Committee: Facilities
Date and Time: June 4, 2025
Location: JEH Teacher's Center 2nd Floor
In attendance:
S. Beardsley, K. Callam, Bill, Elliott, Dan W., Shaska, Eric R., Kate E., Seth, Laura, Pat

Meeting overview

Fifth meeting with the purpose to revise and refine criteria and use the AHP tool to develop initial weights

Goals:

- ❏ Review and revise subcommittee categories and criteria.
- ❏ Define data source and rating scale for criteria.
- ❏ Model weights for categories/criteria
- ❏ Reconcile and finalize categories/criteria weighting

Refining the draft criteria to meet the 4 goals as outlined in the Considering Quality criteria (relevance to goal, Measurability & Normalization, Weighted importance, Completeness w/out redundancy)

To define each listed criteria category, the committee reviewed and refined the critical aspects of each criteria. The outcomes were summarized in a document.

→ The committee decided to split the FInancial impact criteria into two categories: Building Cost and Building Income. As a result, the committee agreed to move forward weighting the five categories (Equity, Geography, Building Functionality, Building Income and Building Cost) using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP -- a structured decision-making method used to prioritize and rank alternatives based on multiple criteria. It involves breaking down a complex decision into a hierarchy of goals, criteria, and alternatives, then using pairwise comparisons to determine the relative importance of each element.

After an example was reviewed and the steps to complete the AHP to weight the five criteria were understood, the committee was assigned the task to follow the AHP process to develop their initial weights for the five criteria as homework.