School Year: **2024-25**

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Mountain Lakes High School - Toyon Learning Center	45-75267-4530077	10/17/23	11/15/23

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Mountain Lakes High School - Toyon Learning Center for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page 1
Table of Contents 2
Plan Description 3
Educational Partner Involvement 3
Resource Inequities 3
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components 3
- California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators 3
- Other Needs 4

School and Student Performance Data 5
- Student Enrollment 5
- CAASPP Results 7
- ELPAC Results 11
- California School Dashboard 13

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures 29
- Goal 1 29
- Goal 2 31
- Goal 3 33
- Goal 4 35
- Goal 5 37

Budget Summary 39
- Budget Summary 39
- Other Federal, State, and Local Funds 39

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan 40
- Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source 40
- Expenditures by Funding Source 40
- Expenditures by Budget Reference 40
- Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source 40
- Expenditures by Goal 41

School Site Council Membership 42
Recommendations and Assurances 43
Instructions 44
Appendix A: Plan Requirements 51
Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements 54
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs 57

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Mountain Lakes High School - Toyon Learning Center for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The purpose of the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is to describe how a school plans to meet schoolwide program planning requirements pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), which includes Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Mountain Lakes met the criteria for CSI for the following indicators and/or student groups: Graduation, Suspension, and College and Career Indicator

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Mountain Lakes High School - Toyon Learning Center consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

School Site Council was included as part of the planning process for the SPSA, Annual Review and Update. The school Site Leadership Team worked to provide feedback and suggestions. The draft plan shared with school families, teachers and site/district leadership for suggested revisions and feedback.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Refer to the Mountain Lakes High School comprehensive needs assessment.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category.

Mountain Lakes students perform in the red in suspension rate, graduation rate, and in college and career readiness.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance.

Mountain Lakes students perform in the red in suspension rate, graduation rate, and in college and career readiness for those in in the category of socioeconomically disadvantages and in those qualifying under the white category.

Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Mountain Lakes High School - Toyon Learning Center. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
American Indian	3.77%	4.92%	1.67%	2	3	1
African American	%	0%	3.33%		0	2
Asian	3.77%	6.56%	6.67%	2	4	4
Filipino	%	0%	%		0	
Hispanic/Latino	15.09%	11.48%	13.33%	8	7	8
Pacific Islander	%	0%	%		0	
White	69.81%	75.41%	66.67%	37	46	40
Multiple/No Response	5.66%	1.64%	6.67%	3	1	4
			Total Enrollment	53	61	60

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 10	1	5	7
Grade 11	33	17	24
Grade 12	19	39	29
Total Enrollment	53	61	60

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The school's ethnic make-up is fairly consistent from year to year, and is additionally consistent with the makeup of the within the county as a whole.

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
English Learners	2	4	1		3.8%	1.7%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	0	0	2		0.0%	3.3%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)				0.0%		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. MLHS does have a small subset of those qualifying as English Learners, but this percentage does not rise to the level that necessitates specific courses designed for this population.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's Smarter Balanced Assessment System web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	31	46	29	8	35	25	8	35	24	25.8	76.1	86.2
All Grades	31	46	29	8	35	25	8	35	24	25.8	76.1	86.2

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	2456.	2444.	*	0.00	0.00	*	11.43	4.17	*	22.86	25.00	*	65.71	70.83
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	0.00	0.00	*	11.43	4.17	*	22.86	25.00	*	65.71	70.83

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	2.86	*	*	48.57	*	*	48.57	*
All Grades	*	2.86	*	*	48.57	*	*	48.57	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	0.00	*	*	20.00	*	*	80.00	*
All Grades	*	0.00	*	*	20.00	*	*	80.00	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	11.43	*	*	51.43	*	*	37.14	*
All Grades	*	11.43	*	*	51.43	*	*	37.14	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	5.71	*	*	74.29	*	*	20.00	*
All Grades	*	5.71	*	*	74.29	*	*	20.00	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. With only 31 students (80.6%) tested, it is difficult to draw significant conclusions, however, our goal for reading would be to decrease the number of students below proficiency from 40% to 30% or less through the use of targeted interventions (RTI) based on the NWEA assessment results.
2. With 76% of our students below the proficient mark in writing, our goal is to raise our at, near, or above proficient number from 24% to 32% or more through the use of targeted writing interventions based on formal and informal writing assessments.
3. With only 36% of our students scoring at, near, or above proficiency in listening and communication, our goal is to increase that number to 44% or more. Additionally, with 32% of students scoring at, near, or above in the areas of research and analysis our goal is to raise that number to 40% or more.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's Smarter Balanced Assessment System web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	31	46	29	7	33	24	7	33	24	22.6	71.7	82.8
All Grades	31	46	29	7	33	24	7	33	24	22.6	71.7	82.8

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	2449.	2433.	*	0.00	0.00	*	0.00	4.17	*	18.18	0.00	*	81.82	95.83
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	0.00	0.00	*	0.00	4.17	*	18.18	0.00	*	81.82	95.83

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	0.00	*	*	21.21	*	*	78.79	*
All Grades	*	0.00	*	*	21.21	*	*	78.79	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	0.00	*	*	54.55	*	*	45.45	*
All Grades	*	0.00	*	*	54.55	*	*	45.45	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	*	0.00	*	*	51.52	*	*	48.48	*
All Grades	*	0.00	*	*	51.52	*	*	48.48	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. With only 16 students testing (72.7%) it is difficult to draw conclusions, however, our goal for all areas would be to increase the at, near, or above proficient number from 0.0% to 10.0% or more through targeted intervention (RTI).
2. With only 20% of our students scoring at, near, or above proficient in the areas of problem-solving, and real world math problems, our goal is to increase that number to 28% or more.
3. With only 18% of our students scoring at, near, or above proficient in the areas of reasoning and the ability to support mathematical conclusions, our goal is to raise that score to 28% or more with target interventions (RTI).

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) web page or the ELPAC.org website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades											*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
All Grades		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*

In order to protect student privacy, an asterisk (*) will be displayed for enrolled and tested counts for fewer than 4 students and for assessment results for fewer than 11 students. "N/A" will be displayed instead of a number on test results where no data is found for the specific report.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Not applicable to this site.

School and Student Performance Data

California School Dashboard
Student Population

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2022-23 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
61	**82**	**6.6**	**3.3**
Total Number of Students enrolled in Mountain Lakes High School - Toyon Learning Center.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2022-23 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
English Learners	4	6.6
Foster Youth	2	3.3
Homeless		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	50	82
Students with Disabilities	8	13.1

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
American Indian	3	4.9
Asian	4	6.6
Hispanic	7	11.5
Two or More Races	1	1.6
White	46	75.4

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Data shows that Mountain Lakes is populated by students classified as socioeconomically disadvantaged.
2. Mountain Lakes does have a multiracial makeup, but a large percentage of students are classified as white.
3. One in ten students is qualified for special education.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red

Lowest Performance

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

2023 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students		
Academic Performance	**Academic Engagement**	**Conditions & Climate**
College/Career Very Low	**Chronic Absenteeism** No Performance Color	**Suspension Rate** Red

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This data indicates that an increased focus on restorative practices as well as a variety of pro-social interventions need to be incorporated as a means of addressing suspension rate. Through the CSI Grant, use of outside service providers, and greater community support programming, will be utilized as a means of supporting student growth.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
English Language Arts

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	0	0

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
Less than 11 Students 9 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Homeless	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**Students with Disabilities**
Less than 11 Students 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 7 Students	Less than 11 Students 2 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	Less than 11 Students 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Less than 11 Students 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 8 Students

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners		
Current English Learner	**Reclassified English Learners**	**English Only**
0 Students	0 Students	Less than 11 Students 9 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This limited number of individual students participating in state testing has resulted in a lack of functional data. Increased participation will be a focus this year, and improving attendance and decreasing suspension rate will increase overall performance in this area. Through the CSI Grant, focus will be narrowed toward academic supports for graduation related components of the educational system.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
Mathematics

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	0	0

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
Less than 11 Students 10 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Homeless	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**Students with Disabilities**
Less than 11 Students 1 Student	Less than 11 Students 8 Students	Less than 11 Students 2 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	Less than 11 Students 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Less than 11 Students 1 Student	Less than 11 Students 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 8 Students

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners		
Current English Learner	**Reclassified English Learners**	**English Only**
0 Students	0 Students	Less than 11 Students 10 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This limited number of individual students participating in state testing has resulted in a lack of functional data. Increased participation will be a focus this year, and improving attendance and decreasing suspension rate will increase overall performance in this area. Through the CSI Grant, focus will be narrowed toward academic supports for graduation related components of the educational system.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance

English Learner Progress

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

English Learner Progress
making progress towards English language proficiency
Number of EL Students: 2 Students
Performance Level: No Performance Level

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Limited data results in no conclusions associated with this category.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance

College/Career Report

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.



This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report				
Very High	**High**	**Medium**	**Low**	**Very Low**
2	0	0	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2023 Fall Dashboard College/Career Report for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
2.3 Prepared 44 Students	Less than 11 Students 2 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student
Homeless	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**Students with Disabilities**
Less than 11 Students 7 Students	2.4 Prepared 42 Students	Less than 11 Students 8 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard College/Career Reportby Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
0 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	Less than 11 Students 2 Students	0 Students
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Less than 11 Students 5 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	0 Students	2.9 Prepared 35 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Alternative education programming is primarily focused on increased graduation rate associated with credit recovery programming. Often course offerings, and its associated coursework, is general in nature and focuses on preparing students to enter the local community college, trade schools, and/or the entry level vocational setting. This narrow focus limits access to A-G programming, generally designed to prepare students for CSU/UC admittance.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Homeless	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**Students with Disabilities**
No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The transient nature of alternative education students, as well as the primary function of this district program, results in a consistent population of students with a proclivity for absenteeism. Programming designed to reward those with positive attendance will be utilized to address this need.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement

Graduation Rate

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	0	0

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
54.3% graduated Increased Significantly 6.1 46 Students	Less than 11 Students 2 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student
Homeless	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**Students with Disabilities**
Less than 11 Students 7 Students	56.8% graduated Increased Significantly 10.4 44 Students	Less than 11 Students 9 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	Less than 11 Students 2 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Less than 11 Students 5 Students	Less than 11 Students 1 Student	No Performance Color 0 Students	45.9% graduated Maintained 0.9 37 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Alternative education, and especially as our district's primary continuation school, serves the community by directly focusing its efforts on credit recovery. Generally this results in Mountain Lakes High School having a larger number of non-grad students each year. Multiple programs are in place to address this concern. These include accelerated credit programming, the ability to provide partial credits, addition of multiple pathways for graduation, and personalized programming at a general level.

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate

Suspension Rate

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
Lowest Performance				Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
2	0	0	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth
Red 21.9% suspended at least one day Increased 13.7 114 Students	Less than 11 Students 4 Students	Less than 11 Students 4 Students

Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities
Less than 11 Students 6 Students	Red 22.4% suspended at least one day Increased 13.2 98 Students	16.7% suspended at least one day Increased 10.8 18 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
Less than 11 Students 2 Students	Less than 11 Students 5 Students	Less than 11 Students 5 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
13.3% suspended at least one day Declined -9.7 15 Students	Less than 11 Students 4 Students	No Performance Color 0 Students	Red 24.1% suspended at least one day Increased 19.2 83 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Community resources such as access to county grants, anger management, pro-social programming, and other trauma informed practices, are utilized to address this concern.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Academic
Mountain Lakes High School plan for 2024-25 will be to increase the number of students meeting or exceeding standards on the NWEA reading assessments by 10%.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Gateway Unified School District will work in partnership with parents and the community to assure that all students meet or exceed state standards. Developing and sustaining high performing schools for all PreK-12 students to graduate career and college ready without remediation.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

There is a need for more targeted and intensive interventions in ELA.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Increased proficiency on the NWEA scoring.	6% of students meeting or exceeding the NWEA Reading assessment (Spring 2023)	10% or more students meeting or exceeding NWEA standards

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	Fully implement the Reading Plus program for all students and the new Newsela program. Move towards Project Based Learning in order to engage students more in class and tap into their learning modalities. Use NWEA assessment data to inform targeted interventions (RTI).	All students	1286 Title I Part A: Allocation 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Supplemental Materials and online software 1500 Title I Part A: Allocation 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Supplies & Parent Involvement 600 LCFF - Supplemental

			4000-4999: Books And Supplies Library 500 LCFF - Supplemental 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures PD RTI/Behavior 20000 Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies 7624 LCFF - Supplemental 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures NWEA 3 yr subscription

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Professional Development programming will be implemented to improve access to core curriculum at the Mountain Lakes site.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

New focuses on Reading, and addition of differentiated supplemental programming, will positively impact our educational progress over the course of our next year.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Academic
Mountain Lakes High School plan for 2024-25 will be to increase the number of students meeting or exceeding standards on the NWEA reading assessments by 10%

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Gateway Unified School District will work in partnership with parents and the community to assure that all students meet or exceed state standards. Developing and sustaining high performing schools for all PreK-12 students to graduate career and college ready without remediation.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

There is a need for more targeted and intensive interventions in ELA.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Suspension dashboard currently in the red.	13.7% suspension rate from 2021-2022 school year	Decrease suspension rate by 10% and increase attendance by 5%

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	Fully implement an individually designed program for all students and including use of ALEKS. Use NWEA assessment data to inform targeted interventions (RTI).	All students	99615 Title I Part A: Allocation Title 1 Teacher 600 LCFF - Supplemental 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Library 1500 LCFF - Supplemental 4000-4999: Books And Supplies STEM 4822 Local Categorical

			5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures RS 9135

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Professional Development programming will be implemented to improve access to core curriculum at the Mountain Lakes site.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

New focuses on individualized mathematics program, and addition of differentiated supplemental programming, will positively impact our educational progress over the course of our next year.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

School Climate
Mountain Lakes High School plan for 2024-25 is to reduce suspensions, behavioral issues, and increase attendance by creating a positive and caring learning environment. Parent involvement will also be addressed and increased.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Gateway Unified School District students/staff will learn and work in a healthy, safe, supportive, and caring environment.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Students in attendance, with the behavioral regulations skills available to focus on educational curriculum, results in positive outcomes. Student experiencing barriers to their education, including Foster/homeless concerns, low socioeconomic living conditions, English/second language barriers, and limited pro-social skills, are less likely to achieve a positive post-high school experience.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Suspension dashboard currently in the red.	13.7% suspension rate from 2021-2022 school year	Decrease suspension rate by 10% and increase attendance by 5%

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
3.1	Students are looked at individually and personalized interventions are put in place based on the needs of that individual student. Through the CSI Grant, focus will be put on Project Based Learning in an effort to raise student achievement and Trauma Informed Practices, through Connecting the Brain, in order to address attendance and behavior issues. Increased parent involvement will also be looked at with parent nights and open door policies with administration. A part time attendance clerk will be put in place to address and support chronic absenteeism.	All students	4042 Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) 10% of Attendance Secretary
3.2	Elevate Mentoring to increase	Targeted Groups	0

			Purchased in 2023-24 thru 2024-45 $20,972 - ESSER funds
3.3	PBIS induction program to increase positive behavior and limit suspension	All Students	5000 Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures PD & Materials
3.4	Community Schools programming support	All Students	

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Mountain Lakes has been implementing trauma informed practices to achieve our goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Addition of PBIS programming as a schoolwide program. Although an initial program upfront, this will eventually evolve into a system of positive behavioral intervention that addresses students succeeding in the area of attendance and behavioral regulation.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Mountain Lakes will participate in a PBIS Cohort, as well as modifying Elevate mentoring to improve overall school climate, subsequently increasing attendance and lowering suspensions on the site.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 4

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

College and Career Readiness
All students will understand the nexus between current school performance and college and career readiness.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Gateway Unified School District will work in partnership with parents and the community to assure that all students meet or exceed state standards. Developing and sustaining high performing schools for all PreK-12 students to graduate career and college ready without remediation.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Educate and make students aware of college and career options.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
All seniors complete the FAFSA	100%	100%
All seniors are offered a visit to Shasta College for an orientation and pre-enrollment tour.	100%	100%
All students will receive in-depth information regarding the Regional Occupation Program (ROP)	100%	100%
All students will be encouraged to find employment during high school and are provided information about work-permits and how their employment can offset elective credits towards graduation.	100%	100%

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
4.1	Incorporate career and college readiness information and strategies across the curriculum through career exploration. Look at partnering with	All Student	2000 LCFF - Supplemental 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

	Shasta College in offering CTE/college courses as well as online CTE/programming options.		College and Career - 1.2a

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Students have been attending the Shasta College preview, and the school counselor assists with FASFA applications.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

A focus on Career Tech Completion will be a focus moving forward. This will include job placements through DOR and utilization of Workability programming for those qualified for special education and 504.
11th grade students will be offered the Shasta College preview, especially programming associated with CTE.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

None

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 5

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

Equity Multiplier
MLHS/CDS will reduce the number of suspensions resulting in greater academic success

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

6.1a Provide funds for additional academic intervention support.
6.1d Expand each site's continued implementation of an academic intervention plan with and emphasis on professional development for all staff at EM sites. Increase attendance rates and decrease the number of suspensions and truancy rates.
6.2a Expand funding paraprofessionals to assist with student supervision behavioral plans and promoting interventions.
6.2b Expand behavior support curriculum for all three tiers of behavior intervention specific to the unique needs at EM sites.
6.3c Expand behavior interventions and restorative practice supports and training specific to EM site needs.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

According to the 2023 CA dashboard, MLHS increased suspensions of students by 13.7%, and has lower attendance rate than that found within the district as a whole.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAS Dashboard Data 23/24 - 21.9% suspended at least one day	Increased suspension rate by 13/7%.	Decreased suspension rate.

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/ Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
5.1	Site staff will be provided the opportunity to attend specific behavioral crisis intervention training related to the site's needs.	All students	5000 LCFF - Supplemental 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures RS 7399 - Equity Multiplier
5.2	Participation in the Tier I cohort for Positive Behavioral Intervention Systems (PBIS), and continued participation at the county level.	All students	1500 LCFF - Supplemental 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

			RS 7399 - Equity Multiplier
5.3	Provide increased supervision for attendance related PBIS programming.	All students	50950 LCFF - Supplemental 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries RS 7399 - Equity Multiplier - Additional Behavior Aide & 5.75 classroom aide

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

MLHS will be participating in the PBIS cohort provided through the county. This along with increased behavioral training should affect the suspension rate of all students on the Toyon Site.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Implementation of a behavior specialist on site to address behavioral concerns associated with the site.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

N/A

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	$99615
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	$206,539.00
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	$119977

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation ($)
Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)	$29,042.00
Title I Part A: Allocation	$102,401.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: $131,443.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation ($)
	$0.00
LCFF - Supplemental	$70,274.00
Local Categorical	$4,822.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: $75,096.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: $206,539.00

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
Lottery: Instructional Materials	0	0.00
Unrestricted	5623	5,623.00

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
	0.00
Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)	29,042.00
LCFF - Supplemental	70,274.00
Local Categorical	4,822.00
Title I Part A: Allocation	102,401.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
	103,657.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	50,950.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	26,200.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	25,732.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
		0.00
	Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)	4,042.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)	20,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)	5,000.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	LCFF - Supplemental	50,950.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	LCFF - Supplemental	4,700.00

5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	LCFF - Supplemental	14,624.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Local Categorical	4,822.00
	Title I Part A: Allocation	99,615.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Title I Part A: Allocation	1,500.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Title I Part A: Allocation	1,286.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	31,510.00
Goal 2	106,537.00
Goal 3	9,042.00
Goal 4	2,000.00
Goal 5	57,450.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

1 School Principal

2 Classroom Teachers

1 Other School Staff

4 Parent or Community Members

1 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Christopher Fort	Principal
Noel Fernandez	Classroom Teacher
Jofonz Bow	Other School Staff
Nicole McLane	Classroom Teacher
Jill Rose	Parent or Community Member
	Secondary Student
Natalie Gerrodette	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature	Committee or Advisory Group Name
	English Learner Advisory Committee
	Special Education Advisory Committee
	Other: District Advisory Council

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on October 17, 2023.

Attested:

Principal, Steve Hiscock on October 17, 2023

SSC Chairperson, Heidi Hillesheim on October 17, 2023

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code* (*EC*) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.
For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

SWP Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA’s LCAP.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach.
A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **S**pecific,
- **M**easurable,
- **A**chievable,
- **R**ealistic, and
- **T**ime-bound.

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.
A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Strategies/Activities Table

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.
Complete the table as follows:

- Strategy/Activity #: Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- Description: Describe the strategy/activity.
- Students to be Served: Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- Proposed Expenditures: List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures.
  Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to *EC* Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- Funding Sources: List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Note: *If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

Note: *If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: *CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.*

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:
Note: *Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.*

Budget Summary Table

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.
A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
   A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
      1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
         a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
         b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
            i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
            ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
            iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
            iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
            v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
   B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

II. The SPSA shall include the following:
   A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
   B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
    a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
    b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
        i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
        ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
        iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
    c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
        i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
        ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
        iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
        iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
        v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.

D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
    1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
    2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
    3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
    1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
    2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (34 *CFR*), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (S*ections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);

2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf);

   Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Se*ctions: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and

4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (S*ections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

CSI Resources

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab): https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp
- **CSI Webinars**: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp
- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts**: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC* Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC* Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab): https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp
- Available Funding: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023