# Magnolia Elementary School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)**

School Contact Info	School Contact Information				
School Name	Magnolia Elementary				
Street	3975 Maplewood Place				
City, State, Zip	Riverside, CA 92506-1848				
Phone Number	(951) 788-7274				
Principal	Hector Alegria				
E-mail Address	halegria@rusd.k12.ca.us				
Web Site	http://www.rusdlink.org				
CDS Code	33-67215-6032718				

District Contact Information			
<b>District Name</b>	Riverside Unified		
Phone Number	(951) 788-7135		
Superintendent	David C. Hansen, Ed.D.		
E-mail Address	dchansen@rusd.k12.ca.us		
Web Site	www.rusd.k12.ca.us		

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

#### Our Staff Commitment to a Culture of Universal Achievement reads:

"We are committed to creating a school that gives every child the skills to soar. Every student will be successful. All students will develop their character and their academic skills. The elementary education we provide our students will be the foundation upon which they build their lives."

Our educational programs are based upon California State Standards and are guided by a comprehensive assessment system including rigorous academic standards, high expectations, and accountability for all of our students, families and staff members. As an official No Excuses University School, we hold dear the belief that all students should be prepared for college if they should choose to go. Our dedicated staff provides meaningful and challenging coursework through the use of research-based instructional strategies. We provide differentiated instruction for all students, including Disadvantaged Youth (children of poverty, Academic English Learners, and foster children), Special Education, and Gifted and Talented Education. The Magnolia staff is dedicated to maintaining an environment which supports and ensures that students reach their highest potential.

#### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	90
Grade 1	83
Grade 2	82
Grade 3	99
Grade 4	87
Grade 5	91
Grade 6	113
Total Enrollment	645

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	5.4		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6		
Asian	0.8		
Filipino	0		
Hispanic or Latino	62		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3		
White	26.2		
Two or More Races	2.8		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65.3		
English Learners	14.6		
Students with Disabilities	10.1		
Foster Youth	0.5		

# A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### **Teacher Credentials**

T	School			District
Teachers	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	30	29	32	1909
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

### Year and month in which data were collected: 10/16/2017

The table displays information collected in 10/16/17 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

It was determined that each RUSD school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment, where appropriate, pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a 6-year cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption

Riverside Unified School District adopts instructional materials on a regular schedule based on State adoptions. All students receive appropriate, up-to-date instructional materials for use in the classroom and at home. All materials currently in use have been selected by the staff with parent input, and approved by the Board of Education according to state adoption requirements. Teachers are provided training in the use of new materials.

Comprehensive curriculum (ELA, Math, Science, History-Social Science) Pearson: Opening the World of Learning (OWL) adopted in 2015.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	ling/Language Arts  Macmillan McGraw-Hill: California Treasures, K-2 (adopted in 2013)		0%
	Macmillan McGraw-Hill: Wonders, 3-6 (adopted in 2016)		
	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt: Read 180/System 44 (adopted in 2010)/Next Generation System 44 (Amended in 2017)		
Mathematics	Pearson Education: enVision Math California Common Core 2015, K-6 (adopted in 2014)	Yes	0%
Science	McGraw Hill: California Science, K-6 (Adopted in 2007)	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Harcourt: Reflections, K-6 (Adopted in 2006)	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	N/A		N/A
Health	N/A		N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	Offer standards-based visual art and instrumental music classes. Offer supporting programs in theater, dance, and vocal music after school.	Yes	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A		N/A

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Lot Size: 8.5 Acres Year Constructed: 1914 Last modernized: 2006 13 Permanent Classrooms 17 Potable Classrooms

Early Intervention/Language Arts Lab Classroom

Library

Multi-Purpose Room Indoor Cafeteria

#### **Auditorium**

Completely Air Conditioned

Riverside Unified School District maintains both 5 and 15 year major maintenance plans for all schools. These plans are located at the District's Maintenance and Operations Office and are available for review.

Riverside Unified School District has instituted a formal school facility inspection system based on State of California School Facility Condition Criteria. The State criteria consist of 13 building components typically found in school facilities.

Riverside Unified School District has allocated funds for the sole purpose of school maintenance pursuant to Education Code sections 17002(d), 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75(a), and 17089(b). The information below displays the number of individual maintenance work orders completed in the last 12 months and the assessed value of the work completed.

Magnolia has a full time custodial staff who along with other district personnel maintain the grounds and facilities.

# of Work Orders = 405 Labor Hours = 1150.6 Assessed Value of Work = \$49,654.96

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/22/2017						
Sustain Inspected	R	epair Stat	us	Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good Fair		Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х			21: Dirty sink P27: Carpet needs to be cleaned		
Electrical: Electrical		х		23: 2 lights out, needs WO P10: 2 lights fixtures out P24: Lights taken off of A/B switching P28: Paint front of building - needs WO P5: 1 light fixture out P8: 1 light fixture out		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			Covered Hallways: Termite & dry rot damage - extensive		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/22/2017					
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall Rating		Х			

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	School		District		State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	43	48	48	47	48	48	
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	37	38	35	34	36	37	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	387	386	99.74	47.67
Male	196	195	99.49	42.56
Female	191	191	100	52.88
Black or African American	22	22	100	27.27
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Hispanic or Latino	234	233	99.57	46.78
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	98	98	100	56.12
Two or More Races	17	17	100	58.82
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	265	264	99.62	39.77
English Learners	82	82	100	28.05
Students with Disabilities	44	44	100	6.82

Student Group	Total	Number	Percent	Percent
	Enrollment	Tested	Tested	Met or Exceeded
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	387	386	99.74	38.08
Male	196	195	99.49	37.95
Female	191	191	100	38.22
Black or African American	22	22	100	31.82
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Hispanic or Latino	234	233	99.57	34.33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	98	98	100	48.98
Two or More Races	17	17	100	47.06
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	265	264	99.62	32.2
English Learners	82	82	100	25.61
Students with Disabilities	44	44	100	2.27
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

#### Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	rict	State			
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16		
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	49	48	58	54	60	56		

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

#### **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
5	27	24.7	5.6			

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents are encouraged to be an active part of their child's school experience.

Parent Teacher Association (PTA) meetings are held several times each year, usually in conjunction with another school event such as Back to School Night and Open House. All parents are encouraged to attend. PTA Board Meetings are held once per month, after school. Please call the office for contact information.

School Site Council Meetings are held 8 times per year. All parents are welcome to attend.

Our English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meets throughout the year. Please call for specific dates and times.

Teachers invite and encourage volunteers in the classrooms. Visits to classrooms are scheduled one day in advance according to RUSD School Board Policy. All visitors on campus need to check-in at the school office and check-out at the office after the visit.

Communication with parents is given a high priority on our campus. Communication is made via flyers, notes home, phone calls, individual meetings/conferences, autodialer, and through the school website. Parents are encouraged to meet with teachers on an ongoing basis. We appreciate parents' ideas, observations, and suggestions. PTA events, other school events such as band concerts, as well as Principal's Coffee meetings are all opportunities for parents to connect with the school. Magnolia believes that the stronger the team between teacher, parent and student, the more successful the student will be.

Parents are encouraged to attend all parent meetings, parent workshops and volunteer in their child's classroom. A parent's interest in, and participation in, his or her child's education will have a lasting impact.

Contact principal/office for more information: 951-788-7274.

## **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

		School			District			State	
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	4.0	1.1	2.6	4.4	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The School Site Council or its delegate is responsible for the development and updating of the school's Comprehensive Safety Plan. A Site Comprehensive Safety Plan Checklist is provided by the Assistant Superintendent of Operations to give guidance on what should be included in the School's Safety Plan. The Safety Plan is discussed with staff, evaluated, amended/reviewed/updated by March 1 of each year. The school's safety committee makes monthly safety inspections. A school Disaster Preparedness Plan that deals with a wide variety of emergency situations is incorporated into the School Safety Plan. Earthquakes, fire, and lockdown drills are conducted as required. The key elements of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include an assessment of current status of school crime; provisions of any schoolwide dress code including the definition of "gang related apparel"; safe movement of pupils, parents and school employees to and from school; strategies in maintaining a safe and orderly school environment; child abuse reporting procedures; disaster procedures, routine and emergency; policies related to suspensions, expulsion or mandatory expulsion and other school designated serious acts which would lead to suspension or expulsion, notification to teachers, anti-bullying policy and school discipline rules and procedures pursuant to EC 35291 and EC 35291.5. The school also has an assigned School Resource Officer (SRO).

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In Pl
First Year of Program Improvement		2007-2008
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	22
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	68.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)** 

		201	4-15		2015-16			2016-17				
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Avg. Number of Classes		Avg.	Nun	Number of Classes		
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
K	23		4		25	1	3		23		4	
1	26		4		25		3		24		3	
2	24		4		23		4		24		3	
3	28		3		29		3		30		4	
4	33		2	2	32		3		29		3	
5	28		3		31		3		32		2	
6	29	1		3	26	1	2	1	28	1	3	1

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	0	0		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.8	N/A		
Psychologist	0.2	N/A		
Social Worker	0	N/A		
Nurse	0.25	N/A		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	N/A		
Resource Specialist	1	N/A		
Other	0	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

#### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	6587	961	5625	89792
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,857	\$87,199
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-16.8	-8.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-25.9	0.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Magnolia Elementary School received the following Categorical Program/Supplemental funds which can be used to provide the following services:

\$54,056 Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF): for increased or improved services for Low Income Students, English Learners, or Foster Youth for site goals in alignment with the RUSD Local Control Accountability Plan

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$56,035	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$82,647	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$100,547	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$125,192	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$132,039	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$144,725	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$282,285	\$264,457
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

#### 2014-2015

All teachers will attend two days of training as we transition to Common Core State Standards. Our entire Kindergarten, First and Second grade teams will attend a week-long training on Multi-sensory instruction to provide more effective intervention to struggling students. Additional trainings will be offered during staff meetings based on needs identified through ongoing assessments.

#### 2015-2016

Teachers will participate in 6 professional development days throughout the year to facilitate implementation of Innovate ED; professional development that builds site capacity to ensure all students become college and career ready. Other professional development opportunities include: Illuminate Training, Math/ELA training, and Technology.

#### 2016-2017

Teachers will continue professional development with Innovate ED via Instructional Design days and Learning Rounds. Magnolia's Leadership Team will also work closely with the other Elementary Leadership Teams throughout the district at 2 professional development days. Focus is on sound instruction and student engagement. Staff will have a common language, focus, and rubric identifying sound instruction, student learning, and student engagement. In addition, staff will receive training in math, ELA, writing, DIBELS, technology, and intervention during staff, team, and leadership meetings. Workshops are provided throughout the school year through the district for teachers to attend. Principal will provide teachers additional time to plan with Teachers on Special Assignment and their grade level teams for further professional growth. Professional Development will also include Kagan Training which focuses on structures in learning, engagement, classroom management, and class/team building. Monies will be used for substitutes and professional developer costs.

#### 2017 - 2018

Teachers will continue professional development: Instructional Design days and Learning Rounds for a total of 6 days. Magnolia's Leadership Team will also work closely with the other Elementary Leadership Teams throughout the district at SLT professional development days. Focus is on sound instruction and student engagement for total of 3 additional days. Teachers will also work 1 day on integrating technology in the classroom.