



Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2025 - 2026 School Report



Bulloch County
Julia P. Bryant Elementary School

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member’s name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Principal	Stephanie Compton
Team Member # 2	Assistant Principal	Noel Nafziger
Team Member # 3	Assistant Principal	Derek Noel
Team Member # 4	Teacher	Jess Greenman
Team Member # 5	Teacher	Zharia Williams
Team Member # 6	Teacher	LaDonna Dixon
Team Member # 7	Teacher	Daisy Lewis

Additional Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	MTSS Teacher	Angela Selph
Team Member # 2	MTSS Teacher	Melissa Bazemore
Team Member # 3	Teacher	Aubrey Padilla
Team Member # 4	Teacher	Jaclyn Brenden
Team Member # 5	Special Education Teacher/Coordinator	Amanda Prather
Team Member # 6	Teacher	Ashley Miller
Team Member # 7	Teacher	Rachel Whitworth
Team Member # 8	Teacher	Kemberly Dennard
Team Member # 9	Teacher	Katie Sconyers
Team Member # 10	Teacher	Madison Terry

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

	Position/Role	Name
Stakeholder # 1	3-5 Counselor	Audrey Gray
Stakeholder # 2	K-2 Counselor	Carrie Feltman
Stakeholder # 3	Curriculum Specialist	Katie Johnson
Stakeholder # 4	District Staff	Sandra Adams
Stakeholder # 5	District Staff	Millie Boykin
Stakeholder # 6		
Stakeholder # 7		
Stakeholder # 8		

How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process?	The team will meet three times per year to review goals and progress monitoring. Protocols such as the Five Whys to facilitate conversation and elicit meaningful conversation among all stakeholders will be utilized at meetings. Minutes from meetings and electronic copies of school improvement documents will be shared with all stakeholders via Google drive, at faculty/staff meetings, and school council meetings.
--	--

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Coherent Instruction Data

Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction		
1. Exemplary	<p>A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	

Coherent Instruction Data

Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards		
1. Exemplary	<p>Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.</p> <p>These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.</p> <p>These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.</p>	
3. Emerging	<p>Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards.</p>	✓
4. Not Evident	<p>Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.</p>	

Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning		
1. Exemplary	<p>A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.</p> <p>Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.</p>	

Coherent Instruction Data

Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
2. Operational	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels.	
2. Operational	Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.	
3. Emerging	Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	✓
4. Not Evident	Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	

Coherent Instruction Data

Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	
2. Operational	Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students		
1. Exemplary	<p>Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> <p>Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p>	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	

Coherent Instruction Data

Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning		
1. Exemplary	The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	
2. Operational	Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	✓
3. Emerging	Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.	
2. Operational	Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.	
3. Emerging	Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.	✓
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable.	

Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
2. Operational	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
3. Emerging	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

Coherent Instruction Data

Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made.	
2. Operational	Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.	✓
3. Emerging	Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.	

Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.	
2. Operational	Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	✓
3. Emerging	Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	

Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices		
1. Exemplary	Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.	
2. Operational	Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.	✓
3. Emerging	Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	
4. Not Evident	Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	

Coherent Instruction Data

Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction		
1. Exemplary	Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both.	
2. Operational	Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	✓
3. Emerging	Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	
4. Not Evident	A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	

Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards		
1. Exemplary	The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
2. Operational	The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	✓
3. Emerging	The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
4. Not Evident	The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff		
1. Exemplary	Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders.	
2. Operational	Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	✓
3. Emerging	Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	

Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning		
1. Exemplary	Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision.	
2. Operational	Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.	✓
3. Emerging	Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.	

Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices		
1. Exemplary	<p>The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.</p> <p>The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.</p>	
2. Operational	The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	✓
3. Emerging	The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	
4. Not Evident	The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	

Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement		
1. Exemplary	Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	
2. Operational	Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	✓
3. Emerging	Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.	

Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
1. Exemplary	<p>Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.</p> <p>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.</p>	
2. Operational	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	✓
3. Emerging	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 6 -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning		
1. Exemplary	<p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources		
1. Exemplary	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	

Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff		
1. Exemplary	A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff.	
2. Operational	Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs.	✓
3. Emerging	Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.	
4. Not Evident	Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs.	

Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process		
1. Exemplary	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.</p>	

Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance		
1. Exemplary	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed		
1. Exemplary	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.</p>	

Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement		
1. Exemplary	<p>The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.</p> <p>School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness		
1. Exemplary	<p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.</p> <p>In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment		
1. Exemplary	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.</p>	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Professional Capacity Data

Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
1. Exemplary	Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.	
2. Operational	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	✓
3. Emerging	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data		
1. Exemplary	Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning.	
2. Operational	Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).	✓
3. Emerging	Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.	
4. Not Evident	Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance		
1. Exemplary	Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes.	
2. Operational	Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).	✓
3. Emerging	Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	

Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning		
1. Exemplary	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses.	
2. Operational	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	✓
3. Emerging	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff		
1. Exemplary	<p>Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).</p> <p>Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.</p> <p>Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.</p>	
3. Emerging	Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.	✓
4. Not Evident	Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.	

Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning		
1. Exemplary	<p>Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.</p> <p>Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning.</p>	
2. Operational	Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.	✓
3. Emerging	Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning		
1. Exemplary	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning.	
2. Operational	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.	✓
3. Emerging	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.	
4. Not Evident	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school		
1. Exemplary	The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers.	✓
2. Operational	The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	
3. Emerging	The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	
4. Not Evident	The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders		
1. Exemplary	Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication.	
2. Operational	Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.	✓
3. Emerging	Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	

Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students		
1. Exemplary	<p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p>	
2. Operational	Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.	✓
3. Emerging	Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.	
4. Not Evident	Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.	

Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families		
1. Exemplary	<p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	
2. Operational	<p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement		
1. Exemplary	<p>The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.</p>	
3. Emerging	<p>The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.</p>	✓
4. Not Evident	<p>The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.</p>	

Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students		
1. Exemplary	The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	✓
2. Operational	The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	
3. Emerging	The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	
4. Not Evident	The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Supportive Learning Environment Data

Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning		
1. Exemplary	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
2. Operational	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	✓
3. Emerging	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
4. Not Evident	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
2. Operational	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
2. Operational	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
3. Emerging	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment		
1. Exemplary	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed.	
2. Operational	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.	✓
3. Emerging	Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.	
4. Not Evident	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community		
1. Exemplary	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p>	

School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students		
1. Exemplary	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p>	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students		
1. Exemplary	The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students.	
2. Operational	The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.	✓
3. Emerging	The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.	
4. Not Evident	The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.	

School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff		
1. Exemplary	The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.	
2. Operational	The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.	✓
3. Emerging	The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff.	
4. Not Evident	The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process		
1. Exemplary	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	
4. Not Evident	<p>A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.</p>	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

<p>What perception data did you use? [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]</p>	<p>Parent Input Survey Results; Staff Input Survey Data</p>
<p>What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")</p>	<p>Parent input data indicate parent satisfaction with high quality faculty/staff and administration who go above and beyond to meet students' needs. Parents feel JPB has a positive and friendly atmosphere that is welcoming, nurturing, and accepting of diversity. Parents feel that communication is regular, timely, and helpful. However, some indicate they would like to see more consistency from teacher to teacher as well as grade level to grade level in regards to daily communication. They appreciate the weekly principal newsletters and social media updates from our school and our PTO. Staff input data indicate a strong learning culture and feels the school is taking the necessary actions to achieve its mission and vision. Data from staff indicate a need to create consistent structures and protocols that will enhance the learning environment for students and staff.</p>
<p>What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)</p>	<p>Discipline Reports from Infinite Campus</p>

<p>What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question “What did you do for whom?”)</p>	<p>Discipline data for 2024-2025 increased to 381 total referrals for the school year which is up from 2023-2024 where there were 314 office referrals. This number is still lower than 2022-2023 discipline data of 498 total office referrals. As part of of MTSS behavior process, we utilized SEL supports from an SEL specialist to address students who were identified by our MTSS team as needing more intensive behavior support.</p> <p>The number of out of school suspensions slightly increased to 34 during the 2024-2025 school year. This is up from 33 during the 2023-2024 school year and 31 the previous year. This is still lower than our 2021-2022 baseline data of 52 out of school suspensions.</p>
---	--

<p>What achievement data did you use?</p>	<p>GMAS Scores i-Ready Diagnostic Data</p>
---	--

<p>What does your achievement data tell you?</p>	<p>GMAS: Reading: 2045-2025 preliminary data show a decrease in the number of students scoring at the proficiency or distinguished levels (42.5% from 45.5%). This is a slight decrease of 3% but still higher than 32.1% from 2022-2023. Despite the decrease in proficiency or distinguished levels, there was no change to the percentage of 3rd-5th grade students who have a reading status of at or above grade level. This number is predicted to stay at 69%. Grade level data show a significant dip in 3rd grade %proficient and distinguished (30.3% Spring 25 vs 47.7% Spring 24). An increase in %proficient and distinguished levels for our 4th (50.5% Spring 25 from 44.5% Spring 26) and 5th (47.3% Spring 25 vs. 44.4% Spring 24). grade students was shown. Subgroup data indicates continued improvement is needed for our students with disabilities where 10% of students scored proficient and distinguished compared to 43% of students who are not identified with a disability. Students identified in the subgroup of economically disadvantaged are predicted to have the same %proficient and distinguished levels (43%) as our students not identified in this category. There are large achievement discrepancies noticed in our subgroups of black and hispanic compared to our white subgroup. Black and hispanic subgroups show 19% of students scored in %proficient or distinguished compared to 70% of students in the white subgroup. We have less than 15 students in our EL subgroup so our committee did not focus on this area. The biggest area of need is addressing the discrepancies of our black and hispanic subgroups.</p> <p>Math: 2045-2025 preliminary data show a slight decrease in the number of students scoring at the proficiency or distinguished levels (46.7% from 48%). This is a slight decrease of 1.3% but is still a higher percentage than 2022-2023 data which was a 42.8%. Grade level data show a significant dip in 3rd grade %proficient and distinguished (38.8% Spring 25 vs 55.1% Spring 24). There was a .1% decrease in 4th grade scores which is not significant and shows 2025 data to be similar to 2024. 5th grade showed a significant increase in %proficient</p>
--	--

	<p>and distinguished levels increasing to 47.7% in Spring 25 from 34.3% in Spring 24. Subgroup data indicates continued improvement is needed for our students with disabilities where 15% of students scored proficient and distinguished compared to 45% of students who are not identified with a disability. Students identified in the subgroup of economically disadvantaged are predicted to have a lower %proficient and distinguished levels compared to all students (40% vs. 45%). There are large achievement discrepancies noticed in our black subgroup compared to our white and hispanic subgroups. Our Black subgroup show 21% of students scored in %proficient or distinguished compared to 45% of students in the Hispanic subgroup and 64% in the White subgroup. We have less than 15 students in our EL subgroup so our committee did not focus on this area. The biggest area of need is addressing the discrepancies of our black as well as our hispanic subgroup as these indicate a learning gap when compared to the Whit.</p> <p>iReady:</p> <p>Reading: 2025 Spring diagnostic results indicate no change when compared to 2024 Spring diagnostic results where 64% of students scored at or above grade level. The following indicates the strongest and weakest domains for each grade level based on Spring 2025 results.</p> <p>K- Highest: Informational Comprehension Lowest: High Frequency Words</p> <p>1- Highest: High Frequency Words Lowest: Vocabulary</p> <p>2- Highest: Phonemic Awareness Lowest: Vocabulary</p> <p>3- Highest: High Frequency Words Lowest: Comprehension</p> <p>4- Highest: High Frequency Words Lowest: Vocabulary</p> <p>5- Highest: High Frequency Words Lowest: Vocabulary</p> <p>Math:2025 Spring diagnostic results a 1% increase in overall proficiency which increased to 55% from 54%. The following indicates the strongest and weakest domains for each grade level based on Spring 2025 results.</p> <p>K - Highest: Algebra (46%) Lowest: Geometry (38%)</p> <p>1 - Highest: Numbers and Operations (54%) Lowest: Measurement (46%)</p> <p>2- Highest: Geometry (27%) Lowest: Algebra (46%)</p> <p>3 - Highest: Algebra and Measurement (50%) Lowest: Geometry (43%)</p> <p>4 - Highest: Geometry (35%) Lowest: Numbers and Operations (17%)</p> <p>5- Highest: Numbers and Operations (37%) Lowest: Algebra (53%)</p>
--	--

What demographic data did you use?	GOSA website data; Infinite Campus demographic data
------------------------------------	---

<p>What does the demographic data tell you?</p>	<p>This is the third year in a row our school has seen a decrease in student enrollment compared to baseline data from the 2021-2022 school year. FY25: 676 students FY24: 682 students FY23: 698 students FY22: 716 students Student demographic data shows a slight decrease in the student population excluding white, non-hispanics (23-24: 59.09%, 22-23: 57.52%, and 21-22: 54.08%) The % of students receiving Free/Reduced lunch has increased. Poverty level of student population is showing an increase compared to previous years.</p>
---	---

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<p>Coherent Instructional: Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Teachers have a good understanding of standards and curriculum ● Teachers collaborate weekly in PLCs to plan effective instruction ● Teachers have access to appropriate resources and technology ● Students are provided with a supportive, well-managed environment that is conducive to learning <p>Challenges:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Differentiation ● Responding to needs of our diverse student population, including an increase in SEL needs ● New ELA curriculum standards implemented in the 25-26 school year
<p>Effective Leadership: Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p>Systems and processes are in place to consistently monitor the SIP, the implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices and to support a shared vision and mission. Leadership capacity is built among faculty/staff and data is analyzed and utilized when making decisions so as to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p>
<p>Professional Capacity: Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p>Leadership capacity is developed through shared decision-making and problem-solving. A culture of collaboration is encouraged and supported. Resources are allocated to support professional learning and school identified needs. More variety of data could be used to identify professional learning needs.</p>

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<p>Family and Community Engagement: Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p>There is clear, open communication between the school and stakeholders in regards to academic expectations and current achievement status. Community resources are utilized effectively to address student needs. Teachers develop and use a variety of way to communicate with families.</p> <p>The use of a consistent communication plan between teachers and parents for all grade levels is desired by parents.</p>
---	---

<p>Supportive Learning Environment: Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p>Policies, procedures and rules are in place to provide a supportive, well-managed environment that is conducive to learning. Growth for students is supported and developed. Student and staff recognition programs are in place.</p> <p>We improved our use of our recognition programs and management to ensure we are recognizing all student and staff accomplishments. Our school wide expectations/behavior management system should to be reviewed and adjusted as needed on a regular basis.</p>
---	---

<p>Demographic and Financial: Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p>Demographics for the school are showing slight increase in minority populations . The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students has shown a slight increase.</p> <p>Financial trends show that school accounts are utilized to support teachers and classroom needs including purchasing programs and supplies indicated by needs assessments.</p>
---	---

<p>Student Achievement: Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p>Student achievement data (e.g. GMAS, GKIDS, iReady, Running Records (ELA)) are utilized to identify students who have remediation needs and students who can be enriched through small group, interventions and flexible grouping.</p>
---	---

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Strengths	Student data is used to identify students and target specific needs. Individualized student goals are established, monitored and maintained. Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS)
-----------	---

Challenges	SWD and ED students have shown some growth but they remain the two subgroups with the most academic issues. ED students show more instances of ISS and OSS than other subgroups.
------------	---

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need	Increase reading proficient among all students
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	1

Additional Considerations	A focus on implementing comprehensive literacy instruction is needed to meet the diverse needs of our students.
---------------------------	---

Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need	Improve tier 1 math instruction
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	No Change
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	2

Additional Considerations	There is a need to focus on providing a clear understanding of math curriculum standards in order to implement strong tier 1 instruction to all of our students.
---------------------------	--

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - Increase reading proficient among all students

Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistency of structures used to teach literacy across all grade levels
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged

Additional Responses	Need for a school-wide structure to facilitate researched based literacy instruction to all students
----------------------	--

Overarching Need - Improve tier 1 math instruction

Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	Teachers need more clarity to fully understand the new math standards
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged

Additional Responses	Need for a focus on breaking down the curriculum standards to improve tier 1 math instruction
----------------------	---



School Improvement Plan 2025 - 2026



Bulloch County
Julia P. Bryant Elementary School

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

District	Bulloch County
School Name	Julia P. Bryant Elementary School
Team Lead	Stephanie M. Compton
Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply)	Traditional funding (Federal funds budgeted separately)

Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Free/Reduced meal application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (if selected, please describe below)

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	Increase reading proficient among all students
Root Cause # 1	Inconsistency of structures used to teach literacy across all grade levels
Goal	Julia P. Bryant Elementary will increase its CCRPI ELA weighted content mastery score for all students by 3% from 64.6% in 2022 to 65.7% in 2023; to 66.7% in 2024; to 67.7% in 2025; and 68.6% in 2026 by implementing comprehensive literacy instruction.

Action Step # 1

Action Step	New kindergarten, 1st, 2nd, and all of 3rd grade teachers will participate in PD for UFLI Foundations.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Checklist of teachers who attended PD
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Agendas, PD Attendance Sign-in
Position/Role Responsible	School Administrator
Timeline for Implementation	Yearly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 1

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 2

Action Step	K-5 Guided Reading teachers will participate in differentiated PD sessions in response to observations from admin, school improvement specialists, and District Literacy Team
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Checklist of teachers who attended PD
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Agenda, sign-in sheet
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 guided reading teachers, District Literacy Team, school admin, and school improvement specialist
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 2

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 3

Action Step	ELA Collaborative PLCs will create common assessment opportunities (formative and summative assessments).
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	PLC summarizer with assessment opportunities and common assessment data monitoring document Teacher Clarity Monitoring Document
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Reading Diagnostic Data OTUS Guided Reading Level Report
Position/Role Responsible	All K-5 ELA teachers, administration, and curriculum support teacher
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	Solution Tree, Inc or other organization to provide PLC professional learning through conferences/workshops setting
--	---

Action Step # 4

Action Step	ELA Collaborative PLCS will analyze summative assessment data to adjust instruction, developing remediation and enrichment plans for student groups.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Data protocol summarizer Data protocol PLC Checklist
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Reading Diagnostic Data OTUS Guided Reading Level Report
Position/Role Responsible	All K-5 teachers, administration, and curriculum support teacher
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	Solution Tree, Inc or other organization to provide PLC professional learning through conferences/workshops setting
--	---

Action Step # 5

Action Step	Administrators (Principal and APs) and School Improvement Specialist will each complete 1 guided reading observation per GR teacher each nine weeks
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant

Action Step # 5

Subgroups	Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Checklist of observations completed Completed rubrics
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Reading Diagnostic Data OTUS Guided Reading Level Report
Position/Role Responsible	School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 6

Action Step	Master schedule reflects a minimum of 60 minutes per guided reading block K-5 and 30 minutes of phonics K-2
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Master Schedule
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Reading Diagnostic Data OTUS Guided Reading Level Report

Action Step # 6

Position/Role Responsible	School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Yearly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 7

Action Step	Collaborative ELA PLCs will deconstruct standards to include tiered vocabulary and the creation of progressive learning targets with aligned success criteria
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Teacher Clarity Monitoring Document
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Reading Diagnostic Data OTUS Guided Reading Level Report
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 ELA teachers, PLC leaders, district support staff
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

Action Step # 7

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 8

Action Step	ELA Teachers will display the Learning Targets and Success Criteria
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Teacher Clarity Walkthrough Data Collection Sheet
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Reading Diagnostic Data OTUS Guided Reading Level Report
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 ELA Teachers, School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 9

Action Step	Administrators will participate in and monitor implementation of Collaborative PLCs
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Effective Leadership
Method for Monitoring Implementation	PLC Admin Monitoring Document
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Reading Diagnostic Data OTUS Guided Reading Level Report
Position/Role Responsible	School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	Improve tier 1 math instruction
Root Cause # 1	Teachers need more clarity to fully understand the new math standards
Goal	Julia P. Bryant Elementary will increase the Math GMAS weighted content mastery score by 3% annually, from 76% in 2024 to 76.7% in 2025 and 77.4% in 2026, by implementing Teacher Clarity practices that enhance student understanding of learning goals, success criteria, and instructional expectations.

Action Step # 1

Action Step	Collaborative Math PLCs will deconstruct standards to include tiered vocabulary and the creation of progressive learning targets with aligned success criteria.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Teacher Clarity Monitoring Document PLC Summarizer with Deconstructed Standards
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Math Diagnostic Data iReady Math Growth Data
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 math teachers, district support staff, school administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 2

Action Step	Math Teachers will display the Learning Targets and Success Criteria.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Teacher Clarity Walkthrough Data Collection Sheet
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Math Diagnostic Data iReady Math Growth Data
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 math teachers, school administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	INCompassing Education Brave Tomorrow Counseling Services (or other professional counselors with an MOU on file with our district)
--	---

Action Step # 3

Action Step	Math Teachers will reference in instruction the Learning Targets and Success Criteria
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities

Action Step # 3

Subgroups	Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Teacher Clarity Walkthrough Data Collection Sheet
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Math Diagnostic Data iReady Math Growth Data
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 Math Teachers and School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 4

Action Step	Collaborative Math PLCs will create common assessment opportunities (formative and summative assessments).
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Data Protocol Checklist
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Math Diagnostic Data iReady Math Growth Data
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 Math Teachers and School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

Action Step # 4

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 5

Action Step	Collaborative Math PLCs will analyze summative and formative assessment data to adjust instruction and develop remediation and enrichment plans for student groups.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Data Protocol Checklist Remediation and Enrichment Plans
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Math Diagnostic Data iReady Math Growth Data
Position/Role Responsible	K-5 Math Teachers and School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 6

Action Step	Administrators will participate in and monitor implementation of Collaborative Math PLCs.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Consolidated Funding
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant
Systems	Effective Leadership
Method for Monitoring Implementation	PLC Admin Monitoring Document
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	iReady Math Diagnostic Data iReady Math Growth Data
Position/Role Responsible	School Administrators
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

<p>1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).</p>	<p>The Leadership Team is made up of the principal, a teacher representative from each grade level, the school special education coordinator, school MTSS staff, school counselors, family engagement specialist, the media specialists, two paraprofessional representative, and the assistant principals. The Leadership Team leads the comprehensive needs assessment process for our school and the development of the SIP.</p> <p>Parents and community members are involved in the development and revision of the SIP through their participation on the Parent Engagement Team and School Council. They are also involved through their participation in various school needs surveys conducted yearly. The results of these surveys, as available, are utilized during the school improvement process. Additionally, school stakeholders have an opportunity to provide input regarding revision of the SIP each school year through the annual school-level Title I parent meeting.</p> <p>Through the utilization of school and external mental health counseling and other support services such as social workers and parent engagement specialist, we are able to focus on the whole child to ensure we are providing academic as well as social emotional support to help increase their academic achievement.</p> <p>Our school utilizes Multiple Tiers of Student Support (MTSS) measures to identify and provide early intervention for students with behavior or academic needs. Intervention supports are provided and routinely monitored to ensure student success.</p>
---	---

<p>2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.</p>	<p>The Bulloch County School System maintains a goal to provide professionally-qualified teachers for all students K-12. In support of that goal the Director of Human Resources attends job fairs within the state in order to recruit highly-qualified teachers to our district. In addition, the Bulloch County School System holds its own job fair each year. The district provides an induction program to support first-year teachers. The school provides a mentor for each new teacher. Teachers who do not meet the requirements to be termed highly-qualified are placed on remediation plans to support their efforts toward becoming professionally-qualified.</p> <p>School administrators, teachers, and counselors collaborate to create class rosters each year. Classes are balanced by ethnicity, sex, academic needs, and behavioral needs. Factors such as teacher</p>
--	---

	experience and expertise are taken into account to ensure students do not have an inexperienced teacher two years in a row, if possible.
--	--

<p>3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).</p>	<p>The 2025-2026 JPB School Improvement Plan (SIP) addresses literacy and math instruction. In order to increase the reading achievement, teachers will continue to participate in ongoing professional development related to the effective use of Jan Richardson's Guided Reading as well as UFLI phonics program. Additionally, we will focus on improving our tier 1 instruction by participating in Teacher Clarity practices. Certified and classified intervention staff will be used to lower class sizes and to provide additional reading support, including a phonics-related intervention program, to students who are the most at-risk. Math instructional needs will be addressed by having all K-5 math teachers participate in Teacher Clarity practices. This work will give teachers a strong understanding of the curriculum standards by identifying tiered vocabulary and creating progressive learning targets with aligned success criteria. Title funding will help provide personnel, training, technology, and materials/supplies in support of our school initiatives. Additionally, it will help fund partnerships with outside agencies such as outside counseling services and professional development for staff through outside organizations such as RESA, Solution Tree, and other educational organizations.</p> <p>Through the utilization of school and external mental health counseling and other support services such as social workers and parent engagement specialist, we are able to focus on the whole child to ensure we are providing academic as well as social emotional support to help increase their academic achievement.</p> <p>Our school utilizes Multiple Tiers of Student Support (MTSS) measures to identify and provide early intervention for students with behavior or academic needs. Intervention supports are provided and routinely monitored to ensure student success.</p>
--	---

<p>4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	------------

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

<p>5. If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.</p>	<p>Transition and orientation activities are provided for our prekindergarten students preparing to enter kindergarten. Pre-kindergarten students have the opportunity to visit in our kindergarten classes toward the end of the school year. Transition meetings are held for pre-kindergarten special education students at the end of each school year. These transition meetings afford parents an opportunity to meet with a special education teacher and a regular education teacher from the elementary school. A representative from the district's Pre-kindergarten Disabilities Program attends all pre-kindergarten transition meetings along with the school special education coordinator, a special education teacher, and regular education kindergarten teacher. Teachers and parents discuss how the rising kindergarteners' needs may be best met at the elementary school. Each meeting includes a discussion of how parents may best help ready their child for kindergarten over the summer months. The family engagement specialist holds informational sessions and a registration event at the local Head Start. Head Start students also visit JPB in May for a tour of the school.</p> <p>Rising kindergarten students are invited to a separate orientation event to familiarize them with the school and share important school information as well as kindergarten routines with the parents. We also implement "Kinder Camp" during the first days of school to familiarize students with our school community and the expectations of the kindergarten classroom.</p> <p>The English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) Coordinator, school counselors, the family engagement specialist, and school faculty and staff assist in meeting the educational and social needs of our preschool ELL students. School forms are translated into languages other than English, as necessary. The district provides a phone translation service to aid communication between parents and school employees.</p>
---	--

<p>6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; andIncreased student access to early college, high school, or dual or</p>	<p>N/A</p>
--	------------

<p>concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.</p>	
--	--

<p>7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.</p>	<p>Our school partnered with INCompassing Education during the past school year to strengthen our discipline and PBIS structures and practices related to behavior supports. Our school uses a school-wide behavior expectations flowchart to help communicate and acknowledge expected behaviors. Additionally, our school has a behavior intervention specialist on staff to assist our students who have been identified through the MTSS process as having behavioral needs. Alternatives to office referrals have been developed as well. The team will continue to work together to cultivate a safe and supportive learning environment.</p>
---	---

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

<p>8. Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan.</p>	
--	--