

Evaluation Sheet - Proposal No.1 - Entirety															
Criteria Number		Category Value	ARTHURS BUILDERS GROUP (ABG)	ATLAS NATIONAL	BALFOUR BEATTY	BYC	BWC	CHRISTMAN	FRANSEN PITMAN	JOESTA	KNIGHT RESTORATION	LEE LEWIS	MCCOWN GORDON	MDI	TALLY RIGGINS
Criteria (From Tx Gov Code Section 2269.055)															
1) Experience and Reputation															
TOTAL	30	22.33	17	16	18	28	23	23.33	18.5	11	16.58	21.08	17.58	13.33	
References' Responses	10	3.33	10	6	0	10	3	3.33	6.5	6	6.58	5.08	6	3.33	
Score from factors below	20	19	7	10	18	18	20	20	12	5	10	16	12	10	
Experience with ground up construction		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	/	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (Not much k-12)	
Experience with renovation projects		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	/	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Number of Staff		35	12	2392	106	55	500+ across US	160	16	142	250	602 Total (45 DFW)	18	86	
Office Location (Is Local)		Local (Prosper)		Local (Dallas)	Local (White Settlement)	Waco main office - Just opened a DFW office	Irving	Local (Southlake)	Local (Grand Prairie)	Local (Addison)	Local (Dallas)	Yes (Plano)	Local (Coppell)	Local (Richardson)	
Years of Experience		5 (But know Kiwi from Pogue)	12	88	45	26	131	31	4	2	49	26	61	7	
Years in K-12 Public		5		49	/	26	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Quantity of K-12 Partners (# of Districts)		9		5	15	9	20+ but not local	15 but no local	1	0	26+	20+	6	1	
Worked in Lewisville ISD		No		Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	
Project Cost		\$250k-\$52mil	3mil - 52mil		\$89mil	\$1mil-\$41mil	\$3.1mil-\$225mil	-\$53 mil	\$10 mil	\$370K- \$6.5 Mil	\$109 mil	\$64 mil		\$469K-\$10mil (k-12)	
Record of past litigation		No		Yes	none	None pending - Previous matters all handled		No	None	None exceeding \$500K	none	No	No	Yes (1 Mediation)	
Worked with District Architect Partners		Huckabee/VLK	VLK	Huckabee/Stantec/VLK	VLK	Huckabee/GP/VLK		Huckabee Stantec	/	No	Huckabee/Stantec/VLK	Huckabee/Stantec/LVT	VLK/Stantec/GP	GP	
Delivery Method		CMAR		CMAR	CMAR	CMAR		CMaR	CSP(Only)	CMaR	CMAR	CMaR	CMAR and CSP	/	
2) Quality of Goods and Services															
TOTAL	20	20	9	20	20	20	20	20	12	10	20	20	20	20	
Proposed approach and strategy	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	
Construction Management Plan	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	5	
Quality Control	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	
Cost Estimating	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	5	
3) Safety Record															
TOTAL	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	
>1=2, 1=3, 0.5-0.99=4, 0.1 to 0.49=5		0.96	0.98	0.39	0.77	0.89	0.86	0.62	1	0.77	0.93	0.47	0.7	0.83	
4) Proposed Personnel															
TOTAL	20	20	10	17	20	20	20	20	18	10	19	17	16	13	
Experience and reputation of proposed key personnel	10	10	8	7	10	10	10	10	9	8	9	7	8	8	
Relevant experience with similar construction	10	10	2	10	10	10	10	10	9	2	10	10	8	5	
5) Financial Capability															
TOTAL	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Bonding Capacity		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
6) Other Relevant Factors															
TOTAL	15	15	5	2	8	10	7	10	8	8	7	8	7	9	
RFQ Response Quality	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	2	1	2	
Previous history of work within the District of the firm or any key team members (5 is baseline w/no District experience, below 5 if negative experience, above 5 if positive experience)	10	10	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	5	5	
Accepts the standard form of agreement in attachments (Line 22)	3	3		0	1	3	0	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	
9) General Comments															
Remarks															
Proposal No.1	100	91	55	70	80	92	84	87	70	53	77	81	75	69	
Shortlisted for interview															

evaluated by: _____ Date: _____

evaluated by: _____ Date: _____

evaluated by: _____ Date: _____

evaluated by: _____ Date: _____