

EVALUATION OF PROFESSIONAL STAFF (Ohio Teacher Evaluation System)

A determination of the efficiency and effectiveness of the teaching staff is a critical factor in the overall operation of the District. The Board evaluates teachers in accordance with State law and the standards-based statewide teacher evaluation framework adopted by the State Board of Education (SBOE).

Notwithstanding Ohio Revised Code Section (RC) 3319.09, this policy applies to any person employed under a teacher license issued under RC 3319, or under a professional or permanent teacher's certificate issued under former RC 3319.222, and who spends at least 50% of the time employed providing content-related student instruction. This teacher evaluation policy does not apply to substitute teachers or instructors of adult education.

The Board directs the Superintendent/designee to implement this policy in accordance with State law. This policy has been developed in consultation with teachers employed by the Board. This policy becomes effective at the expiration of any collective bargaining agreement covering teachers employed by the Board that was in effect on November 2, 2018 and must be included in any renewal or extension.

The District will follow policies and procedures in place during the 2019-2020 school year for the 2020-2021 school year and will implement this policy beginning with the 2021-2022 school year.

Credentialed Evaluators

Evaluations carried out under this policy are conducted by persons holding evaluator credentials established by the Ohio Department of Education (ODE).

Final Holistic Rating and Evaluation Cycle

Teachers are assigned an final holistic rating of Accomplished, Skilled, Developing or Ineffective. This rating will be based on a combination of informal and formal observations and supporting evidence using the Teacher Evaluation Rubric.

Annually, the Board submits to the ODE the number of teachers assigned a final holistic rating, aggregated by the teacher preparation programs from which, and the years in which, the teachers graduated. The name of, or any personally identifiable information about, any teacher reported in compliance with this provision cannot be required.

The full evaluation cycle includes:

- Professional Growth/Improvement Plan;
- One formal holistic observation, followed by a conference;
- At least two classroom walkthroughs - with an emphasis on identified focus area(s) when applicable;
- One formal focused observation - with an emphasis on identified focus area(s) and
- One final summative conference

The teacher performance measure of the evaluation cycle is aligned with the following Ohio Standards for the Teacher Profession:

- Understand student learning and development, respect student diversity, and hold high expectations for all students to achieve and progress at high levels;
- Understand the content areas for which they have instructional responsibility;
- Understand and use varied assessments to inform instruction and evaluate and ensure student learning
- Plan and deliver effective instruction that advances the learning of each student;

- Create learning environments that promote high levels of learning and achievement for all students;
- Collaborate and communicate with students, parents, other teachers, administrators, and the community to support student learning and
- Assume responsibility for professional growth and performance as an individual and as a member of a learning community.

The Superintendent/designee selects/develops evaluation tools to calculate teacher performance. The Board directs the Superintendent/designee to develop procedures for these evaluation tools.

Teachers, who are on limited or extended limited contracts pursuant to State Law and under consideration for nonrenewal, receive at least three formal observations during the evaluation cycle.

All teacher evaluations are completed by May 1. Teachers evaluated under this policy are provided with a written copy of their evaluation results by May 10.

The Board evaluates teachers receiving effectiveness ratings of Accomplished on those teachers' most recent evaluations every three years, provided the teacher submits a self-directed Professional Growth Plan and the evaluator determines the teacher is making progress on that plan. Such evaluations are completed by May 1 of the evaluation year. Teachers evaluated on this basis are provided a written copy of their evaluation results by May 10 of the evaluation year. In years when an evaluation will not take place, one observation is carried out and at least one conference with the teacher is held.

The Board evaluates teachers receiving effectiveness ratings of Skilled on those teachers' most recent evaluations every two years, provided the teacher and evaluator jointly develop a Professional Growth Plan and the evaluator determines the teacher is making progress on that plan. Biennial evaluations conducted under this policy are completed by May 1 of the evaluation year. Teachers evaluated on a biennial basis are provided a written copy of their evaluation results by May 10 of the evaluation year. In years when an evaluation will not take place, one observation is carried out and at least one conference with the teacher is held.

High-Quality Student Data

High-quality student data (HQSD) is used to guide instructional decisions and meet student learning needs. HQSD used must be rigorously reviewed by locally determined experts in the field of education to meet all of the following criteria:

- Align to learning standards;
- Measure what is intended to be measured;
- Be attributable to a specific teacher for course(s) and grade level(s) taught;
- Demonstrate evidence of student learning (achievement and/or growth);
- Follow protocols for administration and scoring;
- Provide trustworthy results and
- No offend or be driven by bias.

AND the teachers must use the data generated from the HQSD data instrument by:

- Critically reflecting upon and analyzing available data, using the information as part of an ongoing cycle of support for student learning;
- Considering student learning needs and styles, identifying the strengths and weaknesses of an entire class, as well as individual students;
- Informing instruction and adapting instruction to meet student need based upon the information gained from the data analysis and

- Measuring student learning (achievement and/or growth) and progress towards achieving state and local standards.

Evaluations use at least two measures of HQSD to provide evidence of student learning attributable to the teacher being evaluated when required.

When applicable to the grade level or subject area taught by a teacher, HQSD includes the value-added progress dimension established under RC 3302.021, except when otherwise prohibited by law.

HQSD may be used as evidence in any component of the evaluation where applicable.

Date from ODE vendor-approved assessments may be considered HQSD.

The use of shared attribution measures or student learning objectives is prohibited.

Professional Growth and Improvement Plans

Each teacher must develop a Professional Growth or Improvement Plan based on the results of their most recent evaluation. These plans are to be developed annually and must be based on the results of the evaluation and aligned to any existing District or building improvement plan.

Teachers with a final holistic rating of Accomplished must develop a self-directed Professional Growth Plan.

Teachers with a final holistic rating of Skilled must develop a Professional Growth Plan working jointly with the credential evaluator.

Teachers with a final holistic rating of Developing must develop a Professional Growth Plan that is guided by their assigned credential evaluators.

Teachers with a final summative rating of Ineffective will be placed on an Improvement Plan developed by the assigned credential evaluators.

Retention and Promotion

The Board uses evaluation results for retention and promotion decisions. The Board adopts procedures for use in making retention and promotion decisions based on evaluation results.

Seniority shall not be the basis for making retention decisions, except when choosing between teachers who have comparable evaluations.

Poorly Performing Teachers

The Board uses evaluation results for removing poorly performing teachers. The Board adopts procedures for removing poorly performing teachers based on evaluation results.

Professional Development

The Board allocates financial resources to support professional development in compliance with State law and the SBOE's evaluation framework.

[Adoption date: August 6, 1991]

Revised: May 29, 2013
August 21, 2013
September 17, 2014

January 13, 2015
April 15, 2015
September 16, 2015
February 17, 2016
June 20, 2018
August 19, 2020

LEGAL REFS.: The Elementary and Secondary Education Act; 20 USC 1221 et seq.
ORC 3319.11; 3319.111; 3319.112; 3319.16; 3319.61
Chapter 4117
OAC 3301-35-05

CROSS REFS.: AF, Commitment to Accomplishment
GBL, Personnel Records
GCB, Professional Staff Contracts and Compensation Plans
GCL, Professional Staff Development Opportunities

CONTRACT REF.: Teachers' Negotiated Agreement