

MTSS





- Welcome
- Updates
 - Website
 - Staff Survey
- Review of District Goals
 - Building Action Plans
- Upcoming for next year
- Questions







Website

- New items added
 - Updated manual with new vocabulary
 - Articles
- Articles of interest
 - Academic Literacy Instruction for Adolescents
 - Identifying and Implementing Educational Practices Supported by Rigorous Evidence
 - Assisting Students Struggling with Math (Middle School)
- Staff Survey
 - Please make sure to complete and have colleges complete https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Marshfield_MTSS



Tier I:	Tier II:	Tier III:
High Quality Core	Supplemental	Individualized
Instruction	Instruction	Intensive Instruction
(Best Practices)	(Intervention)	(Intervention)
(Support for	(Treatment for	(Treatment for
students)	students)	students)

------Intensity of Intervention------→



- <u>Tier 1 high-quality</u>, research-based instruction made up of a standards driven curriculum. It is made up of the following:
 - High Quality Instruction engaging, standards-based, datadriven, and research-based instruction which are grounded in culturally responsive practices
 - <u>Culturally Responsive Practices</u> account for and adapt to the broad diversity of race, language and culture in Wisconsin schools and prepare all students for a multicultural world
 - <u>Curriculum</u> is what is taught, including scope, sequence, pacing, materials, rigor, format, relevance (provide examples, such as, Imagine It! of our curriculums)



<u>Tier 2 —</u> is made up of curriculum and instructional practices that are provided to some students and in addition to the routine (same definition as supplemental intervention). This implies a small group of three to five students for 20-40 minutes, at least three to five days a week. (Shinn, M. R., PhD, & Walker, H. M., PhD (Eds.). (2010). *Interventions for Achievement and Behavior Problems in a Three-Tier Model Including RtJ*. Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.)

•<u>Intervention</u> – a systematic use of a technique, practice, or program designed and shown to improve learning in specific areas of student need. An intervention teaches skills to help students overcome specific deficits or maladaptive response patterns. Interventions require a targeted assessment, planning, and data to be effective; consideration is given to the nature of the problem (skill deficit vs. performance deficit).




<u>Supplemental Instruction</u> – curriculum and instruction practices that are provided to some students in addition to the routine

<u>Performance Discrepancy</u> – how a student's level of achievement compares to the expected level of achievement, norm-based. (see graphic).

<u>Progress Discrepancy</u> – how a student's rate of improvement (ROI) compares to the expected level of ROI of achievement with norm-based criteria

<u>Rate of Improvement -</u> is a numerical index that reflects how rapidly raw scores on a measure increase during a given school year






New Vocabulary

<u>Tier 3 —</u> individualized, intensive instruction for students with significant underachievement; intensive, skill specific interventions in one-on-one or small group instruction that is either supplemental or supplanted. This implies smaller instructional group sizes, longer daily sessions and intervention over a longer term (Shinn, M. R., PhD, & Walker, H. M., PhD (Eds.). (2010). *Interventions for Achievement and Behavior Problems in a Three-Tier Model Including RtJ.* Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.)

- <u>Progress Discrepancy</u> how a student's rate of improvement compares to the expected level of rate of improvement of achievement with norm-based criteria (see graphic)
- Rate of Improvement is a numerical index that reflects how rapidly raw scores on a measure increase during a given school year
- <u>Intensive Intervention</u> a systematic use of a technique, program, or practice designed to improve learning or performance in a specific area of need



Review of District MTSS Goals

Main focus was Tier 1 and implementation of PS Teams

- Created MTSS vision statement
- Developed building action plans
- Identified building level team members and roles/function
- Revised PLP forms (may see new forms coming from elementary)
- Determined how implementation will be monitored
- Established PLP guiding data document
- Reviewed current universal screening processes
- All members have received training on Alpine
- Developing training on the Problem Solving Process through collaborative meetings
- Establishing a manual for procedural guidelines tier 1 complete and part of tier 2 is complete
- Reviewed current Tier 2 and 3 practices within the district
- Review district data to determine needs
- Sent out year one staff survey
- Beginning to develop an intervention bank



- Step 1 Establish a Building MTSS Team
 - Building Teams established
 - Dates for meetings established
 - Training was provided about Problem Solving Teams
 - Data to monitor:
 - Meeting agendas/notes
 - Evidence from action plan
 - PLC notes
 - Evaluate year of implementation currently doing through survey



Building Action Plans

- Step 2 Provide Training to Entire Building Staff
 - Protocol for resource dissemination usually faculty meetings
 - Continually outline expectations of tier 1
 - Participation in collaborative meetings
 - Tier 2 interventions were reviewed and discussed
 - Problem solving training to staff (teams have been conducting problem solving meetings)
 - Year one survey to staff is out



- Step 3 Provide Feedback to District MTSS Team
 - First part of manual disseminated
 - Implementing tier 1 practices (daily- good instruction)
 - Information has been shared via administrators and district MTSS members
 - Manual was shared with staff (where to find on the website)
- Upcoming:
 - Analyze implementation survey results



Building Action Plans

- Step 4 Roll-out Action Plan for Implementation
 - Action plan was reviewed
 - District vision was shared with staff (beginning staff meeting)
 - Standing MTSS agenda item for faculty meetings
 - Evaluation of Tier 1 implemention
 - Many building teams have been using various sorts of data to determine continued needs




- Step 5 Data Warehouse
 - Alpine training
 - Data coaches are established
 - Began using Alpine (or parts of Alpine) during MTSS meetings
- Step 6 Change Assessment Methods
 - Still in the works



Upcoming for 16-17 School Year

Focus will be on Tier 2

Intervention bank will be created





Upcoming for 16-17 School Year

- Focus on Tier 2
 - Updating building action plans to address Tier 2 implementation
 - New vocabulary
 - Develop a common understanding of best practices in Tier 2
 - Instruction = Intervention
 - Assessment = Progress Monitoring
 - Problem Solving = Problem Solving in PLC or PS Teams
 - Update Manual
 - Address Problem Solving at Tier 2 = Plan Evaluation



Any questions you want to discuss with other teams?

