



Your Voice Matters


FACILITIES MASTER PLANNING: PHASE TWO

Burbank Building Team Survey Feedback

May / June 2025

Which option do you prefer for Burbank Early Childhood School?

11 responses



- **Repair** — address the physical needs of the building (\$16,530,000; tentative construction timeline: summer work)
- **Renovate** — address the physical and educational adequacy needs (\$36,790,000; tentative construction timeline: 1 academic year + summers)
- **Rebuild** — address the physical and educational adequacy needs (\$35,330,000; tentative construction timeline: 1 academic year + summers)

Are there any options that should be eliminated?

- Repair
- I wouldn't take anything off the table at this point
- Repair and renovate!
- Repair should be eliminated. While I understand the appeal, the sticker price will result in residents gravitating to this option without realizing the building itself is not aligned to best practices as guided by research in early learning. There is a difference between child care and early education, and if we are going to operate with the focus and service to those families with developmental delays, we should consider Burbank as the first step in a child's academic journey in Upper Arlington.
- Repair, Renovate and Rebuild
- Renovating the school should be eliminated. It is more expensive and much more disruptive than rebuilding the school. Ideally, the renovate option should also be eliminated, as it does not address major issues within the school. However, I understand that as the least expensive option, it probably needs to remain.
- Renovate - It cost more and some issues remain.
- Renovate
- repair


Are there any other thoughts you would like to share about the Burbank options?

- Burbank is definitely out of date. Maintenance is often trying to fix problems in the classrooms and in the bathrooms. Rebuild and renovate would eliminate this...repair will only be a bandaid
- This needs to be a separate bond issue when its put to vote. It will be very challenging to get the community to support spending \$40M to build a pre-K school that requires tuition to be financially viable. Attaching this project to the middle schools will get all of them voted down. Please rethink this.
- This is all about the story and letting people know what burbank is and why it is required by the state.
- Rebuild will have a much longer lifespan on the investment than repair for the costs

Overall master plan priorities

Please share your overall sentiment on swing space for students during construction at the middle schools.


11 responses



- I am in favor of utilizing temporary modular classrooms as swing space, if needed, to expedite the construction timeline and the time that students are out of the building.
- I am in favor of utilizing existing classroom space in the district as swing space, if needed, even if that means a longer timeline for construction and for students to be out of their school building.
- I have no preference.

Select the response below that best reflects your priorities when it comes to the costs of the projects. Please note that there is no zero cost option and that, at a minimum, the schools have urgent repair and maintenance needs that will need to be addressed.

11 responses



- I favor choosing the lowest cost options across the projects to address the physical needs of the buildings.
- I favor the options that address the long-term physical and educational needs of the buildings, even though that means a higher cost.
- I have no preference.

Are there any other thoughts you would like to share about master plan priorities?

- I am still concerned about the use of modular classrooms especially for those children who are "runners" and the ability to ensure these modular spaces can be adapted to developmental needs.
- Please Prioritize the middle schools that can't be replaced.
- The time to make changes and updates is now--putting a band-aid on the schools will not address the physical spaces that are long overdue for an overhaul. If children in our district are a priority, then making our schools fully updated will be beneficial for the current and future generations of students in Upper Arlington.
- The 3 remaining schools that were not part of the previously levy need to be brought up to the standard of the schools that were part of that levy