

Timestamp	Please specify which building team you represent.	What do you think of the revised options?	What additional information do you think the community needs to better understand the revised options at the community engagement sessions next week?
5/5/2025 17:22:24	Hastings	The 3 story Hastings option makes sense. In both cases it makes not sense to renovate for more money rather than building new. Connecting new buildings and existing buildings never works well	I know that it is complicated but I think it is important to have total costs for all of the option choices available. i.e Hastings D + Jones B + Burbank A
5/5/2025 17:23:02	Hastings	Much better option to move Hastings to three stories. Not only is it a cost savings, it also provides more options for parking and traffic flow.	Honestly, if you break it down to the total cost per month, I think you will have a better chance of getting buy in from the community.
5/5/2025 17:39:04	Hastings	I like the addition of the 3 story option for Hastings and I appreciate that the options are narrowing based on feedback.	*How traffic and parking will be impacted if there are 2 middle schools on the same site *What modulars will look like/how they function *Anticipated costs of keeping Hastings standing/functional for duration of project if Hastings is used as swing space for Jones *A "1-pager" that shows options visually & simplified
5/5/2025 17:45:22	Hastings	I love the attempt at the attention to detail for so many different combinations of solutions. In the meeting some people want that detail and others said that it is overwhelming. I think both can be true. My recommendation is to have the details but then have summary pages that are more simplified with the most important information. Think of them as trailers for movies that tried to provide the summary to something complicated, while also providing the detailed blueprints for those complicated ideas. Whatever is presented, having printouts for those who want them are helpful as well as having a QR Code for a link to the electronic copy. Regarding the options themselves, I really like the 3 story concept for Hastings. In terms of use of modulars, I think whatever it is fastest will overall override cost. For Jones, I think the modulars are also really important in cutting down any transportation of students over to Hastings as a swing space.	Definitely show the process of how we got here to anyone who is not part of this so far. Keep hammering away at the idea that there is no no cost option and that the repair options are clearly abandoned that will have to be dealt with again in the relatively near future. Keep the repair options available but show the overwhelming evidence that either renovate or rebuild are far more fiscally responsible than the repair options.
5/5/2025 17:48:58	Hastings	I like the three-story options for Hastings but I don't think it makes sense to keep the building at the same location.	I don't think it's necessary to have both buildings in modulars for equity's sake.
5/5/2025 17:49:22	Hastings	The new three-story option for HMS is a fantastic development. It does NOT make sense to spend 20 million more dollars to put students in modulars so that all middle school students have the same experience.	1. We cannot continue to view the costs in silos. We need to see the total costs of the three projects together. 2. Include questions for the community that ask them to choose what they think is the best option for each building.
5/5/2025 18:00:37	Hastings	I support the options that you removed. I think that you might want to remove the Option for Jones where they go to Hastings for a year. It is too long a wait, and I don't see how anyone can really know the costs that far out.	I agree that it would be helpful to have a handout. One for each school that shows the costs for each option. People can follow along.

5/5/2025 21:41:36	Hastings	The new option for Hastings where you rebuild where existing school is today seems like a waste. It adds cost, displaces the students, and adds time to project. I'm not sure why we'd present that option. For Hastings, moving to the 3 story plan seems fine. I don't see a big impact or effect to that.	Simplify the info as much as you can. I was almost envisioning a visual where you had each school's options laid out like a horizontal bar chart. Basically leveraging the timeline visual but then showing them stacked next to one another to better gage timing. Embedded in the bar, you could include the key details and the mockups at the end of it. This would just be an easy visual to better understand timelines and how each one impacts it.
5/5/2025 21:55:56	Hastings	I liked they were narrowed from what we know wouldn't be good investments	1 list positives and negatives in each option 2 don't dumb it down. UA residence aren't dumb but hand outs help them learn at their own pace or focus where they want 3 fill in with as much info as possible. When there is a lack of information people fill it with misinformation. 4 give options: cheapest, fastest, best 5 this meeting isn't to convince everyone they should vote for a levy. It is to show them you thought through everything and these are the best options for them to choose from. 6 photos photos photos- the more people can visualize their kids or UA kids in the space the more they will support it 7 any data on student learning in Modular's can put parents minds at ease
5/5/2025 17:20:32	Jones	Still too much to digest. I think there needs to be one repair, one renovate and one rebuild per building. It's just far too much to present in current form and you are going to lose people. Also hard to look at these in silos because too many plans are dependent on another and at the end of the day the homeowner wants to know the bottom line cost!	I would have actual visuals of each option on an easel and have people able to go up and see them and understand each - what it is, what it means for time, what it means for cost, what it does for students.
5/5/2025 17:26:50	Jones	I think it is helpful to have less options, making the discussion less confusing.	A major focus on cost saving measures could be helpful because when it comes down to it, putting all 3 buildings together makes the cost differences more impactful. The community needs to know what students get/don't get when students stay in the building, move into modulars, or move into Hastings. I am most concerned about our arts offerings (performing based music and related arts).
5/5/2025 17:28:34	Jones	I do think there is more clarity. I strongly support Jones Renovate Option A (one grade in Modulars) for both balancing cost, student experience/disruption and maintaining our community's history. I think at minimum we need to maintain the L shape in option B but I'm not sure the additional cost and student disruption is worth it. As a Jones parent, I strongly prefer use of Modulars vs bussing to Hastings. Thank you for all your hard work.	I would like to know more about what is meant for Jones Renovate Option A where it says "more challenging to make public entry for auditorium" what that means. Is this a challenge that can be overcome or something more serious? I would also like to know if Modulars are used what space could be borrowed from Barrington for specials/arts? Could there be a "bubble" added for a gymnasium option? I have major concerns losing our history and completely tearing down Jones. Even with maintaining the facade.
5/5/2025 17:29:05	Jones	I don't like the removal of the Thompson Park option for Hastings. I like the 3 story Hastings option.	Much more information on what a modular experience for middle schoolers would be like. Photos, videos of similar modular schools would be great. My biggest concern is the very large price difference between repair and rebuild. I don't recall this financial gap being as big for the previous phase (elementaries & high school).
5/5/2025 17:34:13	Jones	It's definitely getting more clear with eliminating options. I do wish we could narrow Jones a bit more and truly get rid of the swing to Hastings options bc it pushes this project out way too far in my opinion.	That every option will have pain points and we need to focus on the end results and goals we have in place for our community and our schools. Also that there are no zero cost options and that there will be money going into this project one way or another.

5/5/2025 17:38:52	Jones	Like the addition of monthly pricing based on home values. Like the idea of both Hastings and Jones being in modular buildings for similar experiences.	Visuals of modular rooms
5/5/2025 17:43:51	Jones	Good eliminations, but need to narrow down more. I know you have to present the Repair option (to show just how expensive it is), but I have to think those are off the table. Hopefully we can come down with one renovate, one rebuild and then decide where the kids go (modular vs Hastings).	I think the Q&A suggestions hit on most of this.
5/5/2025 17:43:56	Jones	I understand them and think that the rebuild options are the best options.	Simplify the slides. Each option needs less info on the sheets and the text that is on the sheets needs to be larger. Just include option name, instead of the timeline you could just say the construction time and then the finish year (ie year 5 or year 7). Also show annual cost and monthly cost highlighted for households - this seems to be the biggest thing for voters (parents will be the one concerned with how these projects effect their kids). Define what the different groups care about and try to answer those questions first.
5/5/2025 17:47:02	Jones	I appreciate seeing the full breadth of options that have been explored including a full rebuild. It's helpful to understand the complexity, the cost, the time and the experiential levers that could be pulled to complete the project.	A clear summary diagram slide that potentially compresses the options with some way of qualifying the cost, schedule and learning environment factors may be helpful. Having the full descriptions as an appendix is needed to prove the research has been done, but it will be a challenge to digest in one sitting.
5/5/2025 17:57:09	Jones	I'm disappointed the land swap was not given more attention. It seems dismissed quickly without a lot of justification. The community is talking about Hastings at Thompson Park, so be prepared to get asked and justify why that would not work - timeline, cost, unwillingness by the city? If Hastings is going to be 3 stories, it should be by the main road - not tucked in by houses.	Tell everyone early in the meeting ranges for what they are about to hear. Before you go into options, say things like "options range: - from 2.5 year to 7 years for completion - from \$X to \$XXX in cost - from learning in the building, to learning on site (Modular's) to learning off campus - from zero/minimal impact on sports (probably not true) to both track/fields being down The audience needs guard rails to give perspective for all the options being presented. Then they can "judge" how minimal or maximum of impact in comparison across the total options landscape. I agree that it should be dumbed down to Repair, Renovate, or Rebuild with ranges given instead of A vs B.
5/5/2025 21:43:36	Jones	I like the Hastings three story option that allows for more parking and doesn't require a land swap. I don't think it makes sense to put students in modulars if we don't have to, even if it means it'll be inequitable for Jones students (either via timeline or in pods for 2 years). I wonder if the building teams would feel differently if they knew it'd be a possibility that this will be on a 2027 or even 2028 ballot since their children wouldn't be impacted. I think it's hard to justify for the cost of modulars when it only impacts those few hundred kids/families when it is ultimate a whole community decision. Plus, athletics would be displaced which would require those families to transport their children anyway.	The combined cost of all options, including the operating levy. I think the details about what a rebuild vs a renovate option provides in a bulleted list would be beneficial. I like keeping all the explored options and explaining why we eliminated certain ideas. I think it'll be important to explain that the earliest this will be on a ballot is November 2026 so when we talk about year 5, that's from the date of a levy that passes.

5/6/2025 11:17:53	Hastings and Jones	Moving Hastings to the adjacent stadium location makes sense from a site perspective and may help with traffic flow / parking. I do not love the idea of using Hastings as the temporary Jones middle school. Having that many students commuting to the same location is going to be a nightmare for 3 years. The neighbors are going to resist the idea of going to 3 floors. Especially since the previous presentations were only 2 floor options. It also appears to push the building close to the property line on the west, making the scale even more of an issue with the neighbors. The design team will need a strategy to answer their concerns. I was surprised to see that the 3 floor option was not significantly less expensive than the 2 floor with a larger footprint. Can the design team address this? If given the option between a 3 floor / 2 floor building, I think most would prefer only 2 floors. Functions better and is less out of scale with the adjacent homes. Unless there is a significant savings, 3 floors does not seem like a good strategy. Most of the Jones options seem like they could be dropped at this point. \$10M to preserve part of the exterior is not sound financially and will only frustrate potential voters. Having one grade in modular units seems fine and would be preferable since it gets the project completed sooner. Any option that extends the timeline to 7.5+ years of construction is a deal breaker. Same with the option that puts ALL of the middle schoolers in modulars. Just drop those off the presentation, it's distracting.	The presentation is extremely confusing. There is too much text and the slides are too crowded. The diagrams look similar when viewed from a distance. Its hard to tell what the differences are. Impossible to read text on a big screen. Please show a bullet point price, time line of construction and how the swing space is achieved (modulars, addition, hastings etc.) Also show where the option fits within the overall construction time line. Hastings and Jones will overlap for several years. People need to see both projects at the same time because they are one big project with 2 components. They can't be done separately and only doing one is not an option so why keep separating them? The only people I have spoken to that knew about this project are UA staff and members of the building teams. This has not been promoted well enough to the community at large. None of my elderly neighbors had heard about this but they immediately said they were against it when I mentioned the project. These are the people that need to be won over if this if going to pass a vote. Also, there is nothing in the presentation that's exciting or positive. UA Schools is to be asking the public to commit to a very large tax increase. You need to sell them on it, not just present the numbers. Show more about the High School / Elementary facilities and that those were sound investments in our community. Get people to focus on what the schools mean to our community. Right now the presentation is just high costs and people will gravitate to whatever is the cheapest since they all appear similar to the untrained eye. Drop the monthly costs on the slides. No one pays taxes this way. It comes across like a car sales man trying to dupe people into a loan they can't afford. This is a 38 year commitment to spending hundreds of millions of dollars, not a netflix subscription. You can't cancel. Give us all the numbers for all 3 projects combined. Annual Cost. Otherwise people will stop paying attention to the presentation and start adding it up themselves. A survey that allowed participants to rank their priorities (time, cost, no modulars etc) would probably get more engaged answers.
-------------------	--------------------	--	--