Monroe Elementary School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information				
School Name	Monroe Elementary			
Street	8535 Garfield Ave.			
City, State, Zip	Riverside, CA 92504-2906			
Phone Number	(951) 352-8241			
Principal	Dr. Tasceaie Churchwell			
E-mail Address	tchurchwell@rusd.k12.ca.us			
Web Site	http://www.rusdlink.org/Domain/30			
CDS Code	33-67215-6032726			

District Contact Information			
District Name	Riverside Unified		
Phone Number	(951) 788-7131		
Superintendent	David C. Hansen, Ed.D.		
E-mail Address	dchansen@rusd.k12.ca.us		
Web Site	www.rusd.k12.ca.us		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Monroe Elementary School is responsible for educating approximately 700 students in the community in grades preschool through sixth grade.

The Monroe Elementary School community shares responsibility for the education of each and every student. Parents, teachers, and students work collaboratively to ensure that all students have the essential skills and knowledge needed to succeed and achieve in public education. Students are expected to reach or exceed current State Standards established for their grade levels. Additional focus is given to developing 21st Century skills including: critical thinking, effective communication, problem solving, and the ability to access information. Success is measured with both formative and summative assessments.

Monroe is a Cohort 2 Personalized Learning campus. We believe personalized learning can enable every Monroe Scholar to be a self directed individual where the world is their classroom. The guiding belief for personalized learning builds on our school vision and mission. At Monroe, instructional practices begin and end with individualized goals that are based on the standards. The intent behind our entire focus is to have students own and lead their learning. We believe when students understand their learning styles, strengths, weaknesses, and feel a sense of urgency for achievement, success will follow. We hope to develop our students as a group of socially engaged contributors who see themselves as pivotal members in their community.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	102
Grade 1	91
Grade 2	104
Grade 3	86
Grade 4	95
Grade 5	104
Grade 6	97
Total Enrollment	679

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	3.5			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6			
Asian	0.9			
Filipino	0.4			
Hispanic or Latino	79.5			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1			
White	12.8			
Two or More Races	1.6			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81.6			
English Learners	29.6			
Students with Disabilities	12.4			
Foster Youth	0.7			

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- · Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	30	33	35	1909
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	1	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: 10/16/2017

The table displays information collected in 10/16/17 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

It was determined that each RUSD school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment, where appropriate, pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a 6-year cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption

Riverside Unified School District adopts instructional materials on a regular schedule based on State adoptions. All students receive appropriate, up-to-date instructional materials for use in the classroom and at home. All materials currently in use have been selected by the staff with parent input, and approved by the Board of Education according to state adoption requirements. Teachers are provided training in the use of new materials.

Comprehensive curriculum (ELA, Math, Science, History-Social Science) Pearson: Opening the World of Learning (OWL) adopted in 2015.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan McGraw-Hill: California Treasures, K-2 (adopted in 2013)	Yes	0%
	Macmillan McGraw-Hill: Wonders, 3-6 (adopted in 2016)		
	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt: Read 180/System 44 (adopted in 2010)/Next Generation System 44 (Amended in 2017)		
Mathematics	Pearson Education: enVision Math California Common Core 2015, K-6 (adopted in 2014)	Yes	0%
Science	McGraw Hill: California Science, K-6 (Adopted in 2007)	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Harcourt: Reflections, K-6 (Adopted in 2006)	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	N/A		N/A
Health	N/A		N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	Offer standards-based visual art and instrumental music classes. Offer supporting programs in theater, dance, and vocal music after school.	Yes	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A		N/A

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Lot Size: 10 Acres Year Constructed: 1955 Last modernized: 1997 24 Permanent classrooms 7 Portable classrooms

4 Kindergarten classrooms

- 1 Transitional Kindergarten classroom
- 1 Special Education classroom (RSO)
- 3 SDC classrooms

1 speech and language classroom Indoor lunch area for students to eat Completely Air Conditioned

Riverside Unified School District maintains both 5 and 15 year major maintenance plans for all schools. These plans are located at the District's Maintenance and Operations Office and are available for review.

Riverside Unified School District has instituted a formal school facility inspection system based on State of California School Facility Condition Criteria. The State criteria consist of 13 building components typically found in school facilities.

Riverside Unified School District has allocated funds for the sole purpose of school maintenance pursuant to Education Code sections 17002(d), 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75(a), and 17089(b). The information below displays the number of individual maintenance work orders completed in the last 12 months and the assessed value of the work completed.

Monroe has a full time custodial staff who along with other district personnel maintain the grounds and facilities.

of Work Orders = 509 Labor Hours = 1499.24 Assessed Value of Work = \$65,059.44

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/21/2017						
System Inspected	F	epair Stat	us	Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good Fair		Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х			10: Interior paint peeling off 12: Replace missing reducer strip by door - need WO K1: Sink doesn't work WO#17-275920, carpet reducer off at pool		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х			MPR: HDMI cable needs to be secured - need WO, lights out in kitchen		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Х			4: Drain is leaking WO#17-277192 6: Sink faucet handle is stripped out, needs replaced- need WO K1: Sink doesn't work WO#17-275920, carpet reducer off at pool K2:		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/21/2017							
Contain Insuranted	Repair Status			Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			18: Stained ceiling tile - needs WO 22: Stained ceiling tile - needs WO 23: Stained ceiling tile - needs WO Library: Stained ceiling tile - needs WO P32: Roof leak WO #17-277672			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/21/2017							
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
Overall Rating		Х					

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	31	30	48	47	48	48	
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	18	19	35	34	36	37	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	381	375	98.43	29.87
Male	197	195	98.98	26.15
Female	184	180	97.83	33.89
Black or African American	15	15	100	20
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	304	300	98.68	27.33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	45	44	97.78	43.18
Two or More Races			-1	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	318	315	99.06	26.03
English Learners	135	134	99.26	19.4
Students with Disabilities	64	60	93.75	6.67
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Total Number **Percent** Percent **Student Group Met or Exceeded Enrollment Tested Tested All Students** 381 375 98.43 19.2 Male 197 195 98.98 18.97 Female 184 180 97.83 19.44 **Black or African American** 15 15 100 13.33 American Indian or Alaska Native ----Asian **Filipino** --Hispanic or Latino 304 300 98.68 16 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 38.64 White 45 44 97.78 **Two or More Races** ----Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 318 315 99.06 16.19 **English Learners** 135 134 99.26 8.21

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Students with Disabilities	64	60	93.75	5
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	Sta	ate		
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16		
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	39	29	58	54	60	56		

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Percei	ndards				
Level	Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Stand					
5	15.5	20.4	37.9			

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

The parental involvement contact at this school is Tasceaie Churchwell, principal, and can be contacted at 951-352-8241.

Parents are encouraged to take an active role in their child's education through homework, class newsletters, attendance at parent meetings, parent workshops, and volunteering when able in their child's classroom. With a blossoming PTA, parents are encouraged to join as partners in their child's education. Opportunities for parents to participate in Open House, Parent Conferences, Parent Meetings including School Site Council, PTA, English Learner Advisory Committee, and Title I Parent Information Meetings occur throughout the school year. Parent training is specific to subject and grade level content and need. Training includes Kindergarten/First Grade Early Literacy, Language Arts and Math Standards, Meeting Guidelines for Reclassification, Importance of Homework and Attendance, Transition to Kindergarten, Transition to Middle School, Technology Tools for Learning, and English Language Development. Information regarding how to participate in Parent Involvement activities can be obtained in the Monroe Elementary School Main Office.

Additional parent involvement opportunities are available at neighboring schools within the Monroe Cluster that support additional topics.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Data.	School			District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	1.2	1.6	2.7	4.4	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The School Site Council or its delegate is responsible for the development and updating of the school's Comprehensive Safety Plan. A Site Comprehensive Safety Plan Checklist is provided by the Assistant Superintendent of Operations to give guidance on what should be included in the School's Safety Plan. The Safety Plan is discussed with staff, evaluated, amended/reviewed/updated by March 1 of each year. The school's safety committee makes monthly safety inspections. A school Disaster Preparedness Plan that deals with a wide variety of emergency situations is incorporated into the School Safety Plan. Earthquakes, fire, and lockdown drills are conducted as required. The key elements of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include an assessment of current status of school crime; provisions of any schoolwide dress code including the definition of "gang related apparel"; safe movement of pupils, parents and school employees to and from school; strategies in maintaining a safe and orderly school environment; child abuse reporting procedures; disaster procedures, routine and emergency; policies related to suspensions, expulsion or mandatory expulsion and other school designated serious acts which would lead to suspension or expulsion, notification to teachers, anti-bullying policy and school discipline rules and procedures pursuant to EC 35291 and EC 35291.5. The school also has an assigned School Resource Officer (SRO).

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

- cacial intervention (105:am (00:00) 12a 2027 20)					
Indicator	School	District			
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In Pl			
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2007-2008			
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 4	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	22			
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	68.8			

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	4-15	,	2015-16			2016-17				
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	22	1	4		24	1	4		26		4	
1	23		4		27		3		28		3	
2	28		3		21	1	3		22	1	4	
3	31		3		25	1	3		27		3	
4	24	1	3		26	1		2	25	1	3	
5	30		2		33		1	2	33			3
6	28	1	2	2	28	1	1	2	26	1	3	

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	0	0		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.8	N/A		
Psychologist	0.2	N/A		
Social Worker	0	N/A		
Nurse	0.23	N/A		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	N/A		
Resource Specialist	1	N/A		
Other	0	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	6990	1629	5362	84872
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,857	\$87,199
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-8.5	-2.7
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-18.4	7.1

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Monroe Elementary School received the following Categorical Program/Supplemental funds which can be used to provide the following services:

\$81,040 Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF): for increased or improved services for Low Income Students, English Learners, or Foster Youth for site goals in alignment with the RUSD Local Control Accountability Plan

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$56,035	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$82,647	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$100,547	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$125,192	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$132,039	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$144,725	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$282,285	\$264,457
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

2013 - 2014

Staff Development at Monroe Elementary School focuses on English Language Learners, Data Analysis, Standards Based Planning and grade level commitments, and quality strategies for integrating technology. All staff development is balanced with the shifts in Common Core. Teachers receive information specifically on Three Text Types in writing, Standards for Mathematical Practices, and all English Language Arts Common Core Standards in grades K - 2. All the staff received English Language Learner training which included strategies for improving achievement of all English Learners as they meet reclassification guidelines. Math training included implementation strategies as well as the Standards for Mathematical Practices. Grade level teams examine standards for Language Arts and how best to increase the rigor of instruction, the level of engagement for all students, and the process of checking for understanding during the lesson during team meetings and collaboration meetings.Instructional Services Specials provide additional support throughout the year.

2014-2015

All teachers receive training on the Habits of Mind philosophy and practices. On-going professional development and strategic planning will occur to support further implementation. Two full days were provided to all teachers at the beginning of the year, one focused on ELA and one focused on Math. An additional three days will be provided to all teachers over the course of the year for continued support in implementing the Common Core State Standards. All new teachers are included in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment program and are provided a mentor to support them throughout the year. Non-classroom teachers are included in all training opportunities. Special Education teachers participate in all above training as well as additional training focusing on students with special needs. Instructional aides were included in the two days of training prior to the beginning of the year. All support personnel are provided job-alike training by the district as well as on-going site based training. All staff is supported by on-going training and coaching provided by the principal.

2015 - 2016

All teachers will participate in two days of professional development facilitated by RUSD Instructional Services. During this time, teachers will receive training on:

- *Assessments
- *Planning High Quality Instruction using State Standards
- *Rigorous Student Outcomes
- *Instructional Strategies in the Math Classroom
- *Instructional Strategies that support all areas of English Language Arts

Monroe Teachers also receive on site professional development supporting all of the above components as well as technology integration, practices that support The Habits of Mind, high yield strategies that support Academic English Learners, building a cohesive team, creating, administering, and analyzing assessments, and strategies that meet the needs of all learners. New teachers also are partnered with a veteran teacher and mentor through the BTSA program. The Beginning Teacher Support is vital to the success of a teacher new to the field. Teachers experiencing difficulty receive support from the principal, Title 1 teachers, and team leaders. They are offered on-going coaching, additional training, mentoring, and co-teaching opportunities.

2016-2017

All teachers and staff receive professional development. The PD training includes:

* Professional Development for all staff members the beginning of school, SDC training for grades 1-6, Personalized Learning (PL), COHORT training, MAPS, Wonders curriculum, K-2 Institute, Customer Service-"Get Curious, Not Furious", Campus Supervisor training's, Pro Act, and Leadership team training's, Management training, Principal Task force, BTSA training, and Co-admin training.

Depending on the grade level, subject or school focus, teachers participated in 3 – 10 days of professional development.

2017-2018

Monroe Teachers receive on site professional develop supporting First Best Instruction, Early numeracy and fluency instruction in Mathematics and technology integration. Depending on the grade level, subject or school focus, teachers participated in 3-10 days of professional development. Topics included:

- *Shared leadership
- *Instructional Rounds
- *Personalized Learning
- *Planning days