



The Multidimensional Leadership Performance System Administrator Evaluation: Postings and Assurances

Per MCL 380.1249b: Beginning with the 2016-2017 school year, a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy shall post on its public website specific information about the evaluation tool(s) used for its performance evaluation system for school administrators. Complete language (including requirements) for MCL 380.1249b can be found on The Revised School Code, P.A. 451 of 1976 website.

This evaluation tool has been approved by the district, as the result of a review process implemented with fidelity. The contents of this document are compliant with the law laid forth, specifically pertaining to **The Multidimensional Leadership Performance System**.

Research Base for the Evaluation Framework, Instrument, and Process [Section 1249b(2)(a)]

The research base, evidence of reliability, validity and Efficacy is found in The Reflective Leader: Implementing a Multidimensional Leadership Performance System in Appendix A: Multidimensional Leadership Performance Domains Cross-Referenced to Contemporary Leadership Research.

Evidence of Reliability, Validity, and Efficacy [Section 1249b(2)(c)]

The research base, evidence of reliability, validity and Efficacy is found in The Reflective Leader: Implementing a Multidimensional Leadership Performance System in Appendix A: Multidimensional Leadership Performance Domains Cross-Referenced to Contemporary Leadership Research.

Identification and Qualifications of the Author(s) [Section 1249b(2)(b)]

Dr. Raymond Smith-Served as Senior Professional Development Associate with the Leadership and Learning Center. Dr. Smith holds a doctorate in educational leadership and innovation from the University of Colorado in Denver, a master's degree in educational administration, and a bachelor's degree from the University of Northern Colorado at Greeley.

Karen Brofft-Served as a Professional Development Associate with The Leadership and Learning Center and the Assistant Superintendent of Learning Services and Communications for Englewood Schools in Colorado. In addition to her Ed. S. in administrative leadership and policy studies, Karen holds a master's degree in curriculum and instruction and a bachelor's degree in communications.

Nicole Law-Served as a Professional Development Associate with The Leadership and Learning Center. Nicole uses her experience to present on decision making for results, Data Teams, case studies for the 90/90/90 Schools Summit, and accelerating academic achievement of English learners. Nicole trains and supports administrators, teacher leaders, site coordinators and school improvement teams in the decision making for results and Data Teams processes.

Dr. Julie Smith-Served as a Professional Development Associate with The Leadership and Learning Center. In addition to receiving her Ph. D. in leadership and innovation from the University of Colorado Denver Health Sciences Center. She holds a master's degree from the University of Northern Colorado at Greeley, as well as a bachelor's degree from the University of Arizona.

Dr. Douglas Reeves- is one of the most noted experts on education and school reform. As a researcher, reformer, educator, and the author of over 20 books, he has received some of the most noteworthy awards in his respective fields. Dr. Reeves founded The Leadership and Learning Center, an international organization dedicated to improving student achievement and educational equity. He is the author of the bestseller Making Standards Work: How to Implement StandardsBased Assessments in the Classroom, School, and District, now in its third edition.

Evaluation Framework and Rubric [Section 1249b(2)(d)]

Step 1: Orientation

All leaders and evaluators have access to the content and processes that are subject to the system. Each school leader is expected to engage in personal reflection on the connection between practice and the domains/subdomains in the system.

Step 2: Pre-Evaluation Planning

After the orientation process, the leader and the evaluator prepare for a formal conference. The leader's self-assessment moves to a more specific identification of improvement priorities. The leader will complete the MLP Reflection Guide, consider the results of the prior year evaluation process, and, if collected, reflect on perception data. From this analysis the leader will prioritize three to five leverage areas (sub-domains) of focus. This will serve as the basis for creating the preliminary professional growth plan.

Step 3: Initial Meeting between Leader and Evaluator

The Evaluator will be responsible to hold a meeting with the Leader to discuss the results of the self evaluation, rating levels, supporting documents, artifacts, focus areas, data, and preliminary professional growth plan and to determine the leader's current level of performance.

Step 4: Monitoring, Data Collection and Application to Practice

During the span between the initial meeting, the mid-year evaluation and the year end evaluation meeting, the leader will collect the data agreed upon in step 3, as well as any additional data. The supervisor will engage the leader in reflective dialogue using the Coaching Protocol to support the leader.

Step 5: Midyear Evaluation

Leaders will meet individually with their evaluator to discuss the formative progress toward achieving annual goals. This meeting provides the leader an opportunity to share the connections, or not, of his/her targeted leadership actions, the deliberate practice identified within the professional growth plan, and most importantly the impact of these actions on student achievement.

Step 6: Prepare a Consolidated Performance Assessment

The leader will synthesize the information obtained in Steps 4 and 5. This summary of data and artifacts will be used to judge the overall performance of the leader. This will be provided one-month in advance of the final meeting to the leader's evaluator at which the performance levels will be discussed.

Step 7: Year-End Meeting/Summative Assessment

The leader will meet with the evaluator at the end of the year to discuss the progress in completing the evaluation process. At this meeting the leader and the evaluator will discuss the degree of goal attainment, performance levels and recommendations for the professional growth plan. The Summative Assessment will be kept in the leader's personnel file.

The Multidimensional Leadership Performance System Matrix, process for training and the Research Base Documentation is provided on the Educator Evaluation Page of the Michigan Department of Education website and can also be found at the following link: [Postings and Assurances Documents for District-Use](#)

Description of Process for Conducting Classroom Observations, Collecting Evidence, Conducting Evaluation Conferences, Developing Performance Ratings, and Developing Performance Improvement Plans [Section 1249(2)(e)]

Leaders and Evaluators will be trained on the Matrix and utilize the Self-Reflection Guides provided at the training and included with all necessary forms to include:

- Self-Evaluation Forms
- MLP Questionnaire
- Professional Growth Plan
- MLP Domain Matrix
- Coaching Protocol
- Reflection Questions
- Mid-Year & Summative Evaluation Forms
- Scoring Guide
- Implementation Rubric

All Domain and Subdomain Guides, examples of evidence to support ratings are based on 4 categories in the Matrix: Highly Effective, Effective, Minimally Effective and Ineffective. Rate each sub-domain as HE, E, ME, or I. The evaluator locates the level by utilizing the Matrix and supporting evidence listed on Self-Evaluation Forms.

The rating rubrics provide criteria that distinguish among the proficiency levels on the sub-domain. The rating for each subdomain is the lowest rating for which the descriptors are representative of the leader's

performance. The ratings on the domains aggregate to a rating on the Domains using Tables 1 through 4 on page 48-49 of The Reflective Leader. The ratings on the domains aggregate to an overall leadership performance rating using tables and formulas from the scoring guide.

The rubrics are designed to give leaders formative as well as summative assessments of where they stand in all leadership performance areas and detailed guidance on how to improve. Moreover, these will be the foundation of the leader and evaluator coaching and mentoring protocols. When you have a rating for each subdomain, then you generate the Domain Rating.

The final step is the domain ratings are translated into a point scale in Table 5 on page 50 of The Reflective Leader. At the MLP Scoring stage the model shifts to a weighted point system. Points are assigned to weight ratings, direct weights are employed, and scores are converted to a numerical scale.

- A Domain Rating of Highly Effective is 3 points
- A Domain Rating of Effective is 2 points
- A Domain Rating of Minimally Effective is 1 point
- A Domain Rating of Ineffective is 0 points

Once all of the above are scored to receive the MLP System Score based on this scale:

- 240-300 Highly Effective
- 151-239 Effective
- 75-160 Minimally Effective
- 0-74 Ineffective

The Professional Growth Plan comprises the essential elements on the template to include:

- Results Indicator
- Desired Benefit
- Timeline
- Sources of Data to Monitor