade to high school. The appeal, which must be filed within 10 calendar days after the request for transfer was denied, is based upon an error in the application of the Board policy. The parent or guardian receives a letter with three possible responses: appeal is approved, additional information or documentation is needed, or the appeal has been dismissed (which includes the reasons why). The letter also states that denied appeals are the final determination for the school year of application. Another transfer may be filled in the next open enrollment period of the following school year.

Trustee Richardson questioned when a parent appeals, if they are offered an alternative school. Dr. Spaulding replied they have three choices and some parents select only one school. Trustee Richardson asked if there is not enough room because sites are at capacity. Dr. Spaulding said there are often no classrooms available to open another class at the elementary levels. At middle and high schools, they typically have space. However, they try to keep sites balanced since approving all transfer requests for four to five years would result in some schools without any students.

Vice President Murray asked about the number of inter-district transfers. Dr. Spaulding replied there were 69 requests and 38 were approved, most for siblings or employees.

Trustee Flores asked which schools are most requested. Dr. Spaulding said they are Monte Verde ES, Buri Buri ES, Skyline ES, Alta Loma MS, Westborough MS, and ECHS. Trustee Flores questioned who conducts the random drawing. Mr. Spaulding replied it is handled by someone other than Student Services employees.

COMMUNICATIONS *(continued)*

Kim Carney, a SSF parent from the Martin ES area, said she and her husband went through the intra-district transfer request process and found there was no transparency. She inquired who was on the administrative panel. Their appeal was dismissed, due to capacity at the requested site, and now child care arrangements are needed. With the Martin ES R.E.A.L. program, students cannot be released early. Mrs. Carney said it is too late for them to select a second choice of school. The Board needs to make the process clearer. There is little information on the District website and no communication on the waitlist for Buri Buri ES.

Tom Carney said over 300 families are not getting what they want and the District wants to be building contractors.

Vice President Murray noted that the Board is scheduled to review BP 5116.1 in August. She said the information is clearer on other districts' websites. It is her personal opinion that there needs to be more flexibility in the policy. Trustee Richardson agreed.

Trustee Flores said it is relevant to re-look at the policy and the District website. He is concerned with a language issue or if a family does not have a computer.

Trustee Lujan noted that the transfer process is stressful and families need to make huge decisions. The website information can be clearer and can also indicate which schools are impacted. Parents need this information to make choices. She wants the waitlist standing to be provided to parents. This may not fix the situation, but it will be transparent.

President Baker agreed that the forms should be made clearer and provide transparency in the appeals process, such as including information on who decides.

COMMUNICATIONS *(continued)*

Cindy Alger, a SSF resident, said that since the Carneys did not have clear information, the District should give them a second choice. President Baker said the Board would regard this as a general suggestion as they were not considering a specific case at this meeting.

L. CONSENT AGENDA:

Trustee Richardson requested Item 1c, be pulled for discussion. Trustee Flores asked for Item 2e to also be pulled for discussion.

MOTION #302 (Lujan/Richardson) to approve Item 1a, Minutes to the Special Board meeting, June 20, 2019; Item 1b, Minutes to the Regular Board meeting, June 27, 2019; ~~Item 1c, Superintendent and Board members participating in the CSBA Annual Conference in San Diego, CA;~~ Item 1d, CDE childcare contract

CSPP-8542 amendment #3; Item 1e, CDE childcare contract CCTR-9247 amendment #1; Item 1f, CDE childcare contract CSPP-9539 amendment #1; Item 2a, 360 Degree Therapy staffing agreement for 2019-20; Item 2b, Staff Rehab staff agreement for 2019-20; Item 2c, SMCOE agreement for professional English Learner service for 2019-20; Item 2d, SMCOE agreement for Monte Verde ES community garden in 2019-20; ~~Item 2e, a one-time exception to BP/AR 1330~~; 3a, Certificated Personnel Assignment Order; Item 3b, Classified Personnel Assignment Order; Item 4a, Purchase Order Listing, June 1 - 30, 2019; Item 4b, Warrant Register, June 1 - 30, 2019; Item 4c, Cash Receipts, June 1 - 30, 2019; Item 4d, Gifts to the District, June 2019; Item 4e; Declaration of surplus items; Item 4f, Professional service agreements under \$25,000. Motion Carried (Unanimous)

Regarding Item 1c, Trustee Richardson asked which Board members would be attending the CSBA conference. Trustee Lujan stated she could not be away from work during that time and would not be attending this year. Trustee Richardson inquired about a student Trustee participating in the conference. President Baker said they would not be attending this year as the conference is being held in Southern California, but might next year when it takes place in San Francisco.

Trustee Flores asked about guest speakers with Item 2e. Assistant Superintendent Keith Irish reported that the District was contacted a day before the agenda items were due. He said Roche, the parent company of Genentech, wants to hold a two-day meeting of their Board of Directors in SSF. Having part of the meeting in the SSFHS Science Garage would allow Roche to see their generosity and would highlight the Genentech-District partnership success. BioTech teachers and students would be invited to attend. Trustee Flores asked if Cabinet or Board members would also be included. Mr. Irish replied that they would, along with local dignitaries. President Baker stated that if any student(s) were present, no alcohol would be allowed. Mr. Irish said the teachers and students would make a presentation prior to dinner. President Baker said he was in favor of the exception so long as students are not present (while alcohol is being served).

MOTION #303 (Flores/Lujan) to approve Item 1c, Superintendent and Board members participating in the CSBA Annual Conference in San Diego, CA; Item 2e, a one-time exception to BP/AR 1330. Motion Carried (Unanimous)

INFORMATION/DISCUSSION *(continued)*

1. HUMAN RESOURCES

a. Williams Uniform Complaints for Second Quarter Ending 6/30/19

Dr. Spaulding presented the Quarterly Report on Williams Uniform Complaints for the quarter ending 6/30/18, per Education Code Section 35186. This form deals with complaints regarding textbooks, facilities, and teacher misassignment. He said there were no complaints filed in the District for this quarter.

ACTION

1. ADMINISTRATION

a. Resolution No. 19-49 for Trustee Compensation

MOTION #304 (Murray/Flores) to adopt Resolution #19-49: To allow stipend payment for Trustee Lujan who was absent from the June 27, 2019 Board meeting. (AYES: Baker, Flores, Murray, Richardson; NOES: None). Motion Carried (Unanimous)

b. Approval of 2019-20 SSFUSD Governance Calendar

MOTION #305 (Lujan/Murray) to approve the SSFUSD 2019-20 Governance Calendar, which highlights when certain items come before the Board, categorized by department and month. Motion Carried. (Unanimous)

2. EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

a. Approval of Beacon Therapeutic Agreement

Trustee Richardson asked if this was the first year the District would contract with Beacon. President Baker replied it was not.

MOTION #306 (Murray/Lujan) to approve the 2019-20 Beacon Therapeutic agreement, to provide required school-based mental health services at middle school and elementary levels, aligned to individual student IEPs. Motion Carried. (Unanimous)

b. Approval of Authorized Signatures

Trustee Richardson asked if the current authorization had expired. President Baker said it needed to be updated due to changes in personnel in the District.

MOTION #307 (Richardson/Lujan) to approve the updated authorization signatures for the Department of Rehabilitation contracts. Motion Carried. (Unanimous)

3. BUSINESS SERVICES

a. Approval of Award of Contract for the SSFHS Portables Demolition Project

Trustee Richardson questioned whether there were issues with lead or

asbestos in the older portables and if specialized demolition was needed. Consultant Bill Savidge replied that a hazardous materials survey was performed, the cost is included in the contract, and abatement would be done before demotion of the portables.

MOTION #308 (Lujan/Flores) to approve the award of contract to the lowest bidder, Demolition Services & Grading, Inc., in the amount of \$124,510, for the demolition of SSFHS portables. Motion Carried. (Unanimous)

REVIEW OF FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS AND MEETINGS

Vice President Murray requested another CA Dashboard presentation comparing data between last year and this year. Dr. Moore said it would be done once the results are available. Mr. Irish stated the data comes out in December. Trustee Murray asked for the presentation in January.

President Baker asked about alternate sites for the SSFHS baseball field. Mr. Savidge reported that both the SSFHS softball and baseball fields have been DSA approved. A pre-bid walk will take place next week and the lowest bid will be brought to the next Board meeting for approval of award. There will be a brief presentation on the need for an alternate baseball field site due to the late start to the project.

Trustee Flores asked if the Board or Cabinet had ever addressed the issue of immigration and raids. Dr. Moore said the District has conducted internal training for families, invited attorneys to speak directly with families, and provided the schools with cards for students letting them know what to say or not say and what to do or not do if approached by any official concerning immigration status. A resolution of support was also approved by the Board. Trustee Flores requested this issue be brought back. Vice President Murray inquired about another resolution to be symbolic on where the District stands. She and Trustee Flores will brainstorm on ideas.

August 15, 2019

- Summer School report
- Approval for Superintendent to participate in ACSA Leadership summit
- Approval of School Loop renewal agreement
- Discussion on the implementation of an Ad Hoc Safety Committee
- Update on construction/modernization/fields projects
- Discussion of BP 5116.1 regarding intra-district transfers
- Discussion on alternative sites for SSFHS baseball field
- Approval of YSB Alternative to Suspension MOU

September 12, 2019 - Televised

- Teaching and learning presentation - Spruce ES
- Introduction of Student Trustee/ASB High School Presidents
- Discussion of October 24 Community Forum topic(s)

- Presentation and approval of year end unaudited actuals
- Public hearing and resolution for confirmation of sufficient student textbooks/instructional materials
- Resolution for Attendance Awareness Month
- Resolution Honoring Hispanic Heritage Month
- Resolution on GANN limit

September 26, 2019

- Teaching and learning presentation - Buri Buri ES
- Update on Safe School Plans
- Resolution for California Week of the School Administrator
- Resolution honoring Filipino American History Month

October 10, 2019

- Teaching and learning presentation – Junipero Serra ES
- Teaching and learning presentation - Skyline ES
- Williams report for 3rd quarter ending 9/30/19

October 24, 2019 - Community Forum

- Topic(s) TBD

October 26, 2019 – Board and Superintendent workshop - (Board room)

November 14, 2019

- Teaching and learning presentation – Martin ES
- Presentation on Middle College at Skyline Middle
- Presentation on Suspensions and expulsions
- Resolution honoring American Indian Heritage Month

December 12, 2019 - Televised

- Board of Trustees reorganization
- Resolution honoring outgoing Board President
- Teaching and learning presentation – Westborough MS
- Presentation regarding English Learners
- Presentation and approval of First interim budget report “positive certification”
- CSBA Delegate Assembly nomination
- Selection of Trustees to District sub-committees/committees (Board Policies and Bylaws, City sub-committee and County Committee on School District Organization)

SUMMARY OF BOARD DIRECTIVES

1. Include another Dashboard presentation (this year vs. last year) in January Board meeting.
2. Staff to bring back District symbolic support regarding immigration issue.

GOOD AND WELFARE

Vice President Murray reported that she went to the Celebration of Life for Drew Wilmes, which was very well attended. She participated in the Big Lift Closing Ceremony at Los Cerritos ES along with Superintendent Moore and Mayor Karyl Matsumoto. She praised the Big Lift program which prevents the student's summer slide. Trustee Murray also attended the summer school graduation.

Trustee Richardson said she toured District properties, including the Foxridge site, to get a feel for the area. She attended a City Council meeting where certificates of achievement were presented to members of the Youth Advisory Council and municipal codes to guard open spaces was discussed.

Trustee Lujan shared that she also attended the Big Lift ceremony at Los Cerritos ES and said it was awesome to see the kids and staff. As the next Board meeting will be held after school begins, she wished everyone good luck in the new school year.

Trustee Flores said he attended the Big Lift ceremonies at both Los Cerritos ES and Spruce ES. He praised the remarkable organizations which support the program. He participated in the summer school graduation and congratulated all the students. Trustee Flores acknowledged Wells Fargo Bank and the SSF Rotary for their gifts to the District.

President Baker said he also attended Mr. Wilmes' celebration. In addition to participating in the Spruce ES Big Lift ceremony, he visited another Big Lift ceremony at John F. Kennedy ES in Daly City. He also congratulated the summer school graduates.

ADJOURNMENT – 9:20 p.m.