


November 27, 2014

ORIGINAL

Ms. Anne E. Campbell
San Mateo County Superintendent of Schools
101 Twin Dolphin Drive
Redwood City, CA 94065



Dear Ms. Campbell:

Pursuant to Education Code Section 35700, subdivision (a), the undersigned, constituting greater than 25% of the registered electors residing in the territory proposed to be transferred, now within the boundaries of the Ravenswood City School District, San Mateo County, petition that the boundaries of the Ravenswood City School District be changed to eliminate from it the territory hereinafter described. The undersigned persons petition that the territory be transferred to and included within the Menlo Park City School District of San Mateo County.


The property to be transferred is described as follows:

The 31 homes located on the northern side of 235-495 O'Connor Street in Menlo Park, California, 94025. See Appendix A (Parcel Maps 1-3) & Appendix B (Map 1) for the Legal Description of these properties.¹

PARCEL	LAND AREA (SQ. FT.)	PROPERTY LOCATION	OWNERS
063-441-320	14378	281 A O'Connor Street	Antonia Spencer
063-441-330	7000	277 O'Connor Street	Veladeen Russell
063-441-470	8500	313 O'Connor Street	Mauro Mazzon & Adel Gildo-Mazzon
063-441-480	10800	321 O'Connor Street	Janet & Matthew Paik
063-441-420	8190	325 O'Connor Street	Scott Stocker
063-441-430	11025	329 O'Connor Street	Fred Chung & Kim Chun
063-441-600	43560	243 O'Connor Street	Rito & Linda Ponce
063-441-610	5280	247 O'Connor Street	Christopher Fowler
063-441-620	5450	251 O'Connor Street	Jeff Newmeyer & Vicky Rundorff

¹ Parcel number 063-281-010 is recognized in some places as 495 O'Connor Street and in others as 2007 Euclid Ave. Based on the house orientation, it is most commonly recognized by the O'Connor Street address. It is one parcel with three houses, one of which is in Menlo Park.

PARCEL	LAND AREA (SQ. FT.)	PROPERTY LOCATION	OWNERS
063-441-630	5250	239 O'Connor Street	Gary & Cindy Westby
063-441-640	5140	235 O'Connor Street	Ricardo Oliva & Edith Leni
063-441-690	11761	265 O'Connor Street	Brian Setterberg
063-441-700	10822	269 O'Connor Street	Ajit Jain & Purvi Mody
063-463-380	7950	443 O'Connor Street	Bank Owned
063-463-520	7360	369 O'Connor Street	Thomas Jackson
063-463-540	9412	465 O'Connor Street	Dianne Ottyby
063-463-550	9620	451 O'Connor Street	Joseph & Eva Zirker
063-463-560	7296	417 O'Connor Street	Jessica Hipolito Leroux
063-463-570	5400	471 O'Connor Street	Lansing & Faith Scriven
063-463-580	4500	483 O'Connor Street	John & Dianna Barksdale
063-463-600	7800	459 O'Connor Street	Ollivette & Gary Smith
063-463-610	11200	423 O'Connor Street	Pranay Gupta
063-463-620	5980	341 O'Connor Street	Susan Stacy Keller & Babak Farvar
063-463-630	5980	349 O'Connor Street	Johannes & Anne Wellner De Veer
063-463-640	5790	371 O'Connor Street	Aimee Campbell
063-463-650	13330	373 O'Connor Street	Lewis Hess
063-463-660	10809	379 O'Connor Street	Kennis Hoyle
063-463-670	8537	389 O'Connor Street	He & Kangaskolkka Talvala
063-463-680	7875	433 O'Connor Street	Shouliang Ma & Li Jianming
063-463-690	7875	439 O'Connor Street	Mei & Michael Liang
063-281-010	12960	495 O'Connor Street	Joan & Gene Mowell



Source: San Mateo County Office of Education Elementary School District Map. Cropped with notes added. Available here: http://www.smcoe.k12.ca.us/Superintendent/Documents/County_Map_Elem_SD.pdf

The undersigned request the changes in the respective boundaries of the school districts for the following reasons:

As a clear part of both the O'Connor Street neighborhood and wider Willows community, the school district divide originated in a temporary incongruence in city boundaries and has resulted in lasting, arbitrary division. Rectifying this oversight will benefit the whole community through:

1. **Creating a cohesive community**
 2. **Restoring the O'Connor Street's school district lineage**
 3. **Aligning city, school district, and natural boundaries**
1. **Unifying O'Connor Street in one district will create a cohesive community.**

While our whole street is unified in the city of Menlo Park, it is through the community connections and relationships that have naturally formed from our location that our families have become inextricably woven with the community of this city we call home. As citizens, not only do we elect Menlo Park City officials - our day to day lives are centered in Menlo Park. Both proximity and logistical boundaries make it far more feasible to run errands, visit parks, or go out to eat in our Menlo Park neighborhood. We are a group of residents that are highly involved in our community and we regularly participate in informal and organized events in our Willows community. (See Map 3) Our daily shopping, play dates, dance

classes, hair cuts, coffee shop, park outings, Willows Moms and Babes activities, and friends are all in the Willows; our lives and our relationships are inextricably linked with our recognized Willows community. And beyond the Willows we are intimately involved in our larger Menlo Park community events including weekly soccer, gymnastic, swimming, library visits, summer concerts, holiday parties and parades. We support local businesses and invest in our local community.

Looking at our street itself, it is the starting point for our relationship with our Menlo Park community. Historically, school district boundaries are placed behind property lines because neighbor relationships are stronger within the street. Whether its walking our dogs or commuting to work, we can't leave our property without entering our street community. In our case, our property lines are backed by multi-tenant apartment complexes with whom we have no relationship. Our stronger relationships with neighbors on our street are not so much a choice as they are inevitable. The straightforward boundary was recognized when the city of East Palo Alto incorporated in 1983, moving the city boundary so that our street would be unified rather than divided. Unfortunately, this action was just after the school district annexation and the resulting division has undermined building relationships as a neighborhood ever since.

Dr. Joyce Epstein of the Johns Hopkins University National Network of Partnership Schools emphasizes the need for "congruence" between schools, families, and communities. When these factors work together, they produce the best educational outcomes possible. She also warns that when they are viewed separately, they lead to, "ignoring the whole child. This parceling reduces or eliminates guidance, support, and encouragement for children's learning from parents, relatives, neighbors, peers, religious leaders, and other adults" (Epstein, 2001, p. 5 <http://mltei.org/cqn/Adolescent%20Development/Resources/Parent%20Involvement%20in%20Schools/Epstein,%20SCHOOL,%20FAMILY,%20and%20Community%20Partnerships--Preparing%20Educators%20and%20Improving%20Schools.pdf>)

One of the most grievous parts of the current split down our street is the fact that students are not just apart during class time, but they cannot participate in the same school activities - creating a huge divide and likely dissolving our current relationships formed since infants attending Willows Moms and Babes 2010 group and Willows Rotating Twins Playgroup. Our hope is that the kids from both sides of our street and our entire Willows Community will be able to participate together in school activities and continue to build the relationships they have formed in our neighborhood.

A. United Parental Engagement

Being part of the same school community as an entire street means that parents have greater opportunity to partner with neighboring parents, both in planning projects and initiatives, but especially in lending a hand to help facilitate engagement by all the parents in the neighborhood. Having neighbors to collaborate with and partner with means that families aren't just able to bounce ideas off each other, but they can help with taking turns

volunteering in classrooms, ride sharing, or a babysitting rotation to ensure all of the parents can even attend meetings or get informed in the first place.

B. Consistent Scheduling

Two sets of schools means two very different school schedules. These varying school schedules mean that neighboring children are not just spending their school hours apart from their neighborhood friends, but other activities and whole family schedules are formed around two different time tables. Children can't participate in both in school and out of school activities together because the schedules are too different.

C. Simplified Transportation

With the re-opening of a public school at the O'Connor Site between grades 3-5, students on one side of the street will be able to walk together to our neighborhood school, while students from the north side of the street will have to travel directly past the school and their friends to attend a school that is further away. If the transportation was unified, not only would there be a reduction in the amount of driving during grades 3-5 when the whole neighborhood could walk to the school at the O'Connor Site, but families would have more options to car-pool or bike-pool with our neighbors to continue reducing vehicle miles traveled (VMTs). Also, when students are attending Laurel School or Hillview Middle School, they will all be able to ride-share and greatly reduce VMT for our neighborhood.

D. Student Safety

Stemming out of the simplified transportation for a unified neighborhood, the children on both sides of O'Connor Street would be safer. Students would travel on the same time table, often together, and the whole neighborhood could work together to ensure pedestrian safety rather than dividing our efforts.

2. Our homes funded and always attended the O'Connor School when it operated as a public school.

The Ravenswood City School District has a rich history and at one point, it included not just the Willows, but most of the city of Menlo Park. When the Willows neighborhood was developed enough to need a new elementary school, we built the O'Connor School and together, our neighborhood attended that school until it was closed in 1974. When the Willows neighborhood was annexed from RCSD to MPCSD in 1983, the Menlo Park city boundary ran down the middle of O'Connor Street, so the annexation followed the out-of-date boundary dating back to the Runnymede Farm days. When this area was developed as residential housing, both sides of O'Connor Street were clearly part of the Willows neighborhood, and this was recognized when East Palo Alto incorporated in mid-1983 and recognized the changed boundary that occurred with residential development. From then on, O'Connor Street has been unified as part of the city of Menlo Park, but the school district boundary has never been rectified to reflect the neighborhood lineage of our neighborhood attending school together, particularly the O'Connor school that our homes funded from its inception.

3. It will align city, school district, and natural boundaries.

When The Willows and O'Connor School were annexed from RCSD to MPCSD in 1983 the north side of O'Connor Street belonged to unincorporated East Palo Alto. A few months later when East Palo Alto was incorporated, the north side of O'Connor Street was recognized as part of Menlo Park, in keeping with boundaries in infrastructure and geography. While the school district division on O'Connor Street has always been an issue, with the re-opening of a school at the O'Connor Site, it is more pressing than ever before. With the recent re-organization through the Pacific Parc decision, it is clear that in some instances, the boundaries were determined by factors that have since changed and need to be rectified. The north side of O'Connor Street is an example of this sort of oversight.

Statutory Conditions in Education Code 35753

Our proposal satisfies the nine conditions of Education Code 35753.

- 1. The new districts will both be adequate in terms of the numbers of pupils enrolled.*

Based on their recent School Accountability Report Cards, while MPCSD emphasized their overcrowding, at the moment, their teacher to student ratio is significantly lower than that in Ravenswood. According to their district websites, RCSD lists 4200 students and 130 teachers (32.2:1) and MPCSD lists 2791 students to 168 teachers (16.6:1.) Also, considering the fact that additional teaching staff will be required at the new O'Connor School Site, transferring the few potential students from our group of homes will bring the pupil-to-teacher ratio closer between the two districts. While the trends show that MPCSD is growing while RCSD is shrinking, ultimately, the number of students that would potentially transfer is small and would have little impact.

Both school districts are well above the 901 minimum required by the state of California and we anticipate a maximum of five students from our group of homes attending the schools at any one time, having a minuscule impact on district or school enrollment.

- 2. The districts are each organized on the basis of substantial community identity.*

This re-districting will rectify this glaring wrong in the current boundary dividing this community. In 1983, when about 2000 homes were re-districted from Ravenswood to Menlo Park, the boundary line was drawn down the middle of O'Connor Street because the city boundary fell there. However, this was already in the process of changing as East Palo Alto was becoming incorporated at the same time. Within a matter of months, East Palo Alto was incorporated and the city boundary line was moved to the back of the O'Connor Street properties because this was the natural edge of the neighborhood. What remained was the arbitrary division keeping students on one side of the street separate from their friends and neighbors directly across from them.

Because we are located in Menlo Park, it is no surprise that our community ties go deep in the city of Menlo Park. While a single street is the most simple form of community, the threads that spread outwards from our lives are indistinguishably woven with the community of Menlo Park as a whole. As we already mentioned from both incidental relationships growing from routine shopping trips or visits to the park, to our committed involvement to neighborhood groups, our designation as part of the Willows is not a choice, but just the natural result of living life in our community.

3. *The proposal will result in equitable division of property and facilities of the original district or other districts.*

We propose no changes in the property of either school district. There is no property or facilities of either school district on 235-495 O'Connor Street.

4. *The proposed reorganization will not promote racial and ethnic discrimination or segregation.*

The O'Connor Street homes are racially and ethnically diverse in a way that is different from either RCSD or MPCSD. Our residents include:

Group	Percentage
African American	7.14%
Asian	21.43%
Filipino/Pacific Islander	2.86%
Hispanic or Latino	15.71%
White (non-Hispanic)	48.57%
Two or More Races	4.29%

Source: Neighborhood Poll

In particular, the children in our neighborhood are distinctly different from either RCSD or MPCSD.

Group	MPCSD	RCSD	Transfer Parcels
African American	5.83%	10.00%	5.56%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Asian	6.50%	0.00%	27.78%
Filipino/Pacific Islander	0.25%	9.00%	5.56%
Hispanic or Latino	14.18%	79.00%	16.67%

Group	MPCSD	RCSD	Transfer Parcels
Native Hawaiian	1.08%	0.00%	0.00%
White (non-Hispanic)	65.10%	0.00%	27.78%
Two or More Races	10.68%	2.00%	16.67%

Source: School District Websites & Neighborhood Poll

We are a neighborhood committed to diversity and we would enhance diversity in either school district.

- The proposed reorganization will not result in any substantial increase in costs to the state.*

Due to the fact that any future students would be transferring from a Revenue Limit district to a Basic Aid district, there would be no increase in costs to the state. Out of these 31 homes, only one student attends RCSD schools so the state funding per student to RCSD would be the absolute minimum.

- The proposed reorganization will not significantly disrupt the educational programs in the proposed districts and districts affected by the proposed reorganization will continue to promote sound educational performance in those districts.*

Such a small number of homes will not disrupt the educational programs for either district. There is currently one school age child that would be attending Menlo Park schools and we anticipate no more than a handful at a time.

- The proposed reorganization will not result in a significant increase in school housing costs.*

Bonding: Based on the 2000 census, our 31 homes comprise less than 0.26% of the households from which the Ravenswood City School District currently collects bond payments. Thus, the RCSD bonding capacity will not be impaired.

Facilities: The Menlo Park City School District recently decided to re-open a school at the O'Connor Site and voters approved a bond measure to improve the site. With this new school, MPCSD will be equipped to handle the few extra students this will bring. While we are aware that MPCSD is expanding due to their increasing enrollment, as we mentioned in the first Education Code 35753 point, the pupil to teacher ratio is significantly lower in MPCSD so any slight shift will bring the two districts closer together.

- The property reorganization is not primarily designed to result in a significant increase in property values causing financial advantage to property owners because territory was transferred from one school district to an adjoining school district.*

Our proposed reorganization is based on our shared desire to unify with our street and our Willows community. While there may be some influence on home values, currently, comparable homes are priced similarly on both sides of the street although they are in separate districts. The families in our neighborhood have been here a long time and intend to continue living in their current homes. The average length of home ownership among the parcels to be transferred is 13 years. We are stable and committed. This is why we are petitioning - because we love the Willows and want to be part of it in every way. The possibility of increased home value is not only slight based on the homes on the same street, but it is insignificant in light of the safety, environmental, and community benefits.

We have compiled a few charts to demonstrate current home value trends on our street compared with other properties in Menlo Park. We have focused specifically on properties that were part of the Pacific Parc school district transfer from RCSD to MPCSD to show that the transfer has clearly not caused a greater home value increase than the community as a whole. We selected homes that were sold in 2005 or 2006 and compared their public records sales price with current real estate estimates from Zillow and third party research. While property values in Menlo Park do continue to rise, the Pacific Parc properties that transferred to MPCSD have appreciated an average of 11.11%, significantly lower than the Menlo Park average of 17.70% or the O'Connor Street average of 32.98%. These numbers give no reason to believe that the transfer would boost property values.

Comparable Property Values - O'Connor Street

Address	2006 Value	2014 Value	% Change
265 O'Connor St.	\$770,000	\$1,443,839	46.67%
417 O'Connor St.	\$754,000	\$1,372,126	45.05%
389 O'Connor St.	\$949,000	\$1,348,484	29.62%
451 O'Connor St.	\$808,000	\$972,721	16.93%
459 O'Connor St.	\$1,200,000	\$1,475,878	18.69%
341 O'Connor St.	\$898,000	\$1,424,000	36.94%
349 O'Connor St.	\$781,000	\$1,250,790	37.56%

Average Appreciation = 32.98%

Comparable Property Values - Pacific Parc (before & after school district transfer)

Address	2005/6 Value	2014 Value	% Change
600 Willow Rd. #3	\$710,000	\$867,012	18.11%
20 Willow Rd. #35	\$637,500	\$731,422	12.84%
595 Willow Rd.	\$750,000	\$825,000	9.09%
600 Willow Rd. #11	\$909,000	\$1,094,382	16.94%
20 Willow Rd. #23	\$670,000	\$683,545	1.98%
20 Willow Rd. #41	\$710,000	\$907,545	21.77%
600 Willow Rd. #25	\$805,000	\$904,249	10.98%
600 Willow Rd. #16	\$830,000	\$972,930	14.69%
20 Willow Rd. #3	\$669,888	\$709,661	5.60%
20 Willow Rd. #29	\$680,000	\$674,066	-0.88%

Average Appreciation = 11.11%

Comparable Home Values - Menlo Park

Address	2006 Value	2014 Value	% Change
260 Santa Monica Ave.	\$1,300,000	\$1,584,267	17.94%
815 Bay Rd.	\$780,000	\$1,255,198	37.86%
411 Durham St.	\$1,021,000	\$1,198,826	14.83%
354 McKendry Place	\$1,050,000	\$1,258,722	16.58%
340 Mamona Drive	\$875,000	\$1,269,851	31.09%
385 McKendry Drive	\$960,000	\$1,106,304	13.22%
219 Santa Margarita Avenue	\$1,120,000	\$1,213,521	7.71%
209 Durham St.	\$900,500	\$922,441	2.38%

Average Appreciation = 17.70%

9. *The proposed reorganization will not cause a substantial adverse affect on fiscal management or fiscal status of the proposed district or any existing district affected by the proposed reorganization.*

While a small percentage of our property taxes support RCSD, the majority of the cost to educate each RCSD student comes through State and Federal funding. The 31 parcels seeking to be transferred will not have a significant impact on RCSD. In 2013, these parcels paid \$7,938.89 in taxes to RCSD, which is less than .03% of the 2013-2014 budget of \$34,334,163.

We are aware that as a Basic Aid district, MPCSD raises a large share of their per student funding outside of property tax, State, and Federal funds. We are an invested and committed group of families that view schooling as a partnership. We already contribute to the Menlo Park community through supporting all of our local businesses and causes and if we are transferred to the MPCSD, we will work together to ensure they will not have to make cuts or changes to programming based on any additional students this transfer would bring.

While we believe that our petition satisfies all nine conditions to be considered for transfer, we would also stress that the re-opening of a school at the O'Connor Site creates an "exceptional situation." We are a small group of homes with a special history and we are woven into the Willows community in an inextricable way. Moving the boundary to behind our property line is the most common approach to avoid splitting up neighborhoods when drawing district lines. In our case, it would not only correct a historical error, but it would unify us with our street and our neighborhood school. While it may not impact many people, we feel that the overwhelming benefits are important enough to merit a change. We hope that you will take this into consideration as you consider the difficult choice to re-draw the district boundary.

The Chief Petitioners for the purpose of receiving notices and so forth are:


1. Susan Stacy Keller, 341 O'Connor Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025
2. John Barksdale, 483 O'Connor Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025
3. Lansing Scriven, 471 O'Connor Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025

DECLARATION OF PETITION CIRCULATOR

I, Susan Stacy Keller, state as follows:

- (1) That my residence address is 341 O'Connor Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025.
- (2) That I circulated the foregoing petition and saw the appended signatures being written.
- (3) That according to my best information and belief, each signature is the genuine signature of the person whose name it purports to be.
- (4) That the dates which all signatures to the petition were obtained are January 20th, 2013 thru November 15, 2014.

I certify, under penalty of perjury, that this declaration is true and correct.



Executed on November 27, 2014 at Menlo Park













DECLARATION OF PETITION CIRCULATOR

I, John Barksdale, state as follows:







- (1) That my residence address is 483 O'Connor Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025.
- (2) That I circulated the foregoing petition and saw the appended signatures being written.
- (3) That according to my best information and belief, each signature is the genuine signature of the person whose name it purports to be.
- (4) That the dates which all signatures to the petition were obtained are January 20th, 2013 thru September 15, 2014.

I certify, under penalty of perjury, that this declaration is true and correct.



Executed on DECEMBER 1ST 2014 at MENLO PARK, CA 94025

	NAME	ADDRESS	
1.	Signature  Printed Name Jeffrey L. Newmeyer	Property Address 251 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address (same)	
2.	Signature  Printed Name Scott Stocker	Property Address 325 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address Same	
3.	Signature  Printed Name Ken Hoyle	Property Address 379 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address - same -	
4.	Signature  Printed Name LEWIS J HESS	Property Address 329 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address SAME	
5.	Signature  Printed Name HANS DE VEER	Property Address 373 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address Same	
6.	Signature  Printed Name	Property Address 349 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address SVA	
7.	Signature  Printed Name THOMAS D. JACKSON	Property Address 369 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address	
8.	Signature  Printed Name Anne Wellner de Veer	Property Address 349 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address Same	
9.	Signature  Printed Name ABCAMPBELL	Property Address 371 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address Same	
10.	Signature  Printed Name FREDERICK CHUNG	Property Address 329 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address Same	
11.	Signature  Printed Name R. B. (JOHN) BARKSDALE	Property Address 483 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address Same	
12.	n/a Signature  Printed Name Christopher Fowler	Property Address 247 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025 Mailing Address 95030 14960 East Ave, Monte Sereno	
13.	Signature	Property Address OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	


	Printed Name Victoria Rundorff	Mailing Address 251 O'Connor St. CA 94025	
14.	Signature <i>Victoria Rundorff</i>	Property Address OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name Antonia Spencer	Mailing Address	
15.	Signature <i>Antonia Spencer</i>	Property Address 281 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name Olivette E. Smith	Mailing Address 459 O'Connor St. 94025	
16.	Signature <i>O. E. Smith</i>	Property Address OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name Lansing Sciven	Mailing Address 976 O'Connor street	
17.	Signature <i>Lansing Sciven</i>	Property Address OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name N/A → LINDA WAGNER	Mailing Address 127 O'c. St.	
18.	Signature <i>Linda Wagner</i>	Property Address 127 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name N/A → WILLIAM WAGNER	Mailing Address 127 O'Connor St.	
19.	Signature <i>William Wagner</i>	Property Address 127 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name Fairly Helen Severn	Mailing Address 471 O'Connor Street	
20.	Signature <i>Fairly Helen Severn</i>	Property Address 471 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
21.	Signature N/A → <i>Stacy Farvar</i>	Property Address 341 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name Stacy Farvar	Mailing Address "	
22.	Signature <i>Babak Farvar</i>	Property Address 341 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name Babak Farvar	Mailing Address "	
23.	Signature <i>P. Mody</i>	Property Address 269 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name Purvi Mody	Mailing Address "	
24.	Signature <i>Purvi Mody</i>	Property Address 269 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name AJIT JAIN	Mailing Address "	
25.	Signature <i>Mauro Mazzon</i>	Property Address 313 OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	
	Printed Name MAURO MAZZON	Mailing Address	
26.	Signature	Property Address OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025	

	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
40.	Signature 	Property Address 423	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name David R. Loukis	Mailing Address Same	
41.	Signature 	Property Address 341	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name Susan Stacy Keller	Mailing Address Same	
42.	Signature 	Property Address 243	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name Marcus Albanico	Mailing Address Same	
43.	Signature 	Property Address 239	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name Cindy Westby	Mailing Address "	
44.	Signature 	Property Address 277	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name Ben Robinson	Mailing Address	
45.	Signature 	Property Address 277	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name Mendham Robinson	Mailing Address	
46.	Signature	Property Address	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
47.	Signature	Property Address	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
48.	Signature	Property Address	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
49.	Signature	Property Address	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
50.	Signature	Property Address	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
51.	Signature	Property Address	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025
	Printed Name	Mailing Address	
52.	Signature	Property Address	OConnor Street, Menlo Park CA 94025

Parcel Map 2:




Parcel Map 3:




Appendix B: Supplemental Maps

Map 1
Parcel Numbers for Homes to be Transferred



Map 2:

O'Connor Street is single-family homes, but behind our property is all multi-tenant apartment complexes. The city boundaries fall behind our property lines because it is the natural division.



Map 3
 School Districts & Schools



Map 4
Community Ties in Menlo Park

